1	
2	
3	BEFORE THE COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES
4	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
5	
6	
7	2
8	Claim of:) No. CSM-4429) Dural Gada Gastian 7511
9	County of Fresno, County Fresno, Count
10) Section 7514, Subdivision (b),) and Section 7555
11) Chapter 768, Statutes of 1991 Claimant) <u>Law Enforcement/Inmate AIDS</u>
12) <u>Testinq</u>)
13)
4	DEGLGTON
15	DECISION
16	The attached Proposed Statement of Decision of the
17	Commission on State Mandates is hereby adopted by the
18	Commission on State Mandates as its decision in the
19	above-entitled matter.
20	
21	This Decision shall become effective on July 22, 1993.
22	IT IS SO ORDERED July 22, 1993.
23	
24	Pobort W Fish Everyting Director
25	Robert W. Eich, Executive Director Commission on State Mandates
°6	G:\SOD\FACESHET.4
17	
28	

1	BEFORE THE COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5)) No. CSM-4429
6	Claim of:) Penal. Code Section 7511, Subdivision (b),
7	County of Fresno,) Section 7514, Subdivision (b), and Section 7555
8 9) Chapter 768, Statutes of 1991 Claimant) <u>Law Enforcement/Inmate AIDS</u>) <u>Testing</u>
10)
11	
12	
13	PROPOSED STATEMENT OF DECISION
14	
15	This claim was heard by the Commission on State Mandates
16	(Commission) on May 27, 1993, in Sacramento, California, during a
17	regularly scheduled hearing.
18	
	Ms. Pamela A. Stone appeared on behalf of the County of Fresno.
I	Mr. James Apps appeared on behalf of the State Department of
21	Finance.
22	
23	Evidence both oral and documentary having been introduced, the
24	matter submitted, and vote taken, the Commission finds:
25	//
<i>.</i> ,6	//
27	//
28	//

[|], 1 ISSUE 2 Does Chapter 768, Statutes of 1991 (Chapter 768/91), in amending 3 Penal Code section 7511, subdivision (b), and adding section 7514, 4 subdivision (b), and section 7555, require local agencies to 5 implement a new program or a higher level of service in an existing 6 7 program within the meaning of Government Code section 17514 and section 6 of article XIIIB of the California Constitution? 8 9 10 BACKGROUND AND FINDINGS OF FACT 11 The test claim was filed with the Commission on December 31, 1992, 12 13 by the County of Fresno (claimant). 1 14 The elements for filing a test claim, as specified in section 1183 15 16 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations, were satisfied. 17 The Commission observed that this test claim is related to the test 18 19 claim which was previously approved involving Chapter 1579, Statutes of 1988 (Chapter 1579/88), Law Enforcement -- AIDS Testing. 20 Title 8, Part 3, commencing with section 7500 of the Penal Code, 21 22 was added by Chapter 1579/88 to require the implementation of a new 23 program of testing certain individuals for exposure to HIV. 24 The Commission noted that pursuant to section 7 of Chapter 1579/88, 25 26 the Law Enforcement -- AIDS Testing program was to be repealed on January 1, 1992, unless a statute was enacted before such date 27 which prevented the program from becoming inoperative. 28

2

The Commission recognized that the provisions of Penal Code 1 2 section 7555 of Chapter 768/91 were enacted before the January 1, 1992 repeal date and set forth a revised repeal or sunset date of 3 January 1, 1995. 4

The Commission found that Penal Code section 7555 continued the 7 requirements of Title 8, commencing with section 7500 of the Penal Code, regarding AIDS testing.

10 With respect to Penal Code section 7514, subdivision (b), the Commission recognized that specified local agencies must report 11 certain data to the Joint Legislative Committee on Prison 12 Construction and Operation on or before January 15, 1993, 1994, and 13 The Commission found that under prior law, the reporting of 14 1995. 15 such data was not required.

16

5

6

8

9

7511, Regarding Penal Code section subdivision (b) \top of 17 Chapter 768/91, the Commission found that the statutory language 18 contained therein merely clarifies the provisions previously set 19 forth in Penal Code section 7511, 20 subdivision (b) , of Chapter 1579/88. Furthermore, the Commission found that Penal Code 21 section 7511, subdivision (b), as amended by Chapter 768/91, will 22 be included as a reimbursable activity in the combined parameters 23 24 and guidelines for Chapter 1579/88 and Chapter 768/91.

- 25 11
- 2,6 11
- 27 11
- 28 11

3

APPLICABLE LAW RELEVANT TO THE DETERMINATION.

OF A REIMBURSABLE STATE MANDATED PROGRAM

Government Code section 17500 and following, and section 6, article XIIIB of the California Constitution and related case law.

CONCLUSION

9 The Commission determines that it has the authority to decide this 10 claim under the provisions of Government Code sections 17500 11 and 17551, subdivision (a).

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Code section 7514, concludes that Penal Commission 13 The subdivision (b), and section 7555 of Chapter 768/91 require local 14 agencies to implement a new program or higher Level of service in 15 an existing program, within the meaning of Government Code 16 section 17514 and section 6, article XIIIB of the California 17 Constitution, 18

19

Code section 7511, concludes that Penal Commission 20 The subdivision (b), of Chapter 768/91, does not expand the scope nor 21 add any new requirements to the related state mandated program 22 under Penal Code section 7511, subdivision (b), of Chapter 1579/88. 23 However, the requirements set forth in Penal Code section 7511, 24 subdivision (b), of Chapter 768/91, shall be included as a 25 reimbursable activity in the combined parameters and quidelines for 2,6 Chapter 1579/88 and Chapter 768/91. 27

28 //

The foregoing conclusion is subject to the following conditions: 1 The determination of a reimbursable state mandated 2 program does not mean that all increased costs claimed will be reimbursed. Reimbursement, if any, is subject to 3 Commission approval of parameters and guidelines for reimbursement of the mandated program; approval of a 4 estimate; a specific legislative statewide cost appropriation for such purpose; a timely-filed claim for 5 reimbursement; and subsequent review of the claim by the State Controller's Office. 6 If the statewide cost estimate for this mandate does not 7 exceed one million dollars (\$1,000,000) during the first twelve (12) month period following the operative date of 8 the mandate, the Commission shall certify such estimated amount to the State Controller's Office, and the State 9 Controller shall receive, review, and pay claims from the State Mandates Claims Fund as claims are received. 10 (Government Code section 17610.) 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

6 ^م

27

28