#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES 980 NINTH STREET, SUITE 300 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 PHONE: (916) 323-3562 FAX: (916) 445-0278 E-mail: csminfo@csm.ca.gov



November 19, 2013

Mr. Michael Byrne Department of Finance 915 L Street, Room 1190 Sacramento, CA 95814

And Parties, Interested Parties, and Interested Persons (See Mailing List)

Re: Final Staff Analysis and Proposed Statement of Decision, and Notice of Hearing Mandate Redetermination Request, 12-MR-02 *Local Recreational Areas: Background Screenings*, (01-TC-11) Public Resources Code Section 5164(b)(1), (b)(2), and (b)(3) as added or amended by Statutes 2001, Chapter 777 (AB 351); Statutes 2010, Chapter 719, (SB 856) California Department of Finance, Requester

Dear Mr. Byrne:

The final staff analysis for the above-named matter is enclosed for your review.

#### Hearing

This matter is set for hearing on **Friday**, **December 6**, **2013**, at 10:00 a.m., State Capitol, Room 447, Sacramento, California. Please let us know in advance if you or a representative of your agency will testify at the hearing, and if other witnesses will appear. If you would like to request postponement of the hearing, please refer to section 1183.01(c)(2) of the Commission's regulations.

#### **Special Accommodations**

For any special accommodations such as a sign language interpreter, an assistive listening device, materials in an alternative format, or any other accommodations, please contact the Commission Office at least five to seven *working* days prior to the meeting.

Please contact Heidi Palchik at (916) 323-3562 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Heather Halsey Executive Director

 $\label{eq:lass} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Hearing Date: December 6, 2013} \\ \mbox{J:}\MANDATES\2001\tc\01\tc\-11 (Local Recreational Areas Background Screenings)\12\-MR\-02\First Hearing - Adequate Showing\FSA_PSOD.docx \end{array}$ 

#### ITEM 6 MANDATE REDETERMINATION FIRST HEARING: ADEQUATE SHOWING

## FINAL STAFF ANALYSIS AND PROPOSED STATEMENT OF DECISION

Public Resources Code Section 5164

Statutes 2001, Chapter 777

Local Recreational Areas: Background Screenings, (01-TC-11)

As Alleged to be Modified by:

Statutes 2010, Chapter 719 (SB 856)

12-MR-02

Department of Finance, Requester

Attached is the proposed statement of decision for this matter. This Executive Summary and the proposed statement of decision also function as the final staff analysis, as required by section 1190.05 of the Commission's regulations.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### Overview

On December 9, 2005, the Commission on State Mandates (Commission) adopted a statement of decision approving reimbursement for the *Local Recreational Areas: Background Screenings* program, 01-TC-11, which required local agencies to have prospective employees or volunteers complete an application that inquires whether the person has been convicted of any offense specified in Public Resources Code 5164, and to screen prospective employees and volunteers who would have supervisory or disciplinary authority over minors pursuant to Penal Code section11105.3. That screening requires submitting the prospective employee's or volunteer's fingerprints, along with any other information required on a DOJ-approved form, and paying a fingerprint processing fee for prospective employees, but not for volunteers.

On June 26, 2008, the Commission adopted parameters and guidelines for the approved activities as follows:

1. Have each prospective employee or volunteer who would have supervisory or disciplinary authority over minors to complete an application that inquires as to whether or not the prospective employee or volunteer has been convicted of any offense specified in Public Resources Code section 5164(a). (Pub. Res. Code, § 5164, subd. (b)(1)). This is a one-time activity of revising and printing job applications that inquire as to the applicants' criminal history.

Screening, pursuant to Penal Code section 11105.3, prospective employees and volunteers who would have supervisory or disciplinary authority over minors. The screening procedure for these individuals requires submitting the following to the Department of Justice (DOJ): (1) the prospective employee's or volunteer's fingerprints, (2) any other data specified by DOJ on a DOJ-approved form, (3) for prospective employees only, paying the DOJ's fingerprint processing fee (no fee is required for a prospective volunteer). (Pub. Res. Code, § 5164, subds. (b)(1) & (b)(2)).

The Commission further found that fingerprints "may be taken by the local agency," and if taken by the local agency, the agency "may charge a fee not to exceed \$10," and other entities may charge more. The Commission therefore concluded that taking fingerprints was permissive, and therefore not reimbursable.

Statutes 2010, chapter 719 (SB 856) added subdivision (b)(3) to Public Resources Code section 5164, which provides that "[a] county, city, city and county, or special district may charge a prospective employee or volunteer described in subdivision (a) a fee to cover all of the...costs attributable to the requirements imposed by this section."<sup>1</sup> Statutes 2010, chapter 719 also added section 17570 to the Government Code, outlining the Commission's process for redetermination of test claims. This statute was an urgency measure and was chaptered on October 19, 2010.

On May 20, 2013, the Department of Finance (Finance) filed a request for redetermination of the test claim decision pursuant to Government Code section 17570.<sup>2</sup> Finance asserts that Statutes 2010, chapter 719 constitutes a subsequent change in the law, as defined in section 17570, which, pursuant to section 17556(d), results in the state's liability under the test claim statutes being modified.<sup>3</sup> Specifically, Finance argues that "as a result of the subsequent change in law, local agencies may charge a fee to cover all of their costs attributable to the mandated activities in Public Resources Code section 5164."

Section 17570 provides a process whereby a previously determined mandate finding can be redetermined by the Commission, based on a subsequent change in law. The Government Code provides for a two hearing process. The Commission's regulations state that "the first hearing shall be limited to the issue of whether the requester has made an adequate showing which identifies a subsequent change in law as defined by Government Code section 17570, material to the prior test claim decision, that may modify the state's liability pursuant to Article XIII B, section 6, subdivision (a) of the California Constitution." The regulations state that the Commission "shall find that the requester has made an adequate showing if it finds that the request, when considered in light of all of the written responses and supporting documentation in the record of this request, has a substantial possibility of prevailing at the second hearing." The regulations further state that "[i]f the commission proceeds to the second hearing, it shall consider whether the state's liability...has been modified based on the subsequent change in law

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Public Resources Code section 5164 (as amended by Stats. 2010, ch. 719 (SB 856)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on the May 20, 2013 filing date, the potential period of reimbursement affected by this redetermination begins July 1, 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Exhibit A, Request for Redetermination, at p. 6.

Local Recreational Areas: Background Screening, (01-TC-11), 12-MR-02 Mandate Redetermination, First Hearing Final Staff Analysis and Proposed Statement of Decision

alleged by the requester, thus requiring adoption of a new test claim decision to supersede the previously adopted test claim decision.<sup>4</sup>

Therefore, the sole issue before the Commission at this first hearing is whether Finance, as the requester, has made an adequate showing that the state's liability has been modified pursuant to a subsequent change in law, as defined in section 17570.

Under section 17570, a request for redetermination of a test claim must be filed "on or before June 30 following a fiscal year in order to establish eligibility for reimbursement or loss of reimbursement for that fiscal year." Based on the filing date of this request for redetermination, reimbursement would end, if the request is granted, beginning July 1, 2011.

#### **Staff Analysis**

Government Code section 17556(d) provides that the Commission *shall not find* costs mandated by the state, within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6, if "[t]he local agency or school district has the authority to levy service charges, fees, or assessments sufficient to pay for the mandated program or increased level of service." Section 17556(d) also states that this rule "applies regardless of whether the authority to levy charges, fees, or assessments was enacted or adopted prior to or after the date on which the statute or executive order was enacted or issued."<sup>5</sup>

Staff finds that Statutes 2010, chapter 719 (SB 856) constitutes a subsequent change in law, as defined in section 17570. Statutes 2010, chapter 719 provides local government with the authority to impose fees or charges "to cover *all....costs* attributable to the requirements imposed by" the test claim statute and, pursuant to section 17556(d), the Commission shall not find costs mandated by the state where the local government has such authority. Therefore, Finance has made an adequate showing that the state's liability under the 01-TC-11 test claim decision has been modified, and that Finance has a substantial possibility of prevailing at the second hearing.

#### **Staff Recommendation**

Staff recommends that the Commission adopt this statement of decision and, pursuant to Government Code section 17570(b)(d)(4), direct staff to notice the request for a second hearing to determine if a new test claim decision shall be adopted to supersede the previously adopted test claim decision. If the Commission adopts the attached proposed statement of decision, the second hearing for this matter will be set for January 24, 2014.

Staff also recommends that the Commission authorize staff to make any non-substantive, technical changes to the proposed statement of decision following the hearing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Code of Regulations, Title 2, section 1190.05 (Register 2010, No. 48).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Government Code section 17556 (As amended by Stats. 2010, ch. 719 (SB 856)).

# BEFORE THE COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### IN RE MANDATE REDETERMINATION: FIRST HEARING: ADEQUATE SHOWING ON:

Public Resources Code Section 5164;

As amended by Statutes 2001, Chapter 777.

Local Recreational Areas: Background Screenings, 01-TC-11

As Alleged to be Modified by:

Statutes 2010, Chapter 719 (SB 856)

Filed on May 30, 2013

By the Department of Finance, Requester.

Case No.: 12-MR-02

Local Recreational Areas: Background Screenings, (01-TC-11)

STATEMENT OF DECISION PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 17500, ET SEQ.; CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 2, DIVISION 2, CHAPTER 2.5, ARTICLE 7. [Gov. Code, § 17570; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1190.05]

(Adopted December 6, 2013)

#### STATEMENT OF DECISION

The Commission on State Mandates (Commission) heard and decided this mandate redetermination during a regularly scheduled hearing on December 6, 2013. [Witness list will be included in the final statement of decision.]

The law applicable to the Commission's determination of a reimbursable state-mandated program is article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution, Government Code section 17500 et seq., title 2, California Code of Regulations 1189 et seq., and related case law.

The Commission [adopted/modified] the staff analysis at the hearing by a vote of [vote count will be included in the final statement of decision], and [directed/did not direct] staff to notice a second hearing to determine whether to adopt a new test claim decision to supersede the previously adopted test claim decision.

#### Summary of the Findings

The Commission finds that the Department of Finance (Finance) has made an adequate showing that the state's liability pursuant to article XIII B, section 6(a) of the California Constitution, for the 01-TC-11 mandate has been modified based on a subsequent change in law. Specifically, Statutes 2010, chapter 719 (SB 856) provided local agencies with the authority to charge a fee on prospective employees or volunteers to cover all costs attributable to the mandated background

Local Recreational Areas: Background Screening, (01-TC-11), 12-MR-02 Mandate Redetermination, First Hearing Final Staff Analysis and Proposed Statement of Decision check activities under Public Resources Code section 5164, and Government Code section 17556(d) proscribes a finding of costs mandated by the state where the local government has fee authority sufficient to cover the costs of the mandate. Pursuant to Government Code section 17570(b)(d)(4), the Commission will hold a second hearing to determine if a new test claim decision shall be adopted to supersede the previously adopted test claim decision.

#### **COMMISSION FINDINGS**

#### I. Chronology

12/09/2005	The Commission adopted the test claim statement of decision for <i>Local Recreational Areas: Background Screenings</i> , 01-TC-11, approving reimbursement for certain activities under Public Resources Code section 5164. <sup>6</sup>
06/26/2008	The Commission adopted parameters and guidelines. <sup>7</sup>
10/19/2010	The Legislature enacted SB 856, which added subdivision (b)(3) to Public Resources Code section 5164, providing for fee authority. <sup>8</sup>
05/20/2013	The Department of Finance filed a request for redetermination on test claim $01$ -TC- $11.9$
05/29/2013	Commission staff deemed the filing complete.
09/17/2013	Staff issued a draft staff analysis and proposed statement of decision for the first hearing. $^{10}$
09/30/2013	The State Controller's Office submitted written comments on the draft staff analysis for the first hearing. <sup>11</sup>
10/08/2013	The Department of Finance submitted written comments on the draft staff analysis for the first hearing. <sup>12</sup>

#### II. Background

Public Resources Code Section 5164 and Test Claim Decision

Public Resources Code section 5164 was enacted in 1993 (Stats. 1993, ch. 972) to prohibit a city, county or special district from hiring a volunteer or employee for positions having supervisory or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Exhibit B, Test Claim Statement of Decision.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Exhibit C, Test Claim Parameters and Guidelines.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Exhibit A, Request for Redetermination.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Exhibit A, Request for Redetermination.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Exhibit D, Draft Staff Analysis, First Hearing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Exhibit E, SCO Comments on Draft Staff Analysis, First Hearing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Exhibit F, Finance Comments on Draft Staff Analysis, First Hearing.

Local Recreational Areas: Background Screening, (01-TC-11), 12-MR-02 Mandate Redetermination, First Hearing Final Staff Analysis and Proposed Statement of Decision

disciplinary authority over any minor at specified local agency recreational areas if the employee or volunteer has been convicted of specified crimes. Section 5164 was enacted because of a volunteer coach's 1992 conviction for kidnapping and molesting a boy who was coached at Hoover Recreation Center in Los Angeles County. The coach was a registered sex offender whose background had not been inquired about by the recreation center.<sup>13</sup> The test claim statute at issue in 01-TC-11 (Stats. 2001, ch. 777, (AB 351)),<sup>14</sup> amended Public Resources Code section 5164 to provide that a city, county, city and county, or special district, shall not hire a person for employment, or take on a volunteer, in a position having supervisory or disciplinary authority over any minor if that person has been convicted of any offense specified in Penal Code section 11105.3(h)(1) or (h)(3). Statutes 2001, chapter 777 (AB 351) further provided that the city, county, or special district shall require each prospective employee or volunteer to complete an application inquiring whether the individual has been convicted of any of certain specified offenses, and shall screen any such prospective employee or volunteer for that person's criminal background, including obtaining fingerprints and a Department of Justice record. Penal Code section 11105.3(h)(3), (now Pub. Res. Code, § 5164(a)(2))<sup>15</sup> listed a number of crimes for which to screen prospective employees or volunteers who would have supervisory or disciplinary authority over minors, including, but not limited to, a number of permutations of sexual assault and sexual battery, unlawful sexual intercourse with a person under 18, corporal punishment or injury of a child, willful infliction of corporal injury, registerable sex offenses under section 290, or any other felony or misdemeanor conviction within 10 years of the prospective employer's request if the person has a total of three or more misdemeanor or felony convictions within the immediately preceding 10 year period.<sup>16</sup>

The Commission found that the test claim statute imposed a reimbursable state-mandated program on local government for the following activities:

- Requiring each local agency to have each prospective employee or volunteer who would have supervisory or disciplinary authority over minors to complete an application that inquires as to whether or not the prospective employee or volunteer has been convicted of any offense specified in Public Resources Code section 5164(a). (Pub. Res. Code, § 5164, subd. (b)(1)). This means that local agencies must perform the one-time activity of revising and printing job applications that inquire as to the applicants' criminal history.
- Screening, pursuant to Penal Code section 11105.3, prospective employees and volunteers who would have supervisory or disciplinary authority over minors. The screening procedure for these individuals requires submitting the following to DOJ:

<sup>16</sup> Exhibit B, Test Claim Statement of Decision, at pp. 2-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Assembly Committee on Local Government, Analysis of Assembly Bill 1663, as amended April 12, 1993 (1993-1994 Reg. Sess.), page 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Section 5164 has been amended since the test claim filing by Statutes 2004, chapter 184, but the amendments are not part of this analysis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Former Penal Code section 11105.3(h)(3), was amended by Statutes 2004, chapter 184, and moved to Public Resources Code section 5164(a)(2).

(1) the prospective employee's or volunteer's fingerprints, (2) any other data specified by DOJ on a DOJ-approved form, (3) for prospective employees only, paying the DOJ's fingerprint processing fee (no fee is required for a prospective volunteer).<sup>17</sup> (Pub. Res. Code, § 5164, subds. (b)(1) & (b)(2)).

The Commission further found that fingerprints "may be taken by the local agency," and if taken by the local agency, the agency "may charge a fee not to exceed \$10," and other entities may charge more. The Commission therefore concluded that taking fingerprints was permissive, and therefore not reimbursable.<sup>18</sup>

#### Mandate Redetermination Process under Section 17570

Government Code section 17570 provides a process whereby a test claim decision may be redetermined and superseded by a new test claim decision, if a subsequent change in law, as defined, has altered the state's liability for reimbursement. Section 17570 calls for a two hearing process; at the first hearing, the requester must make "an adequate showing which identifies a subsequent change in law as defined by Government Code section 17570, material to the prior the claim decision, that may modify the state's liability pursuant to Article XIII B, section 6, subdivision (a) of the California Constitution."<sup>19</sup>

A subsequent change in law is defined in section 17570 as follows:

[A] change in law that requires a finding that an incurred cost is a cost mandated by the state, as defined by Section 17514, or is not a cost mandated by the state pursuant to Section 17556, or a change in mandates law, except that a "subsequent change in law" does not include the amendments to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution that were approved by the voters on November 2, 2004. A "subsequent change in law" also does not include a change in the statutes or executive orders that impose new state-mandated activities and require a finding pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 17551.<sup>20</sup>

An "adequate showing" is defined in the Commission's regulations as follows:

The Commission shall find that the requester has made an adequate showing if it finds that the request, when considered in light of all of the written responses and supporting documentation in the record of this request, has a substantial possibility of prevailing at the second hearing.<sup>21</sup>

If the Commission finds, at the first hearing, that the requester has made an adequate showing, "the commission shall publish a decision finding that an adequate showing has been made and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Public Resources Code section 5164(b)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Exhibit B, Test Claim Statement of Decision, at p. 7 [citing Penal Code section 13300].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Code of Regulations, Title 2, section 1190.05(a)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Government Code section 17570, as added by Statutes 2010, chapter 719 (SB 856).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> California Code of Regulations, Title 2, section 1190.05(a)(1).

Local Recreational Areas: Background Screening, (01-TC-11), 12-MR-02 Mandate Redetermination, First Hearing Final Staff Analysis and Proposed Statement of Decision

setting the second hearing on the request to adopt a new test claim decision to supersede the previously adopted test claim decision."<sup>22</sup>

## **III.** Position of the Department of Finance, Requester<sup>23</sup>

Finance submitted a request to adopt a new test claim decision regarding Public Resources Code section 5164, pursuant to Government Code section 17570. Finance asserts that Statutes 2010, chapter 719 (SB 856) constitutes a subsequent change in the law, as defined in section 17570, which, when analyzed in light of section 17556, results in the state's liability under the test claim statutes being modified. Finance argues that "local agencies may charge a fee to cover all of their costs attributable to the mandated activities in Public Resources Code section 5164," and that therefore the state is no longer obligated to reimburse any costs for the mandated activities, pursuant to Government Code sections 17570 and 17556(d).<sup>24</sup>

On October 8, 2013, Finance submitted comments on the draft staff analysis and proposed statement of decision, concurring with the recommendation to adopt the proposed statement of decision and proceed to a second hearing to determine whether to adopt a new test claim decision.<sup>25</sup>

#### IV. Discussion

Under article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution, local agencies and school districts are entitled to reimbursement for the costs of state-mandated new programs or higher levels of service. In order for local government to be eligible for reimbursement, one or more similarly situated local agencies or school districts must file a test claim with the Commission. "Test claim" means the first claim filed with the Commission alleging that a particular statute or executive order imposes costs mandated by the state. Test claims function similarly to class actions and all members of the class have the opportunity to participate in the test claim process and all are bound by the final decision of the Commission for purposes of that test claim.

The Commission is the quasi-judicial body vested with exclusive authority to adjudicate disputes over the existence of state-mandated programs within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6.<sup>26</sup> The determination whether a statute or executive order imposes a reimbursable state-mandated program is a question of law.<sup>27</sup> In making its decisions, the Commission must strictly construe

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> California Code of Regulations, Title 2, section 1190.05(a)(5)(B).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> No other parties, or interested parties or persons have filed comments on this request for redetermination.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Exhibit A, Request for Redetermination, at p. 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Exhibit F, Finance Comments on Draft Staff Analysis, First Hearing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> *Kinlaw v. State of California* (1991) 53 Cal.3d 482, 487; Government Code sections 17551; 17552.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> County of San Diego v. State of California, (1997) 15 Cal.4th 68, 109.

article XIII B, section 6, and not apply it as an "equitable remedy to cure the perceived unfairness resulting from political decisions on funding priorities."<sup>28</sup>

Under Government Code section 17570, upon request, the Commission may consider the adoption of a new test claim decision to supersede a prior test claim decision based on a subsequent change in law which modifies the states liability.

The first hearing in the mandate redetermination process is intended, pursuant to the Government Code and the Commission's regulations, to determine only whether the requester has made an adequate showing that the state's liability has been modified based on a subsequent change in law, as defined. Therefore, analysis of section 17556(d), as well as consideration of the comments submitted by interested parties, will be limited to whether the request, when considered in light of all of the written responses and supporting documentation in the records of this request, has a substantial possibility of prevailing at the second hearing."<sup>29</sup> A thorough mandates analysis to determine whether and to what extent the state's liability has been modified, considering the applicable law, the arguments put forth by the parties and interested parties, and the facts in the record, will be prepared for the second hearing on this matter.

#### A. A Subsequent Change in Law is Alleged Resulting from Statutes 2010, Chapter 719.

On December 9, 2005, the Commission adopted a test claim decision in *Local Recreational Areas: Background Screenings*, 01-TC-11, finding reimbursable state-mandated activities imposed by Public Resources Code section 5164, as amended by Statutes 2001, chapter 777 (AB 351). On June 26, 2008, the Commission adopted parameters and guidelines for reimbursement of claims under the statute, which outlined the reimbursable activities as follows:

1. Have each prospective employee or volunteer who would have supervisory or disciplinary authority over minors to complete an application that inquires as to whether or not the prospective employee or volunteer has been convicted of any offense specified in Public Resources Code section 5164(a). (Pub. Res. Code, § 5164(b)(1)). This is a one-time activity of revising and printing job applications that inquire as to the applicants' criminal history.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> County of Sonoma v. Commission on State Mandates, (2000) 84 Cal.App.4th 1265, 1280, citing City of San Jose v. State of California (1996) 45 Cal.App.4th 1802, 1817.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Code of Regulations, Title 2, section 1190.05 (Register 2010, No. 48). This regulation describes the standard for the first hearing as follows:

The first hearing shall be limited to the issue of whether the requester has made an adequate showing which identifies a subsequent change in law as defined by Government Code section 17570, material to the prior test claim decision, that may modify the state's liability pursuant to Article XIII B, section 6, subdivision (a) of the California Constitution. The commission shall find that the requester has made an adequate showing if it finds that the request, when considered in light of all of the written responses and supporting documentation in the record of this request, has a substantial possibility of prevailing at the second hearing.

2. Screening, pursuant to Penal Code section 11105.3, prospective employees and volunteers who would have supervisory or disciplinary authority over minors. The screening procedure for these individuals requires submitting the following to the Department of Justice (DOJ): (1) the prospective employee's or volunteer's fingerprints, (2) any other data specified by DOJ on a DOJ-approved form, (3) for prospective employees only, paying the DOJ's fingerprint processing fee (no fee is required for a prospective volunteer). (Pub. Res. Code, § 5164(b)(1) & (b)(2)).

The Commission found that the following activities are not reimbursable:

- Taking fingerprints.
- Paying DOJ's fingerprint processing fee for a prospective volunteer.<sup>30</sup>

Statutes 2010, chapter 719 (SB 856), effective October 19, 2010, added subdivision (b)(3) to Public Resources Code section 5164, which provides that a local government may charge a fee for the prospective employee or volunteer to cover "all...costs attributable to the requirements imposed by this section." Paragraph (25) of the Legislative Counsel's Digest accompanying the bill states:

Existing law prohibits a county, city, city and county, or special district from hiring a person for employment or a volunteer to perform services, at a county, city, city and county, or special district operated park, playground, recreational center, or beach used for recreational purposes, in a position having supervisory or disciplinary authority over a minor, if that person has been convicted of specified offenses. Existing law requires a county, city, city and county, or special district to require each of those prospective employees and volunteers to complete an application that inquires as to whether that person has been convicted of one of those offenses, and imposes a screening requirement on the county, city, city and county, or special district with respect to those prospective employees and volunteers.

This bill would authorize a county, city, city and county, or special district to charge those prospective employees and volunteers a fee to cover all of the county, city, city and county, or special district's costs attributable to those requirements.<sup>31</sup>

Finance argues that the "2010 amendment to the Public Resources Code section 5164 is the "subsequent change in law" that allows the Commission to make a new test claim finding that the cost of the mandated program is not a cost mandated by the state." Finance maintains that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Exhibit C, Test Claim (01-TC-11) Parameters and Guidelines, at p. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Statutes 2010, chapter 719 (SB 856) Legislative Counsel's Digest, paragraph (25) [uncodified].

Local Recreational Areas: Background Screening, (01-TC-11), 12-MR-02 Mandate Redetermination, First Hearing Final Staff Analysis and Proposed Statement of Decision

"[a]s a result of the subsequent change in law, local agencies may charge a fee to cover all of their costs attributable to the mandated activities in Public Resources Code section 5164."<sup>32</sup>

# **B.** Section 17556(d) is Not Self-Executing, but Requires Commission Action Pursuant to Section 17570, Where a Commission Decision on the Test Claim Statutes has been Previously Adopted.

Government Code section 17556(d) provides that the Commission "shall not find costs mandated by the state, as defined in Section 17514" if the Commission finds that "the local agency or school district has the authority to levy service charges, fees, or assessments sufficient to pay for the mandated program or increased level of service." The California Supreme Court upheld the constitutionality of Government Code section 17556(d), in *County of Fresno v. State of California.*<sup>33</sup> The court, in holding that the term "costs" in article XIII B, section 6, excludes expenses recoverable from sources other than taxes, stated:

Section 6 was included in article XIII B in recognition that article XIII A of the Constitution severely restricted the taxing powers of local governments. (See *County of Los Angeles I, supra,* 43 Cal.3d at p. 61.) The provision was intended to preclude the state from shifting financial responsibility for carrying out governmental functions onto local entities that were ill equipped to handle the task. (*Ibid.*; see *Lucia Mar Unified School Dist. v. Honig* (1988) 44 Cal.3d 830, 836, fn. 6 [244 Cal.Rptr. 677, 750 P.2d 318].) Specifically, it was designed to protect the tax revenues of local governments from state mandates that would require expenditure of such revenues. Thus, although its language broadly declares that the "state shall provide a subvention of funds to reimburse ... local government for the costs [of a state-mandated new] program or higher level of service," read in its textual and historical context section 6 of article XIII B requires subvention only when the costs in question can be recovered *solely from tax revenues.*<sup>34</sup>

Accordingly, in *Clovis Unified School District v. Chiang*, the court found that the Controller's office was not acting in excess of its authority in reducing reimbursement claims to the full extent of the districts' authority to impose fees, even if there existed practical impediments to collecting the fees. In making its decision the court noted that the concept underlying the state mandates process that Government Code sections 17514 and 17556(d) embody is that "[t]o the extent a local agency or school district 'has the authority' to charge for the mandated program or increased level of service, that charge cannot be recovered as a state-mandated cost."<sup>35</sup> The court further noted that, "this basic principle flows from common sense as well. As the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Exhibit A, Request for Redetermination, at p. 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> County of Fresno v. State of California, supra, 53 Cal.3d 482.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Id, at p. 487.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Clovis Unified School Dist. v. Chiang (2010) 188 Cal.App.4th 794, at p. 812.

Controller succinctly puts it, 'Claimants can choose not to require these fees, but not at the state's expense.'"<sup>36</sup>

Section 17556(d) further provides that the limitation "applies regardless of whether the authority to levy charges, fees, or assessments was enacted or adopted prior to or after the date on which the statute or executive order was enacted or issued. In the context of fee authority enacted *after the test claim decision* on the subject matter has been adopted, an analysis under section 17556(d) cannot be entertained absent the redetermination process provided in section 17570. The Commission's process is the sole and exclusive venue in which eligible claimants vindicate the reimbursement requirement of article XIII B, section 6, and the Commission's decision on a test claim is final and binding, absent judicial review.<sup>37</sup> A later-enacted statute providing fee authority for a mandated program cannot, of its own force, undermine the Commission's mandate determination in a prior test claim decision. Section 17570 thus provides the mechanism for considering section 17556(d) when there is a subsequent change in law, as defined, "material to the prior test claim decision, that may modify the state's liability" pursuant to article XIII B, section 6.

"Subsequent change in law," is defined in section 17570(a)(2) as follows:

[A] change in law that requires a finding that an incurred cost is a cost mandated by the state, as defined by Section 17514, or is not a cost mandated by the state pursuant to Section 17556, or a change in mandates law, except that a "subsequent change in law" does not include the amendments to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution that were approved by the voters on November 2, 2004. A "subsequent change in law" also does not include a change in the statutes or executive orders that impose new state-mandated activities and require a finding pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 17551.<sup>38</sup>

Here, the amendments effected by Statutes 2010, chapter 719, providing local government with authority to charge a fee for costs associated with screening prospective employees or volunteers, implicate a section 17556(d) analysis, and therefore the amendments constitute a subsequent change in law, as defined.

# C. Finance has made an Adequate Showing that the State's Liability has been Modified.

Finance brings this request to adopt a new test claim decision relying on Government Code section 17556(d), and Statutes 2010, chapter 719 (SB 856). Statutes 2010, chapter 719 constitutes, by definition, a subsequent change in law, as discussed above.

The issue for this first hearing is whether Finance has made an adequate showing that the state's liability has been modified based on a subsequent change in law. The Commission shall find that the requester has made an adequate showing if it finds "that the request, when considered in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> *Ibid*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> *CSBA I, supra*, 171 Cal.App.4th 1183, at pp. 1199-1200.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Government Code section 17570, as added by Statutes 2010, chapter 719 (SB 856).

light of all of the written responses and supporting documentation in the record of this request, has a substantial possibility of prevailing at the second hearing."<sup>39</sup>

Here, a section 17556 analysis, presuming, as the Commission must, the constitutionality of the Government Code, would likely result in a finding that the fees authorized by the amended code section are sufficient to fully fund the costs of the program and so defeat a mandate finding. If the "local agency or school district has the authority to levy service charges, fees, or assessments sufficient to pay for the mandated program or increased level of service" the Commission is proscribed from finding increased costs mandated by the state. It is sufficient, at this time, to determine that there is a substantial possibility that the requester will prevail at the second hearing, on the basis of section 17556(d), and the manner in which the test claim statute has been modified by a subsequent change in law.

#### V. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, the Commission finds that Finance has made a sufficient showing at this first hearing to proceed to a second hearing to determine whether to adopt a new test claim decision.<sup>40</sup> The Commission hereby directs Commission staff to notice the second hearing and to prepare a full mandates analysis on the issue of whether the CSM shall adopt a new test claim decision to supersede the Commission's previously adopted test claim decision in 01-TC-11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1190.05.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> See Government Code section 17570(d) (Stats. 2010, ch. 719 (SB 856)).

Local Recreational Areas: Background Screening, (01-TC-11), 12-MR-02 Mandate Redetermination, First Hearing Final Staff Analysis and Proposed Statement of Decision

# **COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES**

#### **Mailing List**

Last Updated: 11/18/13

Claim Number: 12-MR-02

Issue: Local Recreational Areas: Background Screenings (01-TC-11)

#### TO ALL PARTIES, INTERESTED PARTIES, AND INTERESTED PERSONS:

Each commission mailing list is continuously updated as requests are received to include or remove any party or person on the mailing list. A current mailing list is provided with commission correspondence, and a copy of the current mailing list is available upon request at any time. Except as provided otherwise by commission rule, when a party or interested party files any written material with the commission concerning a claim, it shall simultaneously serve a copy of the written material on the parties and interested parties to the claim identified on the mailing list provided by the commission. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.2.)

Anita Agramonte, *City of Los Alamitos* 3191 Katella Ave., Los Alamitos, CA 90720 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A aagramonte@ci.los-alamitos.ca.us

Roberta Allen, *County of Plumas* 520 Main Street, Room 205, Quincy, CA 95971 Phone: (530) 283-6246 / FAX: N/A robertaallen@countyofplumas.com

Mark Alvarado, *City of Monrovia* 415 S. Ivy Avenue, Monrovia, CA 91016 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A malvarado@ci.monrovia.ca.us

Gary Ameling, City of Santa Clara 1500 Warburton Ave, Santa Clara, CA 95050 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A Finance@santaclaraca.gov

LeRoy Anderson, County of Tehama 444 Oak Street, REd Bluff, CA 96080 Phone: (530) 527-3474 / FAX: N/A landerson@tehama.net

Socorro Aquino, State Controller's Office Division of Audits, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 322-7522 / FAX: N/A SAquino@sco.ca.gov Lisa Bailey, *City of San Marino* 2200 Huntington Dr., San Marino, CA 91108 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A Ibailey@cityofsanmarino.org

Harmeet Barkschat, *Mandate Resource Services,LLC* 5325 Elkhorn Blvd. #307, Sacramento, CA 95842 Phone: (916) 727-1350 / FAX: N/A harmeet@calsdrc.com

David Baum, City of San Leandro 835 East 14th St., San Leandro, CA 94577 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A dbaum@sanleandro.org

**Deborah Bautista**, *El Dorado Hills Ccommunity Services District* 2 South Green Street, Sonora, CA 95370 Phone: (209) 533-5551 / FAX: N/A dbautista@co.tuolumne.ca.us

Lacey Baysinger, *State Controller's Office* Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 324-0254 / FAX: N/A lbaysinger@sco.ca.gov

**Terrance Beaman**, *City of Gardena* 1700 West 162nd Street, Gardena, CA 90247 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A tbeaman@ci.gardena.ca.us

John Beiers, County of San Mateo Office of the County Counsel, 400 County Center, Redwood City, CA 94063 Phone: (650) 363-4775 / FAX: N/A jbeiers@smcgov.org

Maria Bemis, *City of Porterville* 291 North Main Street, Porterville, CA 93257 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A mbemis@ci.porterville.ca.us

Richard Benson, County of Marin 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 208, San Rafael, CA 94903 Phone: (415) 499-7215 / FAX: N/A rbenson@co.marin.ca.us

Robin Bertagna, *City of Yuba City* 1201 Civic Center Blvd, Yuba City, CA 95993 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A rbertagn@yubacity.net

Karen Bradley, *City of Fresno* 2600 Fresno St. Rm. 2157, Fresno, CA 93721 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A karen.bradley@fresno.gov

Dawn Brooks, City of Fontana 8353 Sierra Way, Fontana, CA 92335 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A dbrooks@fontana.org

Allan Burdick,

7525 Myrtle Vista Avenue, Sacramento, CA 95831 Phone: (916) 203-3608 / FAX: N/A allanburdick@gmail.com

**J. Bradley Burgess**, *MGT of America* 895 La Sierra Drive, Sacramento, CA 95864 Phone: (916)595-2646 / FAX: N/A Bburgess@mgtamer.com

Vanessa Burke, *City of Stockton* 425 N. El Dorado St., Stockton, CA 95202 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A vanessa.burke@stocktongov.com

Rob Burns, *City of Chino* 13220 Central Avenue, Chino, CA 91710 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A rburns@cityofchino.org

Gary Burton, City of Irvine One Civic Center Plaza, Irvine, CA 92606 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A gburton@cityofirvine.org

Michael Byrne, Department of Finance 915 L Street, 8th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 445-3274 / FAX: N/A michael.byrne@dof.ca.gov

James Cameron, *City of Oxnard* 300 West Third Street, Suite 302, Oxnard, CA 93030 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A jim.cameron@ci.oxnard.ca.us

Joy Canfield, *City of Murrieta* 1 Town Square, Murreita, CA 92562 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A jcanfield@murrieta.org

Lisa Cardella-Presto, County of Merced 2222 M Street, Merced, CA 95340 Phone: (209) 385-7511 / FAX: N/A LCardella-presto@co.merced.ca.us

**Gwendolyn Carlos**, *State Controller's Office* Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 324-5919 / FAX: N/A gcarlos@sco.ca.gov

Rebecca Carr, County of Kings 1400 West Lacey Blvd, Hanford, CA 93230 Phone: (559) 582-1236 / FAX: N/A becky.carr@co.kings.ca.us

Lin-Lin Cheng, *City of Foster City* 610 Foster City Blvd, Foster City, CA 94404 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A lcheng@fostercity.org

Annette Chinn, Cost Recovery Systems,Inc. 705-2 East Bidwell Street, #294, Folsom, CA 95630 Phone: (916) 939-7901 / FAX: N/A achinners@aol.com

Lawrence Chiu, *City of Santa Rosa* 90 Santa Rosa Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95404 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A lchiu@srcity.org

Brian Cochran, *City of Novato* 75 Rowland Way #200, Novato, CA 94945 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A bcochran@novato.org

Russell Cochran Branson, *City of Roseville* 311 Vernon Street, Roseville, CA 95678-2649 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A rbranson@roseville.ca.us

Harriet Commons, *City of Fremont* P.O. Box 5006, Fremont, CA 94537 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A hcommons@fremont.gov

Stephen Conway, *City of Los Gatos* 110 E. Main Street, Los Gatos, CA 95031 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A sconway@losgatosca.gov

Cass Cook, *City of Dinuba* 405 East El Monte, Dinuba, CA 93618 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A ccook@dinuba.ca.gov

Jan Cooke, *City of Half Moon Bay* 501 Main Street, Half Moon Bay, CA 94019 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A jcooke@hmbcity.com

Julia Cooper, City of San Jose 200 East Santa Clara Street, San Jose, CA 95113 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A Finance@sanjoseca.gov

Viki Copeland, City of Hermosa Beach 1315 Valley Drive, Hermosa Beach, CA 90254 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A vcopeland@hermosabch.org

**Deborah Cullen**, *City of El Segundo* 350 Main Street, El Segundo, CA 90245-3813 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A dcullen@elsegundo.org

David Culver, *City of San Mateo* 330 West 20th Avenue, San Mateo, CA 94403-1388 Phone: (650) 522-7100 / FAX: N/A dculver@cityofsanmateo.org

Sheila Cumberland, *City of Ceres* 2720 Second Street, Ceres, CA 95307-3292 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A sheila.cumberland@ci.ceres.ca.us

Gavin Curran, *City of Laguna Beach* 505 Forest Avenue, Laguna Beach, CA 92651 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A gcurran@lagunabeachcity.net

William Davis, County of Mariposa Auditor, P.O. Box 729, Mariposa, CA 95338 Phone: (209) 966-7606 / FAX: N/A wdavis@mariposacounty.org

Dilu DeAlwis, *City of Colton* 125 E. College Street, Covina, CA 91723 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A ddealwis@covinaca.gov

Marieta Delfin, *State Controller's Office* Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 323-0706 / FAX: N/A mdelfin@sco.ca.gov

Brent Dennis, County of Tuolumne 1021 Harvard Way, El Dorado Hills, CA 95762 Phone: (916) 614-3237 / FAX: N/A Bdennis@edhcsd.org

**Richard Digre**, *City of Union City* 34009 Alvarado-Niles Road, Union City, CA 94587 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A rdigre@ci.union-city.ca.us

**Scott Dowell**, *Chico Area Recreation and Park District* 545 Vallombrosa Avenue, Chico, CA 95926

Phone: (530) 895-4711 / FAX: N/A sdowell@chicorec.com

**Robert Doyle**, *East Bay Regional Park District* 2950 Peralta Oaks Court, Oakland, CA 94605 Phone: (888) 327-2757 / FAX: N/A rdoyle@ebparks.org

Cheryl Dyas, *City of Mission Viejo* 200 Civic Center, Mission Viejo, CA 92691 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A cdyas@cityofmissionviejo.org

**Tom Dyer**, *Department of Finance (A-15)* 915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 445-3274 / FAX: N/A tom.dyer@dof.ca.gov

Scott Edwards, City of Poway PO Box 789, Poway, CA 92074 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A sedwards@poway.org

Pamela Ehler, *City of Brentwood* 150 City Park Way, Brentwood, CA 94513 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A pehler@brentwoodca.gov

**Bob Elliot**, *City of Glendale* 141 North Glendale Ave, Ste. 346, Glendale, CA 91206-4998 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A belliot@ci.glendale.ca.us

James Erb, County of San Luis Obispo 1055 Monterey Street, Room D222, San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 Phone: (805) 781-5040 / FAX: N/A jerb@co.slo.ca.us

Paul Espinoza, *City of Alhambra* 111 South First Street, Alhambra, CA 91801 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A pespinoza@cityofalhambra.org

Karen Fouch, County of Lassen 221 S. Roop Street, Ste 1, Susanville, CA 96130 Phone: (530) 251-8233 / FAX: N/A kfouch@co.lassen.ca.us

James Francis, *City of Folsom* 50 Natoma Street, Folsom, CA 95630 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A jfrancis@folsom.ca.us

Harold Fujita, *City of Los Angeles* Department of Recreation and Parks, 211 N. Figueroa Street, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90012 Phone: (213) 202-3222 / FAX: N/A harold.fujita@lacity.org

Mary Furey, *City of Saratoga* 13777 Fruitvale Avenue, Saratoga, CA 95070 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A mfurey@saratoga.ca.us

Susan Geanacou, Department of Finance 915 L Street, Suite 1280, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 445-3274 / FAX: N/A susan.geanacou@dof.ca.gov

Jeri Gilley, *City of Turlock* 156 S. Broadway, Ste 230, Turlock, CA 95380 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A jgilley@turlock.ca.us

Cindy Giraldo, City of Burbank 301 E. Olive Avenue, Financial Services Department, Burbank, CA 91502 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A cgiraldo@ci.burbank.ca.us

James Goins, *City of Richmond* 1401 Marina Way South, P.O. Box 4046, Richmond, CA 94804 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A james\_goins@ci.richmond.ca.us

Vivian Gong, *City of Dublin* 100 Civic Plaza, Dublin, CA 94568 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A vivian.gong@ci.dublin.ca.us

Joe Gonzalez, County of San Benito 440 Fifth Street Room 206, Hollister, CA 95023 Phone: (831) 636-4090 / FAX: N/A jgonzalez@auditor.co.san-benito.ca.us

Jan Grimes, County of Orange P.O. Box 567, Santa Ana, CA 92702 Phone: (714) 834-2459 / FAX: N/A jan.grimes@ac.ocgov.com

John Gross, *City of Long Beach* 333 W. Ocean Blvd., 6th Floor, Long Beach, CA 90802 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A john.gross@longbeach.gov

Pall Gudgeirsson, *City of San Clemente* 100 Avenida Presidio, San Clemente, CA 92672 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A gudgeirssonp@san-clemente.org

Marcia Hall, County of Madera Auditor-Controller, 200 W Fourth Street, 2nd Floor, Madera, CA 93637 Phone: (559) 675-7707 / FAX: N/A marcia.hall@madera-county.com

Anne Haraksin, *City of La Mirada* 13700 La Mirada Blvd., La Mirada, CA 90638 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A aharaksin@cityoflamirada.org

George Harris, *City of Rialto* 150 South Palmave., Rialto, CA 92376 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A gharris@rialtoca.gov

Jennifer Hennessy, *City of Temecula* 41000 Main St., Temecula, CA 92590 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A Jennifer.Hennessy@cityoftemecula.org

**Darren Hernandez**, *City of Santa Clarita* 23920 Valencia Blvd., Suite 295, Santa Clarita, CA 91355 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A dhernandez@santa-clarita.com

**Robert Hicks**, *City of Berkeley* 2180 Milvia Street, Berkeley, CA 94704 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A finance@ci.berkeley.ca.us

Rod Hill, City of Whittier 13230 Penn Street, Whittier, CA 90602 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A rhill@cityofwhittier.org

**Daphne Hodgson**, *City of Seaside* 440 Harcourt Avenue, Seaside, CA 93955 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A dhodgson@ci.seaside.ca.us

Sherri Holman, *City of Fountain Valley* 10200 Slater Ave, Fountain Valley, CA 92646 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A sherri.holman@fountainvalley.org

**Dorothy Holzem**, *California Special Districts Association* 1112 I Street, Suite 200, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 442-7887 / FAX: N/A dorothyh@csda.net

Marilyn Horn, County of Trinity P.O. Box 1230, 11 Court St., Weaverville, CA 96093 Phone: (530) 623-1317 / FAX: N/A Mhorn@trinitycounty.org

**David Houser**, *County of Butte* 25 County Center Drive, Suite 120, Oroville, CA 95965 Phone: (530) 538-7607 / FAX: N/A dhouser@buttecounty.net

Shannon Huang, *City of Arcadia* 240 West Huntington Drive, Arcadia, CA 91007 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A shuang@ci.arcadia.ca.us

**Elizabeth Hudson**, *City of Danville* 510 La Gonda Way, Danville, CA 94526 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A ehudson@danville.ca.gov

**Don Humphrey**, *Livermore Area Recreation and Park District* 4444 East Avenue, Livermore, CA 94550 Phone: (925) 373-5702 / FAX: N/A dhumphrey@larpd.dst.ca.us

Jean Hurst, California State Association of Counties 1100 K Street, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814-3941 Phone: (916) 327-7500 / FAX: N/A jhurst@counties.org

**Sung Hyun**, *City of Buena Park* 6650 Beach Boulevard, Buena Park, CA 90622 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A shyun@buenapark.com

Mark Ibele, Senate Budget & Fiscal Review Committee California State Senate, State Capitol Room 5019, State Capitol Room 5019, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 651-4103 / FAX: N/A Mark.Ibele@sen.ca.gov

Julia James, *City of Fullerton* 303 W. Commonwealth Ave., Fullerton, CA 92832 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A juliaj@ci.fullerton.ca.us

Edward Jewik, County of Los Angeles Auditor-Controller's Office, 500 W. Temple Street, Room 603, Los Angeles, CA 90012 Phone: (213) 974-8564 / FAX: N/A ejewik@auditor.lacounty.gov

Ferlyn Junio, *Nimbus Consulting Group,LLC* 2386 Fair Oaks Boulevard, Suite 104, Sacramento, CA 95825 Phone: (916) 480-9444 / FAX: N/A fjunio@nimbusconsultinggroup.com

Jill Kanemasu, State Controller's Office Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 322-9891 / FAX: N/A jkanemasu@sco.ca.gov Nancy Kerry, *City of South Lake Tahoe* 1901 Airport Road, South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A nkerry@cityofslt.us

Patty Kong, *City of Mountain View* P.O. Box 7540, Mountain View, CA 94039-7540 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A patty.kong@mountainview.gov

**Tina Kundig**, *City of Redlands* P.O. Box 3005, Redlands, CA 92373 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A tkundig@cityofredlands.org

Jay Lal, State Controller's Office (B-08) Division of Accounting & Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 324-0256 / FAX: N/A JLal@sco.ca.gov

Karina Lam, City of Paramount 16400 Colorado Avenue, Paramount, CA 90723 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A klam@paramountcity.com

Judy Lancaster, *City of Chino Hills* 14000 City Center Drive, Chino Hills, CA 91709 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A jlancaster@chinohills.org

James Larson, *City of King City* 212 South Vanderhurst Avenue, King City, CA 93930 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A jlarson@kingcity.com

Kenneth Louie, *City of Lawndale* 14717 Burin Avenue, Lawndale, CA 90260 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A klouie@lawndalecity.org

Joe Lowe, County of Amador 810 Court Street, Jackson, CA 95642-2131 Phone: (209) 223-6357 / FAX: N/A jlowe@amadorgov.org

Kathleen Lynch, Department of Finance (A-15) 915 L Street, Suite 1280, 17th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 445-3274 / FAX: N/A kathleen.lynch@dof.ca.gov

Van Maddox, County of Sierra 211 Nevada Street, 2nd Floor, P.O. Box 425, Downieville, CA 95936 Phone: (530) 289-3273 / FAX: N/A vmaddox@sierracounty.ws Susan Mahoney, *City of Orinda* 22 Orinda Way, Orinda, CA 94563 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A smahoney@cityoforinda.org

Suzanne Mallory, *City of Manteca* 1001 W Center Street, Manteca, CA 95337 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A smallory@ci.manteca.ca.us

Eddie Manfro, *City of Westminster* 8200 Westminster Blvd., Westminster, CA 92683 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A emanfro@westminster-ca.gov

Denise Manoogian, *City of Cerritos* P.O. Box 3130, Cerritos, CA 90703-3130 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A dmanoogian@cerritos.us

Noel Marquis, *City of Beverly Hills* 455 N. Rexford Dr., Beverly Hills, CA 90210 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A nmarquis@beverlyhills.org

**Thomas Marston**, *City of San Gabriel* 425 South Mission Drive, San Gabriel, CA 91776 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A tmarston@sgch.org

Hortencia Mato, *City of Newport Beach* 100 Civic Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 92660 Phone: (949) 644-3000 / FAX: N/A hmato@newportbeachca.gov

Mike Matsumoto, *City of South Gate* 8650 California Ave, South Gate, CA 90280 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A zcaltitla@pico-rivera.org

Dan Matusiewicz, *City of Newport Beach* 3300 Newport Blvd, Newport Beach, CA 92663 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A danm@newportbeachca.gov

Charles McBride, *City of Carlsbad* 1635 Faraday Avenue, Carlsbad, CA 92008-7314 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A chuck.mcbride@carlsbadca.gov

**Dennis McLean**, *City of Rancho Palos Verdes* 30940 Hawthorne Blvd., Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A dennism@rpv.com Donald McVey, *City of Daly City* 333 90th Street, Daly City, CA 94015 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A dmcvey@dalycity.org

Susie Mears, *City of Ojai* PO Box 1570, Ojai, CA 93024 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A mears@ci.ojai.ca.us

Paul Melikian, *City of Reedley* 1717 Ninth Street, Reedley, CA 93654 Phone: (559) 637-4200 / FAX: N/A paul.melikian@reedley.ca.gov

Michelle Mendoza, *MAXIMUS* 17310 Red Hill Avenue, Suite 340, Irvine, CA 95403 Phone: (949) 440-0845 / FAX: (614) 523-3679 michellemendoza@maximus.com

Dawn Merchant, *City of Antioch* P.O. Box 5007, Antioch, CA 94531 Phone: (925) 779-7055 / FAX: N/A dmerchant@ci.antioch.ca.us

Joan Michaels Aguilar, *City of Dixon* 600 East A Street, Dixon, CA 95620 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A jmichaelsaguilar@ci.dixon.ca.us

Michael Miller, County of Monterey 168 W. Alisal Street, 3rd floor, Salinas, CA 93901 Phone: (831) 755-4500 / FAX: N/A millerm@co.monterey.ca.us

Leyne Milstein, *City of Sacramento* 915 I Street, 5th Floor, Sacramento, CA 98514 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A lmilstein@cityofsacramento.org

Bruce Moe, *City of Manhattan Beach* 1400 Highland Ave., Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A bmoe@citymb.info

Minnie Moreno, *City of Patterson* 1 Plaza Circle, Patterson, CA 95363 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A mmoreno@ci.patterson.ca.us

Debbie Moreno, *City of Anaheim* 200 S. Anaheim Boulevard, Anaheim, CA 92805 Phone: (716) 765-5192 / FAX: N/A DMoreno@anaheim.net Russell Morreale, *City of Los Altos* One North San Antonio Road, Los Altos, CA 94022 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A rmorreale@losaltosca.gov

Tim Nash, *City of Encinitas* 505 S Vulcan Avenue, Encinitas, CA 92054 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A finmail@encinitasca.gov

Geoffrey Neill, California State Association of Counties 1100 K Street, Ste 101, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 327-7500 / FAX: N/A gneill@counties.org

**Doug Newland**, *County of Imperial* 940 Main Street, Ste 108, El Centro, CA 92243 Phone: (760) 482-4556 / FAX: N/A dougnewland@co.imperial.ca.us

Andy Nichols, Nichols Consulting 1857 44th Street, Sacramento, CA 95819 Phone: (916) 455-3939 / FAX: N/A andy@nichols-consulting.com

Mark Nuaimi, Town of Yucca Valley 57090 Twentynine Palms Highway, Yucca Valley, CA 92284 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A mnuaimi@yucca-valley.org

Patrick OConnell, *County of Alameda* 1221 Oak Street, Room 249, Oakland, CA 94512 Phone: (510) 272-6565 / FAX: N/A pat.oconnell@acgov.org

Andy Okoro, *City of Norco* 2870 Clark Avenue, Norco, CA 92860 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A aokoro@ci.norco.ca.us

Marianne OMalley, *Legislative Analyst's Office (B-29)* 925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 319-8315 / FAX: N/A marianne.O'malley@lao.ca.gov

Simona Padilla-Scholtens, County of Solano 675 Texas Street, Suite 2800, Fairfield, CA 94533 Phone: (707) 784-6280 / FAX: N/A spadilla@solanocounty.com

Susan Paragas, *City of Azusa* PO Box 1395, Azusa, CA 91702 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A sparagas@ci.azusa.ca.us Alice Park-Renzie, County of Alameda CAO, 1221 Oak Street, Oakland, CA 94612 Phone: (510) 272-3873 / FAX: N/A Alice.Park@acgov.org

**Donald Parker**, *City of Montclair* 5111 Benito St., Montclair, CA 91763 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A dparker@cityofmontclair.org

Lalo Perez, *City of Palo Alto* P.O. Box 10250, Palo Alto, CA 94303 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A lalo.perez@cityofpaloalto.org

**Eva Phelps**, *City of San Ramon* 2226 Camino Ramon, San Ramon, CA 94583 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A ephelps@sanramon.ca.gov

Marcus Pimentel, *City of Santa Cruz* 809 Center Street, Rm 101, Santa Cruz, CA 95060 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A dl Finance@cityofsantacruz.com

Adam Pirrie, *City of Claremont* 207 Harvard Ave, Claremont, CA 91711 Phone: (909) 399-5328 / FAX: (909) 399-5356 apirrie@ci.claremont.ca.us

Brian Ponty, *City of Redwood City* 1017 Middlefield Road, Redwood City, CA 94063 Phone: (650) 780-7300 / FAX: N/A finance@redwoodcity.org

Jai Prasad, County of San Bernardino Office of Auditor-Controller, 222 West Hospitality Lane, 4th Floor, 222 West Hospitality Lane, 4th Floor, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0018 Phone: (909) 386-8854 / FAX: N/A jai.prasad@atc.sbcounty.gov

Lorena Quijano,, *City of Baldwin Park* 14403 East Pacific Avenue, Baldwin Park, CA 91706 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A lquijano@baldwinpark.com

John Quinn, *City of Calexico* 608 Heber Ave., Calexico, CA 92231 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A jquinn@calexico.ca.gov

Frank Quintero, *City of Merced* 678 West 18th Street, Merced, CA 95340 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A quinterof@cityofmerced.org

**Yvonne Quiring**, *City of Davis* 23 Russell Blvd., Davis, CA 95616 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A yquiring@cityofdavis.org

Roberta Reed, County of Mono P.O. Box 556, Bridgeport, CA 93517 Phone: (760) 932-5490 / FAX: N/A RReed@mono.ca.gov

Karan Reid, City of Concord 1950 Parkside Drive, Concord, CA 94519 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A karan.reid@cityofconcord.org

Mark Rewolinski, *MAXIMUS* 625 Coolidge Drive, Suite 100, Folsom, CA 95630 Phone: (949) 440-0845 / FAX: N/A markrewolinski@maximus.com

James Riley, *City of Lake Elsinore* 130 South Main Street, Lake Elsinore, CA 92530 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A jriley@lake-elsinore.org

Rosa Rios, *City of Delano* 1015 11th Ave., Delano, CA 93216 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A rrios@cityofdelano.org

Kathy Rios, State Controller's Office Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 324-5919 / FAX: N/A krios@sco.ca.gov

Ann Ritzma, *City of Pacifica* 170 Santa Maria Avenue, Pacifica, CA 94044 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A ritzmaa@ci.pacifica.ca.us

Amanda Roberson, *City of Lynwood* 11330 Bullis Road, Lynwood, CA 90262 Phone: (310) 603-0220 / FAX: N/A aroberson@lynwood.ca.us

Mark Roberts, *City of National City* 1243 National City Blvd., National City, CA 91950 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A finance@nationalcityca.gov

Laura Rocha, *City of San Marcos* 1 Civic Center Drive, San Marcos, CA 92069 Phone: (760) 744-1050 / FAX: N/A Lrocha@san-marcos.net

Gil Rojas, *City of Escondido* 201 N. Broadway, Escondido, CA 92025 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A grojas@ci.escondido.ca.us

**Benjamin Rosenfield**, *City & County of San Francisco* 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 316, San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 554-7500 / FAX: N/A ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org

Leticia Salcido, *City of El Centro* 1275 Main Street, El Centro, CA 92243 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A Isalcido@ci.el-centro.ca.us

Marcia Salter, *County of Nevada* 950 Maidu Avenue, Nevada City, CA 95959 Phone: (530) 265-1244 / FAX: N/A marcia.salter@co.nevada.ca.us

Kathy Samms, County of Santa Cruz 701 Ocean Street, Room 340, Santa Cruz, CA 95060 Phone: (831) 454-2440 / FAX: N/A shf735@co.santa-cruz.ca.us

Tracy Sandoval, County of San Diego 1600 Pacific Highway, Room 166, San Diego, CA 92101 Phone: (619) 531-5413 / FAX: N/A tracy.sandoval@sdcounty.ca.gov

**Stuart Schillinger**, *City of Brisbane* 50 Park Place, Brisbane, CA 94005-1310 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A schillinger@ci.brisbane.ca.us

Matthew Schuneman, *MAXIMUS* 900 Skokie Boulevard, Suite 265, Northbrook, Il 60062 Phone: (847) 513-5504 / FAX: N/A matthewschuneman@maximus.com

Mary Scott, City of Walnut Creek 1666 N. Main Street, Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Phone: 925-943-5820 / FAX: N/A scott@walnut-creek.org

Lee Scott, Department of Finance 15 L Street, 8th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 445-3274 / FAX: N/A lee.scott@dof.ca.gov

**Peggy Scroggins**, *County of Colusa* 546 Jay Street, Ste 202, Colusa, CA 95932 Phone: (530) 458-0400 / FAX: N/A pscroggins@countyofcolusa.org

Vinod Sharma, County of Santa Clara 70 West Hedding Street, San Jose, CA 95110 Phone: (408) 299-5200 / FAX: N/A Vinod.Sharma@fin.sccgov.org

Wayne Shimabukuro, County of San Bernardino Auditor/Controller-Recorder-Treasurer-Tax Collector, 222 West Hospitality Lane, 4th Floor, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0018 Phone: (909) 386-8850 / FAX: N/A wayne.shimabukuro@atc.sbcounty.gov

Nelson Smith, City of Bakersfield 1600 Truxtun Avenue, Bakersfield, CA 93301 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A nsmith@bakersfieldcity.us

**Osborn Solitei**, *City of Oakland* Administrative Service Department, 150 Frank H Ogawa Plaza, Oakland, CA 94612 Phone: (510) 238-3809 / FAX: (510) 238-3915 osolitei@oaklandnet.com

Jim Spano, State Controller's Office Division of Audits, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 323-5849 / FAX: N/A jspano@sco.ca.gov

Dennis Speciale, *State Controller's Office* Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 324-0254 / FAX: N/A DSpeciale@sco.ca.gov

Betsy St. John, *City of Palmdale* 38300 Sierra Highway, Suite D, Palmdale, CA 93550 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A bstjohn@cityofpalmdale.org

Jim Steele, *City of South San Francisco* P.O. Box 711, South San Francisco, CA 94083 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A jim.steele@ssf.net

Steve Strong, *City of Redding* P.O. Box 496071, Redding, CA 96049-6071 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A sstrong@ci.redding.ca.us

Jana Stuard, *City of Norwalk* P.O. Box 1030, Norwalk, CA 90650 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A jstuard@norwalkca.gov Leslie Suelter, *City of Coronado* 1825 Strand Way, Coronado, CA 92118 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A lsuelter@coronado.ca.us

Paul Sundeen, *City of Riverside* 3900 Main Street, 6th Floor, Riverside, CA 92522 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A psundeen@riversideca.gov

David Sundstrom, County of Sonoma 585 Fiscal Drive, Room 100, Santa Rosa, CA 95403 Phone: (707) 565-3285 / FAX: N/A david.sundstrom@sonoma-county.org

David Sung, City of Hawaiian Gardens 21815 Pioneer Boulevard, Hawaiian Gardens, CA 90716 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A dsung@hgcity.org

Jesse Takahashi, *City of Campbell* 70 North First Street, Campbell, CA 95008 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A jesset@cityofcampbell.com

Jill Taura, *City of Glendora* 116 East Foothill Blvd, Glendora, CA 91741-3380 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A jtaura@ci.glendora.ca.us

Rick Teichert, *City of Moreno Valley* 14177 Frederick Street, Moreno Valley, CA 92552-0805 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A richardt@moval.org

Geoff Thomas, *City of El Cerrito* 10890 San Pablo Avenue, El Cerrito, CA 94530-2392 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A gthomas@ci.el-cerrito.ca.us

Sheryl Thur, County of Glenn 516 West Sycamore Street, Willows, CA 95988 Phone: (530) 934-6402 / FAX: N/A sthur@countyofglenn.net

Jolene Tollenaar, *MGT of America* 2001 P Street, Suite 200, Suite 200, Sacramento, CA 95811 Phone: (916) 443-9136 / FAX: N/A jolene\_tollenaar@mgtamer.com

**Mike Trinca**, *Paradise Paradise Recreation and Park District* 6626 Skyway, Paradise, CA 95969 Phone: (530) 872-6393 / FAX: N/A prpd@sbcglobal.net **Evelyn Tseng**, *City of Newport Beach* 100 Civic Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 92660 Phone: (949) 644-3127 / FAX: N/A etseng@newportbeachca.gov

Brian Uhler, *Legislative Analyst's Office* 925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 319-8328 / FAX: N/A brian.uhler@lao.ca.gov

Sue Vannucci, *City of Woodland* 300 First Street, Woodland, CA 95695 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A svannucci@cityofwilliams.org

Ruby Vasquez, County of Colusa 546 Jay Street, Suite 202, Colusa, CA 95932 Phone: (530) 458-0424 / FAX: (530) 458-0402 rvasquez@countyofcolusa.com

Mary Jo Walker, County of Santa Cruz 701 Ocean Street, Room 100, Santa Cruz, CA 95060-4073 Phone: (831) 454-2500 / FAX: N/A Aud002@co.santa-cruz.ca.us

Melinda Wall, *City of Lompoc* P.O. Box 8001, Lompoc, CA 93438-8001 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A m\_wall@ci.lompoc.ca.us

Sarah Waller-Bullock, *City of La Mesa* P.O. Box 937, La Mesa, CA 91944-0937 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A sbullock@ci.la-mesa.ca.us

George Warman Jr., *City of Corte Madera* P.O. Box 159, Corte Madera, CA 94976-0159 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A gwarman@ci.corte-madera.ca.us

David Wellhouse, David Wellhouse & Associates, Inc. 3609 Bradshaw Road, Suite 121, Sacramento, CA 95927 Phone: (916) 368-9244 / FAX: N/A dwa-david@surewest.net

David White, *City of Fairfield* 1000 Webster Street, Fairfield, CA 94533 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A dwhite@fairfield.ca.gov

**Barry Whitley**, *City of American Canyon* 4381 Broadway, Suite 201, American Canyon, CA 94503 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A bwhitley@cityofamericancanyon.org David Wilson, *City of West Hollywood* 8300 Santa Monica Blvd., West Hollywood, CA 90069 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A dwilson@weho.org

**Clara Wong**, *City of West Covina* 1444 W. Garvey Ave. South, West Covina, CA 91790 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A clara.wong@westcovina.org

David Woo, City of Cupertino 10300 Torre Avenue, Cupertino, CA 95014-3202 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A davidw@cupertino.org

Susie Woodstock, *City of Newark* 37101 Newark Blvd., Newark, CA 94560 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A susie.woodstock@newark.org

Anita Worlow, *AK & Company* 3531 Kersey Lane, Sacramento, CA 95864 Phone: (916) 972-1666 / FAX: N/A akcompany@um.att.com

Hasmik Yaghobyan, County of Los Angeles Auditor-Controller's Office, 500 W. Temple Street, Room 603, 500 W. Temple Street, Room 603, Los Angeles, CA 90012 Phone: (213) 893-0792 / FAX: N/A hyaghobyan@auditor.lacounty.gov

Annie Yaung, City of Monterey Park 320 West Newmark Avenue, Monterey Park, CA 91754 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A ayaung@montereypark.ca.gov

Carl Yeats, *City of Burlingame* 501 Primrose Rd., Burlingame, CA 94010 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A cyeats@burlingame.org

**Bobby Young**, *City of Costa Mesa* 77 Fair Drive, Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Phone: N/A / FAX: N/A Bobby.Young@costamesaca.gov