Hearing: October 30, 2009

j:mandates/2005/05pga17/05pga26/fsa

ITEM 7

FINAL STAFF ANALYSIS

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES

Family Code Sections 3060 TO 3064, 3130 TO 3134.5, 3408, 3411, and 3421 Penal Code Sections 277, 278, and 278.5 Welfare And Institutions Code Section 11478.5

Statutes 1976, Chapter 1399 Statutes 1992, Chapter 162 Statutes 1996, Chapter 988

Custody of Minors-Child Abduction and Recovery 05-PGA-26 (CSM 4237)

State Controller's Office, Claimant

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is a request filed by the State Controller's Office (SCO) to amend the original parameters and guidelines for the *Custody of Minors – Child Abduction and Recovery* program (CSM-4237) to add language regarding source documentation, and record retention requirements during the period a claim is subject to an audit. If the Commission on State Mandates (Commission) approves the SCO's request, the amendments would be effective for costs incurred beginning on July 1, 2005.

In 2003, upon recommendation from the Bureau of State Audits, direction from the Legislature, and an SCO request, the Commission adopted amendments to parameters and guidelines that clarified what source documentation claimants are required to retain to support the claims they file to obtain reimbursement for mandated programs, and records retention language that identifies the records that must be retained to support an audit initiated by the SCO. The adopted language, commonly referred to as "boilerplate language," has been included in all parameters and guidelines adopted since 2003. In addition, section 1183 of the Commission's regulations require parameters and guidelines to include instruction on claim preparation, notice of the SCO's authority to audit claims, and the amount of time documentation must be retained during the audit period.

The SCO is now requesting that parameters and guidelines adopted prior to 2003 be amended to also include the source documentation and records retention language.

This analysis pertains only to the request to amend the *Custody of Minors – Child Abduction and Recovery* program. The staff analyses for the other 48 programs will be presented separately.

There is one issue for the Commission's consideration:

• Should the parameters and guidelines be amended to add the current "boilerplate language"?

Staff finds that it is appropriate to approve the SCO's request to insert the source documentation and records retention language because it would conform the parameters and guidelines for the

Custody of Minors – Child Abduction and Recovery program with the parameters and guidelines adopted for other programs, and is consistent with section 1183.1 of the Commission's regulations. Therefore, staff included the language requested by the SCO.

Commission staff issued a draft staff analysis for review and comment on September 23, 2009. On October 14, 2009, Department of Finance submitted comments concurring with the draft staff analysis, stating "These changes provide claimants with clear instructions regarding documentation and record retention requirements."

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends that the Commission:

- Adopt the SCO's proposed amendments to the parameters and guidelines for the *Custody* of *Minors Child Abduction and Recovery* program, beginning on page 9.
- Authorize staff to make any non-substantive, technical corrections to the parameters and guidelines following the hearing.

STAFF ANALYIS

Requestor

State Controller's Office

Chronolog	v
-----------	---

09/17/1979 Board of Control (predecessor to Commission on State Mandates) adopts Statement of Decision 01/21/1981 Board of Control adopts parameters and guidelines 08/26/1999 Commission on State Mandates (Commission) amends parameters and guidelines 01/23/2003 The Commission, upon the recommendation of the Bureau of State Audits, direction from the Legislature, and upon request from the State Controller's Office (SCO), adopts amendments to the School Bus Safety II parameters and guidelines to include "boilerplate language" that details the documentation necessary to support reimbursement claims. After this date, all adopted parameters and guidelines contain this language 04/07/2006 SCO requests the parameters and guidelines for 49 mandated programs adopted prior to 2003 also be amended to include boilerplate language, including the Custody of Minors – Child Abduction and Recovery program analyzed here 04/27/2006 Commission deems SCO's request for amendment of parameters and guidelines complete and issues for comment 07/23/2009 Commission reissues SCO's request for amendment of parameters and guidelines for comment 08/18/2009 Department of Finance files comments 09/23/2009 Draft staff analysis issued 10/14/2009 Department of Finance files comments	0111 01101083	
Commission on State Mandates (Commission) amends parameters and guidelines The Commission, upon the recommendation of the Bureau of State Audits, direction from the Legislature, and upon request from the State Controller's Office (SCO), adopts amendments to the School Bus Safety II parameters and guidelines to include "boilerplate language" that details the documentation necessary to support reimbursement claims. After this date, all adopted parameters and guidelines contain this language SCO requests the parameters and guidelines for 49 mandated programs adopted prior to 2003 also be amended to include boilerplate language, including the Custody of Minors – Child Abduction and Recovery program analyzed here Commission deems SCO's request for amendment of parameters and guidelines complete and issues for comment Commission reissues SCO's request for amendment of parameters and guidelines for comment Department of Finance files comments Department of Finance files comments Draft staff analysis issued	09/17/1979	1
The Commission, upon the recommendation of the Bureau of State Audits, direction from the Legislature, and upon request from the State Controller's Office (SCO), adopts amendments to the School Bus Safety II parameters and guidelines to include "boilerplate language" that details the documentation necessary to support reimbursement claims. After this date, all adopted parameters and guidelines contain this language SCO requests the parameters and guidelines for 49 mandated programs adopted prior to 2003 also be amended to include boilerplate language, including the Custody of Minors – Child Abduction and Recovery program analyzed here O4/27/2006 Commission deems SCO's request for amendment of parameters and guidelines complete and issues for comment O7/23/2009 Commission reissues SCO's request for amendment of parameters and guidelines for comment Department of Finance files comments Department of Finance files comments Draft staff analysis issued	01/21/1981	Board of Control adopts parameters and guidelines
direction from the Legislature, and upon request from the State Controller's Office (SCO), adopts amendments to the School Bus Safety II parameters and guidelines to include "boilerplate language" that details the documentation necessary to support reimbursement claims. After this date, all adopted parameters and guidelines contain this language SCO requests the parameters and guidelines for 49 mandated programs adopted prior to 2003 also be amended to include boilerplate language, including the Custody of Minors – Child Abduction and Recovery program analyzed here Commission deems SCO's request for amendment of parameters and guidelines complete and issues for comment Commission reissues SCO's request for amendment of parameters and guidelines for comment Department of Finance files comments Draft staff analysis issued	08/26/1999	·
adopted prior to 2003 also be amended to include boilerplate language, including the <i>Custody of Minors – Child Abduction and Recovery</i> program analyzed here O4/27/2006 Commission deems SCO's request for amendment of parameters and guidelines complete and issues for comment O7/23/2009 Commission reissues SCO's request for amendment of parameters and guidelines for comment O8/18/2009 Department of Finance files comments O9/23/2009 Draft staff analysis issued	01/23/2003	direction from the Legislature, and upon request from the State Controller's Office (SCO), adopts amendments to the <i>School Bus Safety II</i> parameters and guidelines to include "boilerplate language" that details the documentation necessary to support reimbursement claims. After this date, all adopted
guidelines complete and issues for comment O7/23/2009 Commission reissues SCO's request for amendment of parameters and guidelines for comment O8/18/2009 Department of Finance files comments O9/23/2009 Draft staff analysis issued	04/07/2006	adopted prior to 2003 also be amended to include boilerplate language, including the <i>Custody of Minors – Child Abduction and Recovery</i> program
guidelines for comment 08/18/2009 Department of Finance files comments 09/23/2009 Draft staff analysis issued	04/27/2006	
09/23/2009 Draft staff analysis issued	07/23/2009	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	08/18/2009	Department of Finance files comments
10/14/2009 Department of Finance files comments	09/23/2009	Draft staff analysis issued
	10/14/2009	Department of Finance files comments

Background

This is a request filed by the State Controller's Office (SCO) to amend the original parameters and guidelines for the *Custody of Minors – Child Abduction and Recovery* program (CSM-4237) to add language regarding source documentation, and record retention requirements during the period a claim is subject to an audit. If the Commission on State Mandates (Commission) approves the SCO's request, the amendments would be effective for costs incurred beginning on July 1, 2005.

Test Claim Decision and Parameters and Guidelines

The County of San Bernardino filed a test claim alleging that Chapter 1399, Statutes of 1976, imposed a reimbursable state mandate upon counties by requiring district attorney offices to

actively assist in the resolution of child custody problems including visitation disputes, the enforcement of custody decrees and of any other order of the court in a child custody proceeding.

The Board of Control (predecessor to the Commission) approved this test claim on September 17, 1979, concluding that all actions necessary to locate a child, the enforcement of child custody decrees, orders to appear, or any other court order defraying expenses related to the return of an illegally detained, abducted or concealed child, proceeding with civil court actions, and guaranteeing the appearance of offenders and minors in court actions are a reimbursable state-mandated program.

On January 21, 1981 the Board of Control adopted the parameters and guidelines for this program. The last amendments to the parameters and guidelines were adopted by the Commission on August 26, 1999.¹

Boilerplate Language

On March 28, 2002, the Bureau of State Audits (BSA) issued an audit report on the School Bus Safety II program, stating that the parameters and guidelines do not impose sufficient requirements regarding the documentation required to support reimbursement claims, and thus, insufficient documentation was being submitted to support claims.² The report recommended, among other things, that the Commission work with the SCO, other affected state agencies, and interested parties to make sure the language in the parameters and guidelines and the claiming instructions for the School Bus Safety II program reflects the Commission's intentions as well as the SCO's expectations regarding supporting documentation. On June 10, 2002, the SCO proposed that parameters and guidelines be amended to clarify what documentation is necessary to support reimbursement claims and what records must be retained to support audits initiated by the SCO.

Based on BSA's audit findings and recommendations, the Legislature enacted Statutes 2002, chapter 1167 (AB 2781) to direct the Commission to amend the parameters and guidelines in School Bus Safety II, to detail the documentation necessary to support reimbursement claims.

On January 23, 2003, upon recommendation from BSA, direction from the Legislature, and the SCO's request, the Commission adopted the following language regarding source documentation and records retention to the School Bus Safety II parameters and guidelines:³

IV. Reimbursable Activities

To be eligible for mandated cost reimbursement for any fiscal year, only actual costs may be claimed. Actual costs are those costs actually incurred to implement the mandated activities. Actual costs must be traceable and supported by source documents that show the validity of such costs, when they were incurred, and their relationship to the reimbursable activities. A source document is a document created at or near the same time the actual cost was incurred for the event or activity in question. Source documents may include, but are not limited to, employee time records or time logs, sign-in sheets, invoices, and receipts.

Evidence corroborating the source documents may include, but is not limited to, worksheets, cost allocation reports (system generated), purchase orders, contracts,

¹ Exhibit A.

² Exhibit B.

³ The Commission also adopted other boilerplate language that is not relevant to this request.

agendas, training packets, and declarations. Declarations must include a certification or declaration stating, "I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct based upon personal knowledge." Evidence corroborating the source documents may include data relevant to the reimbursable activities otherwise in compliance with local, state, and federal government requirements. However, corroborating documents cannot be substituted for source documents.

The claimant is only allowed to claim and be reimbursed for increased costs for reimbursable activities identified below. Increased cost is limited to the cost of an activity that the claimant is required to incur as a result of the mandate.

VI. Record Retention

Pursuant to Government Code section 17558.5, subdivision (a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a local agency or school district pursuant to this chapter* is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. All documents used to support the reimbursable activities, as described in Section IV, must be retained during the period subject to audit. If an audit has been initiated by the Controller during the period subject to audit, the retention period is extended until the ultimate resolution of any audit findings.

The Commission has included this language, commonly referred to as "boilerplate language," in all parameters and guidelines adopted on or after January 23, 2003.

SCO Request to Amend Parameters and Guidelines

On April 7, 2006, the SCO requested that the parameters and guidelines for 49 mandated programs that were adopted prior to 2003 be amended to also include the boilerplate language regarding source documentation and records retention that was adopted by the Commission in 2003.⁴

The parameters and guidelines for the *Custody of Minors – Child Abduction and Recovery* program is one of the 49 programs the SCO is requesting be amended.

Comments on the Proposal

On April 27, 2006, the Commission issued the SCO's request to amend the parameters and guidelines for comment. No comments were filed. On July 23, 2009, the Commission reissued the proposal for comment. On August 18, 2009, Department of Finance submitted comments.⁵

In its comments, Finance stated it was neutral on the proposal, because the request to include boilerplate language in the parameters and guidelines for the 49 programs would allow the Controller to complete audit related tasks more efficiently, and provide the claimant with more information and record retention requirements, as well as the statute of limitations for audits. No other comments were filed on the SCO proposal.

^{*} This refers to Title 2, division 4, part 7, chapter 4 of the Government Code.

⁴ Exhibit C.

⁵ Exhibit D.

Related Litigation (Clovis Unified School Dist., et al. v. State Controller)

This case involves a challenge by school districts and community college districts on reductions made by the State Controller's Office to reimbursement claims for several mandated programs. The school districts argue that reductions made on the ground that school districts do not have contemporaneous source documents are invalid.

Trial Court Ruling. On January 2, 2009, the Sacramento County Superior Court (Case No. 06CS00748) issued a clarification of ruling and on February 19, 2009, issued a Judgment and Writ, finding that reductions made by the Controller on the ground that claimants did not have contemporaneous source documents supporting their reimbursement claims were invalid as an underground regulation *if* the contemporaneous source document requirement was not in the Commission's parameters and guidelines. The court held that the Controller has no authority to reduce a claim on the ground that a claimant did not maintain contemporaneous source documents to support their claim, absent statutory or regulatory authority to require contemporaneous source documents, or language in the parameters and guidelines requiring it. Pursuant to Government Code section 17558, the Controller's claiming instructions shall be derived from the test claim decision and the adopted parameters and guidelines. Thus, the court granted declaratory relief and a writ of mandate requiring the Controller to set aside the reduction and pay the school district plaintiffs the amounts reduced on two mandated programs that did not have parameters and guidelines language requiring claimants to maintain contemporaneous source documents.

Court of Appeal Filings (Third District Court of Appeal, Case No. C061696). Notices of appeal and cross-appeal have been filed by the SCO, the community college districts, and the school districts, and opening briefs have been filed. The appeal on the issue of the validity of the contemporaneous source documentation requirement remains pending.

Discussion

The proposed amendments to the parameters and guidelines raise the following issue for determination by the Commission:

Issue: Should the parameters and guidelines be amended to add the Commission's current "boilerplate language"?

In 2003, following recommendation from the BSA and direction from the Legislature, the SCO requested, and the Commission adopted amendments to parameters and guidelines that clarify what source documentation claimants are required to retain to support the claims they file to obtain reimbursement for mandated programs, and records retention language that identifies the records that must be retained to support an audit initiated by the SCO.

The adopted language, as detailed on pages 3 and 4 of this analysis, has been included in all parameters and guidelines adopted since 2003.

In addition, section 1183.1, subdivision (a) (5) and (6) require that the parameters and guidelines contain, among other things, the following:

• Claim preparation. Instruction on claim preparation, including instruction for direct and indirect cost reporting, or application of a reasonable reimbursement methodology.

_

⁶ The Commission is not a party to this action.

Record retention. Notice of the Office of the State Controller's authority to audit claims
and the amount of time supporting documents must be retained during period subject to
audit.

The SCO is now requesting that parameters and guidelines adopted prior to 2003 be amended to also include the source documentation and records retention language. This analysis pertains to the parameters and guidelines for the *Custody of Minors – Child Abduction and Recovery* program.⁷

Inserting the source documentation and records retention boilerplate language would conform the parameters and guidelines for the *Custody of Minors – Child Abduction and Recovery* program with the parameters and guidelines adopted for other programs, and is consistent with section 1183.1 of the Commission's regulations.

Therefore, staff finds that it is appropriate to approve the SCO's request, and made the following modifications to the parameters and guidelines:

III. Period of Reimbursement

Government Code section 17557, subdivision (d) states that a parameters and guidelines amendment filed on or before the claiming deadline following a fiscal year, shall establish reimbursement eligibility for that fiscal year. This amendment was filed on April 7, 2006, (after the claiming deadline) establishing reimbursement for fiscal year 2005-2006. Therefore, reimbursement for this amendment shall begin on July 1, 2005.

Staff clarified that the proposed amendments would be effective on July 1, 2005.

V. Reimbursable Costs

Staff inserted the following boilerplate language regarding source documentation, as requested by the SCO:

To be eligible for mandated cost reimbursement for any fiscal year, only actual costs may be claimed. Actual costs are those costs actually incurred to implement the mandated activities. Actual costs must be traceable and supported by source documents that show the validity of such costs, when they were incurred, and their relationship to the reimbursable activities. A source document is a document created at or near the same time the actual cost was incurred for the event or activity in question. Source documents may include, but are not limited to, employee time records or time logs, sign-in sheets, invoices, and receipts.

Evidence corroborating the source documents may include, but is not limited to, worksheets, cost allocation reports (system generated), purchase orders, contracts, agendas, training packets, and declarations. Declarations must include a certification or declaration stating, "I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct based upon personal knowledge." Evidence corroborating the source documents may include data relevant to the

⁷ The SCO only requested that the portions of the boilerplate language regarding source documentation and records retention be added to the parameters and guidelines for the 49 programs. There are other sections of the boilerplate language regarding the remedies available before the Commission, and the legal and factual basis for the parameters and guidelines. Staff did not include these sections because the SCO did not request that they be included.

reimbursable activities otherwise in compliance with local, state, and federal government requirements. However, corroborating documents cannot be substituted for source documents.

The claimant is only allowed to claim and be reimbursed for increased costs for reimbursable activities identified below. Increased cost is limited to the cost of an activity that the claimant is required to incur as a result of the mandate.

VIII. Records Retention

At the request of the SCO, staff removed the existing language regarding records retention, and replaced it with the following boilerplate language regarding records retention.

Pursuant to Government Code section 17558.5, subdivision (a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a local agency or school district pursuant to this chapter* is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. All documents used to support the reimbursable activities, as described in Section IV, must be retained during the period subject to audit. If an audit has been initiated by the Controller during the period subject to audit, the retention period is extended until the ultimate resolution of any audit findings.

Comments on the Draft Staff Analysis

Commission staff issued a draft staff analysis for review and comment on September 23, 2009.8 On October 14, 2009, Department of Finance submitted comments concurring with the draft staff analysis, stating "These changes provide claimants with clear instructions regarding documentation and record retention requirements."9

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends that the Commission:

- Adopt the proposed amendments to parameters and guidelines for the *Custody of Minors* - Child Abduction and Recovery program, beginning on page 9.
- Authorize staff to make any non-substantive, technical corrections to the parameters and guidelines following the hearing.

^{*} This refers to Title 2, division 4, part 7, chapter 4 of the Government Code.

⁸ Exhibit E.

⁹ Exhibit F.

Adopted: January 21, 1981 Amended: July 19, 1984 Amended: July 25, 1987 Amended: August 26, 1999

Proposed for Amendment: October 30, 2009

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES

Family Code Sections 3060 TO 3064, 3130 TO 3134.5, 3408, 3411, AND 3421
Penal Code Sections 277, 278, and 278.5
Welfare And Institutions Code Section 11478.5

Chapter 1399, Statutes of 1976 Chapter 162, Statutes of 1992 Chapter 988, Statutes of 1996

Custody of Minors-Child Abduction and Recovery

05-PGA-26 (CSM 4237)

State Controller's Office, Claimant

This amendment is effective beginning with claims filed for the July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006 period of reimbursement.

I. SUMMARY OF MANDATE

Chapter 1399, Statutes of 1976, added Sections 4600.1 and 4604 to and amended Sections 5157, 5160, and 5169 of the Civil Code, added Section 278 and 278.5 to the Penal Code, and amended sections 11478.5 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, which increased the level of service provided by several county departments which must become involved in child custody matters. Where previously parents or others interested in the custody status of minors pursued their interests in court with no assistance from law enforcement agencies, due to this statute counties are required to actively assist in the resolution of custody problems and the enforcement of custody decrees. To accomplish this, several additional tools were provided to the courts and enforcement agencies in this legislation, including changes in the procedures for filing petitions to determine custody and enforce visitation rights, increased authorization to issue warrants of arrest to insure compliance, and increased access to locator and other information maintained by County and State departments. These activities increased the level of service provided to the public under Title 9 of Part 5 of the Civil Code, the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction Act.

Chapter 990, Statutes of 1983, amended Section 4604 of the Civil Code to clarify that the enforcement requirements of this section applied to visitation decrees as well as custody decrees.

Chapter 162, Statutes of 1992, repealed Sections 4600.1, 4604, 5157, 5160, and 5169 of the Civil Code and without substantial change enacted Sections 3060 to 3064, 3130 to

3134.5, 3408, 3411, and 3421 of the Family Code.

Chapter 988, Statutes of 1996, the Parental Kidnapping Prevention Act, repealed Sections 277, 278 and 278.5 of the Penal Code and enacted in a new statutory scheme in Sections 277, 278 and 278.5 which eliminated the distinction between cases with and cases without a preexisting child custody order.

II. BOARD OF CONTROL DECISIONS

On September 19, 1979, the Board of Control determined that Chapter 1399, Statutes of 1976, imposed a reimbursable state mandate upon counties by requiring district attorney offices to actively assist in the resolution of child custody problems including visitation disputes, the enforcement of custody decrees and of any other order of the court in a child custody proceeding. These activities include all actions necessary to locate a child, the enforcement of child custody decrees, orders to appear, or any other court order defraying expenses related to the return of an illegally detained, abducted or concealed child, proceeding with civil court actions, and guaranteeing the appearance of offenders and minors in court actions. The Board's finding was in response to a claim of first impression filed by the County of San Bernardino.

III. ELIGIBLE CLAIMANTS

Any county which incurs increased costs as a result of this mandate is eligible to claim reimbursement of those costs.

IV. PERIOD OF REIMBURSEMENT

This amendment is effective beginning with claims filed for the July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006 period of reimbursement.

Chapter 1399, Statutes of 1976, became effective January 1, 1977. Section 17557 of the Government Code (GC) stated that a test claim must be submitted on or before November 30th following a given fiscal year to establish eligibility for that fiscal year. The test claim for this mandate was filed on April 17, 1979; therefore, costs incurred on or after July 1, 1978, are reimbursable. San Bernardino County may claim and be reimbursed for mandated costs incurred on or after July 1, 1977.

Actual costs for one fiscal year should be included in each claim. Estimated costs for the subsequent year may be included on the same claim, if applicable. Pursuant to section 17561 (d) (3) of the Government Code (GC), all claims for reimbursement of costs shall be submitted within 120 days of issuance of the claiming instructions by the State Controller.

If the total costs for a given fiscal year do not exceed \$200, no reimbursement shall be allowed, except as otherwise allowed by Government Code Section 17564.

V. REIMBURSABLE COSTS

To be eligible for mandated cost reimbursement for any fiscal year, only actual costs may be claimed. Actual costs are those costs actually incurred to implement the mandated activities. Actual costs must be traceable and supported by source documents that show the validity of such costs, when they were incurred, and their relationship to the reimbursable activities. A source document is a document created at or near the same time the actual cost was incurred for the event or activity in question. Source documents may include, but are not limited to, employee time records or time logs, sign-in sheets, invoices, and receipts.

Evidence corroborating the source documents may include, but is not limited to, worksheets, cost allocation reports (system generated), purchase orders, contracts, agendas, training packets, and declarations. Declarations must include a certification or declaration stating, "I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct based upon personal knowledge." Evidence corroborating the source documents may include data relevant to the reimbursable activities otherwise in compliance with local, state, and federal government requirements. However, corroborating documents cannot be substituted for source documents.

The claimant is only allowed to claim and be reimbursed for increased costs for reimbursable activities identified below. Increased cost is limited to the cost of an activity that the claimant is required to incur as a result of the mandate.

A. Scope of the Mandate

Counties shall be reimbursed for the increased costs which they are required to incur to have the district attorney actively assist in the resolution of child custody and visitation problems; for the enforcement of custody and visitation orders; for all actions necessary to locate and return a child(ren) by use of any appropriate civil or criminal proceeding; and for complying with other court orders relating to child custody or visitation, as provided in Family Code Sections 3130 to 3134.5, with the exception of those activities listed in

Section VI.

B. Reimbursable Activities

For each eligible claimant meeting the above criteria, all direct and indirect costs of labor, materials and supplies, training and travel for the following activities are eligible for reimbursement:

- 1. Obtaining compliance with court orders relating to child custody or visitation proceedings and the enforcement of child custody or visitation orders, including:
 - a. Contact with child(ren) and other involved persons.
 - (1) Receipt of reports and requests for assistance.

- (2) Mediating with or advising involved individuals.

 Mediating services may be provided by other departments.

 If this is the case, indicate the department.
- (3) Locating missing or concealed offender and child(ren).
- b. Utilizing any appropriate civil or criminal court action to secure compliance.
 - (1) Preparation and investigation of reports and requests for assistance.
 - (2) Seeking physical restraint of offenders and/or the child(ren) to assure compliance with court orders.
 - (3) Process services and attendant court fees and costs.
 - (4) Depositions.
- c. Physically recovering the child(ren).
 - (1) Travel expenses, food, lodging, and transportation for the escort and child(ren).
 - (2) Other personal necessities for the child. All such items purchased must be itemized.
- 2. Court actions and costs in cases involving child custody or visitation orders from another jurisdiction, which may include, but are not limited to, utilization of the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction Act (Family Code Sections 3400 through 3425) and actions relating to the Federal Parental Kidnapping Prevention Act (42 USC 1738A) and The Hague Convention of 25 October 1980 on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction (Senate Treaty Document 99-11, 99th Congress, 1st Session).
 - a. Cost of providing foster care or other short-term care for any child pending return to the out-of-jurisdiction custodian. The reimbursable period of foster home care or other short-term care may not exceed three days unless special circumstances exist.
 - Please explain the special circumstances. A maximum of ten days per child is allowable. Costs must be identified per child, per day. This cost must be reduced by the amount of state reimbursement for foster home care which is received by the county for the child(ren) so placed.
 - b. Cost of transporting the child(ren) to the out-of-jurisdiction custodian.
 - (1) Travel expenses, food, lodging, and transportation for the

- escort and child(ren).
- (2) Other personal necessities for the child(ren). All such items purchased must be itemized. Cost recovered from any party, individual or agency, must be shown and used as an offset against costs reported in this section.
- (3) Securing appearance of offender and/or child(ren) when an arrest warrant has been issued or other order of the court to produce the offender or child(ren).
 - (a) Cost of serving arrest warrant or order and detaining the individual in custody, if necessary, to assure appearance in accordance with the arrest warrant or order.
 - (b) Cost of providing foster home care or other shortterm care for any child requiring such because of the detention of the individual having custody. The number of days for the foster home care or shortterm care shall not exceed the number of days of the detention period of the individual having physical custody of the minor.
- (4) Return of an illegally obtained or concealed child(ren) to the legal custodian or agency.
 - (a) Costs of food, lodging, transportation and other personal necessities for the child(ren) from the time he/she is located until he/she is delivered to the legal custodian or agency. All personal necessities purchased must be itemized.
 - (b) Cost of an escort for the child(ren), including costs of food, lodging, transportation and other expenses where such costs are a proper charge against the county. The type of escort utilized must be specified.

Any funds received as a result of costs assessed against a defendant or other party in a criminal or civil action for the return or care of the minor(s) (or defendant, if not part of a criminal extradition) must be shown and used as an offset against these costs.

VI. NON-REIMBURSABLE COSTS

A. Costs associated with criminal prosecution, commencing with the defendant's first appearance in a California court, for offenses defined in Sections 278 or 278.5 of the Penal Code, wherein the missing, abducted, or concealed child(ren)

has been returned to the lawful person or agency.

VII. CLAIM PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION

Claims for reimbursement must be timely filed and identify each cost element for which reimbursement is claimed under this mandate. Claimed costs must be identified to each reimbursable activity identified in Section V of this document.

A. Direct Costs

Direct costs are defined as costs that can be traced to specific goods, services, units, programs, activities or functions.

Claimed costs shall be supported by the following cost element information:

1. Salary and Employees' Benefits

Identify the employee(s), show the classification of the employee(s) involved, describe the mandated functions performed and specify the actual number of hours devoted to each function, the productive hourly rate, and the related benefits. The average number of hours devoted to each function may be claimed if supported by a documented time study. Benefits are reimbursable; however, benefit rates must be itemized. If no itemization is submitted, 21 percent must be used for computation of claimed cost.

2. Contracted Services

Provide copies of the contract, separately show the contract services performed relative to the mandate, and the itemized costs for such services. Invoices must be submitted as supporting documentation with the claim.

3. Materials and Supplies

Only expenditures which can be identified as a direct cost of the mandate such as, but not limited to, vehicles, office equipment, communication devices, memberships, subscriptions, publications, may be claimed. List the cost of the materials and supplies consumed specifically for the purposes of this mandate. Purchases shall be claimed at the actual price after deducting cash discounts, rebates and allowances received from the claimant. Supplies that are withdrawn from inventory shall be charged based on a recognized method of costing, consistently applied.

4. Travel

Travel expenses for mileage, per diem, lodging, and other employee entitlement are eligible for reimbursement in accordance with the rules of the local jurisdiction. Provide the name(s) of the traveler(s), purpose of

travel, inclusive dates and times of travel, destination points, and travel costs.

5. Training

The cost of training an employee to perform the mandated activities is eligible for reimbursement. Identify the employee(s) by name and job classification. Provide the title and subject of the training session, the date(s) attended, and the location. Reimbursable costs may include salaries and benefits, registration fees, transportation, lodging, and per diem. Ongoing training is essential to the performance of this mandate because of frequent turnover in staff, rapidly changing technology, and developments in case law, statutes, and procedures. Reimbursable training under this section includes child abduction training scheduled during the California Family Support Council's conferences, the annual advanced child abduction training sponsored by the California District Attorney Association, and all other professional training.

B. Indirect Costs

Indirect costs are defined as costs which are incurred for a common or joint purpose, benefiting more than one program and are not directly assignable to a particular department or program without efforts disproportionate to the result achieved. Indirect costs may include both (1) overhead costs of the unit performing the mandate, and (2) the costs of central government services distributed to other departments based on a systematic and rational basis through a cost allocation plan.

Compensation for indirect costs is eligible for reimbursement utilizing the procedure provided in the OMB Circular A-87. Claimants have the option of using 10% of direct labor, excluding fringe benefits, or preparing an Indirect Cost Rate Proposal (ICRP) for the department if the indirect cost rate claimed exceeds 10%. If more than one department is claiming indirect costs for the mandated program, each department must have its own ICRP prepared in accordance with OMB Circular A-87. An ICRP must be submitted with the claim when the indirect cost rate exceeds 10%.

1. Reimbursements

On a separate schedule, show details of any reimbursements received from the individuals or agencies involved in these cases. Show the total amount of such reimbursements as a reduction of the amount claimed on the cost summary form.

In addition, the costs claimed must be reduced by the amount recovered from the charges imposed by the court.

Any amount received by a county and forwarded directly to the state, must be reported on the cost summary form, but will not reduce the amount of the claim.

2. Mileage and Travel

Local entities will be reimbursed according to the rules of the local jurisdiction.

VIII. SUPPORTING DATA RECORD RETENTION

For auditing purposes, all costs claimed must be traceable to source documents and/or worksheets that show evidence of and the validity of such costs. These documents must be kept on file by the agency submitting the claim for a period specified in Government Code section 17558.5.

Pursuant to Government Code section 17558.5, subdivision (a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a local agency or school district pursuant to this chapter1 is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. In any case, an audit shall be completed not later than two years after the date that the audit is commenced. All documents used to support the reimbursable activities, as described in Section V, must be retained during the period subject to audit. If the Controller has initiated an audit during the period subject to audit, the retention period is extended until the ultimate resolution of any audit findings.

IX. OFFSETTING SAVINGS AND OTHER REIMBURSEMENT

Any offsetting savings the claimant experiences as a direct result of this statute must be deducted from the costs claimed. In addition, reimbursement for this mandate received from any source e.g., federal, state, etc., shall be identified and deducted from the claim.

X. REQUIRED CERTIFICATION

An authorized representative of the claimant will be required to provide a certification of the claim, as specified in the State Controller's claiming instructions, for those costs mandated by the state contained therein.

16

¹ This refers to Title 2, division 4, part 7, chapter 4 of the Government Code.