

COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

980 NINTH STREET, SUITE 300
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
PHONE: (916) 323-3562
FAX: (916) 445-0278
E-mail: csminfo@csm.ca.gov



January 10, 2014

Mr. Michael Byrne
Department of Finance
915 L Street, Room 1190
Sacramento, CA 95814

And Parties, Interested Parties, and Interested Persons (See Mailing List)

Re: **Final Staff Analysis and Proposed Statement of Decision, and Notice of Hearing**
Mandate Redetermination Request Second Hearing, 12-MR-02
Local Recreational Areas: Background Screenings, (01-TC-11)
Public Resources Code Section 5164(b)(1), (b)(2), and (b)(3) as added or amended by
Statutes 2001, Chapter 777 (AB 351); Statutes 2010, Chapter 719, (SB 856)
California Department of Finance, Requester

Dear Mr. Byrne:

The final staff analysis for the above-named matter is enclosed for your review.

Hearing

This matter is set for hearing on **Friday, January 24, 2014**, at 10:00 a.m., State Capitol, Room 447, Sacramento, California. Please let us know in advance if you or a representative of your agency will testify at the hearing, and if other witnesses will appear. If you would like to request postponement of the hearing, please refer to section 1183.01(c)(2) of the Commission's regulations.

Special Accommodations

For any special accommodations such as a sign language interpreter, an assistive listening device, materials in an alternative format, or any other accommodations, please contact the Commission Office at least five to seven *working* days prior to the meeting.

Please contact Heidi Palchik at (916) 323-3562 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Heather Halsey".

Heather Halsey
Executive Director

Hearing Date: January 24, 2014

J:\MANDATES\2001\tc\01-tc-11 (Local Recreational Areas Background Screenings)\12-MR-02\Second Hearing - New Test Claim Decision\FSA_PSOD.docx

ITEM 7

MANDATE REDETERMINATION SECOND HEARING: NEW TEST CLAIM DECISION FINAL STAFF ANALYSIS AND PROPOSED STATEMENT OF DECISION

Public Resources Code Section 5164(b)(1) and (b)(2)

Statutes 2001, Chapter 777

Local Recreational Areas: Background Screenings, (01-TC-11)

As Alleged to be Modified by:

Statutes 2010, Chapter 719 (SB 856)

12-MR-02

Department of Finance, Requester

Attached is the proposed statement of decision for this matter. This Executive Summary and proposed statement of decision also function as the final staff analysis on the issue of whether the Commission shall adopt a new test claim decision.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Overview

On December 9, 2005, the Commission adopted a statement of decision approving reimbursement for the *Local Recreational Areas: Background Screenings* program, 01-TC-11. That program requires local agencies to have prospective employees or volunteers complete an application that inquires whether the person has been convicted of any offense specified in Public Resources Code 5164, and to screen prospective employees and volunteers who would have supervisory or disciplinary authority over minors pursuant to Penal Code section 11105.3. As approved, the test claim statute required submitting the prospective employee's or volunteer's fingerprints, along with any other information required on a DOJ-approved form, and paying a fingerprint processing fee for prospective employees, but not for volunteers.

On June 26, 2008, the Commission adopted parameters and guidelines for the approved activities as follows:

1. Have each prospective employee or volunteer who would have supervisory or disciplinary authority over minors to complete an application that inquires as to whether or not the prospective employee or volunteer has been convicted of any offense specified in Public Resources Code section 5164(a). (Pub. Res. Code, § 5164, subd. (b)(1)). This is a one-time activity of revising and printing job applications that inquire as to the applicants' criminal history.

2. Screening, pursuant to Penal Code section 11105.3, prospective employees and volunteers who would have supervisory or disciplinary authority over minors. The screening procedure for these individuals requires submitting the following to the Department of Justice (DOJ): (1) the prospective employee's or volunteer's fingerprints, (2) any other data specified by DOJ on a DOJ-approved form, (3) for prospective employees only, paying the DOJ's fingerprint processing fee (no fee is required for a prospective volunteer). (Pub. Res. Code, § 5164, subs. (b)(1) & (b)(2)).

The Commission further found that fingerprints “may be taken by the local agency,” and if taken by the local agency, the agency “may charge a fee not to exceed \$10,” and other entities may charge more. The Commission therefore concluded that taking fingerprints was permissive, and therefore not reimbursable.

Statutes 2010, chapter 719 (SB 856) added subdivision (b)(3) to Public Resources Code section 5164, which provides that “[a] county, city, city and county, or special district may charge a prospective employee or volunteer described in subdivision (a) a fee to cover all of the...costs attributable to the requirements imposed by this section.” Statutes 2010, chapter 719 also added section 17570 to the Government Code, outlining the Commission's process for redetermination of test claims. This statute was an urgency measure and was chaptered on October 19, 2010.

On May 20, 2013, the Department of Finance (Finance) filed a request for redetermination of the test claim decision pursuant to Government Code section 17570. Finance asserts that Statutes 2010, chapter 719 constitutes a subsequent change in the law, as defined in section 17570, which, pursuant to section 17556(d), results in the state's liability under the test claim statutes being modified. Specifically, Finance argues that “as a result of the subsequent change in law, local agencies may charge a fee to cover all of their costs attributable to the mandated activities in Public Resources Code section 5164.”

Section 17570 provides a process whereby a previously determined mandate finding can be redetermined by the Commission based on a subsequent change in law. The Government Code provides for a two-step hearing process. The Commission's regulations state that “[i]f the commission proceeds to the second hearing, it shall consider whether the state's liability...has been modified based on the subsequent change in law alleged by the requester, thus requiring adoption of a new test claim decision to supersede the previously adopted test claim decision.”¹ If the Commission adopts a new test claim decision that supersedes the previously adopted test claim decision, and “which finds that there are costs mandated by the state pursuant to Article XIII B, section 6, subdivision (a) of the California Constitution, the amount and method of reimbursement shall be redetermined in accordance with sections 1183.1-1183.32 of these regulations.”²

On December 6, 2013 the Commission held the first hearing. The Commission found that Finance had made an adequate showing that the request had a substantial possibility of prevailing at the second hearing, and the Commission therefore directed staff to set the matter for hearing

¹ Code of Regulations, Title 2, section 1190.05 (Register 2010, No. 48).

² Code of Regulations, Title 2, section 1190.05(b)(6) (Register 2010, No. 48).

on a new test claim decision.³ The issue in this hearing, pursuant to the code and the applicable regulations, is whether to adopt a new test claim decision to supersede the previously adopted test claim decision. If a new test claim decision is adopted that finds any remaining reimbursable activities, new parameters and guidelines must also be adopted; otherwise, parameters and guidelines must be amended to reflect the end of reimbursement in accordance with the period of eligibility established by the filing date of the request for redetermination.

Staff Analysis

Government Code section 17570 provides, with respect to mandate redetermination, that:

“Subsequent change in law” is a change in law that requires a finding that an incurred cost is a cost mandated by the state, as defined by Section 17514, or is not a cost mandated by the state pursuant to section 17556, or a change in mandates law...⁴

Government Code section 17556(d) provides that the Commission *shall not find* costs mandated by the state, within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6, if “[t]he local agency or school district has the authority to levy service charges, fees, or assessments sufficient to pay for the mandated program or increased level of service.” Section 17556(d) also states that this rule “applies regardless of whether the authority to levy charges, fees, or assessments was enacted or adopted prior to or after the date on which the statute or executive order was enacted or issued.”⁵

Staff finds that Statutes 2010, chapter 719 (SB 856) constitutes a subsequent change in law, as defined in section 17570. Statutes 2010, chapter 719 provides local government with the authority to impose fees or charges “to cover all...costs attributable to the requirements imposed by” the test claim statute and therefore, pursuant to section 17556(d), the Commission shall not find costs mandated by the state where the local government has such authority.

Section 17570 provides that a request for adoption of a new test claim decision shall be filed on or before June 30 following a fiscal year in order to establish eligibility for reimbursement for that fiscal year. This request was filed on May 20, 2013, establishing eligibility beginning July 1, 2011. Therefore, as a result of this proposed decision, staff finds that the approved activities in the prior test claim decision are no longer reimbursable as of July 1, 2011.

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends that the Commission adopt this analysis as its new test claim decision, ending reimbursement for the mandated program as of July 1, 2011.

Staff also recommends that the Commission, for its next item of business, adopt the proposed expedited amended parameters and guidelines that reflect the end of the state’s liability for this program, beginning July 1, 2011, under the new test claim decision.

³ See Exhibit G, Adopted Statement of Decision, First Hearing.

⁴ Government Code section 17570(a)(2) (Stats. 2010, ch. 719 (SB 856)).

⁵ Government Code section 17556 (As amended by Stats. 2010, ch. 719 (SB 856)).

Staff further recommends that the Commission authorize staff to make any non-substantive, technical changes to the proposed new test claim decision following the hearing.

BEFORE THE
COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE MANDATE REDETERMINATION:
SECOND HEARING: NEW TEST CLAIM
DECISION FOR:

Public Resources Code Section 5164(b)(1) and
(b)(2);

As amended by Statutes 2001, Chapter 777

*Local Recreational Areas: Background
Screenings, 01-TC-11*

As Alleged to be Modified by:

Statutes 2010, Chapter 719 (SB 856)

Filed on May 20, 2013

By the Department of Finance, Requester.

Case No.: 12-MR-02

*Local Recreational Areas: Background
Screenings, (01-TC-11)*

STATEMENT OF DECISION
PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT
CODE SECTION 17500, ET SEQ.;
CALIFORNIA CODE OF
REGULATIONS, TITLE 2, DIVISION
2, CHAPTER 2.5, ARTICLE 7.

(Adopted January 24, 2014)

STATEMENT OF DECISION

The Commission on State Mandates (Commission) heard and decided this mandate redetermination during a regularly scheduled hearing on January 24, 2014. [Witness list will be included in the final statement of decision.]

Government Code section 17570 and section 1190 et seq. of the Commission's regulations establish the mandate redetermination process. In addition, the law applicable to the Commission's determination of a reimbursable state-mandated program is article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution, Government Code section 17500 et seq., title 2, California Code of Regulations 1181 et seq., and related case law.

The Commission [adopted/modified] the proposed decision as its new test claim decision, granting the request for redetermination and approving the request to end reimbursement for the test claim activities by a vote of [vote count will be included in the final statement of decision].

Summary of the Findings

The Commission finds the state's liability pursuant to article XIII B, section 6(a) of the California Constitution, for the *Local Recreational Areas: Background Screenings, 01-TC-11* mandate has been modified based on a subsequent change in law, and that a new test claim decision must be adopted to supersede the previously adopted test claim decision. Specifically, Statutes 2010, chapter 719 (SB 856) provided local agencies with the authority to charge a fee on

prospective employees or volunteers to cover all costs attributable to the mandated background check activities under Public Resources Code section 5164, and Government Code section 17556(d) proscribes a finding of costs mandated by the state where the local government has fee authority sufficient to cover the costs of the mandate. Pursuant to Government Code section 17570, the Commission approves the request for redetermination and concludes that the *Local Recreational Areas: Background Screenings, 01-TC-11* program does not constitute a reimbursable state-mandated program within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution and Government Code section 17556(d), beginning July 1, 2011.

COMMISSION FINDINGS

Chronology

12/09/2005	The Commission adopted the test claim statement of decision for <i>Local Recreational Areas: Background Screenings, 01-TC-11</i> . ⁶
06/26/2008	The Commission adopted parameters and guidelines. ⁷
10/19/2010	The Legislature enacted SB 856, which added subdivision (b)(3) to Public Resources Code section 5164, providing for fee authority. ⁸
05/20/2013	The Department of Finance filed a request for redetermination on test claim 01-TC-11. ⁹
05/29/2013	Commission staff deemed the filing complete.
09/17/2013	Staff issued a draft staff analysis and proposed statement of decision for the first hearing. ¹⁰
09/30/2013	The State Controller's Office (SCO) submitted written comments on the draft staff analysis for the first hearing. ¹¹
10/08/2013	The Department of Finance (Finance) submitted written comments on the draft staff analysis for the first hearing. ¹²
12/06/2013	The Commission heard and decided at the first hearing on the request for redetermination that Finance made an adequate showing. ¹³

⁶ Exhibit B, Test Claim Statement of Decision.

⁷ Exhibit C, Parameters and Guidelines.

⁸ See Exhibit A, Request for Redetermination.

⁹ Exhibit A, Request for Redetermination.

¹⁰ Exhibit D, Draft Staff Analysis, First Hearing.

¹¹ Exhibit E, SCO Comments on Draft Staff Analysis, First Hearing.

¹² Exhibit F, Finance Comments on Draft Staff Analysis, First Hearing.

¹³ Exhibit G, Adopted Statement of Decision, First Hearing.

- 12/16/2013 Commission staff issued a draft staff analysis for the second hearing, and draft expedited parameters and guidelines.¹⁴
- 1/06/2014 The SCO submitted comments on the draft staff analysis and draft expedited parameters and guidelines.¹⁵

I. Background

Public Resources Code Section 5164 and Test Claim Decision

Public Resources Code section 5164 was enacted in 1993 (Stats. 1993, ch. 972) to prohibit a city, county or special district from hiring a volunteer or employee for positions having supervisory or disciplinary authority over any minor at specified local agency recreational areas if the employee or volunteer has been convicted of specified crimes. Section 5164 was enacted because of a volunteer coach's 1992 conviction for kidnapping and molesting a boy who was coached at Hoover Recreation Center in Los Angeles County. The coach was a registered sex offender whose background had not been inquired about by the recreation center.¹⁶ The test claim statute at issue in 01-TC-11 (Stats. 2001, ch. 777, (AB 351))¹⁷ amended Public Resources Code section 5164 to provide that a city, county, city and county, or special district, shall not hire a person for employment, or take on a volunteer, in a position having supervisory or disciplinary authority over any minor if that person has been convicted of any offense specified in Penal Code section 11105.3(h)(1) or (h)(3). Statutes 2001, chapter 777 (AB 351) further provides that the city, county, or special district shall require each prospective employee or volunteer to complete an application inquiring whether the individual has been convicted of any offense specified, and shall screen any such prospective employee or volunteer for that person's criminal background, including obtaining fingerprints and a Department of Justice record. Penal Code section 11105.3(h)(3), (now Pub. Res. Code, § 5164(a)(2))¹⁸ listed a number of crimes for which to screen prospective employees or volunteers who would have supervisory or disciplinary authority over minors, including, but not limited to, a number of permutations of assault crimes, sexual assault, sexual battery, unlawful sexual intercourse with a person under 18, corporal punishment or injury of a child, willful infliction of corporal injury, registerable sex offenses under section 290, or any other felony or misdemeanor conviction within 10 years of the

¹⁴ Exhibit H, Draft Staff Analysis and Proposed Statement of Decision; Exhibit I Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines.

¹⁵ Exhibit J, SCO Comments on Draft Staff Analysis and Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines.

¹⁶ Assembly Committee on Local Government, Analysis of Assembly Bill 1663, as amended April 12, 1993 (1993-1994 Reg. Sess.), page 2.

¹⁷ Section 5164 has been amended since the test claim filing by Statutes 2004, chapter 184, but the amendments are not part of this analysis.

¹⁸ Former Penal Code section 11105.3(h)(3), was amended by Statutes 2004, chapter 184, and moved to Public Resources Code section 5164(a)(2).

prospective employer's request if the person has a total of three or more misdemeanor or felony convictions within the immediately preceding 10 year period.¹⁹

The Commission found that the test claim statute imposed a reimbursable state-mandated program on local government for the following activities:

- Requiring each local agency to have each prospective employee or volunteer who would have supervisory or disciplinary authority over minors to complete an application that inquires as to whether or not the prospective employee or volunteer has been convicted of any offense specified in Public Resources Code section 5164(a). (Pub. Res. Code, § 5164, subd. (b)(1)). This means that local agencies must perform the one-time activity of revising and printing job applications that inquire as to the applicants' criminal history.
- Screening, pursuant to Penal Code section 11105.3, prospective employees and volunteers who would have supervisory or disciplinary authority over minors. The screening procedure for these individuals requires submitting the following to DOJ: (1) the prospective employee's or volunteer's fingerprints, (2) any other data specified by DOJ on a DOJ-approved form, (3) for prospective employees only, paying the DOJ's fingerprint processing fee (no fee is required for a prospective volunteer).²⁰ (Pub. Res. Code, § 5164, subds. (b)(1) & (b)(2)).

The Commission further found that fingerprints "may be taken by the local agency," and if taken by the local agency, the agency "may charge a fee not to exceed \$10," and other entities may charge more. The Commission therefore concluded that taking fingerprints was permissive, and therefore not reimbursable.²¹

Mandate Redetermination Process Under Section 17570

Government Code section 17570 provides a process whereby a test claim decision may be redetermined and superseded by a new test claim decision, if a subsequent change in law, as defined, has altered the state's liability for reimbursement. The redetermination process calls for a two-step hearing process; at the first hearing, the requester must make "an adequate showing which identifies a subsequent change in law as defined by Government Code section 17570, material to the prior the claim decision, that may modify the state's liability pursuant to Article XIII B, section 6, subdivision (a) of the California Constitution."²² At the second hearing, the Commission "shall consider whether the state's liability pursuant to Article XIII B, section 6, subdivision (a) of the California Constitution has been modified based on the subsequent change in law alleged by the requester."²³

¹⁹ Exhibit B, Test Claim Statement of Decision, at pp. 2-4.

²⁰ Public Resources Code section 5164(b)(2).

²¹ Exhibit B, Test Claim Statement of Decision, at p. 7 [citing Penal Code section 13300].

²² Code of Regulations, Title 2, section 1190.05(a)(1).

²³ Code of Regulations, Title 2, section 1190.05(b).

A subsequent change in law is defined in section 17570 as follows:

[A] change in law that requires a finding that an incurred cost is a cost mandated by the state, as defined by Section 17514, or is not a cost mandated by the state pursuant to Section 17556, or a change in mandates law, except that a “subsequent change in law” does not include the amendments to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution that were approved by the voters on November 2, 2004. A “subsequent change in law” also does not include a change in the statutes or executive orders that impose new state-mandated activities and require a finding pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 17551.²⁴

If the Commission finds, at the second hearing, that the state’s liability has been modified based on a subsequent change in law, “it shall adopt a new statement of decision that reflects the modified liability of the state.”²⁵ If the Commission adopts a new test claim decision that supersedes the previously adopted test claim decision, the Commission “shall adopt new parameters and guidelines or amend existing parameters and guidelines...pursuant to Section 17557.”²⁶

II. Position of the Department of Finance, Requester²⁷

Finance asserts that Statutes 2010, chapter 719 (SB 856) constitutes a subsequent change in the law, as defined in section 17570, which, when analyzed in light of section 17556, results in the state’s liability under the test claim statutes being modified. Finance argues that “local agencies may charge a fee to cover all of their costs attributable to the mandated activities in Public Resources Code section 5164,” and that therefore the state is no longer obligated to reimburse any costs for the mandated activities, pursuant to Government Code sections 17570 and 17556(d).²⁸

On October 8, 2013, Finance submitted comments on the draft staff analysis and proposed statement of decision for the first hearing, concurring with the recommendation to adopt the proposed statement of decision and proceed to a second hearing to determine whether to adopt a new test claim decision.²⁹ Finance did not comment on the draft staff analysis or draft expedited parameters and guidelines issued for the second hearing.³⁰

²⁴ Government Code section 17570, as added by Statutes 2010, chapter 719 (SB 856).

²⁵ Code of Regulations, Title 2, section 1190.05(b)(1).

²⁶ Government Code section 17570(i) (Stats. 2010, chapter 719 (SB 856)).

²⁷ No other parties, or interested parties or persons have filed comments on this request for redetermination.

²⁸ Exhibit A, Request for Redetermination, at p. 6.

²⁹ Exhibit F, Finance Comments on Draft Staff Analysis, First Hearing.

³⁰ The SCO filed comments on the draft staff analysis and draft expedited parameters and guidelines, in which the SCO concurred with Commission staff’s recommendations. (See Exhibit J, SCO Comments, dated January 6, 2014.)

III. Discussion

Under article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution, local agencies and school districts are entitled to reimbursement for the increased costs of state-mandated new programs or higher levels of service. In order for local government to be eligible for reimbursement, one or more similarly situated local agencies or school districts must file a successful test claim with the Commission. “Test claim” means the first claim filed with the Commission alleging that a particular statute or executive order imposes costs mandated by the state. Test claims function similarly to class actions and all members of the class have the opportunity to participate in the test claim process and all are bound by the final decision of the Commission for purposes of that test claim.

The Commission is the quasi-judicial body vested with exclusive authority to adjudicate disputes over the existence of state-mandated programs within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6.³¹ The determination whether a statute or executive order imposes a reimbursable state-mandated program is a question of law.³² In making its decisions, the Commission must strictly construe article XIII B, section 6, and not apply it as an “equitable remedy to cure the perceived unfairness resulting from political decisions on funding priorities.”³³

Under Government Code section 17570, upon request, the Commission may consider the adoption of a new test claim decision to supersede a prior test claim decision based on a subsequent change in law which modifies the states liability. If the Commission adopts a new test claim decision that supersedes the previously adopted test claim decision, the Commission is required to adopt new parameters and guidelines or amend existing parameters and guidelines.

A. Statutes 2010, Chapter 719 Constitutes a Subsequent Change in Law.

On December 9, 2005, the Commission adopted a test claim decision in *Local Recreational Areas: Background Screenings*, 01-TC-11, finding reimbursable state-mandated activities imposed by Public Resources Code section 5164, as amended by Statutes 2001, chapter 777 (AB 351). On June 26, 2008, the Commission adopted parameters and guidelines for reimbursement of claims under the statute, which outlined the reimbursable activities as follows:

1. Have each prospective employee or volunteer who would have supervisory or disciplinary authority over minors to complete an application that inquires as to whether or not the prospective employee or volunteer has been convicted of any offense specified in Public Resources Code section 5164(a). (Pub. Res. Code, § 5164(b)(1)). This is a one-time activity of revising and printing job applications that inquire as to the applicants’ criminal history.

³¹ *Kinlaw v. State of California* (1991) 53 Cal.3d 482, 487; Government Code sections 17551; 17552.

³² *County of San Diego v. State of California*, (1997) 15 Cal.4th 68, 109.

³³ *County of Sonoma v. Commission on State Mandates*, (2000) 84 Cal.App.4th 1265, 1280, citing *City of San Jose v. State of California* (1996) 45 Cal.App.4th 1802, 1817.

2. Screening, pursuant to Penal Code section 11105.3, prospective employees and volunteers who would have supervisory or disciplinary authority over minors. The screening procedure for these individuals requires submitting the following to the Department of Justice (DOJ): (1) the prospective employee's or volunteer's fingerprints, (2) any other data specified by DOJ on a DOJ-approved form, (3) for prospective employees only, paying the DOJ's fingerprint processing fee (no fee is required for a prospective volunteer). (Pub. Res. Code, § 5164(b)(1) & (b)(2)).

The Commission found that the following activities are not reimbursable:

- Taking fingerprints.
- Paying DOJ's fingerprint processing fee for a prospective volunteer.³⁴

Paragraph (25) of the Legislative Counsel's Digest accompanying Statutes 2010, chapter 719 (SB 856) states:

Existing law prohibits a county, city, city and county, or special district from hiring a person for employment or a volunteer to perform services, at a county, city, city and county, or special district operated park, playground, recreational center, or beach used for recreational purposes, in a position having supervisory or disciplinary authority over a minor, if that person has been convicted of specified offenses. Existing law requires a county, city, city and county, or special district to require each of those prospective employees and volunteers to complete an application that inquires as to whether that person has been convicted of one of those offenses, and imposes a screening requirement on the county, city, city and county, or special district with respect to those prospective employees and volunteers.

This bill would authorize a county, city, city and county, or special district to charge those prospective employees and volunteers a fee *to cover all of the county, city, city and county, or special district's costs attributable to those requirements.*³⁵

Statutes 2010, chapter 719 (SB 856), effective October 19, 2010, added subdivision (b)(3) to Public Resources Code section 5164. The amended section now provides:

(a)(1) A county, city, city and county, or special district shall not hire a person for employment, or hire a volunteer to perform services, at a county, city, city and county, or special district operated park, playground, recreational center, or beach used for recreational purposes, in a position having supervisory or disciplinary authority over a minor, if that person has been convicted of an offense specified in paragraph (2).

¶...¶

³⁴ Exhibit C, Parameters and Guidelines, 01-TC-11, at p. 1.

³⁵ Statutes 2010, chapter 719 (SB 856) Legislative Counsel's Digest, paragraph (25) [uncodified].

(b)(1) To give effect to this section, a county, city, city and county, or special district shall require each such prospective employee or volunteer to complete an application that inquires as to whether or not that individual has been convicted of an offense specified in subdivision (a). The county, city, city and county, or special district shall screen, pursuant to Section 11105.3 of the Penal Code, any such prospective employee or volunteer, having supervisory or disciplinary authority over a minor, for that person's criminal background.

(2) A local agency request for Department of Justice records pursuant to this subdivision shall include the prospective employee's or volunteer's fingerprints, which may be taken by the local agency, and any other data specified by the Department of Justice. The request shall be made on a form approved by the Department of Justice. A fee shall not be charged to the local agency for requesting the records of a prospective volunteer pursuant to this subdivision.

(3) A county, city, city and county, or special district may charge a prospective employee or volunteer described in subdivision (a) a fee to cover all of the county, city, city and county, or special district's costs attributable to the requirements imposed by this section.³⁶

Finance argues that the “2010 amendment to the Public Resources Code section 5164 is the “subsequent change in law” that allows the Commission to make a new test claim finding that the cost of the mandated program is not a cost mandated by the state.” Finance maintains that “[a]s a result of the subsequent change in law, local agencies may charge a fee to cover all of their costs attributable to the mandated activities in Public Resources Code section 5164.”³⁷

B. Section 17556(d) is Not Self-Executing, But Requires Commission Action Pursuant to Section 17570, Where a Commission Decision on the Test Claim Statutes Has Been Previously Adopted.

Government Code section 17556(d) provides that the Commission “shall not find costs mandated by the state, as defined in Section 17514” if the Commission finds that “the local agency or school district has the authority to levy service charges, fees, or assessments sufficient to pay for the mandated program or increased level of service.” The California Supreme Court upheld the constitutionality of Government Code section 17556(d), in *County of Fresno v. State of California*.³⁸ The court, in holding that the term “costs” in article XIII B, section 6, excludes expenses recoverable from sources other than taxes, stated:

Section 6 was included in article XIII B in recognition that article XIII A of the Constitution severely restricted the taxing powers of local governments. (See *County of Los Angeles I, supra*, 43 Cal.3d at p. 61.) The provision was intended to preclude the state from shifting financial responsibility for carrying out governmental functions onto local entities that were ill equipped to handle the

³⁶ Public Resources Code section 5164 (as amended by Stats. 2010, ch. 719 (SB 856)).

³⁷ Exhibit A, Request for Redetermination, at p. 6.

³⁸ *County of Fresno v. State of California, supra*, 53 Cal.3d 482.

task. (*Ibid.*; see *Lucia Mar Unified School Dist. v. Honig* (1988) 44 Cal.3d 830, 836, fn. 6 [244 Cal.Rptr. 677, 750 P.2d 318].) Specifically, it was designed to protect the tax revenues of local governments from state mandates that would require expenditure of such revenues. Thus, although its language broadly declares that the “state shall provide a subvention of funds to reimburse ... local government for the costs [of a state-mandated new] program or higher level of service,” read in its textual and historical context section 6 of article XIII B requires subvention only when the costs in question can be recovered *solely from tax revenues*.³⁹

Accordingly, in *Connell v. Superior Court of Sacramento County*,⁴⁰ the Santa Margarita Water District, among others, was denied reimbursement on the basis of its authority to impose fees on water users. The water districts submitted evidence “that rates necessary to cover the increased costs [of pollution control regulations] would render the reclaimed water unmarketable and would encourage users to switch to potable water.”⁴¹ The court concluded that “[t]he question is whether the Districts have authority, i.e., the right or power, to levy fees sufficient to cover the costs.” Water Code section 35470 authorized the levy of fees to “correspond to the cost and value of the service,” and “to defray the ordinary operation or maintenance expenses of the district and for any other lawful district purpose.”⁴² The court held that the districts had not demonstrated “that anything in Water Code section 35470 limits the authority of the Districts to levy fees “sufficient” to cover their costs,” and that therefore “the economic evidence presented by SMWD to the Board [of Control] was irrelevant and injected improper factual questions into the inquiry.”⁴³

Likewise, in *Clovis Unified School District v. Chiang*, the court found that the Controller’s office was not acting in excess of its authority in reducing reimbursement claims to the full extent of the districts’ authority to impose fees, even if there existed practical impediments to collecting the fees. In making its decision the court noted that the concept underlying the state mandates process that Government Code sections 17514 and 17556(d) embody is that “[t]o the extent a local agency or school district ‘has the authority’ to charge for the mandated program or increased level of service, that charge cannot be recovered as a state-mandated cost.”⁴⁴ The court further noted that, “this basic principle flows from common sense as well. As the Controller succinctly puts it, ‘Claimants can choose not to require these fees, but not at the state’s expense.’”⁴⁵

³⁹ *Id.*, at p. 487.

⁴⁰ *Connell v. Superior Court of Sacramento County* (Cal. Ct. App. 3d Dist. 1997) 59 Cal.App.4th 382.

⁴¹ *Id.*, at p. 399.

⁴² *Ibid.*

⁴³ *Connell, supra*, (1997) 59 Cal.App.4th at p. 401.

⁴⁴ *Clovis Unified School Dist. v. Chiang* (2010) 188 Cal.App.4th 794, at p. 812.

⁴⁵ *Ibid.*

The amendments effected by Statutes 2010, chapter 719, providing local government with authority to charge a fee for costs associated with screening prospective employees or volunteers, implicate a section 17556(d) analysis, and therefore the amendments constitute a subsequent change in law, as defined. Thus, the only issue is whether the fee authority is sufficient to cover the costs of the mandated activities. Here, the plain language of the fee authority provision states that it is “to cover *all of the county, city, city and county, or special district's costs attributable to the requirements imposed by this section.*” Where there is fee authority sufficient to cover the costs of the mandate under section 17556(d), the Commission is proscribed from finding costs mandated by the state, within the meaning of section 17514.

Section 17556(d) further provides that the limitation “applies regardless of whether the authority to levy charges, fees, or assessments was enacted or adopted prior to or after the date on which the statute or executive order was enacted or issued. In the context of fee authority enacted *after the test claim decision* on the subject matter has been adopted, an analysis under section 17556(d) cannot be entertained absent the redetermination process provided in section 17570. The Commission’s process is the sole and exclusive venue in which eligible claimants vindicate the reimbursement requirement of article XIII B, section 6, and the Commission’s decision on a test claim is final and binding, absent judicial review.⁴⁶ A later-enacted statute providing fee authority for a mandated program cannot, of its own force, undermine the Commission’s mandate determination in a prior test claim decision. Section 17570 thus provides the mechanism for considering section 17556(d) when there is a subsequent change in law, as defined, “material to the prior test claim decision, that may modify the state’s liability” pursuant to article XIII B, section 6. Finance has utilized the process called for in section 17570 to bring this test claim statute before the Commission for redetermination, and to permit a new analysis of section 17556(d) pursuant to the subsequent change in law.

Based on the foregoing, the Commission finds that there are no costs mandated by the state, as defined in section 17514, under Public Resources Code section 5164, as amended by Statutes 2010, chapter 719 (SB 856). Section 17570 provides that a request for adoption of a new test claim decision shall be filed on or before June 30 following a fiscal year in order to establish eligibility for reimbursement for that fiscal year. This request was filed on May 20, 2013, establishing eligibility beginning July 1, 2011. Therefore, the activities approved for reimbursement in the prior test claim decision are no longer reimbursable as of July 1, 2011.

IV. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, the Commission approves the request for redetermination and concludes that the *Local Recreational Areas: Background Screenings, 01-TC-11* program does not constitute a reimbursable state-mandated program within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution and Government Code section 17556(d), beginning July 1, 2011.

⁴⁶ *California School Boards Association v. State of California* (2009) 171 Cal.App.4th 1183, at pp. 1199-1200.

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY EMAIL

I, the undersigned, declare as follows:

I am a resident of the County of Solano and I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My place of employment is 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, California 95814.

On January 10, 2014, I served the:

Final Staff Analysis and Proposed Statement of Decision, and Notice of Hearing
Mandate Redetermination Request Second Hearing, 12-MR-02
Local Recreational Areas: Background Screenings, (01-TC-11)
Public Resources Code Section 5164(b)(1), (b)(2), and (b)(3) as added or amended by
Statutes 2001, Chapter 777 (AB 351); Statutes 2010, Chapter 719, (SB 856)
California Department of Finance, Requester

by making it available on the Commission's website and providing notice of how to locate it to the email addresses provided on the attached mailing list.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on January 10, 2014 at Sacramento, California.



Heidi J. Palchik
Commission on State Mandates
980 Ninth Street, Suite 300
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 323-3562

COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

Mailing List

Last Updated: 12/18/13

Claim Number: 12-MR-02

Matter: Local Recreational Areas: Background Screenings (01-TC-11)

Requester: Department of Finance

TO ALL PARTIES, INTERESTED PARTIES, AND INTERESTED PERSONS:

Each commission mailing list is continuously updated as requests are received to include or remove any party or person on the mailing list. A current mailing list is provided with commission correspondence, and a copy of the current mailing list is available upon request at any time. Except as provided otherwise by commission rule, when a party or interested party files any written material with the commission concerning a claim, it shall simultaneously serve a copy of the written material on the parties and interested parties to the claim identified on the mailing list provided by the commission. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.2.)

Anita Agramonte, *City of Los Alamitos*
3191 Katella Ave., Los Alamitos, CA 90720
Phone: N/A
aagramonte@ci.los-alamitos.ca.us

Roberta Allen, *County of Plumas*
520 Main Street, Room 205, Quincy, CA 95971
Phone: (530) 283-6246
robertaallen@countyofplumas.com

Mark Alvarado, *City of Monrovia*
415 S. Ivy Avenue, Monrovia, CA 91016
Phone: N/A
malvarado@ci.monrovia.ca.us

Gary Ameling, *City of Santa Clara*
1500 Warburton Ave, Santa Clara, CA 95050
Phone: N/A
Finance@santaclaraca.gov

LeRoy Anderson, *County of Tehama*
444 Oak Street, Red Bluff, CA 96080
Phone: (530) 527-3474
landerson@tehama.net

Socorro Aquino, *State Controller's Office*
Division of Audits, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 322-7522

SAquino@sco.ca.gov

Auditor Auditor, *County of Trinity*

P.O. Box 1230, 11 Court St. , Weaverville, CA 96093

Phone: (530) 623-1317

TC_Auditor@trinitycounty.org

Lisa Bailey, *City of San Marino*

2200 Huntington Dr., San Marino, CA 91108

Phone: N/A

lbailey@cityofsanmarino.org

Harmeet Barkschat, *Mandate Resource Services, LLC*

5325 Elkhorn Blvd. #307, Sacramento, CA 95842

Phone: (916) 727-1350

harmeet@calsdrc.com

David Baum, *City of San Leandro*

835 East 14th St., San Leandro, CA 94577

Phone: N/A

dbaum@sanleandro.org

Deborah Bautista, *El Dorado Hills Ccommunity Services District*

2 South Green Street, Sonora, CA 95370

Phone: (209) 533-5551

dbautista@co.tuolumne.ca.us

Lacey Baysinger, *State Controller's Office*

Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 324-0254

lbaysinger@sco.ca.gov

Terrance Beaman, *City of Gardena*

1700 West 162nd Street, Gardena, CA 90247

Phone: N/A

tbeaman@ci.gardena.ca.us

John Beiers, *County of San Mateo*

Office of the County Counsel, 400 County Center, Redwood City, CA 94063

Phone: (650) 363-4775

jbeiers@smcgov.org

Maria Bemis, *City of Porterville*

291 North Main Street, Porterville, CA 93257

Phone: N/A

mbemis@ci.porterville.ca.us

Richard Benson, *County of Marin*

3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 208, San Rafael, CA 94903

Phone: (415) 499-7215

rbenson@co.marin.ca.us

Robin Bertagna, *City of Yuba City*

1201 Civic Center Blvd, Yuba City, CA 95993

Phone: N/A
rbertagn@yubacity.net

Karen Bradley, *City of Fresno*
2600 Fresno St. Rm. 2157, Fresno, CA 93721
Phone: N/A
karen.bradley@fresno.gov

Dawn Brooks, *City of Fontana*
8353 Sierra Way, Fontana, CA 92335
Phone: N/A
dbrooks@fontana.org

Ken Brown, Acting Director of Administrative Services, *City of Irvine*
One Civic Center Plaza, Irvine, CA 92606
Phone: (949) 724-6255
Kbrown@cityofirvine.org

Allan Burdick,
7525 Myrtle Vista Avenue, Sacramento, CA 95831
Phone: (916) 203-3608
allanburdick@gmail.com

J. Bradley Burgess, *MGT of America*
895 La Sierra Drive, Sacramento, CA 95864
Phone: (916)595-2646
Bburgess@mgtamer.com

Vanessa Burke, *City of Stockton*
425 N. El Dorado St., Stockton, CA 95202
Phone: N/A
vanessa.burke@stocktongov.com

Rob Burns, *City of Chino*
13220 Central Avenue, Chino, CA 91710
Phone: N/A
rburns@cityofchino.org

Michael Byrne, *Department of Finance*
Requester Representative
915 L Street, 8th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 445-3274
michael.byrne@dof.ca.gov

James Cameron, *City of Oxnard*
300 West Third Street, Suite 302, Oxnard, CA 93030
Phone: N/A
jim.cameron@ci.oxnard.ca.us

Joy Canfield, *City of Murrieta*
1 Town Square, Murrieta, CA 92562
Phone: N/A
jcanfield@murrieta.org

Lisa Cardella-Presto, *County of Merced*

2222 M Street, Merced, CA 95340
Phone: (209) 385-7511
LCardella-presto@co.merced.ca.us

Gwendolyn Carlos, *State Controller's Office*
Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 324-5919
gcarlos@sco.ca.gov

Rebecca Carr, *County of Kings*
1400 West Lacey Blvd, Hanford, CA 93230
Phone: (559) 582-1236
becky.carr@co.kings.ca.us

Lin-Lin Cheng, *City of Foster City*
610 Foster City Blvd, Foster City, CA 94404
Phone: N/A
lcheng@fostercity.org

Annette Chinn, *Cost Recovery Systems, Inc.*
705-2 East Bidwell Street, #294, Folsom, CA 95630
Phone: (916) 939-7901
achinners@aol.com

Lawrence Chiu, *City of Santa Rosa*
90 Santa Rosa Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95404
Phone: N/A
lchiu@srcity.org

Brian Cochran, *City of Novato*
75 Rowland Way #200, Novato, CA 94945
Phone: N/A
bcochran@novato.org

Russell Cochran Branson, *City of Roseville*
311 Vernon Street, Roseville, CA 95678-2649
Phone: N/A
rbranson@roseville.ca.us

Harriet Commons, *City of Fremont*
P.O. Box 5006, Fremont, CA 94537
Phone: N/A
hcommons@fremont.gov

Stephen Conway, *City of Los Gatos*
110 E. Main Street, Los Gatos, CA 95031
Phone: N/A
sconway@losgatosca.gov

Cass Cook, *City of Dinuba*
405 East El Monte, Dinuba, CA 93618
Phone: N/A
ccook@dinuba.ca.gov

Julia Cooper, *City of San Jose*

200 East Santa Clara Street, San Jose, CA 95113

Phone: N/A

Finance@sanjoseca.gov

Viki Copeland, *City of Hermosa Beach*

1315 Valley Drive, Hermosa Beach, CA 90254

Phone: N/A

vcopeland@hermosabch.org

Deborah Cullen, *City of El Segundo*

350 Main Street, El Segundo, CA 90245-3813

Phone: N/A

dcullen@elsegundo.org

David Culver, *City of San Mateo*

330 West 20th Avenue, San Mateo, CA 94403-1388

Phone: (650) 522-7100

dculver@cityofsanmateo.org

Sheila Cumberland, *City of Ceres*

2720 Second Street, Ceres, CA 95307-3292

Phone: N/A

sheila.cumberland@ci.ceres.ca.us

Gavin Curran, *City of Laguna Beach*

505 Forest Avenue, Laguna Beach, CA 92651

Phone: N/A

gcurran@lagunabeachcity.net

William Davis, *County of Mariposa*

Auditor, P.O. Box 729, Mariposa, CA 95338

Phone: (209) 966-7606

wdavis@mariposacounty.org

Dilu DeAlwis, *City of Colton*

125 E. College Street, Covina, CA 91723

Phone: N/A

ddealwis@covinaca.gov

Marieta Delfin, *State Controller's Office*

Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 323-0706

mdelfin@sco.ca.gov

Brent Dennis, *County of Tuolumne*

1021 Harvard Way, El Dorado Hills, CA 95762

Phone: (916) 614-3237

Bdennis@edhcsd.org

Richard Digre, *City of Union City*

34009 Alvarado-Niles Road, Union City, CA 94587

Phone: N/A

rdigre@ci.union-city.ca.us

Scott Dowell, *Chico Area Recreation and Park District*

545 Vallombrosa Avenue, Chico, CA 95926
Phone: (530) 895-4711
sdowell@chicorec.com

Robert Doyle, *East Bay Regional Park District*
2950 Peralta Oaks Court, Oakland, CA 94605
Phone: (888) 327-2757
rdoyle@ebparks.org

Cheryl Dyas, *City of Mission Viejo*
200 Civic Center, Mission Viejo, CA 92691
Phone: N/A
cdyas@cityofmissionviejo.org

Tom Dyer, *Department of Finance (A-15)*
915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 445-3274
tom.dyer@dof.ca.gov

Scott Edwards, *City of Poway*
PO Box 789, Poway, CA 92074
Phone: N/A
sedwards@poway.org

Pamela Ehler, *City of Brentwood*
150 City Park Way, Brentwood, CA 94513
Phone: N/A
pehler@brentwoodca.gov

Bob Elliot, *City of Glendale*
141 North Glendale Ave, Ste. 346, Glendale, CA 91206-4998
Phone: N/A
belliot@ci.glendale.ca.us

James Erb, *County of San Luis Obispo*
1055 Monterey Street, Room D222, San Luis Obispo, CA 93408
Phone: (805) 781-5040
jerb@co.slo.ca.us

Paul Espinoza, *City of Alhambra*
111 South First Street, Alhambra, CA 91801
Phone: N/A
pespinoza@cityofalhambra.org

Karen Fouch, *County of Lassen*
221 S. Roop Street, Ste 1, Susanville, CA 96130
Phone: (530) 251-8233
kfouch@co.lassen.ca.us

James Francis, *City of Folsom*
50 Natoma Street, Folsom, CA 95630
Phone: N/A
jfrancis@folsom.ca.us

Harold Fujita, *City of Los Angeles*

Department of Recreation and Parks, 211 N. Figueroa Street, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90012
Phone: (213) 202-3222
harold.fujita@lacity.org

Mary Furey, *City of Saratoga*
13777 Fruitvale Avenue, Saratoga, CA 95070
Phone: N/A
mfurey@saratoga.ca.us

Susan Geanacou, *Department of Finance*
915 L Street, Suite 1280, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 445-3274
susan.geanacou@dof.ca.gov

Jeri Gilley, *City of Turlock*
156 S. Broadway, Ste 230, Turlock, CA 95380
Phone: N/A
jgilley@turlock.ca.us

Cindy Giraldo, *City of Burbank*
301 E. Olive Avenue, Financial Services Department, Burbank, CA 91502
Phone: N/A
cgiraldo@ci.burbank.ca.us

James Goins, *City of Richmond*
1401 Marina Way South, P.O. Box 4046, Richmond, CA 94804
Phone: N/A
james_goins@ci.richmond.ca.us

Vivian Gong, *City of Dublin*
100 Civic Plaza, Dublin, CA 94568
Phone: N/A
vivian.gong@ci.dublin.ca.us

Joe Gonzalez, *County of San Benito*
440 Fifth Street Room 206, Hollister, CA 95023
Phone: (831) 636-4090
jgonzalez@auditor.co.san-benito.ca.us

Jan Grimes, *County of Orange*
P.O. Box 567, Santa Ana, CA 92702
Phone: (714) 834-2459
jan.grimes@ac.ocgov.com

John Gross, *City of Long Beach*
333 W. Ocean Blvd., 6th Floor, Long Beach, CA 90802
Phone: N/A
john.gross@longbeach.gov

Marcia Hall, *County of Madera*
Auditor-Controller, 200 W Fourth Street, 2nd Floor, Madera, CA 93637
Phone: (559) 675-7707
marcia.hall@madera-county.com

Anne Haraksin, *City of La Mirada*

13700 La Mirada Blvd., La Mirada, CA 90638

Phone: N/A

aharaksin@cityoflamirada.org

George Harris, *City of Rialto*

150 South Palm ave., Rialto, CA 92376

Phone: N/A

gharris@rialtoca.gov

Emily Harrison, *Interim Finance Director, County of Santa Clara*

70 West Hedding Street, San Jose, CA 95110

Phone: (408) 299-5205

emily.harrison@ceo.sccgov.org

Jennifer Hennessy, *City of Temecula*

41000 Main St., Temecula, CA 92590

Phone: N/A

Jennifer.Hennessy@cityoftemecula.org

Darren Hernandez, *City of Santa Clarita*

23920 Valencia Blvd., Suite 295, Santa Clarita, CA 91355

Phone: N/A

dhernandez@santa-clarita.com

Robert Hicks, *City of Berkeley*

2180 Milvia Street, Berkeley, CA 94704

Phone: N/A

finance@ci.berkeley.ca.us

Rod Hill, *City of Whittier*

13230 Penn Street, Whittier, CA 90602

Phone: N/A

rhill@cityofwhittier.org

Daphne Hodgson, *City of Seaside*

440 Harcourt Avenue, Seaside, CA 93955

Phone: N/A

dhodgson@ci.seaside.ca.us

Sherri Holman, *City of Fountain Valley*

10200 Slater Ave, Fountain Valley, CA 92646

Phone: N/A

sherri.holman@fountainvalley.org

Dorothy Holzem, *California Special Districts Association*

1112 I Street, Suite 200, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 442-7887

dorothyh@cda.net

David Houser, *County of Butte*

25 County Center Drive, Suite 120, Oroville, CA 95965

Phone: (530) 538-7607

dhouser@buttecounty.net

Shannon Huang, *City of Arcadia*

240 West Huntington Drive, Arcadia, CA 91007

Phone: N/A

shuang@ci.arcadia.ca.us

Elizabeth Hudson, *City of Danville*

510 La Gonda Way, Danville, CA 94526

Phone: N/A

ehudson@danville.ca.gov

Don Humphrey, *Livermore Area Recreation and Park District*

4444 East Avenue, Livermore, CA 94550

Phone: (925) 373-5702

dhumphrey@larpd.dst.ca.us

Sung Hyun, *City of Buena Park*

6650 Beach Boulevard, Buena Park, CA 90622

Phone: N/A

shyun@buenapark.com

Mark Ibele, *Senate Budget & Fiscal Review Committee*

California State Senate, State Capitol Room 5019, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 651-4103

Mark.Ibele@sen.ca.gov

Julia James, *City of Fullerton*

303 W. Commonwealth Ave., Fullerton, CA 92832

Phone: N/A

juliaj@ci.fullerton.ca.us

Edward Jewik, *County of Los Angeles*

Auditor-Controller's Office, 500 W. Temple Street, Room 603, Los Angeles, CA 90012

Phone: (213) 974-8564

ejewik@auditor.lacounty.gov

Ferlyn Junio, *Nimbus Consulting Group, LLC*

2386 Fair Oaks Boulevard, Suite 104, Sacramento, CA 95825

Phone: (916) 480-9444

fjunio@nimbusconsultinggroup.com

Jill Kanemasu, *State Controller's Office*

Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 322-9891

jkanemasu@sco.ca.gov

Nancy Kerry, *City of South Lake Tahoe*

1901 Airport Road, South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

Phone: N/A

nkerry@cityofslt.us

Jean Kinney Hurst, Senior Legislative Representative, Revenue & Taxation, *California State Association of Counties (CSAC)*

1100 K Street, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814-3941

Phone: (916) 327-7500

jhurst@counties.org

Patty Kong, *City of Mountain View*

P.O. Box 7540, Mountain View, CA 94039-7540

Phone: N/A

patty.kong@mountainview.gov

Tina Kundig, *City of Redlands*

P.O. Box 3005, Redlands, CA 92373

Phone: N/A

tkundig@cityofredlands.org

Jay Lal, *State Controller's Office (B-08)*

Division of Accounting & Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 324-0256

JLal@sco.ca.gov

Karina Lam, *City of Paramount*

16400 Colorado Avenue, Paramount, CA 90723

Phone: N/A

klam@paramountcity.com

Judy Lancaster, *City of Chino Hills*

14000 City Center Drive, Chino Hills, CA 91709

Phone: N/A

jlancaster@chinohills.org

James Larson, *City of King City*

212 South Vanderhurst Avenue, King City, CA 93930

Phone: N/A

jlarson@kingcity.com

Nancy Lassey, *City of Lake Elsinore*

130 South Main Street, Lake Elsinore, CA 92530

Phone: N/A

nlassey@lake-elsinore.org

Kenneth Louie, *City of Lawndale*

14717 Burin Avenue, Lawndale, CA 90260

Phone: N/A

klouie@lawndalecity.org

Joe Lowe, *County of Amador*

810 Court Street, Jackson, CA 95642-2131

Phone: (209) 223-6357

jlowe@amadorgov.org

Kathleen Lynch, *Department of Finance (A-15)*

915 L Street, Suite 1280, 17th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274

kathleen.lynch@dof.ca.gov

Van Maddox, *County of Sierra*

211 Nevada Street, 2nd Floor, P.O. Box 425, Downieville, CA 95936

Phone: (530) 289-3273

vmaddox@sierracounty.ws

Susan Mahoney, *City of Orinda*
22 Orinda Way, Orinda, CA 94563
Phone: N/A
smahoney@cityoforinda.org

Suzanne Mallory, *City of Manteca*
1001 W Center Street, Manteca, CA 95337
Phone: N/A
smallory@ci.manteca.ca.us

Eddie Manfro, *City of Westminster*
8200 Westminster Blvd., Westminster, CA 92683
Phone: N/A
emanfro@westminster-ca.gov

Denise Manoogian, *City of Cerritos*
P.O. Box 3130, Cerritos, CA 90703-3130
Phone: N/A
dmanoogian@cerritos.us

Noel Marquis, *City of Beverly Hills*
455 N. Rexford Dr., Beverly Hills, CA 90210
Phone: N/A
nmarquis@beverlyhills.org

Thomas Marston, *City of San Gabriel*
425 South Mission Drive, San Gabriel, CA 91776
Phone: N/A
tmarston@sgch.org

Hortensia Mato, *City of Newport Beach*
100 Civic Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 92660
Phone: (949) 644-3000
hmato@newportbeachca.gov

Mike Matsumoto, *City of South Gate*
8650 California Ave, South Gate, CA 90280
Phone: N/A
zcaltitla@pico-rivera.org

Dan Matusiewicz, *City of Newport Beach*
3300 Newport Blvd, Newport Beach, CA 92663
Phone: N/A
danm@newportbeachca.gov

Dennice Maxwell, *City of Redding*
777 Cypress Avenue, P.O. Box 496071, Redding, CA 96049-6071
Phone: (530) 225-4079
dmaxwell@ci.redding.ca.us

Charles McBride, *City of Carlsbad*
1635 Faraday Avenue, Carlsbad, CA 92008-7314
Phone: N/A
chuck.mcbride@carlsbadca.gov

Dennis McLean, *City of Rancho Palos Verdes*
30940 Hawthorne Blvd., Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275
Phone: N/A
dennism@rpv.com

Donald McVey, *City of Daly City*
333 90th Street, Daly City, CA 94015
Phone: N/A
dmcvey@dalycity.org

Susie Mears, *City of Ojai*
PO Box 1570, Ojai, CA 93024
Phone: N/A
mears@ci.ojai.ca.us

Paul Melikian, *City of Reedley*
1717 Ninth Street, Reedley, CA 93654
Phone: (559) 637-4200
paul.melikian@reedley.ca.gov

Michelle Mendoza, *MAXIMUS*
17310 Red Hill Avenue, Suite 340, Irvine, CA 95403
Phone: (949) 440-0845
michellemendoza@maximus.com

Dawn Merchant, *City of Antioch*
P.O. Box 5007, Antioch, CA 94531
Phone: (925) 779-7055
dmerchant@ci.antioch.ca.us

Joan Michaels Aguilar, *City of Dixon*
600 East A Street, Dixon, CA 95620
Phone: N/A
jmichaelsaguilar@ci.dixon.ca.us

Michael Miller, *County of Monterey*
168 W. Alisal Street, 3rd floor, Salinas, CA 93901
Phone: (831) 755-4500
millerm@co.monterey.ca.us

Leyne Milstein, *City of Sacramento*
915 I Street, 5th Floor, Sacramento, CA 98514
Phone: N/A
lmilstein@cityofsacramento.org

Bruce Moe, *City of Manhattan Beach*
1400 Highland Ave., Manhattan Beach, CA 90266
Phone: N/A
bmoe@citymb.info

Debbie Moreno, *City of Anaheim*
200 S. Anaheim Boulevard, Anaheim, CA 92805
Phone: (716) 765-5192
DMoreno@anaheim.net

Minnie Moreno, *City of Patterson*

1 Plaza Circle, Patterson, CA 95363

Phone: N/A

mmoreno@ci.patterson.ca.us

Russell Morreale, *City of Los Altos*

One North San Antonio Road, Los Altos, CA 94022

Phone: N/A

rmorreale@losaltosca.gov

Tim Nash, *City of Encinitas*

505 S Vulcan Avenue, Encinitas, CA 92054

Phone: N/A

finmail@encinitasca.gov

Geoffrey Neill, Senior Legislative Analyst, Revenue & Taxation, *California State Association of Counties (CSAC)*

1100 K Street, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 327-7500

gneill@counties.org

Doug Newland, *County of Imperial*

940 Main Street, Ste 108, El Centro, CA 92243

Phone: (760) 482-4556

dougnewland@co.imperial.ca.us

Andy Nichols, *Nichols Consulting*

1857 44th Street, Sacramento, CA 95819

Phone: (916) 455-3939

andy@nichols-consulting.com

Mark Nuaimi, *Town of Yucca Valley*

57090 Twentynine Palms Highway, Yucca Valley, CA 92284

Phone: N/A

mnuaimi@yucca-valley.org

Marianne O'Malley, *Legislative Analyst's Office (B-29)*

925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 319-8315

marianne.O'malley@lao.ca.gov

Patrick O'Connell, *County of Alameda*

1221 Oak Street, Room 249, Oakland, CA 94512

Phone: (510) 272-6565

pat.oconnell@acgov.org

Andy Okoro, *City of Norco*

2870 Clark Avenue, Norco, CA 92860

Phone: N/A

aokoro@ci.norco.ca.us

Christian Osmena, *Department of Finance*

915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-0328

christian.osmena@dof.ca.gov

Simona Padilla-Scholtens, *County of Solano*
675 Texas Street, Suite 2800, Fairfield, CA 94533
Phone: (707) 784-6280
spadilla@solanocounty.com

Susan Paragas, *City of Azusa*
PO Box 1395, Azusa, CA 91702
Phone: N/A
sparagas@ci.azusa.ca.us

Alice Park-Renzie, *County of Alameda*
CAO, 1221 Oak Street, Oakland, CA 94612
Phone: (510) 272-3873
Alice.Park@acgov.org

Donald Parker, *City of Montclair*
5111 Benito St., Montclair, CA 91763
Phone: N/A
dparker@cityofmontclair.org

Lalo Perez, *City of Palo Alto*
P.O. Box 10250, Palo Alto, CA 94303
Phone: N/A
lalo.perez@cityofpaloalto.org

Keith Petersen, *SixTen & Associates*
P.O. Box 340430, Sacramento, CA 95834-0430
Phone: (916) 419-7093
kpbsixten@aol.com

Eva Phelps, *City of San Ramon*
2226 Camino Ramon, San Ramon, CA 94583
Phone: N/A
ephelps@sanramon.ca.gov

Marcus Pimentel, *City of Santa Cruz*
809 Center Street, Rm 101, Santa Cruz, CA 95060
Phone: N/A
dl_Finance@cityofsantacruz.com

Adam Pirrie, *City of Claremont*
207 Harvard Ave, Claremont, CA 91711
Phone: (909) 399-5328
apirrie@ci.claremont.ca.us

Brian Ponty, *City of Redwood City*
1017 Middlefield Road, Redwood City, CA 94063
Phone: (650) 780-7300
finance@redwoodcity.org

Jai Prasad, *County of San Bernardino*
Office of Auditor-Controller, 222 West Hospitality Lane, 4th Floor, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0018

Phone: (909) 386-8854
jai.prasad@atc.sbcounty.gov

Lorena Quijano, *City of Baldwin Park*
14403 East Pacific Avenue, Baldwin Park, CA 91706
Phone: N/A
lquijano@baldwinpark.com

John Quinn, *City of Calexico*
608 Heber Ave., Calexico, CA 92231
Phone: N/A
jqquinn@calexico.ca.gov

Frank Quintero, *City of Merced*
678 West 18th Street, Merced, CA 95340
Phone: N/A
quinterof@cityofmerced.org

Yvonne Quiring, *City of Davis*
23 Russell Blvd., Davis, CA 95616
Phone: N/A
yquiring@cityofdavis.org

Roberta Reed, *County of Mono*
P.O. Box 556, Bridgeport, CA 93517
Phone: (760) 932-5490
RReed@mono.ca.gov

Karan Reid, *City of Concord*
1950 Parkside Drive, Concord, CA 94519
Phone: N/A
karan.reid@cityofconcord.org

Mark Rewolinski, *MAXIMUS*
625 Coolidge Drive, Suite 100, Folsom, CA 95630
Phone: (949) 440-0845
markrewolinski@maximus.com

Rosa Rios, *City of Delano*
1015 11th Ave., Delano, CA 93216
Phone: N/A
rrios@cityofdelano.org

Kathy Rios, *State Controller's Office*
Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 324-5919
krios@sco.ca.gov

Ann Ritzma, *City of Pacifica*
170 Santa Maria Avenue, Pacifica, CA 94044
Phone: N/A
ritzmaa@ci.pacifica.ca.us

Amanda Roberson, *City of Lynwood*
11330 Bullis Road, Lynwood, CA 90262

Phone: (310) 603-0220
aroberson@lynwood.ca.us

Mark Roberts, *City of National City*
1243 National City Blvd., National City, CA 91950
Phone: N/A
finance@nationalcityca.gov

Laura Rocha, *City of San Marcos*
1 Civic Center Drive, San Marcos, CA 92069
Phone: (760) 744-1050
Lrocha@san-marcos.net

Gil Rojas, *City of Escondido*
201 N. Broadway, Escondido, CA 92025
Phone: N/A
grojas@ci.escondido.ca.us

Benjamin Rosenfield, *City & County of San Francisco*
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 316, San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-7500
ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org

Leticia Salcido, *City of El Centro*
1275 Main Street, El Centro, CA 92243
Phone: N/A
lsalcido@ci.el-centro.ca.us

Marcia Salter, *County of Nevada*
950 Maidu Avenue, Nevada City, CA 95959
Phone: (530) 265-1244
marcia.salter@co.nevada.ca.us

Kathy Samms, *County of Santa Cruz*
701 Ocean Street, Room 340, Santa Cruz, CA 95060
Phone: (831) 454-2440
shf735@co.santa-cruz.ca.us

Tracy Sandoval, *County of San Diego*
1600 Pacific Highway, Room 166, San Diego, CA 92101
Phone: (619) 531-5413
tracy.sandoval@sdcounty.ca.gov

Stuart Schillinger, *City of Brisbane*
50 Park Place, Brisbane, CA 94005-1310
Phone: N/A
schillinger@ci.brisbane.ca.us

Matthew Schuneman, *MAXIMUS*
900 Skokie Boulevard, Suite 265, Northbrook, IL 60062
Phone: (847) 513-5504
matthewschuneman@maximus.com

Mary Scott, *City of Walnut Creek*
1666 N. Main Street, Walnut Creek, CA 94596

Phone: 925-943-5820
scott@walnut-creek.org

Lee Scott, *Department of Finance*
15 L Street, 8th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 445-3274
lee.scott@dof.ca.gov

David Scribner, *Max8550*
2200 Sunrise Boulevard, Suite 240, Gold River, CA 95670
Phone: (916) 852-8970
dscribner@max8550.com

Peggy Scroggins, *County of Colusa*
546 Jay Street, Ste 202, Colusa, CA 95932
Phone: (530) 458-0400
pscroggins@countyofcolusa.org

Wayne Shimabukuro, *County of San Bernardino*
Auditor/Controller-Recorder-Treasurer-Tax Collector, 222 West Hospitality Lane, 4th Floor,
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0018
Phone: (909) 386-8850
wayne.shimabukuro@atc.sbcounty.gov

Nelson Smith, *City of Bakersfield*
1600 Truxtun Avenue, Bakersfield, CA 93301
Phone: N/A
nsmith@bakersfieldcity.us

Osborn Solitei, *City of Oakland*
Administrative Service Department, 150 Frank H Ogawa Plaza, Oakland, CA 94612
Phone: (510) 238-3809
osolitei@oaklandnet.com

Jim Spano, Chief, Mandated Cost Audits Bureau, *State Controller's Office*
Division of Audits, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 323-5849
jspano@sco.ca.gov

Dennis Speciale, *State Controller's Office*
Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 324-0254
DSpeciale@sco.ca.gov

Betsy St. John, *City of Palmdale*
38300 Sierra Highway, Suite D, Palmdale, CA 93550
Phone: N/A
bstjohn@cityofpalmdale.org

Jim Steele, *City of South San Francisco*
P.O. Box 711, South San Francisco, CA 94083
Phone: N/A
jim.steele@ssf.net

Jana Stuard, *City of Norwalk*

P.O. Box 1030, Norwalk, CA 90650

Phone: N/A

jstuard@norwalkca.gov

Leslie Suelter, *City of Coronado*

1825 Strand Way, Coronado, CA 92118

Phone: N/A

lsuelter@coronado.ca.us

Paul Sundeen, *City of Riverside*

3900 Main Street, 6th Floor, Riverside, CA 92522

Phone: N/A

psundeen@riversideca.gov

David Sundstrom, *County of Sonoma*

585 Fiscal Drive, Room 100, Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Phone: (707) 565-3285

david.sundstrom@sonoma-county.org

David Sung, *City of Hawaiian Gardens*

21815 Pioneer Boulevard, Hawaiian Gardens, CA 90716

Phone: N/A

dsung@hgcity.org

Meg Svoboda, *Senate Office of Research*

1020 N Street, Suite 200, Sacramento, CA

Phone: (916) 651-1500

meg.svoboda@sen.ca.gov

Jesse Takahashi, *City of Campbell*

70 North First Street, Campbell, CA 95008

Phone: N/A

jesset@cityofcampbell.com

Jill Taura, *City of Glendora*

116 East Foothill Blvd, Glendora, CA 91741-3380

Phone: N/A

jtaura@ci.glendora.ca.us

Rick Teichert, *City of Moreno Valley*

14177 Frederick Street, Moreno Valley, CA 92552-0805

Phone: N/A

richardt@moval.org

Geoff Thomas, *City of El Cerrito*

10890 San Pablo Avenue, El Cerrito, CA 94530-2392

Phone: N/A

gthomas@ci.el-cerrito.ca.us

Sheryl Thur, *County of Glenn*

516 West Sycamore Street, Willows, CA 95988

Phone: (530) 934-6402

sthur@countyofglenn.net

Jolene Tollenaar, *MGT of America*

2001 P Street, Suite 200, Suite 200, Sacramento, CA 95811
Phone: (916) 443-9136
jolene_tollenaar@mgtamer.com

Mike Trinca, *Paradise Recreation and Park District*
6626 Skyway, Paradise, CA 95969
Phone: (530) 872-6393
prpd@sbcglobal.net

Evelyn Tseng, *City of Newport Beach*
100 Civic Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 92660
Phone: (949) 644-3127
etseng@newportbeachca.gov

Brian Uhler, *Legislative Analyst's Office*
925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 319-8328
brian.uhler@lao.ca.gov

Sue Vannucci, *City of Woodland*
300 First Street, Woodland, CA 95695
Phone: N/A
svannucci@cityofwilliams.org

Ruby Vasquez, *County of Colusa*
546 Jay Street, Suite 202, Colusa, CA 95932
Phone: (530) 458-0424
rvasquez@countyofcolusa.com

Mary Jo Walker, *County of Santa Cruz*
701 Ocean Street, Room 100, Santa Cruz, CA 95060-4073
Phone: (831) 454-2500
Aud002@co.santa-cruz.ca.us

Melinda Wall, *City of Lompoc*
P.O. Box 8001, Lompoc, CA 93438-8001
Phone: N/A
m_wall@ci.lompoc.ca.us

Sarah Waller-Bullock, *City of La Mesa*
P.O. Box 937, La Mesa, CA 91944-0937
Phone: N/A
sbullock@ci.la-mesa.ca.us

David Wellhouse, *David Wellhouse & Associates, Inc.*
3609 Bradshaw Road, Suite 121, Sacramento, CA 95927
Phone: (916) 368-9244
dwa-david@surewest.net

David White, *City of Fairfield*
1000 Webster Street, Fairfield, CA 94533
Phone: N/A
dwhite@fairfield.ca.gov

Barry Whitley, *City of American Canyon*

4381 Broadway, Suite 201, American Canyon, CA 94503

Phone: N/A

bwhitley@cityofamericancanyon.org

Scott Williams, Interim Finance Director, *City of Half Moon Bay*

501 Main Street, Half Moon Bay, CA 94019

Phone: N/A

swilliams@hmbcity.com

David Wilson, *City of West Hollywood*

8300 Santa Monica Blvd., West Hollywood, CA 90069

Phone: N/A

dwilson@weho.org

Clara Wong, *City of West Covina*

1444 W. Garvey Ave. South, West Covina, CA 91790

Phone: N/A

clara.wong@westcovina.org

David Woo, *City of Cupertino*

10300 Torre Avenue, Cupertino, CA 95014-3202

Phone: N/A

davidw@cupertino.org

Susie Woodstock, *City of Newark*

37101 Newark Blvd., Newark, CA 94560

Phone: N/A

susie.woodstock@newark.org

Anita Worlow, *AK & Company*

3531 Kersey Lane, Sacramento, CA 95864

Phone: (916) 972-1666

akcompany@um.att.com

Hasmik Yaghobyan, *County of Los Angeles*

Auditor-Controller's Office, 500 W. Temple Street, Room 603, Los Angeles, CA 90012

Phone: (213) 893-0792

hyaghobyan@auditor.lacounty.gov

Annie Yaung, *City of Monterey Park*

320 West Newmark Avenue, Monterey Park, CA 91754

Phone: N/A

ayaung@montereypark.ca.gov

Carl Yeats, *City of Burlingame*

501 Primrose Rd., Burlingame, CA 94010

Phone: N/A

cyeats@burlingame.org

Bobby Young, *City of Costa Mesa*

77 Fair Drive, Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Phone: N/A

Bobby.Young@costamesaca.gov