

## ITEM 17

### CHIEF LEGAL COUNSEL'S REPORT New Filings, Recent Decisions, Litigation Calendar

---

This public session report is intended only as an information item for the public.<sup>1</sup> Commission communications with legal counsel about pending litigation or potential litigation are reserved for Closed Executive Session, per the Notice and Agenda.

#### New Filings

1. *Paradise Irrigation District, et al. v. Commission on State Mandates, Department of Finance, and Department of Water Resources*  
Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2015-80002016  
Date Filed: February 10, 2015  
[*Water Conservation* (10-TC-12/12-TC-01, adopted December 5, 2014), Water Code Division 6, Part 2.55 [sections 10608-10608.64] and Part 2.8 [sections 10800-10853] as added by Statutes 2009-2010, 7th Extraordinary Session, Chapter 4; California Code of Regulations, Title 23, Division 2, Chapter 5.1, Article 2, Sections 597-597.4; Register 2012, No. 28.

#### Recent Decisions

None.

#### Litigation Calendar

<u>Cases</u>	<u>Subject and Date of Hearing</u>
<i>Clovis Unified School Dist. v. Commission on State Mandates, State Controller's Office</i> , Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2014-80001931, ( <b>Graduation Requirements IRC, 05-4435-I-50 and 08-4435-I-52</b> )	<b>March 13, 2015</b> – Hearing on Petition for Writ of Mandate
<i>County of Los Angeles v. Commission on State Mandates, Department of Finance</i> Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BS148845, ( <b>Public Guardianship Omnibus Conservatorship Reform, 07-TC-05</b> )	<b>April 16, 2015</b> – Hearing on Petition for Writ of Mandate (March 3, 2015 hearing continued by court)
<i>Counties of San Diego, et al. v. Commission on State Mandates, et al.</i> , San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2014-	<b>April 24, 2015</b> – Hearing on Request for Declaratory Relief and Petition for Writ of Mandate

---

<sup>1</sup> Based on information available as of March 13, 2015. Release of this litigation report shall not be deemed to be a waiver of any privileged communication or act, including, but not limited to, the attorney-client privilege and the attorney work product doctrine.

<p>00005050-CU-WM-CTL, (<b>Mandate Redetermination, Sexually Violent Predators, 12-MR-01, CSM-4509</b>)</p>	<p>(February 27, 2015 hearing continued by court)</p>
<p><i>CSBA v. State of California</i>  Alameda County Superior Court  Case No. RG11554698 (challenging the constitutionality of Education Code sections 42238.24 and 56523 as they relate to <i>Graduation Requirements and Behavioral Intervention Plans</i>, and Government Code section 17557(d)(2)(B))</p>	<p><b>April 27, 2014</b> – Hearing on 1085 Writ of Mandate</p>
<p><i>Coast Community College District, et al. v. Commission on State Mandates, Department of Finance</i>, Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2014-80001842  (<b>Minimum Conditions for State Aid, 02-TC-25/02-TC-31</b>)</p>	<p><b>June 15, 2015</b> – Hearing on Petition for Writ of Mandate</p>