

COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

980 NINTH STREET, SUITE 300
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
PHONE: (916) 323-3562
FAX: (916) 445-0278
E-mail: csminfo@csm.ca.gov



May 11, 2012

Ms. Juliana Gmur
1865 Hernden Avenue, Suite K-44
Clovis, CA 93611

And Affected State Agencies and Interested Parties (See Mailing List)

RE: Final Staff Analysis, Proposed Statewide Cost Estimate, and Notice of Hearing
Mandates Reimbursement Process II, 05-TC-05
Government Code sections 17553, 17557, and 17564
Statutes 2004, Chapter 890
City of Newport Beach, Claimant

Dear Ms. Gmur:

The final staff analysis and proposed statewide cost estimate for this matter are enclosed.

Hearing

This matter is set for hearing on **Friday, May 25, 2012** at 9:30 a.m. in Room 447, State Capitol, Sacramento, CA. This matter is proposed for the Consent Calendar. Please let us know in advance if you or a representative of your agency will testify at the hearing, and if other witnesses will appear. If you would like to request postponement of the hearing, please refer to section 1183.01(c)(2) of the Commission's regulations.

Please contact Nancy Patton at (916) 323-8217 if you have questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Heather Halsey", written over a horizontal line.

Heather Halsey
Executive Director

ITEM 10
FINAL STAFF ANALYSIS AND
PROPOSED STATEWIDE COST ESTIMATE

\$0

Government Code Section 17553(b)(1)(C) through (G) and (b)(2)
California Code of Regulations, Title 2, Sections 1183, Subdivision (d)
(Register 2005, No. 36, Effective September 6, 2005)

Statutes 2004, Chapter 890 (AB 2856)

Mandate Reimbursement Process II
05-TC-05

City of Newport Beach, Claimant

STAFF ANALYSIS

All costs claimed thus far for the *Mandate Reimbursement Process II* program should be disallowed because they were filed for activities that are not reimbursable under this program. Therefore, staff finds that, based on claims filed for the initial filing period, the proposed statewide cost estimate for this program is **\$0**.

Summary of the Mandate

The Commission on State Mandates (Commission) adopted the *Mandate Reimbursement Process I* program on March 27, 1986, and determined that under this program, local governments were eligible for reimbursement for participating in the entire mandate reimbursement process, including but not limited to, filing successful test claims, attending Commission hearings, submitting proposed parameters and guidelines, hiring consultants to manage mandated claims, and filing reimbursement claims with the State Controller.

Specifically, the following activities were approved for reimbursement under the *Mandate Reimbursement Process I* program:

- All costs incurred by local agencies and school districts in preparing and presenting successful test claims are reimbursable, including those same costs of an unsuccessful test claim if an adverse Commission ruling is later reversed as a result of a court order. These activities include, but are not limited to, the following: preparing and presenting test claims, developing parameters and guidelines, collecting cost data, and helping with the drafting of required claiming instructions. The costs of all successful test claims are reimbursable. Costs that may be reimbursed include the following: salaries and benefits, materials and supplies, consultant and legal costs, transportation, and allowable overhead.
- All costs incurred during the period of this claim for the preparation and submission of successful reimbursement claims to the State Controller are recoverable by the local agencies and school districts. Allowable costs include, but are not limited to, the following: salaries and benefits, service and supplies, contracted services, training, and overhead.

- Incorrect Reduction Claims are considered to be an element of the reimbursement process. Reimbursable activities for successful incorrect reduction claims include the appearance of necessary representatives before the Commission on State Mandates to present the claim, in addition to the reimbursable activities set forth above for successful reimbursement claims.

In 2004, Statutes 2004, chapter 890 (AB 2856) was implemented as part of overall mandate reform. AB 2856 imposed additional requirements upon local agencies and school districts for filing test claims.

The City of Newport Beach filed a test claim on AB 2856 and the Commission's 2005 regulations (*Mandate Reimbursement Process II* program) on September 27, 2005. The Commission adopted a statement of decision for the *Mandate Reimbursement Process II* program on January 29, 2010, and parameters and guidelines on May 26, 2011.¹ The Commission found that Government Code section 17553(b)(1)(C) through (G) and (b)(2) as amended by Statutes 2004, chapter 890, and section 1183, subdivision (d), of the Commission's regulations, as adopted in 2005, constitute a reimbursable state-mandated program within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution and Government Code section 17514 for the following activities beginning on July 1, 2005:

All test claims and test claim amendments shall include a written narrative, as described below.

- A. Draft the written narrative that identifies the specific statutes or executive orders alleged to contain a mandate. Complete the following reimbursable activities to include in the written narrative:
 1. Gather and review information to complete the test claim narrative.
 2. Calculate the actual increased costs incurred by the claimant during the fiscal year for which the claim is filed.
 3. Calculate the actual or estimated annual costs that will be incurred by the claimant to implement the alleged mandate during the fiscal year immediately following the fiscal year for which the claim is filed.
 4. Calculate a statewide cost estimate of increased costs that all local agencies or school districts will incur to implement the alleged mandate during the fiscal year immediately following the fiscal year for which the claim is filed.
 5. Investigate other funding sources to identify dedicated state funds appropriated for the program; dedicated federal funds appropriated for the program; other nonlocal agency funds dedicated to the program; the local agency's general purpose funds for the program; and fee authority to offset the costs of the program.
 6. Review and identify prior mandate determinations made by the Board of Control or the Commission that may be related to the alleged mandate.
- B. The written narrative in the test claim or test claim amendment shall be supported with declarations under penalty of perjury, based on the declarant's personal knowledge, information, or belief, and signed by persons who are authorized and competent to do so. The following activities to complete the declarations are reimbursable:

¹ Exhibit A.

1. Draft and file the following declarations:
 - a. Declarations of actual or estimated increased costs that will be incurred by the claimant to implement the alleged mandate.
 - b. Declarations identifying all local, state, or federal funds, or fee authority that may be used to offset the increased costs that will be incurred by the claimant to implement the alleged mandate, including direct and indirect costs.
 - c. Declarations describing new activities performed to implement specified provisions of the new statute or executive order.

The only test claims that are affected by AB 2856 and 1183(d) of the Commission's regulations, as adopted in 2005, are new test claims filed by local governments after January 1, 2005.

Eligible claimants were required to file initial reimbursement claims with the State Controller's Office (SCO) by November 29, 2011. Since the filing deadline, only the Counties of Orange and San Bernardino have filed reimbursement claims.

Statewide Cost Estimate

Staff reviewed the claims data submitted by the two counties, and determined that the activities for which the counties are seeking reimbursement are not reimbursable under the *Mandates Reimbursement Process II* program, but could be claimed under the *Mandate Reimbursement Process I* program. Under *Mandate Reimbursement Process II*, claimants may only file for reimbursement for increased test claim filing requirements for test claims filed on or after January 1, 2005. Here, claimants filed for costs for test claims filed prior to January 1, 2005. Therefore, during the initial claiming period, no reimbursement claims were properly filed under the *Mandate Reimbursement Process II* program.

Staff made the following assumptions when calculating the statewide cost estimate:

Assumptions

- *No claims were properly filed for the initial claiming period.*

Staff reviewed all test claims filed with the Commission during the initial reimbursement period of July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2011, and determined that no claimants that filed test claims during this period filed claims for reimbursement.
- *There will be no reimbursement for test claims submitted between the 2005-2006 and 2009-2010 fiscal years (July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2010).*

Claimants that filed test claims between July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2010 are beyond the deadline for seeking reimbursement for any test claims filed for those fiscal years.
- *There will be no reimbursement for test claims during the 2010-2011 and 2011-2012 fiscal years (July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2012).*

The *Mandate Reimbursement Process II* program was suspended by the Legislature for these fiscal years. Therefore, claimants are not authorized to file for reimbursement, and there will be no costs to the state.

Based on the above assumptions, staff finds that the statewide cost estimate for this program for the initial claiming period is **\$0**.

Staff issued a draft staff analysis on April 25, 2012. No comments were filed on the draft staff analysis.

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends the Commission adopt the proposed statewide cost estimate of **\$0** for costs incurred in complying with the *Mandate Reimbursement Process II* program.

Adopted: May 26, 2011

PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES

Government Code Section 17553, Subdivision (b)(1)(C) through (G) and (b)(2)
California Code of Regulations, Title 2, Sections 1183, Subdivision (d)
(Register 2005, No. 36, Effective September 6, 2005)

Statutes 2004, chapter 890 (AB 2856)

Mandate Reimbursement Process II

05-TC-05

Effective January 1, 2005 through June 30, 2011

I. SUMMARY OF THE MANDATE

Statutes 2004, chapter 890 amended Government Code section 17553 to increase the requirements for filing test claims. The Commission subsequently adopted regulations to implement Statutes 2004, chapter 890 (Cal. Code Regs, tit. 2, § 1183, subd. (d)).

On January 29, 2010, the Commission on State Mandates (Commission) adopted a statement of decision finding that the test claim statute and regulations impose a partially reimbursable state-mandated program upon local agencies and school districts within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution and Government Code section 17514. The Commission approved this test claim for the following reimbursable activities:

1. All test claims and test claim amendments shall include a written narrative that identifies the specific sections of statutes or executive orders alleged to contain a mandate, including:
 - a. The actual increased costs incurred by the claimant during the fiscal year for which the claim is filed.
 - b. The actual or estimated annual costs that will be incurred by the claimant to implement the alleged mandate during the fiscal year immediately following the fiscal year for which the claim is filed.
 - c. A statewide cost estimate of increased costs that all local agencies or school districts will incur to implement the alleged mandate during the fiscal year immediately following the fiscal year for which the claim is filed.
 - d. Identification of dedicated state funds appropriated for the program; dedicated federal funds appropriated for the program; other nonlocal agency funds dedicated to the program; the local agency's general purpose funds for the program; and fee authority to offset the costs of the program.
 - e. Identification of prior mandate determinations made by the Board of Control or the Commission that may be related to the alleged mandate.

(Gov. Code, § 17553, subd. (b)(1)(C) through (G), as amended by Stats. 2004, ch. 890; 4 Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1183, subd. (d), Register 2005, No. 36, effective September 6, 2005.)

2. The written narrative in the test claim or test claim amendment shall be supported with declarations under penalty of perjury, based on the declarant's personal knowledge, information, or belief, and signed by persons who are authorized and competent to do so, as follows:
 - a. Declarations of actual or estimated increased costs that will be incurred by the claimant to implement the alleged mandate.
 - b. Declarations identifying all local, state, or federal funds, or fee authority that may be used to offset the increased costs that will be incurred by the claimant to implement the alleged mandate, including direct and indirect costs.
 - c. Declarations describing new activities performed to implement specified provisions of the new statute or executive order.

(Gov. Code, § 17553, subd. (b)(2), as amended by Stats. 2004, ch. 890; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1183, subd. (d), Register 2005, No. 36, effective September 6, 2005.)

These activities are reimbursable only when a test claim is approved.

II. ELIGIBLE CLAIMANTS

Any "local agency" as defined in Government Code section 17518 that incurs increased costs as a result of this reimbursable state-mandated program is eligible to claim reimbursement of those costs.

Any "school district" as defined in Government Code section 17519, including community college districts, which incurs increased costs as a result of this mandate is eligible to claim reimbursement. Charter schools are not eligible claimants.

III. PERIOD OF REIMBURSEMENT

Government Code section 17557, subdivision (e), states that a test claim shall be submitted on or before June 30 following a given fiscal year to establish eligibility for that fiscal year. The City of Newport Beach filed the test claim on September 27, 2005, establishing eligibility for reimbursement beginning in fiscal year 2004-2005. The test claim statute became effective on January 1, 2005. Therefore, costs incurred for compliance with this mandate are reimbursable on or after January 1, 2005.

Reimbursement claims filed from January 1, 2005 through June 30, 2011 should be filed under these parameters and guidelines. Claims filed beginning July 1, 2011, should be filed under the consolidated parameters and guidelines for *Mandate Reimbursement Process I and Mandate Reimbursement Process II*.

Reimbursement for state-mandated costs may be claimed as follows:

1. Actual costs for one fiscal year shall be included in each claim.
2. Pursuant to Government Code section 17561, subdivision (d)(1)(A), all claims for reimbursement of initial fiscal year costs shall be submitted to the State Controller within 120 days of the issuance date for the claiming instructions.
3. Pursuant to Government Code section 17560, a local agency or school district may, by February 15 following the fiscal year in which costs were incurred, file an annual reimbursement claim that details the costs actually incurred for that fiscal year.
4. In the event that revised claiming instructions are issued by the Controller pursuant to Government Code section 17558, subdivision (c) between November 15 and February 15, a local agency or school district filing an annual reimbursement claim shall have 120 days following the issuance date of the revised claiming instructions to file a claim.
5. If the total costs for a given fiscal year do not exceed \$1,000, no reimbursement shall be allowed except as otherwise allowed by Government Code section 17564, subdivision (a).
6. There shall be no reimbursement for any period in which the Legislature has suspended the operation of a mandate pursuant to state law.

IV. REIMBURSABLE ACTIVITIES

To be eligible for mandated cost reimbursement for any fiscal year, only actual costs may be claimed. Actual costs are those costs actually incurred to implement the mandated activities. Actual costs must be traceable and supported by source documents that show the validity of such costs, when they were incurred, and their relationship to the reimbursable activities. A source document is a document created at or near the same time the actual cost was incurred for the event or activity in question. Source documents may include, but are not limited to, employee time records or time logs, sign-in sheets, invoices, and receipts.

Evidence corroborating the source documents may include, but is not limited to, worksheets, cost allocation reports (system generated), purchase orders, contracts, agendas, training packets, and declarations. Declarations must include a certification or declaration stating, "I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct," and must further comply with the requirements of Code of Civil Procedure section 2015.5. Evidence corroborating the source documents may include data relevant to the reimbursable activities otherwise in compliance with local, state, and federal government requirements. However, corroborating documents cannot be substituted for source documents.

The claimant is only allowed to claim and be reimbursed for increased costs for reimbursable activities identified below. Increased cost is limited to the cost of an activity that the claimant is required to incur as a result of the mandate.

For each eligible claimant, the following activities are reimbursable:

On-going Activities – Successful Test Claims Only:

All test claims and test claim amendments shall include a written narrative, as described below.

- A. Draft the written narrative that identifies the specific statutes or executive orders alleged to contain a mandate. Complete the following reimbursable activities to include in the written narrative:
1. Gather and review information to complete the test claim narrative.
 2. Calculate the actual increased costs incurred by the claimant during the fiscal year for which the claim is filed.
 3. Calculate the actual or estimated annual costs that will be incurred by the claimant to implement the alleged mandate during the fiscal year immediately following the fiscal year for which the claim is filed.
 4. Calculate a statewide cost estimate of increased costs that all local agencies or school districts will incur to implement the alleged mandate during the fiscal year immediately following the fiscal year for which the claim is filed.
 5. Investigate other funding sources to identify dedicated state funds appropriated for the program; dedicated federal funds appropriated for the program; other nonlocal agency funds dedicated to the program; the local agency's general purpose funds for the program; and fee authority to offset the costs of the program.
 6. Review and identify prior mandate determinations made by the Board of Control or the Commission that may be related to the alleged mandate.

(Gov. Code, § 17553, subd. (b)(1)(C) through (G), as amended by Stats. 2004, ch. 890; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1183, subd. (d), Register 2005, No. 36, eff. September 6, 2005.)

- B. The written narrative in the test claim or test claim amendment shall be supported with declarations under penalty of perjury, based on the declarant's personal knowledge, information, or belief, and signed by persons who are authorized and competent to do so. The following activities to complete the declarations are reimbursable:

1. Draft and file the following declarations:
 - a. Declarations of actual or estimated increased costs that will be incurred by the claimant to implement the alleged mandate.
 - b. Declarations identifying all local, state, or federal funds, or fee authority that may be used to offset the increased costs that will be incurred by the claimant to implement the alleged mandate, including direct and indirect costs.
 - c. Declarations describing new activities performed to implement specified provisions of the new statute or executive order.

(Gov. Code, § 17553, subd. (b)(2), as amended by Stats. 2004, ch. 890; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1183, subd. (d), Register 2005, No. 36, eff. September 6, 2005).

2. Review the declarations with declarants, and obtain the declarants' signatures.

V. CLAIM PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION

Each of the following cost elements must be identified for each reimbursable activity identified in Section IV, Reimbursable Activities, of this document. Each claimed reimbursable cost must be supported by source documentation as described in Section IV. Additionally, each reimbursement claim must be filed in a timely manner.

A. Direct Cost Reporting

Direct costs are those costs incurred specifically for the reimbursable activities. The following direct costs are eligible for reimbursement.

1. **Salaries and Benefits**

Report each employee implementing the reimbursable activities by name, job classification, and productive hourly rate (total wages and related benefits divided by productive hours). Describe the specific reimbursable activities performed and the hours devoted to each reimbursable activity performed.

2. **Materials and Supplies**

Report the cost of materials and supplies that have been consumed or expended for the purpose of the reimbursable activities. Purchases shall be claimed at the actual price after deducting discounts, rebates, and allowances received by the claimant. Supplies that are withdrawn from inventory shall be charged on an appropriate and recognized method of costing, consistently applied.

3. **Contracted Services**

Report the name of the contractor and services performed to implement the reimbursable activities. If the contractor bills for time and materials, report the number of hours spent on the activities and all costs charged. If the contract is a fixed price, report the services that were performed during the period covered by the reimbursement claim. If the contract services were also used for purposes other than the reimbursable activities, only the pro-rata portion of the services used to implement the reimbursable activities can be claimed. Submit contract consultant and attorney invoices with the claim and a description of the contract scope of services.

4. **Fixed Assets and Equipment**

Report the purchase price paid for fixed assets and equipment (including computers) necessary to implement the reimbursable activities. The purchase price includes taxes, delivery costs, and installation costs. If the fixed asset or equipment is also used for purposes other than the reimbursable activities, only the pro-rata portion of the purchase price used to implement the reimbursable activities can be claimed.

5. Travel

Report the name of the employee traveling for the purpose of the reimbursable activities. Include the date of travel, destination point, the specific reimbursable activity requiring travel, and related travel expenses reimbursed to the employee in compliance with the rules of the local jurisdiction. Report employee travel time according to the rules of cost element A.1., Salaries and Benefits, for each applicable reimbursable activity.

6. Training

Report the cost of training an employee to perform the reimbursable activities, as specified in Section IV of this document. Report the name and job classification of each employee preparing for, attending, and/or conducting training necessary to implement the reimbursable activities. Provide the title, subject, and purpose (related to the mandate of the training session), dates attended, and location. If the training encompasses subjects broader than the reimbursable activities, only the pro-rata portion can be claimed. Report employee training time for each applicable reimbursable activity according to the rules of cost element A.1., Salaries and Benefits, and A.2., Materials and Supplies. Report the cost of consultants who conduct the training according to the rules of cost element A.3., Contracted Services.

B. Indirect Cost Rates

1. Local Agencies

Indirect costs are costs that are incurred for a common or joint purpose, benefiting more than one program, and are not directly assignable to a particular department or program without efforts disproportionate to the result achieved. Indirect costs may include both (1) overhead costs of the unit performing the mandate; and (2) the costs of the central government services distributed to the other departments based on a systematic and rational basis through a cost allocation plan.

Compensation for indirect costs is eligible for reimbursement utilizing the procedure provided in the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-87. Claimants have the option of using 10% of direct labor, excluding fringe benefits, or preparing an Indirect Cost Rate Proposal (ICRP) if the indirect cost rate claimed exceeds 10%.

If the claimant chooses to prepare an ICRP, both the direct costs (as defined and described in OMB Circular A-87 Attachments A and B) and the indirect costs shall exclude capital expenditures and unallowable costs (as defined and described in OMB Circular A-87 Attachments A and B). However, unallowable costs must be included in the direct costs if they represent activities to which indirect costs are properly allocable.

The distribution base may be (1) total direct costs (excluding capital expenditures and other distorting items, such as pass-through funds, major subcontracts, etc.), (2) direct salaries and wages, or (3) another base which results in an equitable distribution.

In calculating an ICRP, the claimant shall have the choice of one of the following methodologies:

- a. The allocation of allowable indirect costs (as defined and described in OMB Circular A-87 Attachments A and B) shall be accomplished by (1) classifying a department's total costs for the base period as either direct or indirect, and (2) dividing the total allowable indirect costs (net of applicable credits) by an equitable distribution base. The result of this process is an indirect cost rate which is used to distribute indirect costs to mandates. The rate should be expressed as a percentage which the total amount allowable indirect costs bears to the base selected; or
- b. The allocation of allowable indirect costs (as defined and described in OMB Circular A-87 Attachments A and B) shall be accomplished by (1) separating a department into groups, such as divisions or sections, and then classifying the division's or section's total costs for the base period as either direct or indirect, and (2) dividing the total allowable indirect costs (net of applicable credits) by an equitable distribution base. The result of this process is an indirect cost rate that is used to distribute indirect costs to mandates. The rate should be expressed as a percentage which the total amount allowable indirect costs bears to the base selected.

2. School Districts

Indirect costs are costs that have been incurred for common or joint purposes. These costs benefit more than one cost objective and cannot be readily identified with a particular final cost objective without effort disproportionate to the results achieved. After direct costs have been determined and assigned to other activities, as appropriate, indirect costs are those remaining to be allocated to benefited cost objectives. A cost may not be allocated as an indirect cost if any other cost incurred for the same purpose, in like circumstances, has been claimed as a direct cost.

Indirect costs include: (a) the indirect costs originating in each department or agency of the governmental unit carrying out state mandated programs, and (b) the costs of central governmental services distributed through the central service cost allocation plan and not otherwise treated as direct costs.

School districts must use the J-380 (or subsequent replacement) non-restrictive indirect cost rate provisionally approved by the California Department of Education.

3. County Offices of Education

County offices of education must use the J-580 (or subsequent replacement) non-restrictive indirect cost rate provisionally approved by the California Department of Education.

4. Community College Districts

Community colleges have the option of using: (1) a federally approved rate, utilizing the cost accounting principles from the Office of Management and Budget Circular A-21, "Cost Principles of Educational Institutions"; (2) the rate calculated on State Controller's Form FAM-29C; or (3) a 7% indirect cost rate.

VI. RECORD RETENTION

Pursuant to Government Code section 17558.5, subdivision (a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a local agency or school district pursuant to this chapter¹ is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. In any case, an audit shall be completed not later than two years after the date that the audit is commenced. All documents used to support the reimbursable activities, as described in Section IV, must be retained during the period subject to audit. If an audit has been initiated by the Controller during the period subject to audit, the retention period is extended until the ultimate resolution of any audit findings.

VII. OFFSETTING REVENUES AND REIMBURSEMENTS

Any offsetting revenues the claimant experiences in the same program as a result of the same statutes or executive orders found to contain the mandate shall be deducted from the costs claimed. In addition, reimbursement for this mandate from any source, including but not limited to, service fees collected, federal funds, and other state funds, shall be identified and deducted from this claim.

VIII. STATE CONTROLLER'S CLAIMING INSTRUCTIONS

Pursuant to Government Code section 17558, subdivision (b), the Controller shall issue claiming instructions for each mandate that requires state reimbursement not later than 60 days after receiving the adopted parameters and guidelines from the Commission, to assist local agencies and school districts in claiming costs to be reimbursed. The claiming instructions shall be derived from the test claim decision and the parameters and guidelines adopted by the Commission.

Pursuant to Government Code section 17561, subdivision (d)(1), issuance of the claiming instructions shall constitute a notice of the right of the local agencies and school districts to file reimbursement claims, based upon parameters and guidelines adopted by the Commission.

IX. REMEDIES BEFORE THE COMMISSION

Upon request of a local agency or school district, the Commission shall review the claiming instructions issued by the State Controller or any other authorized state agency for reimbursement of mandated costs pursuant to Government Code section 17571. If the Commission determines that the claiming instructions do not conform to the parameters and guidelines, the Commission shall direct the Controller to modify the claiming instructions and the Controller shall modify the claiming instructions to conform to the parameters and guidelines as directed by the Commission.

In addition, requests may be made to amend parameters and guidelines pursuant to Government Code section 17557, subdivision (d), and California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1183.2.

¹ This refers to Title 2, division 4, part 7, chapter 4 of the Government Code.

X. LEGAL AND FACTUAL BASIS FOR THE PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES

The Statement of Decision is legally binding on all parties and provides the legal and factual basis for the parameters and guidelines. The support for the legal and factual findings is found in the administrative record for the test claim. The administrative record, including the Statement of Decision, is on file with the Commission.

Commission on State Mandates

Original List Date: 10/7/2005
Last Updated: 5/11/2012
List Print Date: 05/11/2012
Claim Number: 05-TC-05
Issue: Mandate Reimbursement Process II

Mailing List

TO ALL PARTIES AND INTERESTED PARTIES:

Each commission mailing list is continuously updated as requests are received to include or remove any party or person on the mailing list. A current mailing list is provided with commission correspondence, and a copy of the current mailing list is available upon request at any time. Except as provided otherwise by commission rule, when a party or interested party files any written material with the commission concerning a claim, it shall simultaneously serve a copy of the written material on the parties and interested parties to the claim identified on the mailing list provided by the commission. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.2.)

Ms. Hasmik Yaghobyan	Tel: (213)893-0792
County of Los Angeles	Email hyaghobyan@auditor.lacounty.gov
Auditor-Controller's Office	Fax: (213)617-8106
500 W. Temple Street, Room 603	
Los Angeles, CA 90012	

Mr. Matthew Cody	Tel: (916)442-2952
Olson Hagel & Fishburn LLP	Email matt@olsonhagel.com
555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1425	Fax: (916)442-1280
Sacramento, CA 95814	

Ms. Evelyn Calderon-Yee	Tel: (916)323-0706
State Controller's Office (B-08)	Email eyee@sco.ca.gov
Division of Accounting and Reporting	Fax: (916)322-4404
3301 C Street, Suite 700	
Sacramento, CA 95816	

Ms. Kris Kuzmich	Tel: (916)651-4103
Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee	Email Kris.Kuzmich@sen.ca.gov
State Capitol, Room 5019	Fax:
Sacramento, CA 95814	

Mr. Ed Hanson	Tel: (916)445-0328
Department of Finance (A-15)	Email ed.hanson@dof.ca.gov
Education Systems Unit	Fax:
915 L Street, 7th Floor	
Sacramento, CA 95814	

Ms. Andra Donovan	Tel: (619)725-5630
San Diego Unified School District	Email adonovan@sandi.net
Legal Services Office	Fax:
4100 Normal Street, Room 2148	
San Diego, CA 92103	

Mr. Arthur Palkowitz Stutz Artiano Shinoff & Holtz 2488 Historic Decatur Road, Suite 200 San Diego, CA 92106	Tel: (619)232-3122 Email apalkowitz@stutzartiano.com Fax: (619)232-3264
Ms. Carla Shelton Department of Finance 915 L Street, 8th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)445-3274 Email carla.shelton@dof.ca.gov Fax:
Mr. Mark Ibele Senate Budget & Fiscal Review Committee (E-22) California State Senate State Capitol, Room 5019 Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)651-4103 Email Mark.Ibele@sen.ca.gov Fax: (916)323-8386
Mr. Patrick Day San Jose Unified School District 855 Lenzen Avenue San Jose, CA 95126-2736	Tel: (408)535-6572 Email patrick_day@sjusd.org Fax: (408)535-6692
Mr. J. Bradley Burgess MGT of America 895 La Sierra Drive Sacramento, CA 95864	Tel: (916)595-2646 Email Bburgess@mgtamer.com Fax:
Mr. Nicolas Schweizer Department of Finance (A-15) Education Systems Unit 915 L Street, 7th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)445-0328 Email nicolas.schweizer@dof.ca.gov Fax: (916)323-9530
Mr. Christien Brunette MAXIMUS 625 Coolidge Drive, Suite 100 Folsom, CA 95630	Tel: (916)471-5510 Email christienbrunette@maximus.com Fax: (916)366-4838
Mr. Steve Bruckman California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office (G-01) 1102 Q Street Sacramento, CA 95814-6511	Tel: (916)323-7007 Email sbruckman@cccco.edu Fax: (916)322-4783
Mr. Paul Golaszewski Legislative Analyst's Office (B-29) 925 L Street, Suite 1000 Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)319-8341 Email Paul.Golaszewski@lao.ca.gov Fax:
Ms. Marianne O'Malley Legislative Analyst's Office (B-29) 925 L Street, Suite 1000 Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)319-8315 Email marianne.O'malley@lao.ca.gov Fax: (916)324-4281

Ms. Jennifer Kuhn Legislative Analyst's Office (B-29) 925 L Street, Suite 1000 Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)319-8332 Email Jennifer.kuhn@lao.ca.gov Fax: (916)324-4281
Ms. Sandy Reynolds Reynolds Consulting Group, Inc. P.O. Box 894059 Temecula, CA 92589	Tel: (951)303-3034 Email sandrareynolds_30@msn.com Fax: (951)303-6607
Mr. Randy Ward Department of Finance 915 L Street, 8th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)445-3274 Email Randy.Ward@dof.ca.gov. Fax:
Mr. Dennis Speciale State Controller's Office (B-08) Division of Accounting and Reporting 3301 C Street, Suite 700 Sacramento, CA 95816	Tel: (916)324-0254 Email DSpeciale@sco.ca.gov Fax:
Mr. Keith Nezaam Department of Finance (A-15) 915 L Street, 8th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)445-8913 Email Keith.Nezaam@dof.ca.gov Fax:
Ms. Beth Hunter Centration, Inc. 8570 Utica Avenue, Suite 100 Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730	Tel: (866)481-2621 Email bhunter@centration.com Fax: (866)481-2682
Ms. Jill Kanemasu State Controller's Office (B-08) Division of Accounting and Reporting 3301 C Street, Suite 700 Sacramento, CA 95816	Tel: (916)322-9891 Email jkanemasu@sco.ca.gov Fax:
Ms. Yazmin Meza Department of Finance 915 L Street Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)445-0328 Email Yazmin.meza@dof.ca.gov Fax:
Mr. Paul Steenhausen Legislative Analyst's Office (B-29) 925 L Street, Suite 1000 Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)319-8324 Email Paul.Steenhausen@lao.ca.gov Fax: (916)324-4281
Mr. Edward Jewik Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller's Office 500 W. Temple Street, Room 603 Los Angeles, CA 90012	Tel: (213)974-8564 Email ejewik@auditor.lacounty.gov Fax: (213)617-8106

Mr. David Cichella California School Management Group 3130-C Inland Empire Blvd. Ontario, CA 91764	Tel: (209)834-0556 Email dcichella@csmcentral.com Fax: (209)834-0087
Ms. Harmeet Barkschat Mandate Resource Services, LLC 5325 Elkhorn Blvd. #307 Sacramento, CA 95842	Tel: (916)727-1350 Email harmeet@calsdrc.com Fax: (916)727-1734
Mr. Christian Osmena Department of Finance 915 L Street Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)445-0328 Email christian.osmena@dof.ca.gov Fax:
Ms. Ferlyn Junio Nimbus Consulting Group, LLC 2386 Fair Oaks Boulevard, Suite 104 Sacramento, CA 95825	Tel: (916)480-9444 Email fjunio@nimbusconsultinggroup.com Fax: (800)518-1385
Ms. Socorro Aquino State Controller's Office Division of Audits 3301 C Street, Suite 700 Sacramento, CA 95816	Tel: (916)322-7522 Email SAquino@sco.ca.gov Fax:
Ms. Anita Worlow AK & Company 3531 Kersey Lane Sacramento, CA 95864	Tel: (916)972-1666 Email akcompany@um.att.com Fax:
Ms. Lacey Baysinger State Controller's Office Division of Accounting and Reporting 3301 C Street, Suite 700 Sacramento, CA 95816	Tel: (916)324-0254 Email LBaysinger@sco.ca.gov Fax:
Mr. Edwin Eng State Center Community College District 1525 East Weldon Avenue Fresno, CA 93704-6398	Tel: (559)244-5901 Email ed.eng@scccd.edu Fax: (559)243-1949
Ms. Kathy Rios State Controllers Office Division of Accounting and Reporting 3301 C Street, Suite 700 Sacramento, CA 95816	Tel: (916)324-5919 Email krios@sco.ca.gov Fax: (916)323-4807
Ms. Diane Brady California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office (G-01)	Tel: (916)324-2564 Email dbrady@cccco.edu Fax:

1102 Q Street Sacramento, CA 95814-6511	
Mr. Ross C. Moody Criminal Division, Appeals, Writs and Trials 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004	Tel: (415)703-1376 Email ross.moody@doj.ca.gov Fax: (415)703-1234
Mr. Mark Rewolinski MAXIMUS 625 Coolidge Drive, Suite 100 Folsom, CA 95630	Tel: (916)471-5516 Email markrewolinski@maximus.com Fax: (916)366-4838
Mr. Frank Murphy MAXIMUS 17310 Red Hill Avenue, Suite 340 Irvine, CA 92614	Tel: (949)440-0845 Email frankmurphy@maximus.com Fax: (949)440-0855
Mr. Jai Prasad County of San Bernardino Office of Auditor-Controller 222 West Hospitality Lane, 4th Floor San Bernardino, CA 92415-0018	Tel: (909)386-8854 Email jai.prasad@atc.sbcounty.gov Fax: (909)386-8830
Mr. Andy Nichols Nichols Consulting 1857 44th Street Sacramento, CA 95819	Tel: (916)455-3939 Email andy@nichols-consulting.com Fax: (916)739-8712
Mr. Mike Brown School Innovations & Advocacy 5200 Golden Foothill Parkway El Dorado Hills, CA 95762	Tel: (916)669-5116 Email mikeb@sia-us.com Fax: (888)487-6441
Mr. Jeff Goldstein MAXIMUS 17310 Red Hill Avenue, Suite 340 Irvine, CA 92614	Tel: (949)440-0845 Email jeffgoldstein@maximus.com Fax: (949)440-0855
Mr. Chris Ferguson Department of Finance (A-15) Education Systems Unit 915 L Street, 7th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)445-3274 Email Chris.Ferguson@dof.ca.gov Fax:
Mr. Jim Spano State Controller's Office (B-08) Division of Audits 3301 C Street, Suite 700 Sacramento, CA 95816	Tel: (916)323-5849 Email jspano@sco.ca.gov Fax: (916)327-0832

Mr. Abe Hajela School Innovations & Advocacy 11130 Sun Center Drive, Suite 100 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670	Tel: (916)669-5116 Email abeh@sia-us.com Fax: (888)487-6441
Mr. David E. Scribner Max8550 2200 Sunrise Boulevard, Suite 240 Gold River, California 95670	Tel: (916)852-8970 Email dscribner@max8550.com Fax: (916)852-8978
Mr. Joe Rombold School Innovations & Advocacy 1201 K Street, Suite 710 Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)669-5161 Email joer@sia-us.com Fax: (888)487-6441
Ms. Deborah B. Caplan Olson Hagel & Fishburn LLP 555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1425 Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)442-2952 Email deborah@olsonhagel.com Fax: (916)442-1280
Mr. N. Eugene Hill Olson Hagel & Fishburn LLP 555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1425 Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)442-2952 Email gene@olsonhagel.com Fax: (916)442-1280
Mr. Tom Dyer Department of Finance (A-15) 915 L Street Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)445-3274 Email tom.dyer@dof.ca.gov Fax:
Ms. Donna Ferebee Department of Finance (A-15) 915 L Street, 11th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)445-3274 Email donna.ferebee@dof.ca.gov Fax: (916)323-9584
Mr. Allan Burdick CSAC-SB 90 Service 2001 P Street, Suite 200 Sacramento, CA 95811	Tel: (916)443-9236 Email allan_burdick@mgtamer.com Fax: (916)443-1766
Ms. Evelyn Tseng City of Newport Beach 3300 Newport Blvd. P. O. Box 1768 Newport Beach, CA 92659-1768	Tel: (949)644-3127 Email etseng@newportbeachca.gov Fax: (949)644-3339
Mr. Jay Lal State Controller's Office (B-08) Division of Accounting & Reporting 3301 C Street, Suite 700 Sacramento, CA 95816	Tel: (916)324-0256 Email JLal@sco.ca.gov Fax: (916)323-6527

Mr. Leonard Kaye Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller's Office 500 W. Temple Street, Room 603 Los Angeles, CA 90012	Tel: (213)974-9791 Email lkaye@auditor.lacounty.gov Fax: (213)617-8106
Ms. Annette Chinn Cost Recovery Systems, Inc. 705-2 East Bidwell Street, #294 Folsom, CA 95630	Tel: (916)939-7901 Email achinnrcs@aol.com Fax: (916)939-7801
Mr. Steve Shields Shields Consulting Group, Inc. 1536 36th Street Sacramento, CA 95816	Tel: (916)454-7310 Email steve@shieldscg.com Fax: (916)454-7312
Mr. David Wellhouse David Wellhouse & Associates, Inc. 9175 Kiefer Blvd, Suite 121 Sacramento, CA 95826	Tel: (916)368-9244 Email dwa-david@surewest.net Fax: (916)368-5723
Ms. Jean Kinney Hurst California State Association of Counties 1100 K Street, Suite 101 Sacramento, CA 95814-3941	Tel: (916)327-7500 Email jhurst@counties.org Fax: (916)441-5507
Mr. Michael Johnston Clovis Unified School District 1450 Herndon Ave Clovis, CA 93611-0599	Tel: (559)327-9000 Email michaeljohnston@clovisusd.k12.ca.us Fax: (559)327-9129
Ms. Carol Bingham California Department of Education (E-08) Fiscal Policy Division 1430 N Street, Suite 5602 Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)324-4728 Email cbingham@cde.ca.gov Fax: (916)319-0116
Ms. Susan Geanacou Department of Finance (A-15) 915 L Street, Suite 1280 Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)445-3274 Email susan.geanacou@dof.ca.gov Fax: (916)449-5252
Ms. Jolene Tollenaar MGT of America 2001 P Street, Suite 200 Sacramento, CA 95811	Tel: (916)443-9136 Email jolene_tollenaar@mgtamer.com Fax: (916)443-1766
Mr. Robert Miyashiro Education Mandated Cost Network 1121 L Street, Suite 1060 Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)446-7517 Email robertm@sscal.com Fax: (916)446-2011

Mr. Keith B. Petersen
SixTen & Associates
P.O. Box 340430
Sacramento, CA 95834-0430

Tel: (916)419-7093
Email kbpsixten@aol.com
Fax: (916)263-9701

Ms. Juliana F. Gmur

1865 Hernden Avenue, Suite K-44
Clovis, CA 93611

Tel: (559)960-4507
Email julianagmur@msn.com
Fax: