

**COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES**

980 NINTH STREET, SUITE 300  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814  
PHONE: (916) 323-3562  
FAX: (916) 445-0278  
E-mail: csminfo@csm.ca.gov



May 10, 2013

Mr. Leonard Kaye  
Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller's Office  
500 West Temple Street, Room 603  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Mr. Tom Dyer  
Department of Finance  
915 L Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814

*And Interested Parties and Affected State Agencies (See Mailing List)*

**Re: Proposed Joint Request to Extend Term of Reasonable Reimbursement  
Methodology, Statement of Decision and Notice of Hearing**  
*Firearm Hearings for Discharged Inpatients 07-RRM-01 (99-TC-11)*  
Welfare and Institutions Code Section 8103(f) and (g)  
Statutes 1999, Chapter 578  
County of Los Angeles and Department of Finance, Joint Requestors

Dear Mr. Kaye and Mr. Dyer:

The proposed extension of term for reasonable reimbursement methodology and statement of decision for the above-named matter are enclosed.

**Hearing**

This matter is set for hearing on **Friday, May 24, 2013**, at 10:00 a.m., State Capitol, Room 447, Sacramento, California. Please let us know in advance if you or a representative of your agency will testify at the hearing, and if other witnesses will appear. If you would like to request postponement of the hearing, please refer to section 1183.01(c)(2) of the Commission's regulations.

**Special Accommodations**

For any special accommodations such as a sign language interpreter, an assistive listening device, materials in an alternative format, or any other accommodations, please contact the Commission Office at least five to seven *working* days prior to the meeting.

Please contact Jason Hone at (916) 323-3562 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

  
Heather Halsey  
Executive Director

**ITEM 8**  
**PROPOSED JOINT REQUEST TO EXTEND TERM OF**  
**REASONABLE REIMBURSEMENT METHODOLOGY**  
**AND**  
**STATEMENT OF DECISION**

Welfare and Institutions Code Section 8103(f) and (g)  
Statutes 1999, Chapter 578

*Firearm Hearings for Discharged Inpatients*  
07-RRM-01 (99-TC-11)

County of Los Angeles and Department of Finance, Joint Requestors

---

**Executive Summary**

**Summary of the Mandate**

On April 26, 2006, the Commission on State Mandates adopted its statement of decision finding Welfare and Institutions Code section 8103 (f) and (g) of, as amended by Statutes 1999, chapter 578 imposed a reimbursable state-mandated program on county, or city and county district attorney offices within the meaning of section 6 of article XIII B of the California Constitution.

The test claim statutes established hearing procedures for persons detained for mental health treatment and evaluation, and eventually discharged, to challenge the firearm prohibition law through a civil hearing in superior court. Under the firearm prohibition law, the detained patient shall not own, possess, control, receive, or purchase a firearm for five years except as permitted pursuant to subdivisions (f) and (g) of the test claim statutes.

**Background**

The County of Los Angeles (County) and the Department of Finance (Finance) filed the first Joint Request for a Reasonable Reimbursement Methodology (RRM) and Statewide Estimate of Costs pursuant to AB 1222 (Gov. Code, §§ 17557.1-17557.2) on this mandate. The RRM allows each eligible claimant to be reimbursed based on the rates per petitioned case. The rate for subsequent years will be adjusted by the implicit price deflator. State reimbursement shall be calculated by multiplying the RRM rate by the number of subject hearings pursuant to the test claim statutes for the specified year. The number of subject hearings must be supported by document(s) showing the names of the petitioners and their hearing dates.

On June 26, 2008, the Commission approved this RRM for a two-year term expiring on June 30, 2010. On May 27, 2010, the Commission approved an amendment extending the term of the RRM by one year to remain in effect until June 30, 2011. The mandate was suspended in the 2011-2012 and 2012-2013 fiscal years. The County and Finance have jointly proposed extending the term of the RRM to June 30, 2015.

### **Staff Analysis**

Section IV of the agreement provides that the terms may be renewed if Finance and the County jointly propose amendments, or propose the RRM remain in effect.

Since Finance and the County agree to extend the term of the RRM to June 30, 2015, staff concludes that the Commission may approve this request by the adoption of the agreement, as amended.

The proposed amendments are as follows:

- Section III. Terms of Agreement – extends term of agreement from “three” to “seven” years, with an expiration date of “June 30, 2015.”
- Section IV. Amendment of Agreement – changes expiration date to “June 30, 2015.”
- Other minor, non-substantive, technical amendments.

### **Staff Recommendation**

Staff recommends that the Commission adopt the proposed statement of decision and joint request to extend the term of the RRM. Staff further recommends that the Commission authorize staff to make non-substantive, technical corrections to the statement of decision and RRM following the Commission hearing on this matter.

BEFORE THE  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

IN RE REASONABLE REIMBURSEMENT  
METHODOLOGY ON:

Welfare and Institutions Code Section 8103(f)  
and (g)

Statutes 1999, Chapter 578

Jointly Developed by County of  
Los Angeles, claimant and Department of  
Finance.

Case No.: 07-RRM-01 (99-TC-11)

*Firearm Hearings for Discharged Inpatients*

EXTENSION OF TERM OF  
REASONABLE REIMBURSEMENT  
METHODOLOGY AND STATEWIDE  
ESTIMATE OF COSTS PURSUANT TO  
GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIONS  
17557.1 AND 17557.2

*(Approved May 24, 2013)*

**STATEMENT OF DECISION**

The Commission on State Mandates (Commission) adopted this statement of decision and amended jointly proposed reasonable reimbursement methodology during a regularly scheduled hearing on May 24, 2013. [Witness list will be included in the final statement of decision.] The law applicable to the Commission's determination of a reimbursable state-mandated program is article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution, Government Code sections 17500 et seq., and related case law.

The Commission [adopted/declined to adopt] the proposed statement of decision and [approved/denied] the amended jointly proposed reasonable reimbursement methodology by a vote of [vote count will be included in the final statement of decision].

**I. Summary of the Mandate**

On April 26, 2006, the Commission on State Mandates adopted its statement of decision finding that Welfare and Institutions Code section 8103(f) and (g), as amended by Statutes 1999, chapter 578 imposed a reimbursable state-mandated program on county, or city and county district attorney offices within the meaning of section 6 of article XIII B of the California Constitution.

The test claim statutes established hearing procedures for persons detained for mental health treatment and evaluation, and eventually discharged, to challenge the firearm prohibition law through a civil hearing in superior court. Under the firearm prohibition law, the detained patient shall not own, possess, control, receive, or purchase a firearm for five years except as permitted pursuant to subdivisions (f) and (g) of the test claim statutes.

The County of Los Angeles (County) and the Department of Finance (Finance) filed the first Joint Request for a Reasonable Reimbursement Methodology and Statewide Estimate of Costs pursuant to AB 1222 (Gov. Code, §§ 17557.1-17557.2) on this mandate. The RRM allows each eligible claimant to be reimbursed based on the rates per petitioned case. The rate for subsequent years will be adjusted by the implicit price deflator. State reimbursement shall be calculated by multiplying the RRM rate by the number of subject hearings pursuant to the test claim statutes for the specified year. The number of subject hearings must be supported by document(s) showing the names of the petitioners and their hearing dates.

## **II. Procedural History**

On June 26, 2008, the Commission approved this RRM for a two-year term expiring on June 30, 2010. On May 27, 2010, the Commission approved an amendment extending the term of the RRM by one year to remain in effect until June 30, 2011. The mandate was suspended by the Legislature in the 2011-2012 and 2012-2013 fiscal years. On March 6, 2013, the Commission issued a notice of end of term to Finance and the County.<sup>1</sup> On April 5, 2013, Finance and the County jointly proposed extending the term of the RRM to June 30, 2015.<sup>2</sup> On April 10, 2013, the Commission issued the draft staff analysis and proposed joint request to extend term of reasonable reimbursement methodology with a 30-day comment period ending on May 10, 2013.<sup>3</sup> No comments were received.

## **III. Commission Findings**

Section IV of the agreement provides that the terms may be renewed if Finance and the County jointly propose amendments, or propose the RRM remain in effect.

Since Finance and the County agree to extend the term of the RRM to June 30, 2015, the Commission may approve this request by the adoption of the agreement, as amended.

The proposed amendments are as follows:

- **Section III. Terms of Agreement** – extends term of agreement from “three” to “seven” years, with an expiration date of “June 30, 2015.”
- **Section IV. Amendment of Agreement** – changes expiration date to “June 30, 2015.”

## **IV. Conclusion**

The Commission adopts this statement of decision to approve the attached amended jointly proposed reasonable reimbursement methodology.

---

<sup>1</sup> Exhibit A, Notice of End of Term issued March 6, 2013.

<sup>2</sup> Exhibit B, Department of Finance and County of Los Angeles Joint Request to Extend Term of RRM dated April 5, 2013.

<sup>3</sup> Exhibit C, Draft Staff Analysis and Proposed Joint Request to Extend Term of RRM issued April 10, 2013.

**Reasonable Reimbursement Methodology**  
**Jointly Requested by the County of Los Angeles and Department of Finance**

**~~Statement of Decision: Firearm Hearings for Discharged Inpatients (99-TC-11)~~**

**Welfare and Institutions Code Section 8103 ~~Subdivisions~~ (f) and (g)**

**Chapter 578, Statutes of 1999**

**Adopted: June 26, 2008**

**Amended: May 27, 2010**

**Amended: May 24, 2013**

**Initial Period of Reimbursement: July 1, 1998 through June 30, 2007**

**Budget Year: 2009-2010**

**Counties and City and County (Eligible Claimants)**

**I. Summary of the Mandate**

On April 26, 2006, the Commission on State Mandates (Commission) adopted its Statement of Decision finding that subdivisions (f) and (g) of Welfare and Institutions Code Section 8103(f) and (g), Statutes 1999, chapter 578, of the Welfare and Institutions Code (test claim legislation) imposes a reimbursable state-mandated program on county or city and county district attorney offices within the meaning of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Section 17514 of the Government Code for the district attorney's activities in representing the People of the State of California in civil hearings.

Chapter 578, Statutes of 1999, chapter 578, established hearing procedures for persons detained for mental health treatment and evaluation, and eventually discharged, to challenge the firearm prohibition law through a civil hearing in superior court. Under the firearm prohibition law, the detained patient shall not own, possess, control, receive, or purchase a firearm for five years except as permitted pursuant to subdivisions (f) and (g) (subject hearings) of the test claim legislation.

Reimbursable Activities

Any county or city and county that has a district attorney's office that incurs increased costs may claim reimbursement for the activities identified below at the rates established by the RRM:

1. District attorney services required to process a case related to the subject hearings. Activities include, but are not limited to, performing necessary legal tasks to prepare and plead case at the hearing.
2. Legal secretary/paralegal services required to process a case related to the subject hearings. Activities include, but are not limited to, performing administrative functions necessary to process documents for the hearing.

3. Expert witness services required to provide consultation on a case related to the subject hearings. Activities include consulting services provided at the hearing.

These activities are reasonable methods of complying with a mandate pursuant to paragraph (4) of subdivision (a) of Section 1183.1, Title 2, of the California Code of Regulations.

**Reimbursement Period**

The reimbursement period for the mandate begins September 29, 1999 for subdivision (f) of section 8103 of the Welfare and Institutions Code and begins July 1, 1998 for subdivision (g) of section 8103 of the Welfare and Institutions Code.

**II. Reasonable Reimbursement Methodology**

A reasonable reimbursement methodology means a formula for reimbursing local agencies for costs mandated by the state, as defined in ~~section 17514 of the~~ Government Code section 17514. A reasonable reimbursement methodology shall be based on cost information from a representative sample of eligible claimants, information provided by associations of local agencies, or other projections of local costs. A reasonable reimbursement methodology shall consider the variation in costs among local agencies to implement the mandate in a cost-efficient manner. Whenever possible, a reasonable reimbursement methodology shall be based on general allocation formulas, uniform cost allowances, and other approximations of local costs mandated by the state, rather than detailed documentation of actual local costs. (Gov. Code, § 17518.5)

The Department of Finance (Finance) and the County of Los Angeles (county claimant) collaboratively developed the following reasonable reimbursement methodology (RRM) rates to reimburse eligible claimants for all direct and indirect costs for the reimbursable activities specified in Section I above, pursuant to Government Code sections 17557.1-17557.2.

RRM Rates  
For Fiscal Years 1998-99 to 2007-08

Fiscal Year	<i>RRM Rate /Petitioned Case</i>
1998-1999	\$36
1999-2000	\$41
2000-2001	\$46
2001-2002	\$51
2002-2003	\$56
2003-2004	\$61
2004-2005	\$66
2005-2006	\$71
2006-2007	\$76
2007-2008	\$81

The RRM allows each eligible claimant to be reimbursed based on the rates per petitioned case. The rate for subsequent years will be adjusted by the implicit price deflator.

State reimbursement shall be calculated by multiplying the RRM rate by the number of subject hearings pursuant to the test claim statutes for the specified year. The number of subject hearings shall be supported by document(s) showing the names of the petitioners and their hearing dates.

An eligible claimant may file a reimbursement claim pursuant to the Controller's claiming instructions. Pursuant to Section 17561 of the Government Code, reimbursement for state-mandated costs may be claimed as follows:

1. For initial reimbursement claims for fiscal years 1998-1999 through 2006-2007, eligible claimants shall submit claims based on the RRM to the Controller within 120 days of the date the claiming instructions are issued.
2. An eligible claimant may, by February 15 following the fiscal year in which costs are incurred, file an annual reimbursement claim based on the RRM for costs incurred for that fiscal year.
3. In the event revised claiming instructions are issued by the Controller pursuant to [subdivision \(e\) of Government Code](#) Section 17558(c) ~~of the Government Code~~ between November 15 and February 15, an eligible claimant filing an annual reimbursement claim shall have 120 days following the issuance date of the revised claiming instructions to file a claim.

If total costs for a given year do not exceed \$1,000, no reimbursement shall be allowed, except as otherwise allowed by [Government Code](#) Section 17564 ~~of the Government Code~~.

There also shall be no reimbursement for any period in which the Legislature has suspended the operation of a mandate pursuant to state law.

### **III. Terms Expiration of Agreement**

The terms of the RRM agreement shall ~~be in effect for three years and~~ expire on June 30, ~~2011~~2015, unless Finance and the county claimant submit a joint request for early termination of the RRM pursuant to [subdivision \(a\) of Government Code](#) Section 17557.2(a) ~~of the Government Code~~ or the test claim statutes are repealed.

The terms of ~~subdivision (b) of Section 6 of the~~ Article XIII B, [Section 6\(b\)](#) of the California Constitution also shall apply to this agreement, and require that beginning in fiscal year 2009-2010, the Legislature shall suspend the mandate for that fiscal year, or provide reimbursement in the annual Budget Act.

### **IV. Extension or Amendment of Agreement**

The terms of the agreement may be [amended or](#) renewed, pursuant to [Government Code](#) Section 17557.2 ~~of the Government Code~~, if Finance and the county claimant jointly propose

amendments or, after the term expiration date, propose the RRM remain in effect. The parties may also elect to allow the RRM to expire on June 30, ~~2011-2015~~ by notifying the ~~Commission on State Mandates~~ (Commission) that the county claimant will submit proposed parameters and guidelines subject to the Commission's procedures.

## **V. Record Retention**

The document(s) used to support the application of a reasonable reimbursement methodology is subject to an audit by the State Controller's Office (Controller). The number of subject hearings claimed for reimbursement is subject to verification with records on file with the Department of Justice. The Controller may initiate an audit within three years of the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. If an audit has been initiated by the Controller during the period subject to audit, the retention period is extended until the ultimate resolution of any audit findings.

## Commission on State Mandates

Original List Date: 7/3/2000  
Last Updated: 4/9/2013  
List Print Date: 05/10/2013  
Claim Number: 99-TC-11 (07-RRM-01)  
Issue: Firearm Hearings for Discharged Inpatients

### Mailing List

#### TO ALL PARTIES AND INTERESTED PARTIES:

Each commission mailing list is continuously updated as requests are received to include or remove any party or person on the mailing list. A current mailing list is provided with commission correspondence, and a copy of the current mailing list is available upon request at any time. Except as provided otherwise by commission rule, when a party or interested party files any written material with the commission concerning a claim, it shall simultaneously serve a copy of the written material on the parties and interested parties to the claim identified on the mailing list provided by the commission. However, this requirement may also be satisfied by electronically filing your documents. Please see <http://www.csm.ca.gov/dropbox.shtml> on the Commission's website for instructions on electronic filing. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.2.)

---

Ms. Kathy Rios  
State Controllers Office  
Division of Accounting and Reporting  
3301 C Street, Suite 700  
Sacramento, CA 95816

Tel: (916) 324-5919  
Email: [krios@sco.ca.gov](mailto:krios@sco.ca.gov)  
Fax: (916) 323-4807

---

Mr. Jeff Goldstein  
MAXIMUS  
17310 Red Hill Avenue, Suite 340  
Irvine, CA 92614

Tel: (949) 440-0845  
Email: [jeffgoldstein@maximus.com](mailto:jeffgoldstein@maximus.com)  
Fax: (949) 440-0855

---

Mr. Mark Ibele  
Senate Budget & Fiscal Review Committee (E-22)  
California State Senate  
State Capitol, Room 5019  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Tel: (916) 651-4103  
Email: [Mark.Ibele@sen.ca.gov](mailto:Mark.Ibele@sen.ca.gov)  
Fax: (916) 323-8386

---

Ms. Hasmik Yaghobyan  
County of Los Angeles  
Auditor-Controller's Office  
500 W. Temple Street, Room 603  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Tel: (213) 893-0792  
Email: [hyaghobyan@auditor.lacounty.gov](mailto:hyaghobyan@auditor.lacounty.gov)  
Fax: (213) 617-8106

---

Mr. Mark Rewolinski  
MAXIMUS  
625 Coolidge Drive, Suite 100  
Folsom, CA 95630

Tel: (916) 471-5516  
Email: [markrewolinski@maximus.com](mailto:markrewolinski@maximus.com)  
Fax: (916) 366-4838

---

Ms. Jill Kanemasu  
State Controller's Office (B-08)  
Division of Accounting and Reporting  
3301 C Street, Suite 700

Tel: (916) 322-9891  
Email: [jkanemasu@sco.ca.gov](mailto:jkanemasu@sco.ca.gov)  
Fax:

Sacramento, CA 95816

---

Mr. Frank Murphy MAXIMUS 17310 Red Hill Avenue, Suite 340 Irvine, CA 92614	Tel: (949) 440-0845 Email frankmurphy@maximus.com Fax: (949) 440-0855
Ms. Evelyn Calderon-Yee State Controller's Office (B-08) Division of Accounting and Reporting 3301 C Street, Suite 700 Sacramento, CA 95816	Tel: (916) 323-0706 Email eyee@sco.ca.gov Fax: (916) 322-4404
Ms. Carla Shelton Department of Finance 915 L Street, 8th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916) 445-3274 Email carla.shelton@dof.ca.gov Fax:
Mr. Edward Jewik Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller's Office 500 W. Temple Street, Room 603 Los Angeles, CA 90012	Tel: (213) 974-8564 Email ejewik@auditor.lacounty.gov Fax: (213) 617-8106
Mr. Leonard Kaye Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller's Office 500 W. Temple Street, Room 603 Los Angeles, CA 90012	Tel: (213) 974-9653 Email lkaye@auditor.lacounty.gov Fax: (213) 617-8106
Ms. Beverly Markwardt Riverside County Auditor Controller's Office P.O. Box 1326 4080 Lemon Street, 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92502	Tel: (951) 955-3886 Email bmarkwar@co.riverside.ca.us Fax: (951) 955-0100
Mr. Allan Burdick  2001 P Street, Suite 200 Sacramento, CA 95811	Tel: (916) 443-9236 Email allan_burdick@mgtamer.com Fax: (916) 443-1766
Ms. Harmeet Barkschat Mandate Resource Services, LLC 5325 Elkhorn Blvd. #307 Sacramento, CA 95842	Tel: (916) 727-1350 Email harmeet@calsdrc.com Fax: (916) 727-1734
Mr. David Wellhouse David Wellhouse & Associates, Inc. 9175 Kiefer Blvd, Suite 121 Sacramento, CA 95826	Tel: (916) 368-9244 Email dwa-david@surewest.net Fax: (916) 368-5723
Ms. Susan Geanacou Department of Finance (A-15) 915 L Street, Suite 1280 Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916) 445-3274 Email susan.geanacou@dof.ca.gov Fax: (916) 449-5252

Mr. Jim Spano State Controller's Office (B-08) Division of Audits 3301 C Street, Suite 700 Sacramento, CA 95816	Tel: (916) 323-5849 Email: jspano@sco.ca.gov Fax: (916) 327-0832
Mr. Andy Nichols Nichols Consulting 1857 44th Street Sacramento, CA 95819	Tel: (916) 455-3939 Email: andy@nichols-consulting.com Fax: (916) 739-8712
Ms. Ferlyn Junio Nimbus Consulting Group, LLC 2386 Fair Oaks Boulevard, Suite 104 Sacramento, CA 95825	Tel: (916) 480-9444 Email: fjunio@nimbusconsultinggroup.com Fax: (800) 518-1385
Mr. Christien Brunette MAXIMUS 625 Coolidge Drive, Suite 100 Folsom, CA 95630	Tel: (916) 471-5510 Email: christienbrunette@maximus.com Fax: (916) 366-4838
Ms. Socorro Aquino State Controller's Office Division of Audits 3301 C Street, Suite 700 Sacramento, CA 95816	Tel: (916) 322-7522 Email: SAquino@sco.ca.gov Fax:
Mr. Eric Woolery District Attorneys Office 3960 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501	Tel: (951) 955-5520 Email: ericwoolery@rivcoda.org Fax: (951) 955-0190
Ms. Annette Chinn Cost Recovery Systems, Inc. 705-2 East Bidwell Street, #294 Folsom, CA 95630	Tel: (916) 939-7901 Email: achinnrcs@aol.com Fax: (916) 939-7801
Ms. Evelyn Tseng City of Newport Beach 3300 Newport Blvd. P. O. Box 1768 Newport Beach, CA 92659-1768	Tel: (949) 644-3127 Email: etseng@newportbeachca.gov Fax: (949) 644-3339
Ms. Jolene Tollenaar MGT of America 2001 P Street, Suite 200 Sacramento, CA 95811	Tel: (916) 443-9136 Email: jolene_tollenaar@mgtamer.com Fax: (916) 443-1766
Mr. Tom Dyer Department of Finance (A-15) 915 L Street Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916) 445-3274 Email: tom.dyer@dof.ca.gov Fax:

Ms. Donna Ferebee Department of Finance (A-15) 915 L Street, 11th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916) 445-3274 Email donna.ferebee@dof.ca.gov Fax: (916) 323-9584
Mr. Jay Lal State Controller's Office (B-08) Division of Accounting & Reporting 3301 C Street, Suite 700 Sacramento, CA 95816	Tel: (916) 324-0256 Email JLal@sco.ca.gov Fax: (916) 323-6527
Ms. Hortencia Mato City of Newport Beach 100 Civic Center Drive Newport Beach, CA 92660	Tel: (949) 644-3000 Email hmato@newportbeachca.gov Fax:
Mr. Randy Ward Department of Finance 915 L Street, 8th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916) 445-3274 Email Randy.Ward@dof.ca.gov. Fax:
Ms. Juliana F. Gmur  1865 Hernden Avenue, Suite K-44 Clovis, CA 93611	Tel: (559) 960-4507 Email julianagmur@msn.com Fax:
Ms. Lacey Baysinger State Controller's Office Division of Accounting and Reporting 3301 C Street, Suite 700 Sacramento, CA 95816	Tel: (916) 324-0254 Email LBaysinger@sco.ca.gov Fax:
Mr. Dennis Speciale State Controller's Office (B-08) Division of Accounting and Reporting 3301 C Street, Suite 700 Sacramento, CA 95816	Tel: (916) 324-0254 Email DSpeciale@sco.ca.gov Fax:
Mr. Geoffrey Neill California State Association of Counties 1100 K Street, Ste 101 Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916) 327-7500 Email gneill@counties.org Fax: (916) 321-5070
Ms. Marianne O'Malley Legislative Analyst's Office (B-29) 925 L Street, Suite 1000 Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916) 319-8315 Email marianne.O'malley@lao.ca.gov Fax: (916) 324-4281
Ms. Anita Worlow AK & Company 3531 Kersey Lane Sacramento, CA 95864	Tel: (916) 972-1666 Email akcompany@um.att.com Fax:

---

Mr. Jai Prasad  
County of San Bernardino  
Office of Auditor-Controller  
222 West Hospitality Lane, 4th Floor  
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0018

Tel: (909) 386-8854  
Email jai.prasad@atc.sbcounty.gov  
Fax: (909) 386-8830

---

Mr. J. Bradley Burgess  
MGT of America  
895 La Sierra Drive  
Sacramento, CA 95864

Tel: (916) 595-2646  
Email Bburgess@mgtamer.com  
Fax:

---

Mr. Brian Uhler  
Legislative Analyst's Office (B-29)  
925 L Street, Suite 1000  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Tel: (916) 319-8328  
Email brian.uhler@lao.ca.gov  
Fax:

---