Hearing: July 28, 2011 j:mandates/2001/tc/01tc21/sce/fsa

#### **ITEM 13**

# FINAL STAFF ANALYSIS PROPOSED STATEWIDE COST ESTIMATE \$10,638

Penal Code Sections 11165.7(d) and 11174.3(a)

Statutes 1987, Chapters 640 and 1459 Statutes 1991, Chapter 132 Statutes 1992, Chapter 459 Statutes 1998, Chapter 311 Statutes 2000, Chapters 916 Statutes 2001, Chapters 133 and 754

Child Abuse and Neglect Reporting 01-TC-21

San Bernardino Community College District, Claimant

#### STAFF ANALYSIS

## **Background and Summary of the Mandate**

The test claim statutes require certain professionals, including teachers and other education professionals, to report suspected child abuse to local law enforcement or child welfare authorities.

The claimant filed the test claim on June 28, 2002. The Commission on State Mandates (Commission) adopted a statement of decision on July 31, 2009 and the parameters and guidelines on July 29, 2010. The Commission found that the test claim statute and regulations constitute a new program or higher level of service and impose a state-mandated program on school districts within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution and Government Code section 17514.

Eligible claimants were required to file initial reimbursement claims for fiscal years 2000-2001 through 2008-2009 with the State Controller's Office (SCO) by February 1, 2011, and for fiscal year 2009-2010 by February 15, 2011. Claims filed more than one year after the applicable deadline will not be accepted.

## Eligible Claimants and Period of Reimbursement

Any "school district" as defined in Government Code section 17519, except for community colleges, which incurs increased costs as a result of this mandate is eligible to claim reimbursement. Charter schools are not eligible claimants.

The period of reimbursement for this program begins on July 1, 2000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Exhibit A.

#### **Reimbursable Activities**

This test claim was filed by a community college district. The Commission found that there were no reimbursable activities imposed on community college districts, but it did find that activities were imposed on school districts. The following is a summary of those activities described more fully in the parameters and guidelines attached hereto as Exhibit A:

- Reporting to the State Department of Education the reasons why training is not provided, whenever school districts do not train their employees specified in Penal Code section 11165.7(a), in the duties of mandated reporters under the child abuse reporting laws. (Pen. Code, § 11165.7(d).)<sup>2</sup>
- Informing staff members of their responsibilities prior to the interview whenever a suspected victim of child abuse or neglect is to be interviewed during school hours, on school premises, and has requested that a staff member of the school be present at the interview.

#### **Statewide Cost Estimate**

Staff reviewed the claims data submitted by two school districts. Based on this data, staff made the following assumptions and used the following methodology to develop a statewide cost estimate for this program.

#### **Assumptions**

• The actual amount claimed for reimbursement may increase and exceed the statewide cost estimate.

There are 1,047 K-12 school districts in California. Of those, only two filed reimbursement claims for this program between 2001 and 2010. However, other eligible claimants could file reimbursement claims if they receive reports of child abuse or neglect, which could increase the cost of the program.

- There may be several reasons that non-claiming school districts did not file for reimbursement, including but not limited to:
  - 1. The Commission approved only a portion of this program as a mandate. Therefore, some school districts cannot reach the \$1,000 threshold for filing reimbursement claims.
  - 2. School districts did not have supporting documentation to file a reimbursement claim.
- The total amount of reimbursement for this program may be lower than the statewide cost estimate, because the SCO may reduce any reimbursement claim for this program.

The SCO may conduct audits and reduce any claims it deems to be excessive or unreasonable.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Added by Statutes 1987, chapter 1459; amended by Statutes 1991, chapter 132, Statutes 1992, chapter 459, Statutes 2000, chapter 916, Statutes 2001, chapter 133 (urgency), and Statutes 2001, chapter 754. Reimbursement for this activity begins July 1, 2000, based on the test claim filing date; the reimbursable activity was not substantively altered by later amendments.

# **Methodology**

Fiscal Years 2009-2010

The statewide cost estimate for fiscal year 2009-2010 was developed by totaling the two actual reimbursement claims filed with the SCO for that year.

The statewide cost estimate includes one fiscal year for a total of \$10,638. Following is the total cost per fiscal year:

Fiscal Year	Number of Claims Filed with SCO	<b>Estimated Cost</b>
2009-2010	2	\$10,638
TOTAL	2	\$10,638

# Comments on the Draft Staff Analysis and Proposed Statewide Cost Estimate

Commission staff issued the draft staff analysis and proposed statewide cost estimate for comment on June 21, 2011.<sup>3</sup> No comments were submitted.

## **Staff Recommendation**

Staff recommends the Commission adopt the proposed statewide cost estimate of \$10,638 for costs incurred in complying with the *Child Abuse and Neglect Reporting* program.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Exhibit B.