## COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

980 NINTH STREET, SUITE 300 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 PHONE: (916) 323-3562 FAX: (916) 445-0278 E-mail: csminfo@csm.ca.gov



September 24, 2015

Chris Ferguson
Department of Finance
915 L Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

And Parties, Interested Parties, and Interested Persons (See Mailing List)

## Re: Draft Proposed Decision, Schedule for Comments, and Notice of Hearing

Mandate Redetermination Request, 14-MR-03

Community College Construction (02-TC-47)

Education Code Sections 81820, 81821(a), (b), (e), and (f)

Statutes 1980, Chapter 910; Statutes 1981, Chapter 470; Statutes 1981, Chapter 891; Statutes 1995, Chapter 759

Statutes 1995, Chapter 758

As Alleged to be Modified by Statutes 2014, Chapter 34 (SB 860)

Department of Finance, Requester

Dear Mr. Ferguson:

The draft proposed decision for the above-named matter is enclosed for your review and comment.

## **Written Comments**

Written comments may be filed on the draft proposed decision by **October 15, 2015**. You are advised that comments filed with the Commission on State Mandates (Commission) are required to be simultaneously served on the other interested parties on the mailing list, and to be accompanied by a proof of service. However, this requirement may also be satisfied by electronically filing your documents. Please see <a href="http://www.csm.ca.gov/dropbox.shtml">http://www.csm.ca.gov/dropbox.shtml</a> on the Commission's website for instructions on electronic filing. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.3.)

If you would like to request an extension of time to file comments, please refer to section 1187.9(a) of the Commission's regulations.

## Hearing

This matter is set for hearing on **Thursday, December 3, 2015**, at 10:00 a.m., State Capitol, Room 447, Sacramento, California. The proposed decision will be issued on or about November 19, 2015. Please let us know in advance if you or a representative of your agency will testify at the hearing, and if other witnesses will appear. If you would like to request postponement of the hearing, please refer to section 1187.9(b) of the Commission's regulations.

Sincerely,

Heather Halsey
Executive Director

J:\MANDATES\2002\tc\02-tc-47 (CCD Construct)\14-MR-03\Correspondence\Draft PD Transmittal.doc

Hearing Date: December 3, 2015

J:\MANDATES\2002\tc\02-TC-47 (CCD Construct)\14-MR-03\First Hearing - Adequate Showing\DraftPD.docx

## **ITEM**

# MANDATE REDETERMINATION FIRST HEARING: ADEQUATE SHOWING DRAFT PROPOSED DECISION

Education Code Sections 81820 and 81821(a), (b), (e), and (f);

Statutes 1980, Chapter 910; Statutes 1981, Chapter 470; Statutes 1981, Chapter 891; Statutes 1995, Chapter 758;

As Alleged to be Modified by:

Statutes 2014, Chapter 34 (SB 860)

Community College Construction (02-TC-47)

14-MR-03

Department of Finance, Requester

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

## Overview

On October 27, 2011, the Commission on State Mandates (Commission) adopted the *Community College Construction*, 02-TC-47, test claim statement of decision, approving reimbursement for community colleges to include the following new information in their districts' five-year plan for capital construction that must be submitted to the Board of Governors, a state agency:

- The plans of the district concerning its future student services programs, and the effect on estimated construction needs that may arise because of particular student services to be emphasized. (Former Ed. Code, § 81821(a).)
- The enrollment projections for each educational center within a community college district, made cooperatively by the Department of Finance and the district. (Former Ed. Code, § 81821(b).)
- An annual inventory of all land of the district using standard definitions, forms, and instructions adopted by the Board of Governors. (Former Ed. Code, § 81821(e).)
- An estimate of district funds which shall be made available for capital outlay matching purposes pursuant to regulations adopted by the Board of Governors. (Former Ed. Code, § 81821(f).)

The Commission also approved the review of the plan as required by 81820, but only as to this newly required content since the requirement to review the plan generally was not new.

This mandate redetermination request is based on the change in law made by Statutes 2014, chapter 34 (SB 860, eff. June 20, 2014), which amended Education Code section 81821 to

provide that the new information described above "may also" rather than "shall" be included in the plan, which the Department of Finance (Finance) argues makes it discretionary.

## **Procedural History**

On June 19, 2015, Finance filed a request for redetermination of the *Community College Construction*, 02-TC-47 decision, arguing that Senate Bill 860 (Stats. 2014, ch. 34) renders the mandate permissive and no longer reimbursable. On July 31, 2015, the State Controller's Office (Controller) concurred with Finance's request to adopt a new test claim decision.

## **Commission Responsibilities**

Government Code section 17570 provides a process whereby a previously determined mandate finding can be redetermined by the Commission, based on a subsequent change in law. The redetermination process provides for a two hearing process. The Commission's regulations state:

The first hearing shall be limited to the issue of whether the requester has made an adequate showing which identifies a subsequent change in law as defined by Government Code section 17570, material to the prior test claim decision, that may modify the state's liability pursuant to article XIII B, section 6(a) of the California Constitution. The Commission shall find that the requester has made an adequate showing if it finds that the request, when considered in light of all of the written comments and supporting documentation in the record of this request, has a substantial possibility of prevailing at the second hearing. <sup>3</sup>

A subsequent change in law is defined in section 17570 as follows:

[A] change in law that requires a finding that an incurred cost is a cost mandated by the state, as defined by Section 17514, or is not a cost mandated by the state pursuant to Section 17556, or a change in mandates law, except that a "subsequent change in law" does not include the amendments to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution that were approved by the voters on November 2, 2004. A "subsequent change in law" also does not include a change in the statutes or executive orders that impose new state-mandated activities and require a finding pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 17551.<sup>4</sup>

An "adequate showing" is determined in the Commission's regulations as follows:

The Commission shall find that the requester has made an adequate showing if it finds that the request, when considered in light of all of the written comments and supporting

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Exhibit A, Request for Mandate Redetermination, page 1. Based on the June 19, 2015 filing date, the potential period of reimbursement for this redetermination would begin June 20, 2014, the effective date of SB 860, the statute that is alleged to constitute the subsequent change in law.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Exhibit D, Controller's Comments on the Request for Mandate Redetermination.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1190.5(a)(1) (Register 2014, No. 21).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Government Code section 17570, as added by Statutes 2010, chapter 719.

documentation in the record of this request, has a substantial possibility of prevailing at the second hearing.<sup>5</sup>

If the Commission finds, at the first hearing, that:

The requester has made an adequate showing, when considered in light of all of the written comments, rebuttals and supporting documentation in the record and testimony at the hearing, the Commission shall publish a decision finding that an adequate showing has been made and setting the second hearing on whether the Commission shall adopt a new test claim decision to supersede the previously adopted test claim decision.<sup>6</sup>

Thus, the first hearing in the mandate redetermination process is to determine, pursuant to the Government Code and the Commission's regulations, only whether the requester has made an adequate showing that the state's liability may be modified based on a subsequent change in law, as defined. Therefore, this analysis will be limited to whether "the request, when considered in light of all of the written comments and supporting documentation in the record of this request, has a substantial possibility of prevailing at the second hearing." If the Commission finds that there has been an adequate showing, a thorough mandates analysis to determine whether and to what extent the state's liability has been modified, considering the applicable law, the arguments put forth by the parties and interested parties, and the facts in the record, will be prepared for the second hearing on this matter.

## **Staff Analysis**

## A. Statutes 2014, Chapter 34 Constitutes a Subsequent Change in Law, as Defined.

Statutes 2014, chapter 34 (SB 860, eff. June 20, 2014) amended Education Code section 81821 as follows in underline and strikeout:

- (a) The five-year plan for capital construction shall set out the estimated capital construction needs of the district with reference to elements including at least-all both of the following elements:
  - (1) The current enrollment capacity of the district expressed in terms of weekly student contact hours and based upon the space and utilization standards for community college classrooms and laboratories adopted by the board of governors.
  - (2) <u>District office, library, and supporting facility capacities as derived from the physical plant standards for office, library, and supporting facilities adopted by the board of governors.</u>
- (b) The five-year plan for capital construction may also set out the estimated capital construction needs of the district with reference to other elements, including, but

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1190.5(a)(1) (Register 2014, No. 21).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1190.5(a)(5)(B) (Register 2014, No. 21).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1190.5(a)(1) (Register 2014, No. 21).

#### not limited to:

- (a) (1) The plans of the district concerning its future academic and student services programs, and the effect on estimated construction needs which may arise because of particular courses of instruction or subject matter areas or student services to be emphasized.
- (b) (2) The enrollment projections for each district formulated by the Department of Finance, expressed in terms of weekly student contact hours. The enrollment projections for each individual college and educational center within a district shall be made cooperatively by the Department of Finance and the community college district Chancellor of the California Community Colleges.
- (c) The current enrollment capacity of the district expressed in terms of weekly student contact hours and based upon the space and utilization standards for community college classrooms and laboratories adopted by the board of governors in consultation with the California Postsecondary Education Commission and consistent with its standards.
- (d) District office, library, and supporting facility capacities as derived from the physical plant standards for office, library, and supporting facilities adopted by the board of governors in consultation with the California Postsecondary Education Commission and consistent with its standards.
- (e) (3) An annual inventory of all facilities and land of the district using standard definitions, forms, and instructions adopted by the board of governors.
- (f) (4) An estimate of district funds which that shall be made available for capital outlay matching purposes pursuant to regulations adopted by the board of governors.

This is a subsequent change in law that may modify the requirements that were approved in the prior test claim decision and thus the state's liability.

B. The Requester Has Made an Adequate Showing that the State's Liability May Be Modified Based on a Subsequent Change in Law, Such that Finance Has a Substantial Probability of Prevailing at the Second Hearing.

Education Code section 81821(b) now states that community colleges now "may" rather than "shall" include in their five-year plan for capital construction, the following information that is currently listed in the parameters and guidelines as eligible for reimbursement: plans for future student services programs, enrollment projections for each education center within the district, an annual inventory of land, and an estimate of district funds made available for capital outlay matching purposes. The legislative history of this amendment indicates that it: "Makes permissive a requirement that community colleges submit specified facilities information to the

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Former Education Code 81821(a), (b), (e), and (f).

CCC Chancellor's office, thereby eliminating a reimbursable state mandate (this information will continue to be collected through the state's capital outlay process)."9

Section 81820 was not amended by the 2014 statute, and continues to require that the five-year plan shall be subject to the continuing review by the governing board. The requirements to include the following in the plan were not approved in the test claim decision because those requirements, and the general the requirement to have the plan, were not new:

- (1) The current enrollment capacity of the district expressed in terms of weekly student contact hours and based upon the space and utilization standards for community college classrooms and laboratories adopted by the board of governors, and
- (2) District office, library, and supporting facility capacities as derived from the physical plant standards for office, library, and supporting facilities adopted by the board of governors.

In addition, the requirement to review under Education Code section 81820 was approved only as to the newly required content in the prior test claim decision, because the requirement to continually review was not new. Therefore, since the content information in former Education Code section 81821, which the Commission found to impose a mandated new program or higher level of service in *Community College Construction*, 02-TC-47 appears to now be permissive, so too would be the requirement to review as to that content.

Staff finds that Finance has made an adequate showing that the state's liability may be modified pursuant to article XIII B, section 6(a) of the California Constitution for the *Community College Construction* mandate based on a subsequent change in law, such that Finance has a substantial probability of prevailing at the second hearing on the request.

## **Staff Recommendation**

Staff recommends that the Commission adopt the proposed decision and, pursuant to Government Code section 17570(b)(d)(4), direct staff to notice the second hearing to determine whether a new test claim decision shall be adopted to supersede the previously adopted test claim decision. If the Commission adopts the attached proposed decision, the second hearing for this matter will be set for January 22, 2016.

Staff also recommends that the Commission authorize staff to make any non-substantive, technical changes to the proposed statement of decision following the hearing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Exhibit X, Assembly Floor, Analysis of Senate Bill No. 860 (2013-2014 Reg. Sess.) as amended June 12, 2014, page 3.

#### **BEFORE THE**

# COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE MANDATE REDETERMINATION: FIRST HEARING: ADEQUATE SHOWING ON:

Education Code Sections 81820 and 81821(a), (b), (e), and (f);

Statutes 1980, Chapter 910;

Statutes 1981, Chapter 470;

Statutes 1981, Chapter 891;

Statutes 1995, Chapter 758;

As Alleged to be Modified by:

Statutes 2014, Chapter 34 (SB 860)

Filed on June 19, 2015

By the Department of Finance, Requester

Case No.: 14-MR-03

Community College Construction (02-TC-47)

DECISION PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 17500, ET SEQ.; CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 2, DIVISION 2, CHAPTER 2.5, ARTICLE 7.

(Adopted December 3, 2015)

#### **DECISION**

The Commission on State Mandates (Commission) heard and decided this mandate redetermination during a regularly scheduled hearing on December 3, 2015. [Witness list will be included in the adopted decision.]

Government Code section 17570 and section 1190 et seq. of the Commission's regulations establish the mandate redetermination process. In addition, the law applicable to the Commission's determination of a reimbursable state-mandated program is article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution, Government Code section 17500 et seq., title 2, California Code of Regulations 1181 et seq., and related case law.

The Commission [adopted/modified] the proposed decision at the hearing by a vote of [vote count will be included in the adopted decision], and [directed/did not direct] staff to notice a second hearing to determine whether to adopt a new test claim decision to supersede the previously adopted test claim decision.

## **Summary of Findings**

The Commission finds that the Department of Finance (Finance) has made an adequate showing that pursuant to article XIII B, section 6(a) of the California Constitution, the state's liability for the *Community College Construction*, 02-TC-47 mandate may be modified based on a subsequent change in law, such that Finance has a substantial probability of prevailing at the second hearing on the request. This is because Statutes 2014, chapter 34 (SB 860, eff. June 20, 2014) amended the requirements in Education Code section 81821 to provide that the content

requirement that was approved in the prior test claim decision "may also" rather than "shall" be included by community colleges in their five-year plans for capital construction. The content approved in the parameters and guidelines as eligible for reimbursement includes: plans for future student services programs, enrollment projections for each education center within the district, an annual inventory of land, and an estimate of district funds made available for capital outlay matching purposes. <sup>10</sup>

Section 81820 was not amended by the 2014 statute, and continues to require that the five-year plan shall be subject to the continuing review by the governing board. The requirements to include the following in the plan were not approved in the test claim decision because those requirements, and the general the requirement to have the plan, were not new:

- (1) The current enrollment capacity of the district expressed in terms of weekly student contact hours and based upon the space and utilization standards for community college classrooms and laboratories adopted by the board of governors, and
- (2) District office, library, and supporting facility capacities as derived from the physical plant standards for office, library, and supporting facilities adopted by the board of governors.

In addition, the requirement to review under Education Code section 81820 was approved only as to the newly required content in the prior test claim decision, because the requirement to continually review was not new. Therefore, since the content information in former Education Code section 81821, which the Commission found to impose a mandated new program or higher level of service in *Community College Construction*, 02-TC-47, appears to now be permissive, so too would be the requirement to review as to that content.

Pursuant to Government Code section 17570(b)(d)(4), the Commission will hold a second hearing to determine if a new test claim decision shall be adopted to supersede the previously adopted test claim decision.

### **COMMISSION FINDINGS**

### I. Chronology

10/27/2011	The Commission adopted the test claim statement of decision. 11
03/23/2012	The Commission adopted the parameters and guidelines. 12
06/20/2014	Statutes 2014, chapter 34 (SB 860) was filed by the Secretary of State and became effective immediately. <sup>13</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Former Education Code 81821(a), (b), (e), and (f).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Exhibit B, Test Claim Statement of Decision, Community College Construction, 02-TC-47.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Exhibit C, Parameters and Guidelines, *Community College Construction*, 02-TC-47.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Exhibit X, Statutes 2014, chapter 34 (selected pages). Urgency statutes become effective immediately upon enactment (Cal. Const., art. IV, section 8(c)(3)).

06/19/2015	Finance filed the request for redetermination. <sup>14</sup>
07/31/2015	The State Controller's Office (Controller) submitted comments. 15
09/24/2015	Commission staff issued the draft proposed decision for the first hearing.

## II. Background

The Community College Construction Act of 1980 requires the governing boards of community college districts to prepare and submit to the Board of Governors (a state agency), "a plan for capital construction for community college purposes of the district." As amended in 1990, Education Code section 81820 provided that the plan shall reflect capital construction for community college purposes for the five-year period commencing with the next proposed year of funding, and that the plan is subject to the continuing review by the district's governing board. <sup>16</sup>

Education Code section 81821, as amended in 1995, identified the information required to be included in the five-year plan for capital construction as follows:

The five-year plan for capital construction shall set out the estimated capital construction needs of the district with reference to elements including at least all of the following:

- (a) The plans of the district concerning its future academic and student services programs, and the effect on estimated construction needs which may arise because of particular courses of instruction or subject matter areas or student services to be emphasized.
- (b) The enrollment projections for each district formulated by the Department of Finance, expressed in terms of weekly student contact hours. The enrollment projections for each individual college and educational center within a district shall be made cooperatively by the Department of Finance and the community college district.
- (c) The current enrollment capacity of the district expressed in terms of weekly student contact hours and based upon the space and utilization standards for community college classrooms and laboratories adopted by the board of governors in consultation with the California Postsecondary Education Commission and consistent with its standards.
- (d) District office, library, and supporting facility capacities as derived from the physical plant standards for office, library, and supporting facilities adopted by the board of governors in consultation with the California Postsecondary Education Commission and consistent with its standards.
- (e) An annual inventory of all facilities and land of the district using standard definitions, forms, and instructions adopted by the board of governors.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Exhibit A, Request for Mandate Redetermination.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Exhibit D, Controller's Comments on the Request for Mandate Redetermination.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Education Code section 81820 (Stats. 1990, ch. 1372).

(f) An estimate of district funds which shall be made available for capital outlay matching purposes pursuant to regulations adopted by the board of governors.

On October 27, 2011, the Commission adopted the *Community College Construction*, 02-TC-47 test claim decision based on Education Code section 81800 et seq., as added and amended by statutes enacted from 1980 through 1995. The Commission found that Education Code section 81820 did not impose a new program or higher level of service to the extent that it required community college districts to prepare and submit a five-year capital construction plan. The Commission determined that this activity was required under prior law enacted in 1967, by former Education Code section 20065.<sup>17</sup> However, some of the information included in the plan, identified in Education Code section 81821(a), (b), (e), and (f), were newly required by the test claim statutes. The Commission approved the test claim, finding that reimbursement was required for including the new information in a community college district's five-year plan for capital construction that is submitted to the Board of Governors. The Commission also approved the continual review of this newly required information only pursuant to Education Code section 81820, since the requirement to continually review was not new except as to the newly required content. The parameters and guidelines, adopted March 23, 2012, require reimbursement for the following activities beginning July 1, 2001:

Include the following information in the initial five-year plan for capital construction (for community college districts established on or after July 1, 2001), and continually review and report any required modifications or changes with respect to the following information in the subsequent annual update submitted to the Board of Governors by February 1 of each succeeding year:

- The plans of the district concerning its future student services programs, and the effect on estimated construction needs that may arise because of particular student services to be emphasized. (§ 81821(a).)
- The enrollment projections for each educational center within a community college district, made cooperatively by the Department of Finance and the district. (§ 81821(b).)
- An annual inventory of all land of the district using standard definitions, forms, and instructions adopted by the Board of Governors. (§ 81821(e).)
- An estimate of district funds which shall be made available for capital outlay matching purposes pursuant to regulations adopted by the Board of Governors. (§ 81821(f).)<sup>18</sup>

## The Alleged Subsequent Change in Law

Finance alleges that Statutes 2014, chapter 34, constitutes a subsequent change in law that modifies the state's liability for this program. The 2014 statute amended Education Code section 81821 by providing that enrollment and facility capacity information, formerly required under

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Exhibit B, Test Claim Statement of Decision, *Community College Construction*, 02-TC-47, page 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Exhibit C, Parameters and Guidelines, *Community College Construction*, 02-TC-47, page 3.

subdivisions (c) and (d), "shall" be included in the content of the five-year plan in subdivision (a). As determined by the Commission in the *Community College Construction*, 02-TC-47 test claim decision, the enrollment and facility capacity information was required under prior law and did not constitute a new program or higher level of service. Thus, reimbursement was denied for preparing and submitting that information.<sup>19</sup>

The 2014 statute then adds subdivision (b) to provide that the new information previously approved by the Commission for reimbursement in the *Community College Construction* test claim decision (in former Ed. Code, § 81821(a), (b), (e), and (f)) now "may also" (rather than "shall") be included in the plan as estimated capital construction needs of the district. Statutes 2014, chapter 34, section 15 amends section 81821 as follows (with amendments noted in strikeout and underline):

- (a) The five-year plan for capital construction shall set out the estimated capital construction needs of the district with reference to elements including at least all both of the following elements:
  - (1) The current enrollment capacity of the district expressed in terms of weekly student contact hours and based upon the space and utilization standards for community college classrooms and laboratories adopted by the board of governors.
  - (2) <u>District office</u>, <u>library</u>, and <u>supporting facility capacities as derived from the physical plant standards for office</u>, <u>library</u>, and <u>supporting facilities adopted by the board of governors</u>.
- (b) The five-year plan for capital construction may also set out the estimated capital construction needs of the district with reference to other elements, including, but not limited to:
- (a) (1) The plans of the district concerning its future academic and student services programs, and the effect on estimated construction needs which may arise because of particular courses of instruction or subject matter areas or student services to be emphasized.
- (b) (2) The enrollment projections for each district formulated by the Department of Finance, expressed in terms of weekly student contact hours. The enrollment projections for each individual college and educational center within a district shall be made cooperatively by the Department of Finance and the community college district Chancellor of the California Community Colleges.
- (c) The current enrollment capacity of the district expressed in terms of weekly student contact hours and based upon the space and utilization standards for community college classrooms and laboratories adopted by the board of governors in consultation with the California Postsecondary Education Commission and consistent with its standards.
- (d) District office, library, and supporting facility capacities as derived from the

10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Exhibit B, Test Claim Statement of Decision, *Community College Construction*, 02-TC-47, pages 18-21.

physical plant standards for office, library, and supporting facilities adopted by the board of governors in consultation with the California Postsecondary Education Commission and consistent with its standards.

- (e) (3) An annual inventory of all facilities and land of the district using standard definitions, forms, and instructions adopted by the board of governors.
- (f) (4) An estimate of district funds which that shall be made available for capital outlay matching purposes pursuant to regulations adopted by the board of governors.

The legislative history of this 2014 amendment states that it: "Makes permissive a requirement that community colleges submit specified facilities information to the CCC Chancellor's office, thereby eliminating a reimbursable state mandate (this information will continue to be collected through the state's capital outlay process)."<sup>20</sup>

## Mandate Redetermination Process under Section 17570

Government Code section 17570 provides a process for a test claim decision to be redetermined and superseded by a new test claim decision if a subsequent change in law, as defined, has modified the state's liability for reimbursement. The redetermination process calls for a two-step hearing process. At the first hearing, the requester must make "an adequate showing which identifies a subsequent change in law as defined by Government Code section 17570, material to the prior test claim decision, that may modify the state's liability pursuant to Article XIII B, section 6(a) of the California Constitution." A subsequent change in law is defined in section 17570 as follows:

[A] change in law that requires a finding that an incurred cost is a cost mandated by the state, as defined by Section 17514, or is not a cost mandated by the state pursuant to Section 17556, or a change in mandates law, except that a "subsequent change in law" does not include the amendments to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution that were approved by the voters on November 2, 2004. A "subsequent change in law" also does not include a change in the statutes or executive orders that impose new state-mandated activities and require a finding pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 17551.<sup>22</sup>

The Commission shall find that the requester has made an adequate showing if it "finds that the request, when considered in light of all of the written comments and supporting documentation in the record of this request, has a substantial possibility of prevailing at the second hearing." If the Commission finds at the first hearing, that the requester has made an adequate showing, it "shall publish a decision finding that an adequate showing has been made and setting the second

 $<sup>^{20}</sup>$  Assembly Floor, Analysis of Senate Bill No. 860 (2013-2014 Reg. Sess.) as amended June 12, 2014, page 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1190.5(a)(1) (Register 2014, No. 21).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Government Code section 17570, as added by Statutes 2010, chapter 719 (SB 856).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1190.5(a)(1) (Register 2014, No. 21).

hearing on whether the Commission shall adopt a new test claim decision to supersede the previously adopted test claim decision."<sup>24</sup>

## III. Positions of the Parties, Interested Parties, and Interested Persons

## A. Department of Finance, Requester

In its request for redetermination, Finance states:

Chapter 34, Statutes of 2014 (SB 860) made components of Education Code section 81821, that were determined to be reimbursable activities, permissive by moving those components into a subdivision that allows rather than requires specified estimates to be included in the district's five-year capital construction plan.<sup>25</sup>

Finance maintains that because the activities determined to be reimbursable are now permissive, the state's liability should be zero as of June 20, 2014, the effective date of Statutes 2014, chapter 34.

#### **B.** State Controller

The Controller states that it "concurs with the Department of Finance's request to adopt a new test claim decision and to amend the parameters and guidelines for the Community College Construction Program." <sup>26</sup>

#### IV. Discussion

Under Government Code section 17570, upon request, the Commission may consider the adoption of a new test claim decision to supersede a prior test claim decision based on a subsequent change in law that modifies the states liability.

The first hearing in the mandate redetermination process is to determine, pursuant to the Government Code and the Commission's regulations, only whether the requester has made an adequate showing that the state's liability has been modified based on a subsequent change in law, as defined. Therefore, the analysis will be limited to whether the request, when considered in light of all of the written comments and supporting documentation in the record of this request, has a substantial possibility of prevailing at the second hearing."<sup>27</sup> If the Commission

The first hearing shall be limited to the issue of whether the requester has made an adequate showing which identifies a subsequent change in law as defined by Government Code section 17570, material to the prior test claim decision, that may modify the state's liability pursuant to Article XIII B, section 6(a) of the California Constitution. The Commission shall find that the requester has made an adequate showing if it finds that the request, when considered in light of all of the written comments and supporting

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1190.5(a)(5)(B) (Register 2014, No. 21).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Exhibit A, Request for Mandate Redetermination, page 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Exhibit D, Controller's Comments on Request for Mandate Redetermination.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1190.5 (Register 2014, No. 21). This regulation describes the standard for the first hearing as follows:

determines that an adequate showing has been made, a thorough mandates analysis to determine whether and to what extent the state's liability has been modified, considering the applicable law, the arguments put forth by the parties and interested parties, and the facts in the record, will be prepared for the second hearing on this matter.

# A. Statutes 2014, Chapter 34 Constitutes a Subsequent Change in Law Within the Meaning of Government Code Section 17570.

Government Code section 17570(b) states that the Commission may adopt a new test claim decision to supersede a previously adopted test claim decision only upon a showing that, pursuant to article XIII B section 6, the state's liability has been modified based on a subsequent change in law. A subsequent change in law is defined in Government Code section 17570(a)(2) as:

A change in law that requires a finding that an incurred cost is a cost mandated by the state, as defined by Section 17514, or is not a cost mandated by the state pursuant to Section 17556, or a change in mandates law, except that a "subsequent change in law" does not include the amendments to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution that were approved by the voters on November 2, 2004. A "subsequent change in law" also does not include a change in the statutes or executive orders that impose new state-mandated activities and require a finding pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 17551.<sup>28</sup>

Effective June 20, 2014, <sup>29</sup> Statutes 2014, chapter 34, amended Education Code section 81821 by adding subdivision (b) to provide that the new information approved by the Commission for reimbursement in the *Community College Construction* test claim decision (in former Ed. Code, § 81821(a), (b), (e), and (f)) "may also" (rather than "shall") be included in the five year plan for capital construction.

Finance asserts that the plain language of the section 81821(b), as amended, makes the requirements in the parameters and guidelines permissive by substituting the word "may" in place of the word "shall," resulting in no costs mandated by the state for this program.<sup>30</sup>

The Commission finds that Finance has made an adequate showing that Statutes 2014, chapter 34, as it amends Education Code section 81821, may require a finding that the state's liability for the *Community College Construction*, 02-TC-47 program has been modified. Courts generally interpret the word "may" as permissive and "shall" as mandatory.<sup>31</sup> Thus, as amended, Education Code section 81821 no longer contains the mandatory language requiring

documentation in the record of this request, has a substantial possibility of prevailing at the second hearing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Government Code section 17570(a)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Urgency statutes become effective immediately upon enactment (Cal. Const., art. IV, section 8(c)(3)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Exhibit A, Request for Mandate Redetermination, page 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Education Code section 75. *John Doe v. Albany Unified School District* (2010) 190 Cal.App.4th 668, 676 on statutory construction involving the terms "may" and "shall."

community college districts to include in their five-year plan for capital construction, the following information found by the Commission to impose a reimbursable state-mandated program: plans for future student services programs, enrollment projections for each education center within the district, an annual inventory of land, and an estimate of district funds made available for capital outlay matching purposes.

Section 81820 was not amended by the 2014 statute, and continues to require that the five-year plan shall be subject to the continuing review by the governing board. However, since the content information in former Education Code section 81821(a), (b), (e), and (f), which the Commission found to impose a mandated new program or higher level of service in *Community College Construction*, 02-TC-47, is no longer required to be included in the plan, any continual review of that information pursuant to Education Code section 81820 would be permissive.

Therefore, the Commission finds that Statutes 2014, chapter 34 establishes a subsequent change in law pursuant to Government Code section 17570(a)(2), that may require a finding that the state's liability has been modified.

# B. The Requester Has Made an Adequate Showing that the State's Liability May Be Modified Based on a Subsequent Change in Law.

Education Code section 81821(b) now states that community colleges now "may" rather than "shall" include in their five-year plan for capital construction, the following information that is currently listed in the parameters and guidelines as eligible for reimbursement: plans for future student services programs, enrollment projections for each education center within the district, an annual inventory of land, and an estimate of district funds made available for capital outlay matching purposes. The legislative history of this amendment indicates that it: "Makes permissive a requirement that community colleges submit specified facilities information to the CCC Chancellor's office, thereby eliminating a reimbursable state mandate (this information will continue to be collected through the state's capital outlay process)." 33

Section 81820 was not amended by the 2014 statute, and continues to require that the five-year plan shall be subject to the continuing review by the governing board. The requirements to include the following in the plan were not approved in the test claim decision because those requirements, and the general the requirement to have the plan, were not new:

- (1) The current enrollment capacity of the district expressed in terms of weekly student contact hours and based upon the space and utilization standards for community college classrooms and laboratories adopted by the board of governors, and
- (2) District office, library, and supporting facility capacities as derived from the physical plant standards for office, library, and supporting facilities adopted by the board of governors.

In addition, the requirement to review under Education Code section 81820 was approved only as to the newly required content in the prior test claim decision, because the requirement to

<sup>33</sup> Exhibit X, Assembly Floor, Analysis of Senate Bill No. 860 (2013-2014 Reg. Sess.) as amended June 12, 2014, page 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Former Education Code 81821(a), (b), (e), and (f).

continually review was not new. Therefore, since the content information in former Education Code section 81821, which the Commission found to impose a mandated new program or higher level of service in *Community College Construction*, 02-TC-47 appears to now be permissive, so too would be the requirement to review as to that content. As a result, it appears that this subsequent change in law may modify the state's liability for this program.

For the reasons discussed above, the Commission finds that Finance has made an adequate showing that the state's liability may be modified pursuant to Statutes 2014, chapter 34, a subsequent change in law, and Finance, therefore, has a substantial possibility of prevailing at the second hearing.

### V. Conclusion

Based on the foregoing, the Commission finds that the requester has made an adequate showing that the state's liability for the *Community College Construction*, 02-TC-47 mandate may be modified based on a subsequent change in law and that Finance has a substantial probability of prevailing at the second hearing. The Commission hereby directs Commission staff to notice the second hearing to determine whether to adopt a new test claim decision to supersede the Commission's previously adopted test claim decision on *Community College Construction*, 02-TC-47.

### DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY EMAIL

I, the undersigned, declare as follows:

I am a resident of the County of Sacramento and I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My place of employment is 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, California 95814.

On September 24, 2015, I served the:

## Draft Proposed Decision, Schedule for Comments, and Notice of Hearing

Mandate Redetermination Request, 14-MR-03

Community College Construction (02-TC-47)

Education Code Sections 81820, 81821(a), (b), (e), and (f)

Statutes 1980, Chapter 910; Statutes 1981, Chapter 470; Statutes 1981, Chapter 891;

and Statutes 1995, Chapter 758

As Alleged to be Modified by Statutes 2014, Chapter 34 (SB 860)

Department of Finance, Requester

by making it available on the Commission's website and providing notice of how to locate it to the email addresses provided on the attached mailing list.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on September 24, 2015 at Sacramento, California.

Commission on State Mandates 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300 Sacramento, CA 95814

(916) 323-3562

## **COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES**

## **Mailing List**

Last Updated: 9/10/15
Claim Number: 14-MR-03

Matter: Community College Construction (02-TC-47)

Requester: Department of Finance

#### TO ALL PARTIES, INTERESTED PARTIES, AND INTERESTED PERSONS:

Each commission mailing list is continuously updated as requests are received to include or remove any party or person on the mailing list. A current mailing list is provided with commission correspondence, and a copy of the current mailing list is available upon request at any time. Except as provided otherwise by commission rule, when a party or interested party files any written material with the commission concerning a claim, it shall simultaneously serve a copy of the written material on the parties and interested parties to the claim identified on the mailing list provided by the commission. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.3.)

Socorro Aquino, State Controller's Office

Division of Audits, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 322-7522 SAquino@sco.ca.gov

Harmeet Barkschat, Mandate Resource Services,LLC

5325 Elkhorn Blvd. #307, Sacramento, CA 95842

Phone: (916) 727-1350 harmeet@calsdrc.com

Lacey Baysinger, State Controller's Office

Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 324-0254 lbaysinger@sco.ca.gov

Chris Bonvenuto, Santa Monica Community College District

1900 Pico Blvd., Santa Monica, CA 90405-1628

Phone: (310) 434-4201 Bonvenuto chris@smc.edu

Diane Brady, California Community Colleges

Chancellor's Office, 1102 Q Street, 1102 Q Street, Sacramento, CA 95814-6511

Phone: (916) 324-2564 dbrady@ccco.edu

Mike Brown, School Innovations & Advocacy

5200 Golden Foothill Parkway, El Dorado Hills, CA 95762

Phone: (916) 669-5116

#### mikeb@sia-us.com

#### J. Bradley Burgess, MGT of America

895 La Sierra Drive, Sacramento, CA 95864

Phone: (916)595-2646 Bburgess@mgtamer.com

#### Gwendolyn Carlos, State Controller's Office

Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 323-0706 gcarlos@sco.ca.gov

### Marieta Delfin, State Controller's Office

Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 322-4320 mdelfin@sco.ca.gov

## Edwin Eng, State Center Community College District

1525 East Weldon Avenue, Fresno, CA 93704-6398

Phone: (559) 244-5910 ed.eng@scccd.edu

#### Eric Feller, Commission on State Mandates

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562 eric.feller@csm.ca.gov

### Donna Ferebee, Department of Finance

915 L Street, Suite 1280, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274 donna.ferebee@dof.ca.gov

## Chris Ferguson, Department of Finance

Education Systems Unit, 915 L Street, 7th Floor, 915 L Street, 7th Floor, Sacramento, CA

95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274 Chris.Ferguson@dof.ca.gov

### Susan Geanacou, Department of Finance

915 L Street, Suite 1280, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274 susan.geanacou@dof.ca.gov

### Paul Golaszewski, Legislative Analyst's Office

925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 319-8341

Paul.Golaszewski@lao.ca.gov

#### **Ed Hanson**, Department of Finance

Education Systems Unit, 915 L Street, 7th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-0328 ed.hanson@dof.ca.gov

## Mark Ibele, Senate Budget & Fiscal Review Committee

California State Senate, State Capitol Room 5019, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 651-4103 Mark.Ibele@sen.ca.gov

#### Jill Kanemasu, State Controller's Office

Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 322-9891 jkanemasu@sco.ca.gov

## Dan Kaplan, Fiscal & Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office

925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 319-8353 Dan.Kaplan@lao.ca.gov

## Jennifer Kuhn, Deputy, Legislative Analyst's Office

925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 319-8332 Jennifer.kuhn@lao.ca.gov

### Jay Lal, State Controller's Office (B-08)

Division of Accounting & Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 324-0256 JLal@sco.ca.gov

#### Michelle Mendoza, MAXIMUS

17310 Red Hill Avenue, Suite 340, Irvine, CA 95403

Phone: (949) 440-0845

michellemendoza@maximus.com

### Yazmin Meza, Department of Finance

915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-0328 Yazmin.meza@dof.ca.gov

## Meredith Miller, Director of SB90 Services, MAXIMUS

3130 Kilgore Road, Suite 400, Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

Phone: (972) 490-9990

meredithcmiller@maximus.com

#### **Robert Miyashiro**, Education Mandated Cost Network

1121 L Street, Suite 1060, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 446-7517 robertm@sscal.com

### Andy Nichols, Nichols Consulting

1857 44th Street, Sacramento, CA 95819

Phone: (916) 455-3939

andy@nichols-consulting.com

#### Christian Osmena, Department of Finance

915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-0328 christian.osmena@dof.ca.gov

## **Arthur Palkowitz**, Stutz Artiano Shinoff & Holtz

2488 Historic Decatur Road, Suite 200, San Diego, CA 92106

Phone: (619) 232-3122 apalkowitz@sashlaw.com

Keith Petersen, SixTen & Associates

P.O. Box 340430, Sacramento, CA 95834-0430

Phone: (916) 419-7093 kbpsixten@aol.com

Mark Rewolinski, MAXIMUS

625 Coolidge Drive, Suite 100, Folsom, CA 95630

Phone: (949) 440-0845

markrewolinski@maximus.com

Sandra Reynolds, Reynolds Consulting Group, Inc.

P.O. Box 894059, Temecula, CA 92589

Phone: (951) 303-3034 sandrareynolds\_30@msn.com

David Scribner, Max8550

2200 Sunrise Boulevard, Suite 240, Gold River, CA 95670

Phone: (916) 852-8970 dscribner@max8550.com

Jim Spano, Chief, Mandated Cost Audits Bureau, State Controller's Office

Division of Audits, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 323-5849 jspano@sco.ca.gov

Dennis Speciale, State Controller's Office

Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 324-0254 DSpeciale@sco.ca.gov

Meg Svoboda, Senate Office of Research

1020 N Street, Suite 200, Sacramento, CA

Phone: (916) 651-1500 meg.svoboda@sen.ca.gov

Jolene Tollenaar, MGT of America

2251 Harvard Street, Suite 134, Sacramento, CA 95815

Phone: (916) 443-411

jolene tollenaar@mgtamer.com

Brian Uhler, Legislative Analyst's Office

925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 319-8328 brian.uhler@lao.ca.gov