

COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

980 NINTH STREET, SUITE 300
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
PHONE: (916) 323-3562
FAX: (916) 445-0278
E-mail: csminfo@csm.ca.gov



March 11, 2015

Mr. John Naimo
County of Los Angeles, Auditor-Controller
500 West Temple Street, Room 525
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Mr. Ed Jewik
County of Los Angeles, Auditor-Controller's Office
500 West Temple Street, Room 603
Los Angeles, CA 90012

And Parties, Interested Parties, and Interested Persons (See Mailing List)

Re: **Proposed Decision and Parameters and Guidelines**
Sheriff Court-Security Services, 09-TC-02
Government Code Section 69926(b)
County of Los Angeles, Claimant

Dear Mr. Naimo and Mr. Jewik:

Hearing

This matter is set for hearing on **Friday, March 27, 2015**, at 10:00 a.m., State Capitol, Room 447, Sacramento, California. This matter is proposed for the Consent Calendar. Please let us know in advance if you or a representative of your agency will testify at the hearing, and if other witnesses will appear. If you would like to request postponement of the hearing, please refer to section 1187.9(b) of the Commission's regulations.

Special Accommodations

For any special accommodations such as a sign language interpreter, an assistive listening device, materials in an alternative format, or any other accommodations, please contact the Commission Office at least five to seven *working* days prior to the meeting.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Heather Halsey".

Heather Halsey
Executive Director

ITEM 6
PROPOSED DECISION
AND
PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES

Government Code Section 69926(b)
Statutes 2009-2010 (4th Ex. Sess.), Chapter 22 (SB 13)

Sheriff Court-Security Services

09-TC-02

County of Los Angeles, Claimant

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

I. Summary of Mandate

These parameters and guidelines address the cost of retiree health benefits for sheriff employees who provide court security services to the trial courts.

On December 5, 2014, the Commission on State Mandates (Commission) adopted a decision finding that Government Code section 69926(b), as amended by Statutes 2009-2010 (4th Ex. Sess.), chapter 22, constitutes a reimbursable state-mandated program within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6(c).¹ Specifically, the Commission found that the following retiree health benefit costs that had been funded under the Trial Court Funding Program before January 1, 2003, but were then shifted to the counties by the test claim statute are reimbursable from July 28, 2009 to June 27, 2012:

- Amounts actually paid in the claimed fiscal year to an insurer, other benefit provider, or trustee to prefund the future retiree health benefit costs earned by county employees in the claimed fiscal year who provided court security services in criminal and delinquency matters pursuant to Government Code section 69922; and
- Amounts actually paid in the claimed fiscal year to an insurer, other benefit provider, or trustee to reduce an existing unfunded liability of the county for the health benefit costs previously earned by county employees who provided court security services in criminal and delinquency matters pursuant to Government Code section 69922.

Additionally, the Commission found that current health benefit premiums paid to retirees or their beneficiaries after retirement on a pay-as-you-go basis are not reimbursable.

¹ Article XIII B, section 6(c), was added to the California Constitution in 2004 to expand the definition of a new program or higher level of service as follows: “A mandated new program or higher level of service includes a transfer by the Legislature from the State to cities, counties, cities and counties, or special districts of complete or partial financial responsibility for a required program for which the State previously had complete or partial financial responsibility.”

The Commission further concluded that revenue received by a county eligible to claim reimbursement from the 2011 Realignment (Gov. Code, §§ 30025, 30027; Stats. 2011, ch. 40) for this program in fiscal year 2011-2012 shall be identified and deducted as offsetting revenue from any claim for reimbursement.

II. Procedural History

On December 5, 2014, the Commission adopted a decision approving the test claim on *Sheriff Court-Security Services*.² Commission staff issued the draft expedited parameters and guidelines on December 12, 2014.³ No comments were filed by the parties on the draft expedited parameters and guidelines.

III. Discussion

The proposed parameters and guidelines include the findings adopted by the Commission in its test claim decision with respect to the period of reimbursement, the counties eligible to claim reimbursement, the reimbursable costs, and the offsetting revenues. There were no comments filed by any of the parties and staff made only minor technical changes to the findings adopted in the test claim, which staff copied and pasted into the proposed parameters and guidelines. Staff therefore finds that the findings in the test claim decision support the parameters and guidelines.

IV. Staff Recommendation

Based on the foregoing, staff recommends that the Commission adopt the proposed decision and parameters and guidelines and authorize staff to make any non-substantive, technical corrections following the hearing.

² Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted December 5, 2014.

³ Exhibit B, Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines, issued December 12, 2014.

BEFORE THE
COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES
ON:

Government Code Section 69926(b)

Statutes 2009-2010 (4th Ex. Sess.), Chapter 22
(SB 13)

County of Los Angeles, Claimant

Case No.: 09-TC-02

Sheriff Court-Security Services

DECISION PURSUANT TO
GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 17500
ET SEQ.; CALIFORNIA CODE OF
REGULATIONS, TITLE 2, DIVISION 2,
CHAPTER 2.5, ARTICLE 7

(Adopted March 27, 2015)

DECISION

The Commission on State Mandates (Commission) heard and decided these parameters and guidelines during a regularly scheduled hearing on March 27, 2015. [Witness list will be included in the adopted decision.]

The law applicable to the Commission’s determination of a reimbursable state-mandated program is article XIII B, section 6(c) of the California Constitution, Government Code section 17500 et seq., and related case law.

The Commission [adopted/modified] the proposed decision to [approve/partially approve/deny] the parameters and guidelines at the hearing by a vote of [vote count will be included in the adopted decision].

I. Summary of Mandate

These parameters and guidelines address the cost of retiree health benefits for sheriff employees who provide court security services to the trial courts.

On December 5, 2014, the Commission on State Mandates (Commission) adopted a decision finding that Government Code section 69926(b), as amended by Statutes 2009-2010 (4th Ex. Sess.), chapter 22, constitutes a reimbursable state-mandated program within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6(c).⁴ Specifically, the Commission found that the following retiree health benefit costs that had been funded under the Trial Court Funding Program before January 1, 2003, but were then shifted to the counties by the test claim statute are reimbursable from July 28, 2009 to June 27, 2012:

⁴ Article XIII B, section 6(c), was added to the California Constitution in 2004 to expand the definition of a new program or higher level of service as follows: “A mandated new program or higher level of service includes a transfer by the Legislature from the State to cities, counties, cities and counties, or special districts of complete or partial financial responsibility for a required program for which the State previously had complete or partial financial responsibility.”

- Amounts actually paid in the claimed fiscal year to an insurer, other benefit provider, or trustee to prefund the future retiree health benefit costs earned by county employees in the claimed fiscal year who provided court security services in criminal and delinquency matters pursuant to Government Code section 69922; and
- Amounts actually paid in the claimed fiscal year to an insurer, other benefit provider, or trustee to reduce an existing unfunded liability of the county for the health benefit costs previously earned by county employees who provided court security services in criminal and delinquency matters pursuant to Government Code section 69922.

Additionally, the Commission found that current health benefit premiums paid to retirees or their beneficiaries after retirement on a pay-as-you-go basis are not reimbursable.

The Commission further concluded that revenue received by a county eligible to claim reimbursement from the 2011 Realignment (Gov. Code, §§ 30025, 30027; Stats. 2011, ch. 40) for this program in fiscal year 2011-2012 shall be identified and deducted as offsetting revenue from any claim for reimbursement.

II. Procedural History

On December 5, 2014, the Commission adopted a decision approving the test claim on *Sheriff Court-Security Services*.⁵ On December 12, 2014, Commission staff issued the draft expedited parameters and guidelines.⁶ No comments were filed by the parties on the draft expedited parameters and guidelines.

III. Commission Findings

The parameters and guidelines for this program include the findings adopted by the Commission in its test claim decision with respect to the period of reimbursement, eligible claimants, reimbursable costs, and offsetting revenues. None of the parties filed comments and staff made only minor technical changes to the findings adopted in the test claim, which staff copied and pasted into the proposed parameters and guidelines. The Commission therefore finds that the parameters and guidelines are supported by the findings in the test claim decision.

IV. Conclusion

Based on the foregoing, the Commission hereby adopts the proposed decision and parameters and guidelines.

⁵ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted December 5, 2014.

⁶ Exhibit B, Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines, issued December 12, 2014.

PROPOSED PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES

Government Code Section 69926(b)

Statutes 2009-2010 (4th Ex. Sess.), Chapter 22 (SB 13)

Sheriff Court-Security Services

09-TC-02

Period of reimbursement: July 28, 2009 through June 27, 2012

I. SUMMARY OF THE MANDATE

On December 5, 2014, the Commission on State Mandates (Commission) adopted a decision finding that Government Code section 69926(b), as amended by Statutes 2009-2010 (4th Ex. Sess.), chapter 22, constitutes a partial reimbursable state-mandated program within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6(c).¹ Specifically, the Commission found that the following retiree health benefit costs that had been funded under the Trial Court Funding Program before January 1, 2003, but were then shifted to the counties by the test claim statute are reimbursable from July 28, 2009 to June 27, 2012 only:

- Amounts actually paid in the claimed fiscal year to an insurer, other benefit provider, or trustee to prefund the future retiree health benefit costs earned by county employees in the claimed fiscal year who provided court security services in criminal and delinquency matters pursuant to Government Code section 69922; and
- Amounts actually paid in the claimed fiscal year to an insurer, other benefit provider, or trustee to reduce an existing unfunded liability of the county for the health benefit costs previously earned by county employees who provided court security services in criminal and delinquency matters pursuant to Government Code section 69922.

The Commission further concluded that revenue received by a county eligible to claim reimbursement from the 2011 Realignment (Gov. Code, §§ 30025, 30027; Stats. 2011, ch. 40) for this program in fiscal year 2011-2012 shall be identified and deducted as offsetting revenue from any claim for reimbursement.

II. ELIGIBLE CLAIMANTS

Any county, or city and county, that incurs increased costs as a result of this mandate and meets the following requirements is eligible to claim reimbursement. To be eligible a claimant must

¹ Article XIII B, section 6(c), was added to the California Constitution in 2004 to expand the definition of a new program or higher level of service as follows: “A mandated new program or higher level of service includes a transfer by the Legislature from the State to cities, counties, cities and counties, or special districts of complete or partial financial responsibility for a required program for which the State previously had complete or partial financial responsibility.”

have: (1) previously included retiree health benefit costs for existing employees that provided sheriff court security services in criminal and delinquency matters in its cost for court operations and billed those costs to the state under the Trial Court Funding Program before January 1, 2003; and (2) prefunded the future retiree health benefit costs earned by county employees in the claimed fiscal year who provided court security services in criminal and delinquency matters pursuant to Government Code section 69922 or prefunded to reduce an existing unfunded liability of the county for the health benefit costs previously earned by county employees who provided court security services in criminal and delinquency matters pursuant to Government Code section 69922.

III. PERIOD OF REIMBURSEMENT

Government Code section 17557(e) states that a test claim shall be submitted on or before June 30 following a given fiscal year to establish eligibility for that fiscal year. The claimant filed the test claim on June 30, 2010, establishing eligibility for reimbursement for the 2008-2009 fiscal year. However, Government Code section 69926(b) as amended by the test claim statute (Stats. 2009-2010 (4th Ex. Sess.), ch. 22) became effective on July 28, 2009, and remained in law only until June 27, 2012, when it was repealed to implement the statutory realignment of superior court security funding by Statutes of 2011, chapter 40. Thus, the period of reimbursement for this claim is from July 28, 2009 to June 27, 2012.

Reimbursement for state-mandated costs may be claimed as follows:

- Actual costs for one fiscal year shall be included in each claim.
- Pursuant to Government Code section 17561(d)(1)(A), all claims for reimbursement of initial fiscal year costs shall be submitted to the State Controller (Controller) within 120 days of the issuance date for the claiming instructions.
- Pursuant to Government Code section 17560(a), a local agency may, by February 15 following the fiscal year in which costs were incurred, file an annual reimbursement claim that details the costs actually incurred for that fiscal year.
- If revised claiming instructions are issued by the Controller pursuant to Government Code section 17558(c), between November 15 and February 15, a local agency filing an annual reimbursement claim shall have 120 days following the issuance date of the revised claiming instructions to file a claim. (Gov. Code §17560(b).)
- If the total costs for a given fiscal year do not exceed \$1,000, no reimbursement shall be allowed except as otherwise allowed by Government Code section 17564(a).
- There shall be no reimbursement for any period in which the Legislature has suspended the operation of a mandate pursuant to state law.

IV. REIMBURSABLE COSTS PURSUANT TO ARTICLE XIII B, SECTION 6(c)

To be eligible for mandated cost reimbursement for any fiscal year, only actual costs may be claimed. Actual costs are those costs actually incurred to implement the mandated program. Actual costs must be traceable and supported by source documents that show the validity of such costs, when they were incurred, and their relationship to the reimbursable program. A source

document is a document created at or near the same time the actual cost was incurred for the event, activity, or program cost in question. Source documents may include, but are not limited to, employee time records or time logs, sign-in sheets, invoices, financial and accounting statements, and receipts.

Evidence corroborating the source documents may include, but is not limited to, worksheets, cost allocation reports (system generated), and declarations. Declarations must include a certification or declaration stating, "I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct," and must further comply with the requirements of Code of Civil Procedure section 2015.5. Evidence corroborating the source documents may include data relevant to the reimbursable costs otherwise in compliance with local, state, and federal government requirements. However, corroborating documents cannot be substituted for source documents.

The claimant is only allowed to claim and be reimbursed for the following retiree health benefit costs for existing employees that provided sheriff court security services in criminal and delinquency matters from July 28, 2009 to June 27, 2012:

- Amounts actually paid in the claimed fiscal year to an insurer, other benefit provider, or trustee to prefund the future retiree health benefit costs earned by county employees in the claimed fiscal year who provided court security services in criminal and delinquency matters pursuant to Government Code section 69922; and
- Amounts actually paid in the claimed fiscal year to an insurer, other benefit provider, or trustee to reduce an existing unfunded liability of the county for the health benefit costs previously earned by county employees who provided court security services in criminal and delinquency matters pursuant to Government Code section 69922.

*Retiree health benefit payments to **retirees or their beneficiaries** made during the period of reimbursement are not eligible for reimbursement.*

V. CLAIM PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION

Each claimed reimbursable cost must be supported by source documentation as described in section IV. Additionally, each reimbursement claim must be filed in a timely manner.

A. Direct Cost Reporting

Direct costs are those costs incurred specifically for the reimbursable program. The following direct costs are eligible for reimbursement.

1. Employee Retiree Health Benefits

Report each employee providing court security services in criminal and delinquency matters during the period of reimbursement by name, job classification, and the reimbursable retiree health benefit cost incurred for that employee, as defined in section IV of these parameters and guidelines.

VI. RECORD RETENTION

Pursuant to Government Code section 17558.5(a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a county or city and county pursuant to this chapter² is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. In any case, an audit shall be completed not later than two years after the date that the audit is commenced. All documents used to support the reimbursable costs, as described in section IV, must be retained during the period subject to audit. If an audit has been initiated by the Controller during the period subject to audit, the retention period is extended until the ultimate resolution of any audit findings.

VII. OFFSETTING REVENUES AND REIMBURSEMENTS

Revenue received by a claimant from the 2011 Realignment (Gov. Code, §§ 30025, 30027; Stats. 2011, ch. 40) for this program and used by the claimant to pre-fund the costs of retiree health benefits of existing employees providing sheriff court security services in criminal and delinquency matters shall be identified and deducted as offsetting revenue from any claim for reimbursement.

Any other offsetting revenue the claimant experiences in the same program as a result of the same statutes or executive orders found to contain the mandate shall be deducted from the costs claimed. In addition, reimbursement for this mandate from any source, including but not limited to, service fees collected, federal funds, and other state funds, shall be identified and deducted from this claim.

VIII. STATE CONTROLLER'S CLAIMING INSTRUCTIONS

Pursuant to Government Code section 17558(b), the Controller shall issue claiming instructions for each mandate that requires state reimbursement not later than 90 days after receiving the adopted parameters and guidelines from the Commission, to assist a local agency in claiming costs to be reimbursed. The claiming instructions shall be derived from these parameters and guidelines and the decisions on the test claim and parameters and guidelines adopted by the Commission.

Pursuant to Government Code section 17561(d)(1), issuance of the claiming instructions shall constitute a notice of the right of a local agency to file reimbursement claims, based upon parameters and guidelines adopted by the Commission.

IX. REMEDIES BEFORE THE COMMISSION

Upon request of a local agency, the Commission shall review the claiming instructions issued by the Controller or any other authorized state agency for reimbursement of mandated costs pursuant to Government Code section 17571. If the Commission determines that the claiming instructions do not conform to the parameters and guidelines, the Commission shall direct the

² This refers to title 2, division 4, part 7, chapter 4 of the Government Code.

Controller to modify the claiming instructions and the Controller shall modify the claiming instructions to conform to the parameters and guidelines as directed by the Commission.

In addition, requests may be made to amend parameters and guidelines pursuant to Government Code section 17557(d), and California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1183.17.

X. LEGAL AND FACTUAL BASIS FOR THE PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES

The decisions adopted for the test claim and parameters and guidelines are legally binding on all parties and provide the legal and factual basis for the parameters and guidelines. The support for the legal and factual findings is found in the administrative record. The administrative record is on file with the Commission.

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY EMAIL

I, the undersigned, declare as follows:

I am a resident of the County of Solano and I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My place of employment is 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, California 95814.

On March 11, 2015, I served the:

Proposed Decision and Parameters and Guidelines

Sheriff Court-Security Services, 09-TC-02

Government Code Section 69926(b)

County of Los Angeles, Claimant

by making it available on the Commission's website and providing notice of how to locate it to the email addresses provided on the attached mailing list.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on March 11, 2015 at Sacramento, California.



Heidi J. Palchik
Commission on State Mandates
980 Ninth Street, Suite 300
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 323-3562

COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

Mailing List

Last Updated: 3/10/15

Claim Number: 09-TC-02

Matter: Sheriff Court-Security Services

Claimant: County of Los Angeles

TO ALL PARTIES, INTERESTED PARTIES, AND INTERESTED PERSONS:

Each commission mailing list is continuously updated as requests are received to include or remove any party or person on the mailing list. A current mailing list is provided with commission correspondence, and a copy of the current mailing list is available upon request at any time. Except as provided otherwise by commission rule, when a party or interested party files any written material with the commission concerning a claim, it shall simultaneously serve a copy of the written material on the parties and interested parties to the claim identified on the mailing list provided by the commission. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.3.)

Socorro Aquino, *State Controller's Office*

Division of Audits, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 322-7522

SAquino@sco.ca.gov

Harmeet Barkschat, *Mandate Resource Services, LLC*

5325 Elkhorn Blvd. #307, Sacramento, CA 95842

Phone: (916) 727-1350

harmeet@calsdrc.com

Lacey Baysinger, *State Controller's Office*

Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 324-0254

lbaysinger@sco.ca.gov

Danielle Brandon, *Budget Analyst, Department of Finance*

915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274

danielle.brandon@dof.ca.gov

Allan Burdick,

7525 Myrtle Vista Avenue, Sacramento, CA 95831

Phone: (916) 203-3608

allanburdick@gmail.com

J. Bradley Burgess, *MGT of America*

895 La Sierra Drive, Sacramento, CA 95864

Phone: (916) 595-2646

Bburgess@mgtamer.com

Gwendolyn Carlos, *State Controller's Office*

Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 323-0706

gcarlos@sco.ca.gov

Curtis Child, Chief Operating Officer, *Judicial Council of California*

2860 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 400, Sacramento, CA 95833

Phone: (916) 643-7030

curtis.child@jud.ca.gov

Annette Chinn, *Cost Recovery Systems, Inc.*

705-2 East Bidwell Street, #294, Folsom, CA 95630

Phone: (916) 939-7901

achinnrcs@aol.com

Marieta Delfin, *State Controller's Office*

Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 322-4320

mdelfin@sco.ca.gov

Eric Feller, *Commission on State Mandates*

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562

eric.feller@csm.ca.gov

Donna Ferebee, *Department of Finance*

915 L Street, Suite 1280, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274

donna.ferebee@dof.ca.gov

Susan Geanacou, *Department of Finance*

915 L Street, Suite 1280, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274

susan.geanacou@dof.ca.gov

Michael Giden, Supervision Attorney, *Judicial Council of California*

2255 North Ontario Street, Suite 220, Burbank, CA 91504

Phone: (818) 558-4802

michael.giden@jud.ca.gov

Dorothy Holzem, *California Special Districts Association*

1112 I Street, Suite 200, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 442-7887

dorothyh@csda.net

Martin Hoshino, Administrative Director, *Judicial Council of California*

455 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 865-4200

martin.hoshino@jud.ca.gov

Justyn Howard, Program Budget Manager, *Department of Finance*

915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-1546

justyn.howard@dof.ca.gov

Mark Ibele, *Senate Budget & Fiscal Review Committee*

California State Senate, State Capitol Room 5019, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 651-4103

Mark.Ibele@sen.ca.gov

Edward Jewik, *County of Los Angeles*

Claimant Representative

Auditor-Controller's Office, 500 W. Temple Street, Room 603, Los Angeles, CA 90012

Phone: (213) 974-8564

ejewik@auditor.lacounty.gov

Ferlyn Junio, *Nimbus Consulting Group, LLC*

2386 Fair Oaks Boulevard, Suite 104, Sacramento, CA 95825

Phone: (916) 480-9444

fjunio@nimbusconsultinggroup.com

Jill Kanemasu, *State Controller's Office*

Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 322-9891

jkanemasu@sco.ca.gov

Anita Kerezsi, *AK & Company*

3531 Kersey Lane, Sacramento, CA 95864

Phone: (916) 972-1666

akcompany@um.att.com

Jay Lal, *State Controller's Office (B-08)*

Division of Accounting & Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 324-0256

JLal@sco.ca.gov

Kathleen Lynch, *Department of Finance (A-15)*

915 L Street, Suite 1280, 17th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274

kathleen.lynch@dof.ca.gov

Hortensia Mato, *City of Newport Beach*

100 Civic Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 92660

Phone: (949) 644-3000

hmato@newportbeachca.gov

Martin Mayer, *California State Sheriffs' Association*

3777 North Harbor Boulevard, Fullerton, CA 92835

Phone: (714) 446-1400

mjm@jones-mayer.com

Michelle Mendoza, *MAXIMUS*

17310 Red Hill Avenue, Suite 340, Irvine, CA 95403

Phone: (949) 440-0845

michellemendoza@maximus.com

Meredith Miller, *Director of SB90 Services, MAXIMUS*

3130 Kilgore Road, Suite 400, Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

Phone: (972) 490-9990
meredithcmiller@maximus.com

John Naimo, Acting Auditor-Controller, *County of Los Angeles*
Auditor-Controller, 500 West Temple Street, Room 525, Los Angeles, CA 90012
Phone: (213) 974-8302
jnaimo@auditor.lacounty.gov

Geoffrey Neill, Senior Legislative Analyst, Revenue & Taxation, *California State Association of Counties (CSAC)*
1100 K Street, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 327-7500
gneill@counties.org

Andy Nichols, *Nichols Consulting*
1857 44th Street, Sacramento, CA 95819
Phone: (916) 455-3939
andy@nichols-consulting.com

Marianne O'Malley, *Legislative Analyst's Office (B-29)*
925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 319-8315
marianne.O'malley@lao.ca.gov

Arthur Palkowitz, *Stutz Artiano Shinoff & Holtz*
2488 Historic Decatur Road, Suite 200, San Diego, CA 92106
Phone: (619) 232-3122
apalkowitz@sashlaw.com

Anita Peden, *County of Sacramento*
711 G Street, Room 405, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 874-8441
apeden@sacsheriff.com

Jai Prasad, *County of San Bernardino*
Office of Auditor-Controller, 222 West Hospitality Lane, 4th Floor, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0018
Phone: (909) 386-8854
jai.prasad@atc.sbcounty.gov

Mark Rewolinski, *MAXIMUS*
625 Coolidge Drive, Suite 100, Folsom, CA 95630
Phone: (949) 440-0845
markrewolinski@maximus.com

Kathy Rios, *State Controller's Office*
Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 324-5919
krios@sco.ca.gov

Lee Scott, *Department of Finance*
15 L Street, 8th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 445-3274
lee.scott@dof.ca.gov

Carla Shelton, *Commission on State Mandates*
980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 327-6490
carla.shelton@csm.ca.gov

Wayne Shimabukuro, *County of San Bernardino*
Auditor/Controller-Recorder-Treasurer-Tax Collector, 222 West Hospitality Lane, 4th Floor,
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0018
Phone: (909) 386-8850
wayne.shimabukuro@atc.sbcounty.gov

Jim Spano, Chief, Mandated Cost Audits Bureau, *State Controller's Office*
Division of Audits, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 323-5849
jspano@sco.ca.gov

Dennis Speciale, *State Controller's Office*
Division of Accounting and Reporting, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 324-0254
DSpeciale@sco.ca.gov

Laura Speed, Assistant Director, *Judicial Council of California*
Governmental Affairs, 2860 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 400, Sacramento, CA 95833
Phone: (916) 323-3121
laura.speed@jud.ca.gov

Evelyn Suess, Principal Program Budget Analyst, *Department of Finance*
Local Government Unit, 915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 445-3274
evelyn.suess@dof.ca.gov

Meg Svoboda, *Senate Office of Research*
1020 N Street, Suite 200, Sacramento, CA
Phone: (916) 651-1500
meg.svoboda@sen.ca.gov

Jolene Tollenaar, *MGT of America*
2001 P Street, Suite 200, Suite 200, Sacramento, CA 95811
Phone: (916) 443-9136
jolene_tollenaar@mgtamer.com

Evelyn Tseng, *City of Newport Beach*
100 Civic Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 92660
Phone: (949) 644-3127
etseng@newportbeachca.gov

Brian Uhler, *Legislative Analyst's Office*
925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 319-8328
brian.uhler@lao.ca.gov

Renee Wellhouse, *David Wellhouse & Associates, Inc.*
3609 Bradshaw Road, H-382, Sacramento, CA 95927
Phone: (916) 797-4883

dwa-renee@surewest.net

Hasmik Yaghobyan, *County of Los Angeles*

Auditor-Controller's Office, 500 W. Temple Street, Room 603, Los Angeles, CA 90012

Phone: (213) 974-9653

hyaghobyan@auditor.lacounty.gov