



EDMUND G. BROWN JR. • GOVERNOR

915 L STREET ■ SACRAMENTO CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

August 25, 2011

Mr. Drew Bohan  
Executive Director  
Commission on State Mandates  
980 Ninth Street, Suite 300  
Sacramento, CA 95814

**Test Claim 10-TC-07 “Santa Ana Region Water Permit—Riverside County.”**

Dear Mr. Bohan:

The Department of Finance (Finance) has reviewed the test claim on the Santa Ana Region Water Permit (test claim permit) submitted by the County of Riverside (claimant). The claimant alleges the test claim permit is a reimbursable state mandate because the test claim permit requirements exceed federal law, not included in the prior 2002 permit; and therefore imposes a new program or higher level of service on local agency dischargers.

As noted in comments on prior claims based on other regional water boards' permits, Finance believes that the test claim permit does not impose a reimbursable state mandate on local agencies within the meaning of Article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution. The test claim permit and its requirements are required by the federal Clean Water Act (CWA). The claim should be denied pursuant to the federal mandate exception in Government Code section 17556, subdivision (c) for the following reasons:

- Finance believes the test claim permit and its provisions are federal mandates because they are required by federal law. Federal law already requires the United States Environmental Protection Agency to issue permits to regulate municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4s) which are operated by local agencies (Section 1342(p) of Title 33 of the United States Code). The state's role as a permitting authority acting on behalf of the federal government negates the existence of a state mandate because the test claim permit is issued in compliance with federal law. As determined in the *County of Los Angeles v. Commission on State Mandates* (1995) 32 Cal.App. 4<sup>th</sup> 805, no state mandate exists if the state requirements, in the absence of state statute, would still be imposed upon local agencies by federal law.
- Finance believes the detailed provisions of the permit do not exceed federal law even though they are not explicitly stated in the federal CWA. According to the test claim narrative, more activities are required in the test claim permit than in the prior 2002 permit. As noted in our prior comments, the alleged increased level of service is based on the evolving local agency documents submitted in support of the provisions of a permit. The federal government requires a public discharger to submit a plan that is descriptive and provides specific activities showing how pollutants will be reduced to the

maximum extent practicable (MEP) in its jurisdiction. In support, the State Water Resources Control Board noted in their January 27, 2010 comments on the Commission's draft staff analysis recommending partially reimbursable mandates for the San Diego Region test claim (07-TC-09), that stormwater permits follow an iterative process whereby each successive permit becomes more refined and expanded as needed. The iterative process is based on experiences under the previous permit and proposed best management practices the claimant recommended to reduce pollutant discharges from the MS4s to the MEP. The reduction in pollutant discharges is required by federal law to meet effluent limitations guidelines. Finance asserts that the additional permit activities were necessary for the claimant to continue to comply with the federal CWA. Pursuant to Government Code section 17556, subdivision (c), an executive order that imposes requirements mandated by federal law and results in costs that are not a reimbursable state mandate unless the executive order mandates costs that exceed the federal mandate. The current permit does not exceed federal requirements.

- Finance also contends that the Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board (Board), as an administrator of federal law, has not imposed a reimbursable state mandate exceeding federal law because the federally-delegated responsibilities and duties of the Board are consistent with the CWA. The federal law requires permits to include detailed activities or requirements, e.g., best management practices, to reduce discharge of pollutants to the MEP. The Board, a federally-approved permitting authority, also is required to set forth permitting requirements as long as the requirements are not less stringent than the requirements of the federal law pursuant to the CWA. Federal law does not prescribe or restrict the factors that a state may consider when exercising its permitting authority. Finance, therefore, believes that the specific provisions of the permit were necessary and consistent with the Board's federally-delegated authority to address how the local discharging agencies shall manage the discharge of pollutants unique to its waters. As a result, the Board has not imposed a state reimbursable mandate on local dischargers within the meaning of Article XIII B, section 6, of the California Constitution.
- Finance notes that the claimant cites an appellate court case that does not support the conclusion that the permit activities exceed federal law. In *Long Beach Unified School District v. State of California (Long Beach)* (1990) 225 Cal.App.3d 155, it was found that the state executive order requirements exceeded federal law by mandating school districts to undertake defined steps and approaches that might help alleviate racial segregation. The court noted that the general guidelines under prior governing law were merely advisory requirements or suggestions, but the detailed or specific activities set forth by the state were additional requirements beyond the general guidelines. Accordingly, the court found the state requirements exceeded the federal law. Unlike the situation in *Long Beach*, federal law requires permits to include specific requirements. Because federal law requires specific provisions in a permit, and the permit was issued consistent with that federal requirement, the permit is a federal mandate and not a state reimbursable mandate. As a result, Finance believes the state-issued permit does not exceed federal requirements.
- Finance believes that implementing permit activities is not a governmental function unique to local agency dischargers. Even though the test claim permit was issued to local agency dischargers, only, the requirements within the test claim permit apply generally to public and private dischargers. While private dischargers may apply for separate permits, they have similar requirements as the public dischargers, such as

submitting stormwater pollution prevention plans to apply for a permit. The federal law requires industrial dischargers to comply with all of the requirements, e.g., best practicable control technology, that any permit must contain (Section 1311(b)(1)(A) of Title 33 of United State Code). As a result, the test claim permit is not a reimbursable state mandate. In *City of Richmond v. Commission on State Mandates* (1998) 64 Cal.App.4<sup>th</sup> 1190, the court found that, while a law may affect only local government, the law does not compel the conclusion that it imposes a unique requirement on local government. If the law puts local government on the same footing as other employers previously subject to the requirements newly imposed on local government, there is no reimbursable state mandate.

Pursuant to section 1181.2, subdivision (c)(1)(E) of the California Code of Regulations, "documents e-filed with the Commission need not be otherwise served on persons that have provided an e-mail address for the mailing list."

If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact Jeff Carosone, Principal Program Budget Analyst at (916) 445-8913.

Sincerely,



LISA ANN L. MANGAT  
Program Budget Manager

Enclosure

Enclosure A

DECLARATION OF JEFF CAROSONE  
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE  
CLAIM NO. CSM-10-TC-07


1. I am currently employed by the State of California, Department of Finance (Finance), am familiar with the duties of Finance, and am authorized to make this declaration on behalf of Finance.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the facts set forth in the foregoing are true and correct of my own knowledge except as to the matters therein stated as information or belief and, as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

8-24-11

---

at Sacramento, CA



---

Jeff Carosone

## Commission on State Mandates

Original List Date: 2/14/2011  
Last Updated: 8/10/2011  
List Print Date: 08/29/2011  
Claim Number: 10-TC-07  
Issue: Santa Ana Region Water Permit - Riverside County

### Mailing List

#### TO ALL PARTIES AND INTERESTED PARTIES:

Each commission mailing list is continuously updated as requests are received to include or remove any party or person on the mailing list. A current mailing list is provided with commission correspondence, and a copy of the current mailing list is available upon request at any time. Except as provided otherwise by commission rule, when a party or interested party files any written material with the commission concerning a claim, it shall simultaneously serve a copy of the written material on the parties and interested parties to the claim identified on the mailing list provided by the commission. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.2.)

---

Ms. Evelyn Tseng City of Newport Beach 3300 Newport Blvd. P. O. Box 1768 Newport Beach, CA 92659-1768	Tel: (949)644-3127 Email etseng@newportbeachca.gov Fax: (949)644-3339
Ms. Harmeet Barkschat Mandate Resource Services, LLC 5325 Elkhorn Blvd. #307 Sacramento, CA 95842	Tel: (916)727-1350 Email harmeet@calsdrc.com Fax: (916)727-1734
Mr. Wayne Shimabukuro County of San Bernardino Auditor/Controller-Recorder-Treasurer-Tax Collector 222 West Hospitality Lane, 4th Floor San Bernardino, California 92415-0018	Tel: (909)386-8850 Email wayne.shimabukuro@atc.sbcounty.gov Fax: (909)386-8830
Ms. Marianne O'Malley Legislative Analyst's Office (B-29) 925 L Street, Suite 1000 Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)319-8315 Email marianne.O'malley@lao.ca.gov Fax: (916)324-4281
Mr. Kyle E. Rowen City of Newport Beach Office of the City Attorney 3300 Newport Blvd. Newport Beach, CA 92658	Tel: (949)644-3131 Email krowen@newportbeachca.gov Fax: (949)644-3139
Mr. Jim Spano State Controller's Office (B-08) Division of Audits 3301 C Street, Suite 700 Sacramento, CA 95816	Tel: (916)323-5849 Email jspano@sco.ca.gov Fax: (916)327-0832

Mr. Jay Lal State Controller's Office (B-08) Division of Accounting & Reporting 3301 C Street, Suite 700 Sacramento, CA 95816	Tel: (916)324-0256 Email JLal@sco.ca.gov Fax: (916)323-6527
Ms. Jill Kanemasu State Controller's Office (B-08) Division of Accounting and Reporting 3301 C Street, Suite 700 Sacramento, CA 95816	Tel: (916)322-9891 Email jkanemasu@sco.ca.gov Fax:
Ms. Donna Ferebee Department of Finance (A-15) 915 L Street, 11th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)445-3274 Email donna.ferebee@dof.ca.gov Fax: (916)323-9584
Mr. Leonard Kaye Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller's Office 500 W. Temple Street, Room 603 Los Angeles, CA 90012	Tel: (213)974-9791 Email lkaye@auditor.lacounty.gov Fax: (213)617-8106
Mr. Edward Jewik Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller's Office 500 W. Temple Street, Room 603 Los Angeles, CA 90012	Tel: (213)974-8564 Email ejewik@auditor.lacounty.gov Fax: (213)617-8106
Mr. David R. Rice State Water Resources Control Board 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)341-5161 Email davidrice@waterboards.ca.gov Fax: (916)341-5199
Ms. Leonie Mulvihill City of Newport Beach Office of the City Attorney 3300 Newport Blvd. Newport Beach, CA 92658	Tel: (949)644-3131 Email lmulvihill@newportbeachca.gov Fax: (949)644-3139
Ms. Hasmik Yaghobyan County of Los Angeles Auditor-Controller's Office 500 W. Temple Street, Room 603 Los Angeles, CA 90012	Tel: (213)893-0792 Email hyaghobyan@auditor.lacounty.gov Fax: (213)617-8106
Mr. Jeff Carosone Department of Finance (A-15) 915 L Street, 8th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)445-8913 Email jeff.carosone@dof.ca.gov Fax:
Mr. Christien Brunette MAXIMUS 3130 Kilgore road, Suite 400 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670	Tel: (916)471-5510 Email christienbrunette@maximus.com Fax: (916)366-4838

Mr. Andy Nichols Nichols Consulting 1857 44th Street Sacramento, CA 95819	Tel: (916)455-3939 Email andy@nichols-consulting.com Fax: (916)739-8712
Mr. Mark Rewolinski MAXIMUS 3130 Kilgore Road, Suite 400 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670	Tel: (916)471-5516 Email markrewolinski@maximus.com Fax: (916)366-4838
Ms. Annette Chinn Cost Recovery Systems, Inc. 705-2 East Bidwell Street, #294 Folsom, CA 95630	Tel: (916)939-7901 Email achinnrcs@aol.com Fax: (916)939-7801
Mr. Allan Burdick CSAC-SB 90 Service 2001 P Street, Suite 200 Sacramento, CA 95811	Tel: (916)443-9236 Email allan_burdick@mgtamer.com Fax: (916)443-1766
Ms. Miranda Jackson Department of Finance 915 L Street, 8th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)445-8913 Email Miranda.Jackson@dof.ca.gov Fax:
Ms. Socorro Aquino State Controller's Office Division of Audits 3301 C Street, Suite 700 Sacramento, CA 95816	Tel: (916)322-7522 Email SAquino@sco.ca.gov Fax:
Ms. Anita Worlow AK & Company 3531 Kersey Lane Sacramento, CA 95864	Tel: (916)972-1666 Email akcompany@um.att.com Fax:
Mr. Michael Lauffer State Water Resources Control Board 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor Sacramento, CA 95814-2828	Tel: (916)341-5183 Email mlauffer@waterboards.ca.gov Fax: (916)641-5199
Ms. Juliana F. Gmur MAXIMUS 2380 Houston Ave Clovis, CA 93611	Tel: (916)471-5513 Email julianagmur@msn.com Fax: (916)366-4838
Mr. David Wellhouse David Wellhouse & Associates, Inc. 9175 Kiefer Blvd, Suite 121 Sacramento, CA 95826	Tel: (916)368-9244 Email dwa-david@surewest.net Fax: (916)368-5723

Ms. Carla Shelton Department of Finance 915 L Street, 8th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)445-8913 Email carla.shelton@dof.ca.gov Fax:
Ms. Susan Geanacou Department of Finance (A-15) 915 L Street, Suite 1280 Sacramento, CA 95814	Tel: (916)445-3274 Email susan.geanacou@dof.ca.gov Fax: (916)449-5252
Mr. David W. Burhenn Burhenn & Gest, LLP 624 S. Grand Ave., Suite 2200 Los Angeles, California 90017	Tel: (213)629-8788 Email dburhenn@burhenngest.com Fax: (213)688-7716
Mr. J. Bradley Burgess MGT of America 895 La Sierra Drive Sacramento, CA 95864	Tel: (916)595-2646 Email Bburgess@mgtamer.com Fax:
Mr. Dennis Speciale State Controller's Office (B-08) Division of Accounting and Reporting 3301 C Street, Suite 700 Sacramento, CA 95816	Tel: (916)324-0254 Email DSpeciale@sco.ca.gov Fax:
Ms. Jolene Tollenaar MGT of America 2001 P Street, Suite 200 Sacramento, CA 95811	Tel: (916)443-9136 Email jolene_tollenaar@mgtamer.com Fax: (916)443-1766



## COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

980 NINTH STREET, SUITE 300  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814  
PHONE: (916) 323-3562  
FAX: (916) 445-0278  
E-mail: csminfo@csm.ca.gov

**DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY EMAIL**

I, the undersigned, declare as follows:

I am a resident of the County of Solano and I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My place of employment is 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, California 95814.

On August 29, 2011, I served the:

**DOF Comments and SWRCB Comments**

*Santa Ana Region Water Permit – Riverside County, 10-TC-07*


California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Santa Ana Region, Order No.

R8-2010-0033, effective January 29, 2010

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District, County of Riverside, Cities of Beaumont, Corona, Hemet, Lake Elsinore, Moreno Valley, Perris and San Jacinto, Co-Claimants

by making it available on the Commission's website and providing notice of how to locate it to the email addresses provided on the attached mailing list.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on August 29, 2011 at Sacramento, California.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Heidi J. Palchik