

**ITEM 17 I**  
**FINAL STAFF ANALYSIS**  
**PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES**

Labor Code Section 4856  
Government Code Section 21635

Statutes 1996, Chapter 1120  
Statutes 1997, Chapter 193

*Health Benefits for Survivors of Peace Officers and Firefighters*  
05-PGA-32 (97-TC-25)

State Controller's Office, Requestor

---

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This is a request filed by the State Controller's Office (SCO) to amend the parameters and guidelines for the *Health Benefits for Survivors of Peace Officers and Firefighters* program (97-TC-25) to add language regarding source documentation, and record retention requirements during the period a claim is subject to an audit. If the Commission on State Mandates (Commission) approves the SCO's request, the amendments would be effective for costs incurred beginning on July 1, 2005.

In 2003, upon recommendation from the Bureau of State Audits, direction from the Legislature, and an SCO request, the Commission adopted amendments to parameters and guidelines that clarified what source documentation claimants are required to retain to support the claims they file to obtain reimbursement for mandated programs, and records retention language that identifies the records that must be retained to support an audit initiated by the SCO. The adopted language, commonly referred to as "boilerplate language," has been included in all parameters and guidelines adopted since 2003. In addition, section 1183 of the Commission's regulations require parameters and guidelines to include instruction on claim preparation, notice of the SCO's authority to audit claims, and the amount of time documentation must be retained during the audit period.

The SCO is now requesting that parameters and guidelines adopted prior to 2003 be amended to also include the source documentation and records retention language.

This analysis pertains only to the request to amend the *Health Benefits for Survivors of Peace Officers and Firefighters* program. The staff analyses for the other 48 programs will be presented separately.

There is one issue for the Commission's consideration:

- **Should the parameters and guidelines be amended to add the current "boilerplate language"?**

Staff finds that it is appropriate to approve the SCO's request to insert the source documentation and records retention language because it would conform the parameters and guidelines for the *Health Benefits for Survivors of Peace Officers and Firefighters* program with the parameters

and guidelines adopted for other programs, and is consistent with section 1183.1 of the Commission's regulations. Therefore, staff included the language requested by the SCO.

### **Staff Recommendation**

Staff recommends that the Commission:

- Adopt the SCO's proposed amendments to the parameters and guidelines for the *Health Benefits for Survivors of Peace Officers and Firefighters* program, beginning on page 9.
- Authorize staff to make any non-substantive, technical corrections to the parameters and guidelines following the hearing.

## STAFF ANALYSIS

### Requestor

State Controller's Office

### Chronology

10/26/2000	Commission on State Mandates (Commission) adopts Statement of Decision
03/29/2001	Commission adopts parameters and guidelines
12/12/2001	Commission adopts statewide cost estimate
01/23/2003	The Commission, upon the recommendation of the Bureau of State Audits, direction from the Legislature, and upon request from the State Controller's Office (SCO), adopts amendments to the <i>School Bus Safety II</i> parameters and guidelines to include "boilerplate language" that details the documentation necessary to support reimbursement claims. After this date, all adopted parameters and guidelines contain this language
04/07/2006	SCO requests the parameters and guidelines for 49 mandated programs adopted prior to 2003 also be amended to include boilerplate language, including the <i>Health Benefits for Survivors of Peace Officers and Firefighters</i> program analyzed here
04/27/2006	Commission deems SCO's request for amendment of parameters and guidelines complete and issues for comment
07/23/2009	Commission reissues SCO's request for amendment of parameters and guidelines for comment
08/18/2009	Department of Finance files comments
10/13/2009	Commission issues draft staff analysis

### Background

This is a request filed by the State Controller's Office (SCO) to amend the parameters and guidelines for the *Health Benefits for Survivors of Peace Officers and Firefighters* program (97-TC-25) to add language regarding source documentation, and record retention requirements during the period a claim is subject to an audit. If the Commission on State Mandates (Commission) approves the SCO's request, the amendments would be effective for costs incurred beginning on July 1, 2005.

#### Test Claim Decision and Parameters and Guidelines

The City of Palos Verdes Estates filed a test claim alleging that the test claim statutes imposed a reimbursable state mandate requiring local agencies to provide lifelong health benefits to the survivors of peace officers and firefighters who die in the line of duty.

The Commission approved this test claim on October 26, 2000, concluding that the test claim legislation does impose a reimbursable state-mandated program by requiring local entities to provide health benefits to the surviving spouse and children of peace officers and firefighters who are killed in the line of duty protecting the public pursuant to section 6, article XIII B of the California Constitution.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Exhibit A.

On March 29, 2001, the Commission adopted the parameters and guidelines for this program.<sup>2</sup>

#### Boilerplate Language

On March 28, 2002, the Bureau of State Audits (BSA) issued an audit report on the *School Bus Safety II* program, stating that the parameters and guidelines do not impose sufficient requirements regarding the documentation required to support reimbursement claims, and thus, insufficient documentation was being submitted to support claims.<sup>3</sup> The report recommended, among other things, that the Commission work with the SCO, other affected state agencies, and interested parties to make sure the language in the parameters and guidelines and the claiming instructions for the *School Bus Safety II* program reflects the Commission's intentions as well as the SCO's expectations regarding supporting documentation. On June 10, 2002, the SCO proposed that parameters and guidelines be amended to clarify what documentation is necessary to support reimbursement claims and what records must be retained to support audits initiated by the SCO.

Based on BSA's audit findings and recommendations, the Legislature enacted Statutes 2002, chapter 1167 (AB 2781) to direct the Commission to amend the parameters and guidelines in *School Bus Safety II*, to detail the documentation necessary to support reimbursement claims.

On January 23, 2003, upon recommendation from BSA, direction from the Legislature, and the SCO's request, the Commission adopted the following language regarding source documentation and records retention to the *School Bus Safety II* parameters and guidelines:<sup>4</sup>

#### *IV. Reimbursable Activities*

*To be eligible for mandated cost reimbursement for any fiscal year, only actual costs may be claimed. Actual costs are those costs actually incurred to implement the mandated activities. Actual costs must be traceable and supported by source documents that show the validity of such costs, when they were incurred, and their relationship to the reimbursable activities. A source document is a document created at or near the same time the actual cost was incurred for the event or activity in question. Source documents may include, but are not limited to, employee time records or time logs, sign-in sheets, invoices, and receipts.*

*Evidence corroborating the source documents may include, but is not limited to, worksheets, cost allocation reports (system generated), purchase orders, contracts, agendas, training packets, and declarations. Declarations must include a certification or declaration stating, "I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct based upon personal knowledge." Evidence corroborating the source documents may include data relevant to the reimbursable activities otherwise in compliance with local, state, and federal government requirements. However, corroborating documents cannot be substituted for source documents.*

*The claimant is only allowed to claim and be reimbursed for increased costs for reimbursable activities identified below. Increased cost is limited to the cost of an activity that the claimant is required to incur as a result of the mandate.*

---

<sup>2</sup> Exhibit B.

<sup>3</sup> Exhibit C.

<sup>4</sup> The Commission also adopted other boilerplate language that is not relevant to this request.

## VI. Record Retention

*Pursuant to Government Code section 17558.5, subdivision (a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a local agency or school district pursuant to this chapter\* is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. All documents used to support the reimbursable activities, as described in Section IV, must be retained during the period subject to audit. If an audit has been initiated by the Controller during the period subject to audit, the retention period is extended until the ultimate resolution of any audit findings.*

The Commission has included this language, commonly referred to as “boilerplate language,” in all parameters and guidelines adopted on or after January 23, 2003.

### SCO Request to Amend Parameters and Guidelines

On April 7, 2006, the SCO requested that the parameters and guidelines for 49 mandated programs that were adopted prior to 2003 be amended to also include the boilerplate language regarding source documentation and records retention that was adopted by the Commission in 2003.<sup>5</sup>

The parameters and guidelines for the *Health Benefits for Survivors of Peace Officers and Firefighters* program is one of the 49 programs the SCO is requesting be amended.

### Comments on the Proposal

On April 27, 2006, the Commission issued the SCO’s request to amend the parameters and guidelines for comment. No comments were filed. On July 23, 2009, the Commission reissued the proposal for comment. On August 18, 2009, Department of Finance submitted comments.<sup>6</sup>

In its comments, Finance stated it was neutral on the proposal, because the request to include boilerplate language in the parameters and guidelines for the 49 programs would allow the Controller to complete audit related tasks more efficiently, and provide the claimant with more information and record retention requirements, as well as the statute of limitations for audits.

Commission staff issued the draft staff analysis on October 13, 2009.<sup>7</sup> No comments were filed.

### Related Litigation (*Clovis Unified School Dist., et al. v. State Controller*)

This case involves a challenge by school districts and community college districts on reductions made by the State Controller’s Office to reimbursement claims for several mandated programs.<sup>8</sup> The school districts argue that reductions made on the ground that school districts do not have contemporaneous source documents are invalid.

---

\* This refers to Title 2, division 4, part 7, chapter 4 of the Government Code.

<sup>5</sup> Exhibit D.

<sup>6</sup> Exhibit E.

<sup>7</sup> Exhibit F.

<sup>8</sup> The Commission is not a party to this action.

*Trial Court Ruling.* On January 2, 2009, the Sacramento County Superior Court (Case No. 06CS00748) issued a clarification of ruling and on February 19, 2009, issued a Judgment and Writ, finding that reductions made by the Controller on the ground that claimants did not have contemporaneous source documents supporting their reimbursement claims were invalid as an underground regulation *if* the contemporaneous source document requirement was not in the Commission’s parameters and guidelines. The court held that the Controller has no authority to reduce a claim on the ground that a claimant did not maintain contemporaneous source documents to support their claim, absent statutory or regulatory authority to require contemporaneous source documents, or language in the parameters and guidelines requiring it. Pursuant to Government Code section 17558, the Controller’s claiming instructions shall be derived from the test claim decision and the adopted parameters and guidelines. Thus, the court granted declaratory relief and a writ of mandate requiring the Controller to set aside the reduction and pay the school district plaintiffs the amounts reduced on two mandated programs that did not have parameters and guidelines language requiring claimants to maintain contemporaneous source documents.

*Court of Appeal Filings (Third District Court of Appeal, Case No. C061696).* Notices of appeal and cross-appeal have been filed by the SCO, the community college districts, and the school districts, and opening briefs have been filed. The appeal on the issue of the validity of the contemporaneous source documentation requirement remains pending.

## **Discussion**

The proposed amendments to the parameters and guidelines raise the following issue for determination by the Commission:

**Issue:                   Should the parameters and guidelines be amended to add the Commission’s current “boilerplate language”?**

In 2003, following recommendation from the BSA and direction from the Legislature, the SCO requested, and the Commission adopted amendments to parameters and guidelines that clarify what source documentation claimants are required to retain to support the claims they file to obtain reimbursement for mandated programs, and records retention language that identifies the records that must be retained to support an audit initiated by the SCO.

The adopted language, as detailed on pages 4 and 5 of this analysis, has been included in all parameters and guidelines adopted since 2003.

In addition, section 1183.1, subdivision (a) (5) and (6) require that the parameters and guidelines contain, among other things, the following:

- Claim preparation. Instruction on claim preparation, including instruction for direct and indirect cost reporting, or application of a reasonable reimbursement methodology.
- Record retention. Notice of the Office of the State Controller’s authority to audit claims and the amount of time supporting documents must be retained during period subject to audit.

The SCO is now requesting that parameters and guidelines adopted prior to 2003 be amended to also include the source documentation and records retention language. This analysis pertains to the parameters and guidelines for the *Health Benefits for Survivors of Peace Officers and Firefighters* program.<sup>9</sup>

---

<sup>9</sup> The SCO only requested that the portions of the boilerplate language regarding source documentation and records retention be added to the parameters and guidelines for the 49

Inserting the source documentation and records retention boilerplate language would conform the parameters and guidelines for the *Health Benefits for Survivors of Peace Officers and Firefighters* program with the parameters and guidelines adopted for other programs, and is consistent with section 1183.1 of the Commission's regulations.

Therefore, staff finds that it is appropriate to approve the SCO's request, and made the following modifications to the parameters and guidelines:

### *III. Period of Reimbursement*

Government Code section 17557, subdivision (d) states that a parameters and guidelines amendment filed on or before the claiming deadline following a fiscal year, shall establish reimbursement eligibility for that fiscal year. This amendment was filed on April 7, 2006, (after the claiming deadline) establishing reimbursement for fiscal year 2005-2006. Therefore, reimbursement for this amendment shall begin on July 1, 2005.

Staff clarified that the proposed amendments would be effective on July 1, 2005.

### *IV. Reimbursable Activities*

Staff inserted the following boilerplate language regarding source documentation, as requested by the SCO:

*To be eligible for mandated cost reimbursement for any fiscal year, only actual costs may be claimed. Actual costs are those costs actually incurred to implement the mandated activities. Actual costs must be traceable and supported by source documents that show the validity of such costs, when they were incurred, and their relationship to the reimbursable activities. A source document is a document created at or near the same time the actual cost was incurred for the event or activity in question. Source documents may include, but are not limited to, employee time records or time logs, sign-in sheets, invoices, and receipts.*

*Evidence corroborating the source documents may include, but is not limited to, worksheets, cost allocation reports (system generated), purchase orders, contracts, agendas, training packets, and declarations. Declarations must include a certification or declaration stating, "I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct based upon personal knowledge." Evidence corroborating the source documents may include data relevant to the reimbursable activities otherwise in compliance with local, state, and federal government requirements. However, corroborating documents cannot be substituted for source documents.*

*The claimant is only allowed to claim and be reimbursed for increased costs for reimbursable activities identified below. Increased cost is limited to the cost of an activity that the claimant is required to incur as a result of the mandate.*

### *VI. Records Retention*

At the request of the SCO, staff removed the existing language regarding records retention, and replaced it with the following boilerplate language regarding records retention.

---

programs. There are other sections of the boilerplate language regarding the remedies available before the Commission, and the legal and factual basis for the parameters and guidelines. Staff did not include these sections because the SCO did not request that they be included.

*Pursuant to Government Code section 17558.5, subdivision (a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a local agency or school district pursuant to this chapter\* is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. All documents used to support the reimbursable activities, as described in Section IV, must be retained during the period subject to audit. If an audit has been initiated by the Controller during the period subject to audit, the retention period is extended until the ultimate resolution of any audit findings.*

### **Staff Recommendation**

Staff recommends that the Commission:

- Adopt the proposed amendments to parameters and guidelines for the *Health Benefits for Survivors of Peace Officers and Firefighters* program, beginning on page 9.
- Authorize staff to make any non-substantive, technical corrections to the parameters and guidelines following the hearing.

---

\* This refers to Title 2, division 4, part 7, chapter 4 of the Government Code.



Adopted: March 29, 2001

Proposed Amendment: January 29, 2010

**Proposed Amendment to Parameters and Guidelines**

Labor Code Section 4856, Government Code Section 21635

Statutes ~~of~~ 1996, Chapter 1120

Statutes ~~of~~ 1997, Chapter 193

*Health Benefits for Survivors of Peace Officers and Firefighters*

**05-PGA-32 (97-TC-25)**

This amendment is effective beginning with claims filed for the July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006 period of reimbursement

**I. SUMMARY AND SOURCE OF THE MANDATE**

Statutes of 1996, chapter 1120 enacted Labor Code section 4856, which requires local agencies to provide lifelong health benefits to the survivors of peace officers and firefighters who die in the line of duty. Statutes of 1997, chapter 193 further amended Labor Code section 4856 by applying this benefit retroactively. Additionally, chapter 1120 amended Government Code section 21635, by deleting language exempting local agencies from collective bargaining under the Meyers-Milias-Brown Act with their employees for survivor health benefits.

On October 26, 2000, the Commission adopted its Statement of Decision that the test claim legislation constitutes a reimbursable state mandated program upon local governments within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution and Government Code section 17514.

**II. ELIGIBLE CLAIMANTS**

1. Providing Survivor Health Benefits (Labor Code Section 4856)

Counties, cities, a city and county, and special districts, as defined in Government Code section 17518 that employ peace officers and firefighters, and school districts, as defined in Government Code section 17519, that employ peace officers are eligible claimants.

2. Collective Bargaining (Government Code Section 21635)

Counties, cities, a city and county and special districts, as defined in Government Code section 17518 are eligible claimants.

**III. PERIOD OF REIMBURSEMENT**

This amendment is effective beginning with claims filed for the July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006 period of reimbursement.

Section 17557 of the Government Code, prior to its amendment by Statutes of 1998, chapter 681, (effective September 22, 1998), stated that a test claim must be submitted on or before December 31 following a given fiscal year to establish eligibility for reimbursement for that fiscal year. The test claim for this mandate was filed on June 9, 1998.

Statutes of 1996, chapter 1120, an urgency statute, became effective September 30, 1996. It requires that local agencies provide health benefits to the survivors of peace officers and firefighters killed in the line of duty *after* September 30, 1996. And it allows collective bargaining for the continued health benefits coverage of a surviving spouse. Therefore, costs incurred for Statutes of 1996, chapter 1120, are eligible for reimbursement on or after July 1, 1997.

Statutes of 1997, chapter 193, became effective on January 1, 1998. It requires that local agencies provide health benefits to the survivors of peace officers and firefighters killed in the line of duty *before* September 30, 1996. Therefore, cost incurred for Statutes of 1997, chapter 193, are eligible for reimbursement on or after January 1, 1998.

Actual costs for one fiscal year shall be included in each claim. Estimated costs for the subsequent year may be included on the same claim, if applicable. Pursuant to section 17561, subdivision (d)(1) of the Government Code, all claims for reimbursement of initial years' costs shall be submitted within 120 days of notification by the State Controller of the issuance of claiming instructions.

If total costs for a given year do not exceed \$200, no reimbursement shall be allowed, except as otherwise allowed by Government Code section 17564.

#### **IV. REIMBURSABLE ACTIVITIES**

To be eligible for mandated cost reimbursement for any fiscal year, only actual costs may be claimed. Actual costs are those costs actually incurred to implement the mandated activities. Actual costs must be traceable and supported by source documents that show the validity of such costs, when they were incurred, and their relationship to the reimbursable activities. A source document is a document created at or near the same time the actual cost was incurred for the event or activity in question. Source documents may include, but are not limited to, employee time records or time logs, sign-in sheets, invoices, and receipts.

Evidence corroborating the source documents may include, but is not limited to, worksheets, cost allocation reports (system generated), purchase orders, contracts, agendas, training packets, and declarations. Declarations must include a certification or declaration stating, "I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct based upon personal knowledge." Evidence corroborating the source documents may include data relevant to the reimbursable activities otherwise in compliance with local, state, and federal government requirements. However, corroborating documents cannot be substituted for source documents.

The claimant is only allowed to claim and be reimbursed for increased costs for reimbursable activities identified below. Increased cost is limited to the cost of an activity that the claimant is required to incur as a result of the mandate.

For each eligible claimant, all direct and indirect costs of labor, materials, supplies and services, training and travel for the performance of the following activities, are eligible for reimbursement:

Component A. Providing Survivor Health Benefits (Labor Code Section 4856)

1. Developing or updating internal policies, procedures and manuals as necessary to provide health benefits to the deceased peace officer's or firefighter's surviving spouse and eligible dependents, as required under Labor Code section 4856 (one-time activity).
2. Upon the death of a peace officer or firefighter killed in the line of duty, the ongoing costs of maintaining files, manually or electronically, related to providing health benefits to the deceased peace officer's or firefighter's surviving spouse and eligible dependents, as required under Labor Code section 4856, is reimbursable.
3. Dependent Notification
  - a. Upon the death of a peace officer or firefighter killed in the line of duty, providing a one-time notification to the surviving spouse that the local government employer must continue providing health benefits to the deceased employee's spouse and minor dependents under the same terms and conditions provided before death, or prior to the accident or injury that caused death. If there is no surviving spouse, then providing a one-time notification to the minor dependents, or guardian, that the local government employer must continue providing health benefits until the minor dependents reach the age of 21 years.
  - b. Providing a one-time notice to the minor dependents of peace officers or firefighters killed in the line of duty, when they are no longer eligible for continued health benefits under the surviving spouse's coverage. Or, if there is no surviving spouse, when the minor dependents reach the age of 21 years.
  - c. Upon remarriage of the surviving spouse, providing a one-time notice to the surviving spouse that the new spouse or stepchildren cannot be added as family members under the continued health benefits coverage of the surviving spouse.
4. Upon the death of a peace officer or firefighter killed in the line of duty, communicating with the insurance plan provider for the purpose of notifying the insurance plan provider of the peace officer's or firefighter's death, and coordinating with the insurance plan provider to ensure that the deceased peace officer's or firefighter's surviving spouse and eligible dependents will continue receiving health benefits under the same terms and conditions as provided before death, or prior to the accident or injury that caused death.
5. Upon the death of a peace officer or firefighter killed in the line of duty, the amount of the insurance premiums paid to HMOs, or the contributions to self-insured pools, for the continued health benefits coverage to the deceased peace officer's or firefighter's surviving spouse, as required under Labor Code section 4856, is reimbursable. Eligible minor dependents are provided health benefits under the surviving spouse's coverage. If there is no surviving spouse, eligible dependents are provided health benefits until the age of 21 years. A surviving spouse's new spouse

or stepchildren are ineligible for continued health benefits under the surviving spouse's coverage.

Component B. Collective Bargaining for the Continued Health Benefits Coverage of a Surviving Spouse (Government Code Section 21635)

1. Developing or updating internal policies, procedures and manuals as necessary to collectively bargain with local employee representatives (one-time activity).
2. Maintaining files manually or electronically related to collective bargaining.
3. The cost of up to five employer representatives and the cost of up to five employee representatives will be reimbursed for the following activities if a representative of a recognized employee organization requests that the local governmental employer meet and confer in good faith.
  - a. Reviewing the recognized employee organization's initial contract proposal.
  - b. Developing and presenting the local government employer's response to the recognized employee organization's initial contract proposal.
  - c. Participating in negotiating planning sessions in preparation of pending negotiations with the recognized employee organization's representatives.
  - d. Negotiating with the recognized employee organization's representatives.
  - e. Holding public hearings, pursuant to Government Code 3505.1, so that the governing board can approve the memorandum of understanding.
  - f. Reproducing and distributing to employer representatives (supervisory, management, and confidential) that portion of the final contract agreement. Reproducing and distributing copies of the final contract to collective bargaining unit members are not reimbursable.

**V. CLAIM PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION**

Claims for reimbursement must be timely filed and identify each cost element for which reimbursement is claimed under this mandate. Claimed costs must be identified to each reimbursable activity identified in Section IV of this document.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

Claimed costs shall be supported by the following cost element information:

A. Direct Costs

Direct Costs are defined as costs that can be traced to specific goods, services, units, programs, activities or functions.

Claimed costs shall be supported by the following cost element information:

1. Salaries and Benefits

Identify the employee(s), and/or show the classification of the employee(s) involved. Describe the reimbursable activities performed and specify the actual time devoted to each reimbursable activity, the productive hourly rate, and related employee benefits.

Reimbursement includes compensation paid for salaries, wages, and employee benefits. Employee benefits include regular compensation paid to an employee during periods of authorized absences (e.g., annual leave, sick leave) and the employer's contributions to social security, pension plans, insurance, and workers' compensation insurance. Employee benefits are eligible for reimbursement when distributed equitably to all job activities performed by the employee.

## 2. Materials and Supplies

Only expenditures that can be identified as a direct cost of this mandate may be claimed. List the cost of the materials and supplies consumed specifically for the purposes of this mandate. Purchases shall be claimed at the actual price after deducting cash discounts, rebates and allowances received by the claimant. Supplies that are withdrawn from inventory shall be charged based on a recognized method of costing, consistently applied.

## 3. Contract Services

Contracted services for participation of employer representatives in contract negotiations and negotiation planning sessions will be reimbursed. Provide the name(s) of the contractor(s) who performed the services, including any fixed contracts for services. Describe the reimbursable activity(ies) performed by each named contractor and give the number of actual hours spent on the activities, if applicable. Show the inclusive dates when services were performed and itemize all costs for those services. Submit contract consultant and attorney invoices with the claim.

## 4. Travel

Travel expenses for mileage, per diem, lodging, and other employee entitlements are eligible for reimbursement in accordance with the rules of the local jurisdiction. Provide the name(s) of the traveler(s), purpose of travel, inclusive dates and times of travel, destination points and travel costs.

## 5. Training

The cost of training an employee to perform the mandated activities is eligible for reimbursement. Identify the employee(s) by name and job classification. Provide the title and subject of the training session, the date(s) attended, and the location. Reimbursable costs may include salaries and benefits, registration fees, transportation, lodging, and per diem.

## B. Indirect Costs

Indirect costs are defined as costs which are incurred for a common or joint purpose, benefiting more than one program and are not directly assignable to a particular department or program without efforts disproportionate to the result achieved. Indirect costs may include both (1) overhead costs of the unit performing the mandate; and (2) the costs of central government services distributed to other departments based on a systematic and rational basis through a cost allocation plan.

### Cities, Counties, and Special Districts

Compensation for indirect costs is eligible for reimbursement utilizing the procedure provided in the OMB A-87. Claimants have the option of using 10% of direct labor,

excluding fringe benefits, or preparing an Indirect Cost Rate Proposal (ICRP) if the indirect cost rate claimed exceeds 10%.

If the claimant chooses to prepare an ICRP, both the direct costs (as defined and described in OMB Circular A-87 Attachments A and B) and the indirect costs shall exclude capital expenditures and unallowable costs (as defined and described in OMB Circular A-87 Attachments A and B). However, unallowable costs must be included in the direct costs if they represent activities to which indirect costs are properly allocable.

The distribution base may be (1) total direct costs (excluding capital expenditures and other distorting items, such as pass-through funds, major subcontracts, etc.), (2) direct salaries and wages, or (3) another base which results in an equitable distribution.

In calculating an ICRP, the Claimant shall have the choice of one of the two following methodologies:

1. The allocation of allowable indirect costs (as defined and described in OMB Circular A-87 Attachments A and B) shall be accomplished by (1) classifying a department's total costs for the base period as either direct or indirect, and (2) dividing the total allowable indirect costs (net of applicable credits) by an equitable distribution base. The result of this process is an indirect cost rate which is used to distribute indirect costs to mandates. The rate should be expressed as a percentage which the total amount allowable indirect costs bears to the base selected; or
2. The allocation of allowable indirect costs (as defined and described in OMB Circular A-87 Attachments A and B) shall be accomplished by (1) separating a department into groups, such as divisions or sections, and then classifying the division's or section's total costs for the base period as either direct or indirect, and (2) dividing the total allowable indirect costs (net of applicable credits) by an equitable distribution base. The result of this process is an indirect cost rate which is used to distribute indirect costs to mandates. The rate should be expressed as a percentage which the total amount allowable indirect costs bears to the base selected.

#### School Districts

1. School districts must use the J-380 (or subsequent replacement) non-restrictive indirect cost rate provisionally approved by the California Department of Education.
2. County offices of education must use the J-580 (or subsequent replacement) non-restrictive indirect cost rate provisionally approved by the State Department of Education.
3. Community colleges have the option of using (1) a federally approved rate, utilizing the cost accounting principles from the Office of Management and Budget Circular A-21 "Cost Principles of Educational Institutions", (2) the rate calculated on State Controller's Form FAM-29C, or (3) a 7% indirect cost rate.

## **RECORD RETENTION SUPPORTING DATA**

Pursuant to Government Code section 17558.5, subdivision (a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a local agency or school district pursuant to this chapter<sup>1</sup> is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. In any case, an audit shall be completed not later than two years after the date that the audit is commenced. All documents used to support the reimbursable activities, as described in Section IV, must be retained during the period subject to audit. If the Controller has initiated an audit during the period subject to audit, the retention period is extended until the ultimate resolution of any audit findings. For audit purposes, all costs claimed shall be traceable to source documents (e.g., employee time records, invoices, receipts, purchase orders, contracts, worksheets, calendars, declarations, etc.) that show evidence of the validity of such costs and their relationship to the state mandated program. All documentation in support of the claimed costs shall be made available to the State Controller's Office, as may be requested, and all reimbursement claims are subject to audit during the period specified in Government Code, section 17558.5, subdivision (a).

## **VII. OFFSETTING SAVINGS AND OTHER REIMBURSEMENT**

Any offsetting savings the claimant experiences as a direct result of the subject mandate shall be deducted from the costs claimed. In addition, reimbursement for this mandate received from any source, including but not limited to, service fees collected, federal funds and other state funds shall be identified and deducted from this claim.

## **VIII. STATE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE REQUIRED CERTIFICATION**

An authorized representative of the claimant shall be required to provide a certification of the claim, as specified in the State Controller's claiming instructions, for those costs mandated by the State contained herein.

## **IX. PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES AMENDMENTS**

Pursuant to Title 2, California Code of Regulations, section 1183.2, Parameters and Guidelines amendments filed before the deadline for initial claims as specified in the Claiming Instructions shall apply to all years eligible for reimbursement as defined in the original parameters and guidelines. A Parameters and Guidelines amendment filed after the initial claiming deadline must be submitted on or before January 15, following a fiscal year in order to establish eligibility for reimbursement for that fiscal year.

---

<sup>1</sup> This refers to Title 2, division 4, part 7, chapter 4 of the Government Code.