

March 12, 2025

Ms. Nora Frimann
City of San Jose
200 East Santa Clara
Street, 16th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113

Ms. Anne Kato
State Controller's Office
Local Government
Programs and Services
Division
3301 C Street, Suite 740
Sacramento, CA 95816

Ms. Jennifer Maguire
City of San Jose
200 East Santa Clara
Street
San Jose, CA 95113

Mr. Gregory Newmark
Meyers Nave
707 Wilshire Blvd., 24th
Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017

Ms. Margaret Olaiya
County of Santa Clara
70 West Hedding Street,
East Wing, 2nd Floor
San Jose, CA 95110

Ms. Elizabeth Pianca
County of Santa Clara
70 West Hedding Street,
East Wing, 9th Floor
San Jose, CA 95110-1770

Ms. Colleen Tribby
City of Dublin
100 Civic Plaza
Dublin, CA 94568

And Parties, Interested Parties, and Interested Persons (See Mailing List)

Re: Proposed Decision and Parameters and Guidelines

*California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Region,
Order No. R2-2009-0074, 10-TC-02, 10-TC-03, and 10-TC-05*

*California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region,
Order No. R2-2009-0074, Sections C.8.d.iii., C.8.e.vi., C.8.f., C.8.g.ii., C.8.g.vii.,
C.10.a.i., C.10.a.ii., C.10.a.iii., C.10.b.ii., C.10.b.iii., C.10.c., C.10.d.i., C.10.d.ii.,
C.11.f., and C.12.f.; Adopted October 14, 2009, and Effective December 1, 2009
Cities of Dublin and San Jose, and County of Santa Clara, Claimants*

Dear Ms. Frimann, Ms. Kato, Ms. Maguire, Mr. Newmark, Ms. Olaiya, Ms. Pianca, and Ms. Tribby:

The Proposed Decision and Parameters and Guidelines for the above-captioned matter is enclosed for your review.

Hearing: This matter is set for hearing on **Friday, March 28, 2025, in person at 10:00 a.m., at Park Tower, 980 9th Street, Second Floor Conference Room, Sacramento, California, 95814 and via Zoom.**

The Commission is committed to ensuring that its public meetings are accessible to the public and that the public has the opportunity to observe the meeting and to participate by providing written and verbal comment on Commission matters whether they are physically appearing at the in-person meeting location or participating via Zoom. If you want to speak during the hearing and you are in-person, please come to the table for the swearing in and to speak when your item is up for hearing. If you are participating via Zoom or via telephone, you must use the "Raise Hand" feature in order for our moderators to know you need to be unmuted.

J:\MANDATES\2010\TC\10-TC-02, -03, and -05 SFRWQCB Order No. R2-2009-0074\Correspondence\PD and Ps and Gs trans.docx

Ms. Frimann, Ms. Kato, Ms. Maguire, Mr. Newmark, Ms. Olaiya, Ms. Pianca, and Ms. Tribby
March 12, 2025
Page 2

You may join the meeting via Zoom through the link below and can listen and view through your desktop, laptop, tablet, or smart phone. This will allow you to view documents being shared as well.

There are two options for joining the meeting via Zoom:

1. Through the link below you can listen and view through your desktop, laptop, tablet, or smart phone. This will allow you to view documents being shared as well. (You are encouraged to use this option.)

[https://csm-ca-
gov.zoom.us/j/88129095531?pwd=TDtbfbirENXLCAPFkymxTtqMtXeS4k.1](https://csm-ca.gov.zoom.us/j/88129095531?pwd=TDtbfbirENXLCAPFkymxTtqMtXeS4k.1)

Passcode: 032825

2. Through your landline or non-smart mobile phone, either number works. You will be able to listen to the proceedings but will not be able to view the meeting or any documents being shared. If you would like to speak, press #2 to use the "Raise Hand" feature.

+1 216 706 7075 US Toll

+1 866 390 1828 US Toll-free

Conference Code: 155007

Please don't hesitate to reach out to us for help with technical problems at csminfo@csm.ca.gov or 916 323-3562.

If you plan to address the Commission on an agenda item, please notify the Commission office not later than noon on the Tuesday prior to the hearing, March 25, 2025. Please also include the names of the people who will be speaking for inclusion on the witness list and the names and email addresses of the people who will be speaking remotely to receive a hearing panelist link in Zoom. When calling or emailing, identify the item you want to testify on and the entity you represent. The Commission Chairperson reserves the right to impose time limits on presentations as may be necessary to complete the agenda.

If you plan to file any written document, please note that Commission staff will include written comments filed at least 15 days in advance of the hearing in the Commissioners' hearing binders, a copy of which is available for public viewing at the Commission meeting. Additionally, written comments filed more than five days in advance of the meeting shall be included in the Commission's meeting binders, if feasible, or shall be provided to the Commission when the item is called, unless otherwise agreed by the Commission or the executive director. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.10(b)(1)(A-B).)

However, comments filed less than five days in advance of the meeting, the commenter shall provide 12 copies to Commission staff at the in-person meeting. In the case of participation by teleconference, a PDF copy shall be filed via the Commission's dropbox at <https://www.csm.ca.gov/dropbox.shtml> at least 24 hours prior to the meeting.

Commission staff shall provide copies of the comments to the Commission and shall place a copy on a table for public review when the item is called or, in the case of participation via teleconference, shall provide an electronic copy to the Commission and post a copy on the Commission's website, and may share the document with the

Ms. Frimann, Ms. Kato, Ms. Maguire, Mr. Newmark, Ms. Olaiya, Ms. Pianca, and Ms. Tribby
March 12, 2025
Page 3


Commission and the public using the “share screen” function. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.10(b)(1)(C).)

If you would like to request postponement of the hearing, please refer to section 1187.9(b) of the Commission’s regulations.

Special Accommodations

For any special accommodations such as a sign language interpreter, an assistive listening device, materials in an alternative format, or any other accommodations, please contact the Commission Office at least five to seven working days prior to the meeting.

Very truly yours,



Juliana F. Gmur
Executive Director

ITEM 3

PROPOSED DECISION AND PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region, Order No. R2-2009-0074, Sections C.8.d.iii., C.8.e.vi., C.8.f., C.8.g.ii., C.8.g.vii., C.10.a.i., C.10.a.ii., C.10.a.iii., C.10.b.ii., C.10.b.iii., C.10.c., C.10.d.i., C.10.d.ii., C.11.f., and C.12.f.

Adopted October 14, 2009, and Effective December 1, 2009

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region, Order No. R2-2009-0074

10-TC-02, 10-TC-03, and 10-TC-05

Period of reimbursement from December 1, 2009, through December 31, 2017

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

I. Summary of the Mandate

These Parameters and Guidelines address state-mandated activities arising from National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Program (NPDES) permit, Order No. R2-2009-0074 (test claim permit), adopted by the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board on October 14, 2009, and effective on December 1, 2009.

On January 24, 2025, the Commission on State Mandates (Commission) adopted a Decision finding that the test claim permit imposes a reimbursable state-mandated program upon local agencies within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution and Government Code section 17514. The Commission partially approved the Test Claim for the following activities:

1. Geomorphic Study (Section C.8.d.iii)

- a. Permittees shall select a waterbody/reach, preferably one that contains significant fish and wildlife resources, and conduct one of the following projects within each county, except that only one such project must be completed within the collective Fairfield-Suisun and Vallejo Permittees' jurisdictions:
 - 1) Gather geomorphic data to support the efforts of a local watershed partnership [fn. omitted] to improve creek conditions; or
 - 2) Inventory locations for potential retrofit projects in which decentralized, landscape-based stormwater retention units can be installed; or

- 3) Conduct a geomorphic study which will help in development of regional curves which help estimate equilibrium channel conditions for different-sized drainages. Select a waterbody/reach that is not undergoing changing land use. Collect and report the following data:
 - Formally surveyed channel dimensions (profile), planform, and cross-sections. Cross-sections shall include the topmost floodplain terrace and be marked by a permanent, protruding (not flush with ground) monument.
 - Contributing drainage area.
 - Best available information on bankfull discharges and width and depth of channel formed by bankfull discharges.
 - Best available information on average annual rainfall in the study area.
- b. Report selected geomorphic project results in the Integrated Monitoring Report.¹
2. Sediment Delivery Estimate/Budget (Section C.8.e.vi.)

Permittees shall develop a design for a robust sediment delivery estimate/sediment budget in local tributaries and urban drainages by July 1, 2011, and implement the study by July 1, 2012.²
3. Citizen Monitoring and Participation (Section C.8.f.), *which Is Reimbursable for the City of Vallejo and Vallejo Sanitary District only*:
 - a. Encourage Citizen Monitoring.
 - b. In developing Monitoring Projects and evaluating Status & Trends data, make reasonable efforts to seek out citizen and stakeholder information and comment regarding waterbody function and quality.
 - c. Demonstrate annually the permittee has encouraged citizen and stakeholder observations and reporting of waterbody conditions. Report on these outreach efforts in the annual Urban Creeks Monitoring Report.³
4. Monitoring Reporting and Notice (Sections C.8.g.ii., C.8.g.vii.)

¹ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, pages 370-371 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, pages 221-222, 227 (Test claim permit, Section C.8.d.iii.). All references are to PDF page numbers.

² Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 371 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 225 (Test claim permit, Section C.8.e.vi.).

³ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 371 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 225 (Test claim permit, Section C.8.f.).

- a. Permittees shall maintain an information management system to support electronic transfer of data to the Regional Data Center of the California Environmental Data Exchange Network (CEDEN), located within the San Francisco Estuary Institute.⁴
- b. Permittees shall submit an Electronic Status Monitoring Data Report, compatible with the SWAMP database, no later than January 15 of each year, reporting on all data collected during the previous October 1-September 30 period. Water quality objective exceedances are required to be highlighted in the report.⁵
- c. Permittees shall notify stakeholders and members of the general public about the availability of electronic and paper monitoring reports through notices distributed through appropriate means, such as an electronic mailing list.⁶

5. Trash

- a. Short-Term Trash Load Reduction Plan (Section C.10.a.i.). All permittees, except for flood management agencies, shall submit a Short-Term Trash Load Reduction Plan, including an implementation schedule, to the Regional Board by February 1, 2012. The Plan shall describe the following:
 - 1) Control measures and best management practices, including any trash reduction ordinances, currently being implemented and the current level of implementation.
 - 2) Additional control measures and best management practices that will be implemented, and/or an increased level of implementation designed to attain a 40 percent trash load reduction from its MS4 by July 1, 2014.
 - 3) The Plan shall also “account for required mandatory minimum Full Trash Capture devices called for in Provision C.10.a.iii and Trash Hot Spot Cleanup called for in Provision C.10.b.”⁷
- b. Baseline Trash Load and Trash Load Reduction Tracking Method (Section C.10.a.ii.). All permittees, except for flood management agencies, shall comply with the following new requirements:
 - 1) Determine the baseline trash load from its MS4.

⁴ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 372 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 226, footnote 46 (Test claim permit, Section C.8.g.ii.).

⁵ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 372 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 226 (Test claim permit, Section C.8.g.ii.).

⁶ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 372 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 228 (Test claim permit, Section C.8.g.vii.).

⁷ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 372 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 233 (Test claim permit, Section C.10.a.i.).

- 2) Submit the load level to the Regional Board by February 1, 2012. The February 1, 2012, report shall include the following:
 - Documentation of the methodology used to determine the load level.
 - A description of the trash load reduction tracking method that will be used to account for trash load reduction actions and to demonstrate progress and attainment of trash load reduction levels.
 - The submittal shall account for the drainage areas of a Permittee's jurisdiction that are associated with the baseline trash load from its MS4, and the baseline trash load level per unit area by land use type and drainage area characteristics used to derive the total baseline trash load level for each Permittee.
 - 3) Submit a progress report by February 1, 2011, indicating whether the permittee is determining its baseline trash load and trash load reduction method individually or collaboratively with other Permittees and a summary of the approach being used.⁸
- c. Minimum Full Trash Capture (Section C.10.a.iii.). Except as provided below, all permittees shall comply with the following requirements:
- Install and maintain a mandatory minimum number of full trash capture devices by July 1, 2014, to treat runoff from an area equivalent to 30 percent of Retail/Wholesale Land draining to MS4s within their jurisdictions. The scope of this requirement is as follows:
- A full capture system or device is "any single device or series of devices that traps all particles retained by a 5 mm mesh screen and has a design treatment capacity of not less than the peak flow rate Q resulting from a one-year, one-hour, storm in the subdrainage area".
 - The mandatory minimum number of full trash capture devices for each permittee is identified in Attachment J to the test claim permit, Tables 10-1 and 10-2. However, if the sum of the areas generating trash loads determined pursuant to Section C.10.a.ii is a smaller acreage than the required trash capture acreage, the minimum full trash capture requirement is reduced to the smaller acreage for the population-based permittee.⁹

The requirements to install and maintain full trash capture devices **does not apply:**

⁸ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 373 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, pages 233-234 (Test claim permit, Section C.10.a.ii.).

⁹ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 373 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, pages 234, 411 et seq., and 415 et seq. (Test claim permit, Section C.10.a.iii., Attachment J, Tables 10-1, 10-2).

- To a population-based permittee with a population less than 12,000 and retail/wholesale land less than 40 acres, or a population less than 2000.¹⁰
 - To full trash capture devices installed by a permittee *before* the effective date of the test claim permit, which may be counted towards the minimum number of full trash capture devices identified in Attachment J, provided the device meets the permit's definition of a full trash capture device.¹¹
- d. Trash Hot Spots (C.10.b.ii. and iii.)
- 1) The permittees shall each submit selected Trash Hot Spots to the Regional Board by July 1, 2010. (Section C.10.b.ii.)¹²
 - 2) Hot Spot Assessments. (Section C.10.b.iii.)
 - a) The San Mateo and Fairfield-Suisun permittees shall comply with the following new requirements:
 - Identify the dominant types of trash (e.g., glass, plastics, paper) removed.
 - Document the trash conditions before and after clean-up of the entire hot spot using photo documentation with a minimum of one photo per 50 feet of hot spot length.
 - b) The Contra Costa permittees shall comply with the following new requirement:
 - Document the trash conditions before and after clean-up of the entire hot spot using photo documentation with a minimum of one photo per 50 feet of hot spot length.
 - c) The Vallejo permittees shall comply with the following new requirements:
 - Quantify the volume of material removed from each trash hot spot cleanup.
 - Identify the dominant types of trash removed (e.g., glass, plastics, paper).

¹⁰ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 374 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 234 (Test claim permit, Section C.10.a.iii.).

¹¹ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 374 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 234 (Test claim permit, Section C.10.a.iii.); Code of Federal Regulations, title 40, section 122.41(e).

¹² Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 374 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 235 (Test claim permit, Section C.10.b.ii.).

- Document the trash condition before and after cleanup using photo documentation, with a minimum of one photo per 50 feet of hot spot length.¹³
- e. Long-Term Trash Load Reduction Plan (Section C.10.c.). All permittees, except for flood management agencies, shall submit a Long-Term Trash Load Reduction Plan, including an implementation schedule, to the Water Board by February 1, 2014. The Plan shall describe the control measures and best management practices, including any trash reduction ordinances, that are being implemented and the level of implementation and additional control measures and best management practices that will be implemented, and/or an increased level of implementation designed to attain a 70 percent trash load reduction from its MS4 by July 1, 2017, and 100 percent by July 1, 2022.¹⁴
- f. Reporting and Document Retention (Sections C.10.d.i. and C.10.d.ii.)
- 1) The Fairfield-Suisun, San Mateo, and Vallejo permittees shall comply with the following new requirement:
- In each annual report, report on the dominant types of trash removed and retain these records.¹⁵
- 2) All permittees shall comply with the following new requirements:
- In each Annual Report, provide total trash loads and dominant types of trash *for each type of action, including each trash hot spot selected* pursuant to Section C.10.b. and retain these records.¹⁶
 - Beginning with the 2012 annual report, report the percent annual trash load reduction relative to the permittee's baseline trash load.¹⁷

6. Mercury and PCB Diversion Studies (Sections C.11.f., C.12.f.)

- a. Permittees shall conduct feasibility evaluations for mercury and PCBs by selecting five stormwater pump stations and five alternates for each pollutant and evaluate drainage characteristics and the feasibility of diverting flows of each to the sanitary sewer. The feasibility evaluations shall include, but not be limited to,

¹³ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, pages 374-375 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 235 (Test claim permit, Section C.10.b.iii.).

¹⁴ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 375 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 235 (Test claim permit, Section C.10.c.).

¹⁵ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 375 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, pages 235-236 (Test claim permit, Sections C.10.d.i. and C.10.d.ii.).

¹⁶ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 375 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, pages 235-236 (Test claim permit, Sections C.10.d.i. and C.10.d.ii.).

¹⁷ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 375 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, pages 235-236 (Test claim permit, Section C.10.d.i.).

costs, benefits, and impacts on the stormwater and wastewater agencies and the receiving waters relevant to the diversion and treatment of the dry weather and first flush flows.

- b. From these feasibility evaluations, select five pump stations and five alternates for the pilot diversion studies for each pollutant. At least one urban runoff diversion pilot project shall be implemented in each of the five counties (San Mateo, Contra Costa, Alameda, Santa Clara, and Solano). The pilot and alternate locations should be located in industrially-dominated catchments where elevated PCB concentrations are documented.
- c. Implement flow diversion of mercury and PCBs to the sanitary sewer at five pilot pump stations.
- d. As part of the pilot studies, the permittees shall monitor, measure, and report mercury and PCBs load reduction.
- e. Report the following information to the Regional Board:
 - 1) Summarize the results of the feasibility evaluations in the 2010 Annual Report. The reports shall include the selection criteria leading to the identification of the five candidate and five alternate pump stations for pilot studies; time schedules for conducting the pilot studies; and a proposed method for distributing mercury load reductions to participating wastewater and stormwater agencies.
 - 2) Report annually on the status of the pilot studies in each subsequent annual report.
 - 3) Include in the March 15, 2014 Integrated Monitoring Report, the following information for each study: evaluation of pilot programs effectiveness, mercury and PCBs loads reduced, and updated feasibility evaluation procedures to guide future diversion project selection.¹⁸

Reimbursement for these activities is denied beginning January 1, 2018, because the claimants have fee authority sufficient as a matter of law to cover the costs of these activities pursuant to Government Code section 17556(d) and, thus, there are no costs mandated by the state.

Any fee revenues received must be identified as offsetting revenue. In addition, reimbursement for this mandate from any source, including but not limited to, service fees collected, federal funds, other state funds, and other funds that are not the claimant's proceeds of taxes shall be identified and deducted from this claim.

¹⁸ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, pages 375-376 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, pages 240-241, 248-249 (Test claim permit, Sections C.11.f., C.12.f.).

All other activities and sections of the test claim permit and costs pled by the claimants were denied.¹⁹

II. Procedural History

On January 24, 2025, the Commission adopted the Test Claim Decision.²⁰ On January 28, 2025, Commission staff issued the Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines.²¹ On February 14, 2025, the State Controller (Controller) filed comments on the Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines, stating that no changes are recommended.²² Neither the claimants, the Department of Finance (Finance), nor the State Water Boards filed comments on the Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines. Pursuant to section 1183.9(d) of the Commission’s regulations, Commission staff did not issue a Draft Proposed Decision and Parameters and Guidelines for comment because no substantive comments were filed on the Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines.²³

III. Discussion

A. Eligible Claimants (Section II. of the Parameters and Guidelines)

The following permittees are required to comply with Order No. R2-2009-0074 and are eligible to claim reimbursement, provided they are subject to the taxing restrictions of articles XIII A and XIII C of the California Constitution, and the spending limits of article XIII B of the California Constitution, and incur increased costs as a result of this mandate that are paid from their local proceeds of taxes:

- Alameda permittees include the Cities of Alameda, Albany, Berkeley, Dublin, Emeryville, Fremont, Hayward, Livermore, Newark, Oakland, Piedmont, Pleasanton, San Leandro, and Union City, Alameda County (Unincorporated area), the Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, and Zone 7 of the Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District.
- Santa Clara permittees include the Cities of Campbell, Cupertino, Los Altos, Milpitas, Monte Sereno, Mountain View, Palo Alto, San Jose, Santa Clara,

¹⁹ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, pages 370-377.

²⁰ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision.

²¹ Exhibit B, Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines.

²² Exhibit C, Controllers’ Comments on the Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines.

²³ California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1183.9(d) provides: “If no comments are filed on the draft expedited parameters and guidelines that raise substantive issues regarding any of the elements described in section 1183.7, a draft proposed decision in accordance with section 1183.13(a) of these regulations need not be prepared and the executive director may schedule the proposed decision and parameters and guidelines for adoption at the next regularly scheduled hearing in accordance with section 1183.13(d) of these regulations.”

Saratoga, and Sunnyvale, the towns of Los Altos Hills and Los Gatos, the Santa Clara Valley Water District, and Santa Clara County.

- Fairfield-Suisun permittees include the Cities of Fairfield and Suisun City, and Fairfield-Suisun Sewer District.
- Contra Costa permittees include the Cities of Clayton, Concord, El Cerrito, Hercules, Lafayette, Martinez, Orinda, Pinole, Pittsburg, Pleasant Hill, Richmond, San Pablo, San Ramon, and Walnut Creek, the towns of Danville and Moraga, Contra Costa County, and the Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District.
- San Mateo permittees include the Cities of Belmont, Brisbane, Burlingame, Daly City, East Palo Alto, Foster City, Half Moon Bay, Menlo Park, Millbrae, Pacifica, Redwood City, San Bruno, San Carlos, San Mateo, and South San Francisco, the towns of Atherton, Colma, Hillsborough, Portola Valley, and Woodside, the San Mateo County Flood Control District, and San Mateo County.
- Vallejo permittees include the City of Vallejo and the Vallejo Sanitary District.²⁴

B. Period of Reimbursement (Section III. of the Parameters and Guidelines)

Government Code section 17557(e) states that a test claim shall be submitted on or before June 30 following a given fiscal year to establish eligibility for that fiscal year. The claimants filed Test Claims 10-TC-02, 10-TC-03, and 10-TC-05 on October 13, 2010, October 14, 2010, and November 30, 2010, respectively, establishing eligibility for reimbursement for the 2009-2010 fiscal year. However, the test claim permit has a later effective date and therefore, the period of reimbursement for this program begins on the permit's effective date, December 1, 2009. Beginning January 1, 2018, there are no costs mandated by the state because the claimants have fee authority sufficient as a matter of law to cover the costs of these activities pursuant to Government Code section 17556(d). Therefore, for all eligible claimants, increased costs incurred from December 1, 2009, through December 31, 2017 are reimbursable.²⁵

C. Reimbursable Activities (Section IV. of the Parameters and Guidelines)

Section IV. of the Parameters and Guidelines identifies the mandated activities approved by the Commission.

D. Claim Preparation and Submission (Section V. of the Parameters and Guidelines)

Section V. of the Parameters and Guidelines (Claim Preparation and Submission) identifies the direct costs that are eligible for reimbursement.

²⁴ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 44 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 150 (Test claim permit).

²⁵ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 370.

E. Offsetting Revenues and Reimbursements (Section VII. of the Parameters and Guidelines)

Section VII. of the Parameters and Guidelines governs offsetting revenues (i.e., funds that are not a claimant's proceeds of taxes), which are required to be identified and deducted from the costs claimed.

F. Remaining Sections of the Parameters and Guidelines

The remaining sections of the Parameters and Guidelines contain standard boilerplate language.

IV. Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends that the Commission adopt the Proposed Decision and Parameters and Guidelines and authorize staff to make any technical, non-substantive changes to the Proposed Decision following the hearing.

BEFORE THE
 COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES
 STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region, Order No. R2-2009-0074, Sections C.8.d.iii., C.8.e.vi., C.8.f., C.8.g.ii., C.8.g.vii., C.10.a.i., C.10.a.ii., C.10.a.iii., C.10.b.ii., C.10.b.iii., C.10.c., C.10.d.i., C.10.d.ii., C.11.f., and C.12.f.

Period of reimbursement from December 1, 2009 through December 31, 2017

Case Nos.: 10-TC-02, 10-TC-03, and 10-TC-05

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region, Order No. R2-2009-0074

DECISION PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 17500 ET SEQ.; CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 2, DIVISION 2, CHAPTER 2.5, ARTICLE 7.

(Adopted March 28, 2025)

DECISION

The Commission on State Mandates (Commission) heard and decided this Decision and Parameters and Guidelines during a regularly scheduled hearing on March 28, 2025. [Witness list will be included in the adopted Decision.]

The law applicable to the Commission’s determination of a reimbursable state-mandated program is article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution, Government Code sections 17500 et seq., and related case law.

The Commission [adopted/modified/rejected] the Decision and Parameters and Guidelines by a vote of [vote will be included in the adopted Decision and Parameters and Guidelines], as follows:

| Member | Vote |
|---|-------------|
| Lee Adams, County Supervisor | |
| Deborah Gallegos, Representative of the State Controller, Vice Chairperson | |
| Karen Greene Ross, Public Member | |
| Renee Nash, School District Board Member | |
| William Pahland, Representative of the State Treasurer | |
| Michele Perrault, Representative of the Director of the Department of Finance, Chairperson | |
| Matt Read, Representative of the Director of the Governor’s Office of Land Use and Climate Innovation | |

I. Summary of the Mandate

These Parameters and Guidelines address state-mandated activities arising from National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Program (NPDES) permit, Order No. R2-2009-0074 (test claim permit), adopted by the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board on October 14, 2009, and effective on December 1, 2009.

On January 24, 2025, the Commission adopted its Decision finding that the test claim permit imposes a reimbursable state-mandated program upon local agencies within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution and Government Code section 17514 from December 1, 2009, through December 31, 2017. The Commission partially approved the Test Claim for the following reimbursable activities only:

1. Geomorphic Study (Section C.8.d.iii)

- a. Permittees shall select a waterbody/reach, preferably one that contains significant fish and wildlife resources, and conduct one of the following projects within each county, except that only one such project must be completed within the collective Fairfield-Suisun and Vallejo Permittees' jurisdictions:
 - 1) Gather geomorphic data to support the efforts of a local watershed partnership [fn. omitted] to improve creek conditions; or
 - 2) Inventory locations for potential retrofit projects in which decentralized, landscape-based stormwater retention units can be installed; or
 - 3) Conduct a geomorphic study which will help in development of regional curves which help estimate equilibrium channel conditions for different-sized drainages. Select a waterbody/reach that is not undergoing changing land use. Collect and report the following data:
 - Formally surveyed channel dimensions (profile), planform, and cross-sections. Cross-sections shall include the topmost floodplain terrace and be marked by a permanent, protruding (not flush with ground) monument.
 - Contributing drainage area.
 - Best available information on bankfull discharges and width and depth of channel formed by bankfull discharges.
 - Best available information on average annual rainfall in the study area.
- b. Report selected geomorphic project results in the Integrated Monitoring Report.²⁶

²⁶ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, pages 370-371 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, pages 221-222, 227 (Test claim permit, Section C.8.d.iii.). All references are to PDF page numbers.

2. Sediment Delivery Estimate/Budget (Section C.8.e.vi.)
Permittees shall develop a design for a robust sediment delivery estimate/sediment budget in local tributaries and urban drainages by July 1, 2011, and implement the study by July 1, 2012.²⁷
3. Citizen Monitoring and Participation (Section C.8.f.), *which Is Reimbursable for the City of Vallejo and Vallejo Sanitary District only*:
 - a. Encourage Citizen Monitoring.
 - b. In developing Monitoring Projects and evaluating Status & Trends data, make reasonable efforts to seek out citizen and stakeholder information and comment regarding waterbody function and quality.
 - c. Demonstrate annually the permittee has encouraged citizen and stakeholder observations and reporting of waterbody conditions. Report on these outreach efforts in the annual Urban Creeks Monitoring Report.²⁸
4. Monitoring Reporting and Notice (Sections C.8.g.ii., C.8.g.vii.)
 - a. Permittees shall maintain an information management system to support electronic transfer of data to the Regional Data Center of the California Environmental Data Exchange Network (CEDEN), located within the San Francisco Estuary Institute.²⁹
 - b. Permittees shall submit an Electronic Status Monitoring Data Report, compatible with the SWAMP database, no later than January 15 of each year, reporting on all data collected during the previous October 1-September 30 period. Water quality objective exceedances are required to be highlighted in the report.³⁰
 - c. Permittees shall notify stakeholders and members of the general public about the availability of electronic and paper monitoring reports through notices distributed through appropriate means, such as an electronic mailing list.³¹

²⁷ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 371 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 225 (Test claim permit, Section C.8.e.vi.).

²⁸ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 371 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 225 (Test claim permit, Section C.8.f.).

²⁹ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 372 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 226, footnote 46 (Test claim permit, Section C.8.g.ii.).

³⁰ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 372 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 226 (Test claim permit, Section C.8.g.ii.).

³¹ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 372 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 228 (Test claim permit, Section C.8.g.vii.).

5. Trash

- a. Short-Term Trash Load Reduction Plan (Section C.10.a.i.). All permittees, except for flood management agencies, shall submit a Short-Term Trash Load Reduction Plan, including an implementation schedule, to the Regional Board by February 1, 2012. The Plan shall describe the following:
 - 1) Control measures and best management practices, including any trash reduction ordinances, currently being implemented and the current level of implementation.
 - 2) Additional control measures and best management practices that will be implemented, and/or an increased level of implementation designed to attain a 40 percent trash load reduction from its MS4 by July 1, 2014.
 - 3) The Plan shall also “account for required mandatory minimum Full Trash Capture devices called for in Provision C.10.a.iii and Trash Hot Spot Cleanup called for in Provision C.10.b.”³²
- b. Baseline Trash Load and Trash Load Reduction Tracking Method (Section C.10.a.ii.). All permittees, except for flood management agencies, shall comply with the following new requirements:
 - 1) Determine the baseline trash load from its MS4.
 - 2) Submit the load level to the Regional Board by February 1, 2012. The February 1, 2012, report shall include the following:
 - Documentation of the methodology used to determine the load level.
 - A description of the trash load reduction tracking method that will be used to account for trash load reduction actions and to demonstrate progress and attainment of trash load reduction levels.
 - The submittal shall account for the drainage areas of a Permittee’s jurisdiction that are associated with the baseline trash load from its MS4, and the baseline trash load level per unit area by land use type and drainage area characteristics used to derive the total baseline trash load level for each Permittee.
 - 3) Submit a progress report by February 1, 2011, indicating whether the permittee is determining its baseline trash load and trash load reduction method individually or collaboratively with other Permittees and a summary of the approach being used.³³

³² Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 372 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 233 (Test claim permit, Section C.10.a.i).

³³ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 373 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, pages 233-234 (Test claim permit, Section C.10.a.ii.).

- c. Minimum Full Trash Capture (Section C.10.a.iii.). Except as provided below, all permittees shall comply with the following requirements:

Install and maintain a mandatory minimum number of full trash capture devices by July 1, 2014, to treat runoff from an area equivalent to 30 percent of Retail/Wholesale Land draining to MS4s within their jurisdictions. The scope of this requirement is as follows:

- A full capture system or device is “any single device or series of devices that traps all particles retained by a 5 mm mesh screen and has a design treatment capacity of not less than the peak flow rate Q resulting from a one-year, one-hour, storm in the subdrainage area”.
- The mandatory minimum number of full trash capture devices for each permittee is identified in Attachment J to the test claim permit, Tables 10-1 and 10-2. However, if the sum of the areas generating trash loads determined pursuant to Section C.10.a.ii is a smaller acreage than the required trash capture acreage, the minimum full trash capture requirement is reduced to the smaller acreage for the population-based permittee.³⁴

The requirements to install and maintain full trash capture devices **does not apply:**

- To a population-based permittee with a population less than 12,000 and retail/wholesale land less than 40 acres, or a population less than 2000.³⁵
- To full trash capture devices installed by a permittee *before* the effective date of the test claim permit, which may be counted towards the minimum number of full trash capture devices identified in Attachment J, provided the device meets the permit’s definition of a full trash capture device.³⁶

- d. Trash Hot Spots (C.10.b.ii. and iii.)

- 1) The permittees shall each submit selected Trash Hot Spots to the Regional Board by July 1, 2010. (Section C.10.b.ii.)³⁷

³⁴ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 373 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, pages 234, 411 et seq., and 415 et seq. (Test claim permit, Section C.10.a.iii., Attachment J, Tables 10-1, 10-2).

³⁵ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 374 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 234 (Test claim permit, Section C.10.a.iii.).

³⁶ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 374 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 234 (Test claim permit, Section C.10.a.iii.); Code of Federal Regulations, title 40, section 122.41(e).

³⁷ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 374 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 235 (Test claim permit, Section C.10.b.ii.).

2) Hot Spot Assessments. (Section C.10.b.iii.)

- a) The San Mateo and Fairfield-Suisun permittees shall comply with the following new requirements:
 - Identify the dominant types of trash (e.g., glass, plastics, paper) removed.
 - Document the trash conditions before and after clean-up of the entire hot spot using photo documentation with a minimum of one photo per 50 feet of hot spot length.
- b) The Contra Costa permittees shall comply with the following new requirement:
 - Document the trash conditions before and after clean-up of the entire hot spot using photo documentation with a minimum of one photo per 50 feet of hot spot length.
- c) The Vallejo permittees shall comply with the following new requirements:
 - Quantify the volume of material removed from each trash hot spot cleanup.
 - Identify the dominant types of trash removed (e.g., glass, plastics, paper).
 - Document the trash condition before and after cleanup using photo documentation, with a minimum of one photo per 50 feet of hot spot length.³⁸
- e. Long-Term Trash Load Reduction Plan (Section C.10.c.). All permittees, except for flood management agencies, shall submit a Long-Term Trash Load Reduction Plan, including an implementation schedule, to the Water Board by February 1, 2014. The Plan shall describe the control measures and best management practices, including any trash reduction ordinances, that are being implemented and the level of implementation and additional control measures and best management practices that will be implemented, and/or an increased level of implementation designed to attain a 70 percent trash load reduction from its MS4 by July 1, 2017, and 100 percent by July 1, 2022.³⁹
- f. Reporting and Document Retention (Sections C.10.d.i. and C.10.d.ii.)
 - 1) The Fairfield-Suisun, San Mateo, and Vallejo permittees shall comply with the following new requirement:

³⁸ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, pages 374-375 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 235 (Test claim permit, Section C.10.b.iii.).

³⁹ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 375 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 235 (Test claim permit, Section C.10.c.).

- In each annual report, report on the dominant types of trash removed and retain these records.⁴⁰
- 2) All permittees shall comply with the following new requirements:
- In each Annual Report, provide total trash loads and dominant types of trash *for each type of action, including each trash hot spot selected* pursuant to Section C.10.b. and retain these records.⁴¹
 - Beginning with the 2012 annual report, report the percent annual trash load reduction relative to the permittee's baseline trash load.⁴²
6. Mercury and PCB Diversion Studies (Sections C.11.f., C.12.f.)
- a. Permittees shall conduct feasibility evaluations for mercury and PCBs by selecting five stormwater pump stations and five alternates for each pollutant and evaluate drainage characteristics and the feasibility of diverting flows of each to the sanitary sewer. The feasibility evaluations shall include, but not be limited to, costs, benefits, and impacts on the stormwater and wastewater agencies and the receiving waters relevant to the diversion and treatment of the dry weather and first flush flows.
 - b. From these feasibility evaluations, select five pump stations and five alternates for the pilot diversion studies for each pollutant. At least one urban runoff diversion pilot project shall be implemented in each of the five counties (San Mateo, Contra Costa, Alameda, Santa Clara, and Solano). The pilot and alternate locations should be located in industrially-dominated catchments where elevated PCB concentrations are documented.
 - c. Implement flow diversion of mercury and PCBs to the sanitary sewer at five pilot pump stations.
 - d. As part of the pilot studies, the permittees shall monitor, measure, and report mercury and PCBs load reduction.
 - e. Report the following information to the Regional Board:
 - 1) Summarize the results of the feasibility evaluations in the 2010 Annual Report. The reports shall include the selection criteria leading to the identification of the five candidate and five alternate pump stations for pilot studies; time schedules for conducting the pilot studies; and a proposed

⁴⁰ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 375 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, pages 235-236 (Test claim permit, Sections C.10.d.i. and C.10.d.ii.).

⁴¹ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 375 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, pages 235-236 (Test claim permit, Sections C.10.d.i. and C.10.d.ii.).

⁴² Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 375 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, pages 235-236 (Test claim permit, Section C.10.d.i.).

method for distributing mercury load reductions to participating wastewater and stormwater agencies.

- 2) Report annually on the status of the pilot studies in each subsequent annual report.
- 3) Include in the March 15, 2014 Integrated Monitoring Report, the following information for each study: evaluation of pilot programs effectiveness, mercury and PCBs loads reduced, and updated feasibility evaluation procedures to guide future diversion project selection.⁴³

Reimbursement for these activities is denied beginning January 1, 2018, because the claimants have fee authority sufficient as a matter of law to cover the costs of these activities pursuant to Government Code section 17556(d) and, thus, there are no costs mandated by the state.

In addition, reimbursement for the mandated activities from any source, including but not limited to, state and federal funds, any service charge, fees, or assessments to offset all or part of the costs of this program, and any other funds that are not the claimant's proceeds of taxes, shall be identified and deducted from any claim submitted for reimbursement.

All other sections, activities, and costs pled in the Test Claim were denied.⁴⁴

II. Procedural History

On January 24, 2025, the Commission adopted the Test Claim Decision.⁴⁵ On January 28, 2025, Commission staff issued the Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines.⁴⁶ On February 14, 2025, the State Controller (Controller) filed comments on the Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines, stating that no changes are recommended.⁴⁷ Neither the claimants, the Department of Finance (Finance), nor the State Water Boards filed comments on the Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines. Pursuant to section 1183.9(d) of the Commission's regulations, Commission staff did not issue a Draft Proposed Decision and Parameters and Guidelines for comment because no substantive comments were filed on the Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines.⁴⁸

⁴³ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, pages 375-376 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, pages 240-241, 248-249 (Test claim permit, Sections C.11.f., C.12.f.).

⁴⁴ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, pages 370-377.

⁴⁵ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision.

⁴⁶ Exhibit B, Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines.

⁴⁷ Exhibit C, Controllers' Comments on the Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines.

⁴⁸ California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1183.9(d) provides: "If no comments are filed on the draft expedited parameters and guidelines that raise substantive issues regarding any of the elements described in section 1183.7, a draft proposed decision in

III. Positions of the Parties

A. State Controller

The Controller filed comments on the Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines stating that no changes are recommended.⁴⁹

IV. Discussion

The Parameters and Guidelines contain the following information:

A. Eligible Claimants (Section II. of the Parameters and Guidelines)

The following permittees are required to comply with Order No. R2-2009-0074 and are eligible to claim reimbursement, provided they are subject to the taxing restrictions of articles XIII A and XIII C of the California Constitution, and the spending limits of article XIII B of the California Constitution, and incur increased costs as a result of this mandate that are paid from their local proceeds of taxes:

- Alameda permittees include the Cities of Alameda, Albany, Berkeley, Dublin, Emeryville, Fremont, Hayward, Livermore, Newark, Oakland, Piedmont, Pleasanton, San Leandro, and Union City, Alameda County (Unincorporated area), the Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, and Zone 7 of the Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District.
- Santa Clara permittees include the Cities of Campbell, Cupertino, Los Altos, Milpitas, Monte Sereno, Mountain View, Palo Alto, San Jose, Santa Clara, Saratoga, and Sunnyvale, the towns of Los Altos Hills and Los Gatos, the Santa Clara Valley Water District, and Santa Clara County.
- Fairfield-Suisun permittees include the Cities of Fairfield and Suisun City, and Fairfield-Suisun Sewer District.
- Contra Costa permittees include the Cities of Clayton, Concord, El Cerrito, Hercules, Lafayette, Martinez, Orinda, Pinole, Pittsburg, Pleasant Hill, Richmond, San Pablo, San Ramon, and Walnut Creek, the towns of Danville and Moraga, Contra Costa County, and the Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District.
- San Mateo permittees include the Cities of Belmont, Brisbane, Burlingame, Daly City, East Palo Alto, Foster City, Half Moon Bay, Menlo Park, Millbrae, Pacifica, Redwood City, San Bruno, San Carlos, San Mateo, and South San Francisco,

accordance with section 1183.13(a) of these regulations need not be prepared and the executive director may schedule the proposed decision and parameters and guidelines for adoption at the next regularly scheduled hearing in accordance with section 1183.13(d) of these regulations.”

⁴⁹ Exhibit C, Controllers’ Comments on the Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines, page 1.

the towns of Atherton, Colma, Hillsborough, Portola Valley, and Woodside, the San Mateo County Flood Control District, and San Mateo County.

- Vallejo permittees include the City of Vallejo and the Vallejo Sanitary District.⁵⁰

B. Period of Reimbursement (Section III. of the Parameters and Guidelines)

Government Code section 17557(e) states that a test claim shall be submitted on or before June 30 following a given fiscal year to establish eligibility for that fiscal year. The claimants filed Test Claims 10-TC-02, 10-TC-03, and 10-TC-05 on October 13, 2010, October 14, 2010, and November 30, 2010, respectively, establishing eligibility for reimbursement for the 2009-2010 fiscal year. However, the test claim permit has a later effective date and therefore, the period of reimbursement for this program begins on the permit's effective date, December 1, 2009. Beginning January 1, 2018, there are no costs mandated by the state because the claimants have fee authority sufficient as a matter of law to cover the costs of these activities pursuant to Government Code section 17556(d). Therefore, for all eligible claimants, increased costs incurred from December 1, 2009, through December 31, 2017 are reimbursable.⁵¹

C. Reimbursable Activities (Section IV. of the Parameters and Guidelines)

Section IV. of the Parameters and Guidelines identifies the mandated activities approved by the Commission from December 1, 2009, through December 31, 2017.

D. Claim Preparation and Submission (Section V. of the Parameters and Guidelines)

Section V. of the Parameters and Guidelines (Claim Preparation and Submission) identifies the direct costs that are eligible for reimbursement.

E. Offsetting Revenues and Reimbursements (Section VII. of the Parameters and Guidelines)

Section VII. of the Parameters and Guidelines governs offsetting revenues (i.e., funds that are not a claimant's proceeds of taxes), which are required to be identified and deducted from the costs claimed, including but not limited to, state and federal funds, any service charge, fee, or assessment authority to offset all or part of the costs of this program, and any other funds that are not the claimant's proceeds of taxes shall be identified and deducted from any claim submitted for reimbursement.

F. Remaining Sections of the Parameters and Guidelines

The remaining sections of the Parameters and Guidelines contain standard boilerplate language.

⁵⁰ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 44 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 150 (Test claim permit).

⁵¹ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 370.

V. Conclusion

Based on the foregoing analysis, the Commission hereby adopts the Proposed Decision and Parameters and Guidelines.

PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES⁵²

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region, Order No. R2-2009-0074, Sections C.8.d.iii., C.8.e.vi., C.8.f., C.8.g.ii., C.8.g.vii., C.10.a.i., C.10.a.ii., C.10.a.iii., C.10.b.ii., C.10.b.iii., C.10.c., C.10.d.i., C.10.d.ii., C.11.f., and C.12.f.

Adopted October 14, 2009, and Effective December 1, 2009

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region, Order No. R2-2009-0074

10-TC-02, 10-TC-03, and 10-TC-05

Period of reimbursement from December 1, 2009, through December 31, 2017

I. SUMMARY OF THE MANDATE

These Parameters and Guidelines address state-mandated activities arising from National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Program (NPDES) permit, Order No. R2-2009-0074 (test claim permit), adopted by the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board on October 14, 2009, and effective on December 1, 2009.

On January 24, 2025, the Commission on State Mandates (Commission) adopted a Decision finding that the test claim permit imposes a reimbursable state-mandated program upon local agencies within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution and Government Code section 17514. The Commission partially approved the Test Claim for the state-mandated activities identified in Section IV. of the Parameters and Guidelines (“Reimbursable Activities”).

Reimbursement for the state-mandated activities is denied beginning January 1, 2018, because the claimants have fee authority sufficient as a matter of law to cover the costs of these activities pursuant to Government Code section 17556(d) and, thus, there are no costs mandated by the state.

Any fee revenues received must be identified as offsetting revenue. In addition, reimbursement for this mandate from any source, including but not limited to, service fees collected, federal funds, other state funds, and other funds that are not the claimant’s proceeds of taxes shall be identified and deducted from this claim.

⁵² Please note that the Decision and Parameters and Guidelines is a single document and must be read as a whole. It is not intended to be separated and should be posted in its entirety.

All other activities and sections of the test claim permit and costs pled by the claimants were denied.

II. ELIGIBLE CLAIMANTS

The following permittees are required to comply with Order No. R2-2009-0074 and are eligible to claim reimbursement, provided they are subject to the taxing restrictions of articles XIII A and XIII C of the California Constitution, and the spending limits of article XIII B of the California Constitution, and incur increased costs as a result of this mandate that are paid from their local proceeds of taxes:

- Alameda permittees include the Cities of Alameda, Albany, Berkeley, Dublin, Emeryville, Fremont, Hayward, Livermore, Newark, Oakland, Piedmont, Pleasanton, San Leandro, and Union City, Alameda County (Unincorporated area), the Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, and Zone 7 of the Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District.
- Santa Clara permittees include the Cities of Campbell, Cupertino, Los Altos, Milpitas, Monte Sereno, Mountain View, Palo Alto, San Jose, Santa Clara, Saratoga, and Sunnyvale, the towns of Los Altos Hills and Los Gatos, the Santa Clara Valley Water District, and Santa Clara County.
- Fairfield-Suisun permittees include the Cities of Fairfield and Suisun City, and Fairfield-Suisun Sewer District.
- Contra Costa permittees include the Cities of Clayton, Concord, El Cerrito, Hercules, Lafayette, Martinez, Orinda, Pinole, Pittsburg, Pleasant Hill, Richmond, San Pablo, San Ramon, and Walnut Creek, the towns of Danville and Moraga, Contra Costa County, and the Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District.
- San Mateo permittees include the Cities of Belmont, Brisbane, Burlingame, Daly City, East Palo Alto, Foster City, Half Moon Bay, Menlo Park, Millbrae, Pacifica, Redwood City, San Bruno, San Carlos, San Mateo, and South San Francisco, the towns of Atherton, Colma, Hillsborough, Portola Valley, and Woodside, the San Mateo County Flood Control District, and San Mateo County.
- Vallejo permittees include the City of Vallejo and the Vallejo Sanitary District.⁵³

III. PERIOD OF REIMBURSEMENT

Government Code section 17557(e) states that a test claim shall be submitted on or before June 30 following a given fiscal year to establish eligibility for that fiscal year. The claimants filed Test Claims 10-TC-02, 10-TC-03, and 10-TC-05 on October 13, 2010, October 14, 2010, and November 30, 2010, respectively, establishing eligibility for reimbursement for the 2009-2010 fiscal year. However, the test claim permit has a later effective date and therefore, the period of reimbursement for this program begins on the

⁵³ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 44 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 150 (Test claim permit).

permit's effective date, December 1, 2009. Beginning January 1, 2018, there are no costs mandated by the state because the claimants have fee authority sufficient as a matter of law to cover the costs of these activities pursuant to Government Code section 17556(d). Therefore, for all eligible claimants, increased costs incurred from December 1, 2009, through December 31, 2017 are reimbursable.

Reimbursement for state-mandated costs may be claimed as follows:

1. Actual costs for one fiscal year shall be included in each claim.
2. Pursuant to Government Code section 17561(d)(1)(A), all claims for reimbursement of initial fiscal year costs shall be submitted to the State Controller (Controller) within 120 days of the issuance date for the claiming instructions.
3. Pursuant to Government Code section 17560(a), a local agency may, by February 15 following the fiscal year in which costs were incurred, file an annual reimbursement claim that details the costs actually incurred for that fiscal year.
4. If revised claiming instructions are issued by the Controller pursuant to Government Code section 17558(c), between November 15 and February 15, a local agency filing an annual reimbursement claim shall have 120 days following the issuance date of the revised claiming instructions to file a claim. (Gov. Code §17560(b).)
5. If the total costs for a given fiscal year do not exceed \$1,000, no reimbursement shall be allowed except as otherwise allowed by Government Code section 17564(a).
6. There shall be no reimbursement for any period in which the Legislature has suspended the operation of a mandate pursuant to state law.

IV. REIMBURSABLE ACTIVITIES

To be eligible for mandated cost reimbursement for any fiscal year, only actual costs may be claimed. Actual costs are those costs actually incurred to implement the mandated activities. Actual costs must be traceable and supported by source documents that show the validity of such costs, when they were incurred, and their relationship to the reimbursable activities. A source document is a document created at or near the same time the actual cost was incurred for the event, or activity in question. Source documents may include, but are not limited to, employee time records or time logs, sign-in sheets, invoices, and receipts.

Evidence corroborating the source documents may include, but is not limited to, worksheets, cost allocation reports (system generated), purchase orders, contracts, agendas, training packets, and declarations. Declarations must include a certification or declaration stating, "I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct," and must further comply with the requirements of Code of Civil Procedure section 2015.5. Evidence corroborating the source documents may include data relevant to the reimbursable activities

otherwise in compliance with local, state, and federal government requirements. However, corroborating documents cannot be substituted for source documents.

The claimant is only allowed to claim and be reimbursed for increased costs for reimbursable activities identified below. Increased cost is limited to the cost of an activity that the claimant is required to incur as a result of the mandate.

For each eligible claimant that incurs increased costs, the following activities are reimbursable:

1. Geomorphic Study (Section C.8.d.iii)

- a. Permittees shall select a waterbody/reach, preferably one that contains significant fish and wildlife resources, and conduct one of the following projects within each county, except that only one such project must be completed within the collective Fairfield-Suisun and Vallejo Permittees' jurisdictions:
 - 1) Gather geomorphic data to support the efforts of a local watershed partnership [fn. omitted] to improve creek conditions; or
 - 2) Inventory locations for potential retrofit projects in which decentralized, landscape-based stormwater retention units can be installed; or
 - 3) Conduct a geomorphic study which will help in development of regional curves which help estimate equilibrium channel conditions for different-sized drainages. Select a waterbody/reach that is not undergoing changing land use. Collect and report the following data:
 - Formally surveyed channel dimensions (profile), planform, and cross-sections. Cross-sections shall include the topmost floodplain terrace and be marked by a permanent, protruding (not flush with ground) monument.
 - Contributing drainage area.
 - Best available information on bankfull discharges and width and depth of channel formed by bankfull discharges.
 - Best available information on average annual rainfall in the study area.
- b. Report selected geomorphic project results in the Integrated Monitoring Report.⁵⁴

2. Sediment Delivery Estimate/Budget (Section C.8.e.vi.)

⁵⁴ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, pages 370-371 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, pages 221-222, 227 (Test claim permit, Section C.8.d.iii.). All references are to PDF page numbers.

Permittees shall develop a design for a robust sediment delivery estimate/sediment budget in local tributaries and urban drainages by July 1, 2011, and implement the study by July 1, 2012.⁵⁵

3. Citizen Monitoring and Participation (Section C.8.f.), ***which Is Reimbursable for the City of Vallejo and Vallejo Sanitary District only:***
 - a. Encourage Citizen Monitoring.
 - b. In developing Monitoring Projects and evaluating Status & Trends data, make reasonable efforts to seek out citizen and stakeholder information and comment regarding waterbody function and quality.
 - c. Demonstrate annually the permittee has encouraged citizen and stakeholder observations and reporting of waterbody conditions. Report on these outreach efforts in the annual Urban Creeks Monitoring Report.⁵⁶
4. Monitoring Reporting and Notice (Sections C.8.g.ii., C.8.g.vii.)
 - a. Permittees shall maintain an information management system to support electronic transfer of data to the Regional Data Center of the California Environmental Data Exchange Network (CEDEN), located within the San Francisco Estuary Institute.⁵⁷
 - b. Permittees shall submit an Electronic Status Monitoring Data Report, compatible with the SWAMP database, no later than January 15 of each year, reporting on all data collected during the previous October 1-September 30 period. Water quality objective exceedances are required to be highlighted in the report.⁵⁸
 - c. Permittees shall notify stakeholders and members of the general public about the availability of electronic and paper monitoring reports through notices distributed through appropriate means, such as an electronic mailing list.⁵⁹
5. Trash
 - a. Short-Term Trash Load Reduction Plan (Section C.10.a.i.). All permittees, except for flood management agencies, shall submit a Short-Term Trash Load

⁵⁵ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 371 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 225 (Test claim permit, Section C.8.e.vi.).

⁵⁶ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 371 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 225 (Test claim permit, Section C.8.f.).

⁵⁷ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 372 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 226, footnote 46 (Test claim permit, Section C.8.g.ii.).

⁵⁸ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 372 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 226 (Test claim permit, Section C.8.g.ii.).

⁵⁹ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 372 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 228 (Test claim permit, Section C.8.g.vii.).

Reduction Plan, including an implementation schedule, to the Regional Board by February 1, 2012. The Plan shall describe the following:

- 1) Control measures and best management practices, including any trash reduction ordinances, currently being implemented and the current level of implementation.
 - 2) Additional control measures and best management practices that will be implemented, and/or an increased level of implementation designed to attain a 40 percent trash load reduction from its MS4 by July 1, 2014.
 - 3) The Plan shall also “account for required mandatory minimum Full Trash Capture devices called for in Provision C.10.a.iii and Trash Hot Spot Cleanup called for in Provision C.10.b.”⁶⁰
- b. Baseline Trash Load and Trash Load Reduction Tracking Method (Section C.10.a.ii.). All permittees, except for flood management agencies, shall comply with the following new requirements:
- 1) Determine the baseline trash load from its MS4.
 - 2) Submit the load level to the Regional Board by February 1, 2012. The February 1, 2012, report shall include the following:
 - Documentation of the methodology used to determine the load level.
 - A description of the trash load reduction tracking method that will be used to account for trash load reduction actions and to demonstrate progress and attainment of trash load reduction levels.
 - The submittal shall account for the drainage areas of a Permittee’s jurisdiction that are associated with the baseline trash load from its MS4, and the baseline trash load level per unit area by land use type and drainage area characteristics used to derive the total baseline trash load level for each Permittee.
 - 3) Submit a progress report by February 1, 2011, indicating whether the permittee is determining its baseline trash load and trash load reduction method individually or collaboratively with other Permittees and a summary of the approach being used.⁶¹
- c. Minimum Full Trash Capture (Section C.10.a.iii.). Except as provided below, all permittees shall comply with the following requirements:
- Install and maintain a mandatory minimum number of full trash capture devices by July 1, 2014, to treat runoff from an area equivalent to 30 percent of

⁶⁰ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 372 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 233 (Test claim permit, Section C.10.a.i).

⁶¹ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 373 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, pages 233-234 (Test claim permit, Section C.10.a.ii.).

Retail/Wholesale Land draining to MS4s within their jurisdictions. The scope of this requirement is as follows:

- A full capture system or device is “any single device or series of devices that traps all particles retained by a 5 mm mesh screen and has a design treatment capacity of not less than the peak flow rate Q resulting from a one-year, one-hour, storm in the subdrainage area”.
- The mandatory minimum number of full trash capture devices for each permittee is identified in Attachment J to the test claim permit, Tables 10-1 and 10-2. However, if the sum of the areas generating trash loads determined pursuant to Section C.10.a.ii is a smaller acreage than the required trash capture acreage, the minimum full trash capture requirement is reduced to the smaller acreage for the population-based permittee.⁶²

The requirements to install and maintain full trash capture devices **does not apply**:

- To a population-based permittee with a population less than 12,000 and retail/wholesale land less than 40 acres, or a population less than 2000.⁶³
- To full trash capture devices installed by a permittee *before* the effective date of the test claim permit, which may be counted towards the minimum number of full trash capture devices identified in Attachment J, provided the device meets the permit’s definition of a full trash capture device.⁶⁴

d. Trash Hot Spots (C.10.b.ii. and iii.)

- 1) The permittees shall each submit selected Trash Hot Spots to the Regional Board by July 1, 2010. (Section C.10.b.ii.)⁶⁵
- 2) Hot Spot Assessments. (Section C.10.b.iii.)
 - a) The San Mateo and Fairfield-Suisun permittees shall comply with the following new requirements:

⁶² Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 373 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, pages 234, 411 et seq., and 415 et seq. (Test claim permit, Section C.10.a.iii., Attachment J, Tables 10-1, 10-2).

⁶³ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 374 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 234 (Test claim permit, Section C.10.a.iii.).

⁶⁴ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 374 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 234 (Test claim permit, Section C.10.a.iii.); Code of Federal Regulations, title 40, section 122.41(e).

⁶⁵ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 374 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 235 (Test claim permit, Section C.10.b.ii.).

- Identify the dominant types of trash (e.g., glass, plastics, paper) removed.
 - Document the trash conditions before and after clean-up of the entire hot spot using photo documentation with a minimum of one photo per 50 feet of hot spot length.
- b) The Contra Costa permittees shall comply with the following new requirement:
- Document the trash conditions before and after clean-up of the entire hot spot using photo documentation with a minimum of one photo per 50 feet of hot spot length.
- c) The Vallejo permittees shall comply with the following new requirements:
- Quantify the volume of material removed from each trash hot spot cleanup.
 - Identify the dominant types of trash removed (e.g., glass, plastics, paper).
 - Document the trash condition before and after cleanup using photo documentation, with a minimum of one photo per 50 feet of hot spot length.⁶⁶
- e. Long-Term Trash Load Reduction Plan (Section C.10.c.). All permittees, except for flood management agencies, shall submit a Long-Term Trash Load Reduction Plan, including an implementation schedule, to the Water Board by February 1, 2014. The Plan shall describe the control measures and best management practices, including any trash reduction ordinances, that are being implemented and the level of implementation and additional control measures and best management practices that will be implemented, and/or an increased level of implementation designed to attain a 70 percent trash load reduction from its MS4 by July 1, 2017, and 100 percent by July 1, 2022.⁶⁷
- f. Reporting and Document Retention (Sections C.10.d.i. and C.10.d.ii.)
- 1) The Fairfield-Suisun, San Mateo, and Vallejo permittees shall comply with the following new requirement:

⁶⁶ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, pages 374-375 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 235 (Test claim permit, Section C.10.b.iii.).

⁶⁷ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 375 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, page 235 (Test claim permit, Section C.10.c.).

- In each annual report, report on the dominant types of trash removed and retain these records.⁶⁸
- 2) All permittees shall comply with the following new requirements:
- In each Annual Report, provide total trash loads and dominant types of trash *for each type of action, including each trash hot spot selected* pursuant to Section C.10.b. and retain these records.⁶⁹
 - Beginning with the 2012 annual report, report the percent annual trash load reduction relative to the permittee's baseline trash load.⁷⁰
6. Mercury and PCB Diversion Studies (Sections C.11.f., C.12.f.)
- a. Permittees shall conduct feasibility evaluations for mercury and PCBs by selecting five stormwater pump stations and five alternates for each pollutant and evaluate drainage characteristics and the feasibility of diverting flows of each to the sanitary sewer. The feasibility evaluations shall include, but not be limited to, costs, benefits, and impacts on the stormwater and wastewater agencies and the receiving waters relevant to the diversion and treatment of the dry weather and first flush flows.
 - b. From these feasibility evaluations, select five pump stations and five alternates for the pilot diversion studies for each pollutant. At least one urban runoff diversion pilot project shall be implemented in each of the five counties (San Mateo, Contra Costa, Alameda, Santa Clara, and Solano). The pilot and alternate locations should be located in industrially-dominated catchments where elevated PCB concentrations are documented.
 - c. Implement flow diversion of mercury and PCBs to the sanitary sewer at five pilot pump stations.
 - d. As part of the pilot studies, the permittees shall monitor, measure, and report mercury and PCBs load reduction.
 - e. Report the following information to the Regional Board:
 - 1) Summarize the results of the feasibility evaluations in the 2010 Annual Report. The reports shall include the selection criteria leading to the identification of the five candidate and five alternate pump stations for pilot studies; time schedules for conducting the pilot studies; and a proposed

⁶⁸ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 375 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, pages 235-236 (Test claim permit, Sections C.10.d.i. and C.10.d.ii.).

⁶⁹ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 375 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, pages 235-236 (Test claim permit, Sections C.10.d.i. and C.10.d.ii.).

⁷⁰ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, page 375 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, pages 235-236 (Test claim permit, Section C.10.d.i.).

method for distributing mercury load reductions to participating wastewater and stormwater agencies.

- 2) Report annually on the status of the pilot studies in each subsequent annual report.
- 3) Include in the March 15, 2014 Integrated Monitoring Report, the following information for each study: evaluation of pilot programs effectiveness, mercury and PCBs loads reduced, and updated feasibility evaluation procedures to guide future diversion project selection.⁷¹

V. CLAIM PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION

Each of the following cost elements must be identified for each reimbursable activity identified in Section IV., Reimbursable Activities, of this document. Each claimed reimbursable cost must be supported by source documentation as described in Section IV. Additionally, each reimbursement claim must be filed in a timely manner.

A. Direct Cost Reporting

Direct costs are those costs incurred specifically for the reimbursable activities. The following direct costs are eligible for reimbursement.

1. Salaries and Benefits

Report each employee implementing the reimbursable activities by name, job classification, and productive hourly rate (total wages and related benefits divided by productive hours). Describe the specific reimbursable activities performed and the hours devoted to each reimbursable activity performed.

2. Materials and Supplies

Report the cost of materials and supplies that have been consumed or expended for the purpose of the reimbursable activities. Purchases shall be claimed at the actual price after deducting discounts, rebates, and allowances received by the claimant. Supplies that are withdrawn from inventory shall be charged on an appropriate and recognized method of costing, consistently applied.

3. Contracted Services

Report the name of the contractor and services performed to implement the reimbursable activities. If the contractor bills for time and materials, report the number of hours spent on the activities and all costs charged. If the contract is a fixed price, report the services that were performed during the period covered by the reimbursement claim. If the contract services are also used for purposes other than the reimbursable activities, only the pro-rata portion of the services used to implement the reimbursable activities can be claimed. Submit contract

⁷¹ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, pages 375-376 citing to Test Claim, 10-TC-02, pages 240-241, 248-249 (Test claim permit, Sections C.11.f., C.12.f.).

consultant and attorney invoices with the claim and a description of the contract scope of services.

4. Fixed Assets

Report the purchase price paid for fixed assets (including computers) necessary to implement the reimbursable activities. The purchase price includes taxes, delivery costs, and installation costs. If the fixed asset is also used for purposes other than the reimbursable activities, only the pro-rata portion of the purchase price used to implement the reimbursable activities can be claimed.

B. Indirect Cost Rates

Indirect costs are costs that are incurred for a common or joint purpose, benefiting more than one program, and are not directly assignable to a particular department or program without efforts disproportionate to the result achieved. Indirect costs may include both: (1) overhead costs of the unit performing the mandate; and (2) the costs of the central government services distributed to the other departments based on a systematic and rational basis through a cost allocation plan.

Compensation for indirect costs is eligible for reimbursement in accordance with the Office of Management and Budget Circular 2 CFR, Chapter I and Chapter II, Part 200 et al. Claimants have the option of using 10 percent of direct labor, excluding fringe benefits, or preparing an Indirect Cost Rate Proposal (ICRP) if the indirect cost rate claimed exceeds 10 percent.

If the claimant chooses to prepare an ICRP, both the direct costs (as defined and described in 2 CFR, Chapter I and Chapter II, Part 200 et al.) and the indirect costs shall exclude capital expenditures and unallowable costs (as defined and described in 2 CFR, Chapter I and Chapter II, Part 200 et al.). However, unallowable costs must be included in the direct costs if they represent activities to which indirect costs are properly allocable.

The distribution base may be: (1) total direct costs (excluding capital expenditures and other distorting items, such as pass-through funds, major subcontracts, etc.); (2) direct salaries and wages; or (3) another base which results in an equitable distribution.

In calculating an ICRP, the claimant shall have the choice of one of the following methodologies:

1. The allocation of allowable indirect costs (as defined and described in 2 CFR, Chapter I and Chapter II, Part 200 et al.) shall be accomplished by: (1) classifying a department's total costs for the base period as either direct or indirect; and (2) dividing the total allowable indirect costs (net of applicable credits) by an equitable distribution base. The result of this process is an indirect cost rate which is used to distribute indirect costs to mandates. The rate should be expressed as a percentage that the total amount of allowable indirect costs bears to the base selected; or
2. The allocation of allowable indirect costs (as defined and described in 2 CFR, Chapter I and Chapter II, Part 200 et al.) shall be accomplished by: (1)

separating a department into groups, such as divisions or sections, and then classifying the division's or section's total costs for the base period as either direct or indirect; and (2) dividing the total allowable indirect costs (net of applicable credits) by an equitable distribution base. The result of this process is an indirect cost rate that is used to distribute indirect costs to mandates. The rate should be expressed as a percentage which the total amount of allowable indirect costs bears to the base selected.

VI. RECORD RETENTION

Pursuant to Government Code section 17558.5(a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed pursuant to this chapter⁷² is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. In any case, an audit shall be completed not later than two years after the date that the audit is commenced. All documents used to support the reimbursable activities, as described in Section IV., must be retained during the period subject to audit. If an audit has been initiated by the Controller during the period subject to audit, the retention period is extended until the ultimate resolution of any audit findings.

VII. OFFSETTING REVENUES AND REIMBURSEMENTS

Any offsetting revenue the claimant experiences in the same program as a result of the same statutes or executive orders found to contain the mandate shall be deducted from the costs claimed. In addition, reimbursement for this mandate from any source, including but not limited to, state and federal funds (including, but not limited to grant funds), any service charge, fee, or assessment authority to offset all or part of the costs of this program, and any other funds that are not the claimant's proceeds of taxes shall be identified and deducted from any claim submitted for reimbursement.

VIII. STATE CONTROLLER'S CLAIMING INSTRUCTIONS

Pursuant to Government Code section 17558(b), the Controller shall issue claiming instructions for each mandate that requires state reimbursement not later than 90 days after receiving the adopted parameters and guidelines from the Commission, to assist local governments in claiming costs to be reimbursed. The claiming instructions shall be derived from these parameters and guidelines and the decisions on the test claim and parameters and guidelines adopted by the Commission.

Pursuant to Government Code section 17561(d)(1), issuance of the claiming instructions shall constitute a notice of the right of the eligible claimants to file reimbursement claims, based upon parameters and guidelines adopted by the Commission.

⁷² This refers to title 2, division 4, part 7, chapter 4 of the Government Code.

IX. REMEDIES BEFORE THE COMMISSION

Upon request of an eligible claimant, the Commission shall review the claiming instructions issued by the Controller or any other authorized state agency for reimbursement of mandated costs pursuant to Government Code section 17571. If the Commission determines that the claiming instructions do not conform to the parameters and guidelines, the Commission shall direct the Controller to modify the claiming instructions and the Controller shall modify the claiming instructions to conform to the parameters and guidelines as directed by the Commission.

In addition, requests may be made to amend parameters and guidelines pursuant to Government Code section 17557(d), and California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1183.17.

X. LEGAL AND FACTUAL BASIS FOR THE PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES

The decisions adopted for the test claim and parameters and guidelines are legally binding on all parties and interested parties and provide the legal and factual basis for the parameters and guidelines. The support for the legal and factual findings is found in the administrative record. The administrative record is on file with the Commission.

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY EMAIL

I, the undersigned, declare as follows:

I am a resident of the County of Sacramento and I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My place of employment is 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, California 95814.

On March 12, 2025, I served the:

- **Current Mailing List dated March 7, 2025**
- **Proposed Decision and Parameters and Guidelines issued March 12, 2025**

*California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region,
Order No. R2-2009-0074, 10-TC-02, 10-TC-03, and 10-TC-05*

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region,
Order No. R2-2009-0074, Sections C.8.d.iii., C.8.e.vi., C.8.f., C.8.g.ii., C.8.g.vii.,
C.10.a.i., C.10.a.ii., C.10.a.iii., C.10.b.ii., C.10.b.iii., C.10.c., C.10.d.i., C.10.d.ii.,
C.11.f., and C.12.f.; Adopted October 14, 2009, and Effective December 1, 2009
Cities of Dublin and San Jose, and County of Santa Clara, Claimants

By making it available on the Commission's website and providing notice of how to locate it to the email addresses provided on the attached mailing list.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on March 12, 2025 at Sacramento, California.

Jill Magee

Jill Magee
Commission on State Mandates
980 Ninth Street, Suite 300
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 323-3562

COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

Mailing List

Last Updated: 3/7/25

Claim Number: 10-TC-02, 10-TC-03, and 10-TC-05

Matter: California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region,
Order No. R2-2009-0074

Claimants: City of Dublin
City of San Jose
County of Santa Clara

TO ALL PARTIES, INTERESTED PARTIES, AND INTERESTED PERSONS:

Each commission mailing list is continuously updated as requests are received to include or remove any party or person on the mailing list. A current mailing list is provided with commission correspondence, and a copy of the current mailing list is available upon request at any time. Except as provided otherwise by commission rule, when a party or interested party files any written material with the commission concerning a claim, it shall simultaneously serve a copy of the written material on the parties and interested parties to the claim identified on the mailing list provided by the commission. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.3.)

Adaoha Agu, *County of San Diego Auditor & Controller Department*
Projects, Revenue and Grants Accounting, 5530 Overland Avenue, Ste. 410 , MS:O-53, San Diego,
CA 92123
Phone: (858) 694-2129
Adaoha.Agu@sdcounty.ca.gov

Daniel Akagi, Associate Civil Engineer, *City of Berkeley*
1947 Center Street, 4th Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704
Phone: (510) 981-6394
dakagi@ci.berkeley.ca.us

Mohamed Alaoui, Public Works Director, *City of Emeryville*
1333 Park Avenue, Emeryville, CA 94608
Phone: (510) 596-4330
Public_Works@emeryville.org

Nicole Almaguer, Environmental Specialist, *City of Albany*
1000 San Pablo Avenue , Albany, CA 94706
Phone: (510) 528-5754
nalmaguer@albanyca.org

Mariles Alvarez, Accountant III, *County of Santa Clara*
Controller-Treasurer, 70 West Hedding Street, San Jose, CA 95110
Phone: (408) 299-6831
mariles.alvarez@fin.sccgov.org

Rachelle Anema, Division Chief, *County of Los Angeles*
Accounting Division, 500 W. Temple Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012

Phone: (213) 974-8321
RANEMA@auditor.lacounty.gov

Lili Apgar, Specialist, *State Controller's Office*
Local Reimbursements Section, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 324-0254
lapgar@sco.ca.gov

Socorro Aquino, *State Controller's Office*
Division of Audits, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 322-7522
SAquino@sco.ca.gov

Tim Au, Associate Engineer, *Town of Atherton*
80 Fair Oaks Lane, Atherton, CA 94027
Phone: (650) 752-0555
tau@ci.atherton.ca.us

Aaron Avery, Legislative Representative, *California Special Districts Association*
1112 I Street Bridge, Suite 200, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 442-7887
Aarona@csda.net

John Bakker, Partner, *Redwood Public Law*
409 13th St., Suite 600, Oakland, CA 94612
Phone: (510) 877-5815
john.bakker@redwoodpubliclaw.com

Jim Barse, Clean Water Program Specialist, *City of Alameda*
950 West Mall Square, Room 110, Alameda, CA 94501
Phone: (510) 749-5857
jbarse@alamedaca.gov

Sam Bautista, Director of Engineering and Public Works, *City of Millbrae*
621 Magnolia Avenue, Millbrae, CA 94030
Phone: (650) 259-2339
sbautista@ci.millbrae.ca.us

Jeanette Bazar, *County of Santa Clara*
Controller-Treasurer Department, 70 W. Hedding Street, East Wing, 2nd Floor, San Jose, CA 95112
Phone: (408) 299-5225
Jeanette.Bazar@fin.sccgov.org

Gerry Beaudin, City Manager, *City of Pleasanton*
123 Main Street, PO Box 520, Pleasanton, CA 94566
Phone: (925) 931-5002
gbeaudin@cityofpleasantonca.gov

Ginni Bella Navarre, Deputy Legislative Analyst, *Legislative Analyst's Office*
925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 319-8342
Ginni.Bella@lao.ca.gov

David Benoun, City Attorney, *City of Newark*
37101 Newark Boulevard, Newark, CA 94560
Phone: (510) 578-4427
david.benoun@newark.org

Justin Bixby, Maintenance Worker II, *Town of Portola Valley*
765 Portola Road, Portola Valley, CA 94028
Phone: (650) 851-1700
jbixby@portolavalley.net

Jonathan Borrego, City Manager, *City of Oceanside*
300 North Coast Highway, Oceanside, CA 92054
Phone: (760) 435-3065
citymanager@oceansideca.org

Randy Breault, Director of Public Works/City Engineer, *City of Brisbane*
50 Park Place, Brisbane, CA 94005
Phone: (415) 508-2131
rbreault@ci.brisbane.ca.us

Andrew Brozyna, Public Works Director/City Engineer, *City of Foster City*
Public Works Department Engineering Division, 610 Foster City Boulevard, Foster City, CA 94404
Phone: (650) 286-3279
abrozyna@fostercity.org

Serena Bubenheim, Assistant Chief Financial Officer, *City of Huntington Beach*
2000 Main Street, Huntington Beach, CA 92648
Phone: (714) 536-5630
serena.bubenheim@surfcity-hb.org

Guy Burdick, Consultant, *MGT Consulting*
2251 Harvard Street, Suite 134, Sacramento, CA 95815
Phone: (916) 833-7775
gburdick@mgtconsulting.com

Allan Burdick,
7525 Myrtle Vista Avenue, Sacramento, CA 95831
Phone: (916) 203-3608
allanburdick@gmail.com

Shelby Burguan, Budget Manager, *City of Newport Beach*
100 Civic Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 92660
Phone: (949) 644-3085
sburguan@newportbeachca.gov

Rica Mae Cabigas, Chief Accountant, *Auditor-Controller*
Accounting Division, 500 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012
Phone: (213) 974-8309
rcabigas@auditor.lacounty.gov

Evelyn Calderon-Yee, Bureau Chief, *State Controller's Office*
Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 740,
Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 324-5919
ECalderonYee@sco.ca.gov

Sheri Chapman, General Counsel, *League of California Cities*
1400 K Street, Suite 400, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 658-8267
schapman@calcities.org

Sean Charpentier, Executive Director, *City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County*

555 County Center, 5th Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063
Phone: (650) 599-1409
scharpentier@smcgov.org

Matthew Chidester, City Manager, *City of Half Moon Bay*
501 Main Street, Half Moon Bay, CA 94019
Phone: (650) 726-8272
MChidester@hmbcity.com

Annette Chinn, *Cost Recovery Systems, Inc.*
705-2 East Bidwell Street, #294, Folsom, CA 95630
Phone: (916) 939-7901
achinnrcrs@aol.com

Carolyn Chu, Senior Fiscal and Policy Analyst, *Legislative Analyst's Office*
925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 319-8326
Carolyn.Chu@lao.ca.gov

Michael Coleman, *Coleman Advisory Services*
2217 Isle Royale Lane, Davis, CA 95616
Phone: (530) 758-3952
coleman@munil.com

Anthony Condotti, *Atchison, Barisone, Condotti & Kovacevich*
333 Church Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95060
Phone: (831) 423-8383
tcondotti@abc-law.com

Joshua Cosgrove, Director of Water and Wastewater Resources, *City of Daly City*
Department of Water and Water Resources, 333 90th Street, Daly City, CA 94015
Phone: (650) 991-8206
jcosgrove@dalycity.org

Office of the County Counsel, *County of Santa Clara*
Claimant Representative
Office of the County Counsel, 70 West Hedding Street, East Wing, 9th Floor, San Jose, CA 95110-1770
Phone: (408) 299-5920
elizabeth.pianca@cco.sccgov.org

Adam Cripps, Interim Finance Manager, *Town of Apple Valley*
14955 Dale Evans Parkway, Apple Valley, CA 92307
Phone: (760) 240-7000
acripps@applevalley.org

Terrance Davis, Public Works Director, *City of Berkeley*
1947 Center Street, 4th Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704
Phone: (510) 981-6300
PublicWorks@berkeleyca.gov

Thomas Deak, Senior Deputy, *County of San Diego*
Office of County Counsel, 1600 Pacific Highway, Room 355, San Diego, CA 92101
Phone: (619) 531-4810
Thomas.Deak@sdcounty.ca.gov

Brad Donohue, Deputy Public Works Director, *Town of Colma*
1188 El Camino Real, Colma, CA 94014

Phone: (650) 757-8895
brad.donohue@colma.ca.gov

Kai Duong, Senior Accountant, *County of Santa Clara*
Controller-Treasurer, 70 West Hedding Street, San Jose, CA 95110
Phone: (408) 299-5233
kai.duong@fin.sccgov.org

Yazdan Emrani, Director of Public Works/Town Engineer, *Town of Woodside*
Public Works, PO Box 620005, 2955 Woodside Road, Woodside, CA 94062
Phone: (650) 851-6790
yemrani@woodsideca.gov

Matt Fabry, Director of Public Works, *City of San Mateo*
330 West 20th Avenue, San Mateo, CA 94403
Phone: (650) 522-7309
mfabry@cityofsanmateo.org

Donna Ferebee, *Department of Finance*
915 L Street, Suite 1280, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 445-8918
donna.ferebee@dof.ca.gov

Lolita Fernandes, Deputy City Attorney, *City of Redwood City*
1017 Middlefield Road , Redwood City , CA 94063
Phone: (650) 780-7200
lfernandes@redwoodcity.org

Kevin Fisher, Assistant City Attorney, *City of San Jose*
Environmental Services, 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor, San Jose, CA 95113
Phone: (408) 535-1987
kevin.fisher@sanjoseca.gov

Tim Flanagan, Office Coordinator, *Solano County*
Register of Voters, 678 Texas Street, Suite 2600, Fairfield, CA 94533
Phone: (707) 784-3359
Elections@solanocounty.com

Jennifer Fordyce, Assistant Chief Counsel, *State Water Resources Control Board*
Office of Chief Counsel, 1001 I Street, 22nd floor, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 324-6682
Jennifer.Fordyce@waterboards.ca.gov

Craig Foster, Chief Operating Officer, *Building Industry Legal Defense Foundation*
Building Association of Southern California, 17744 Sky Park Circle, Suite 170, Irvine, Irvin 92614
Phone: (949) 553-9500
cfoster@biasec.org

Nora Frimann, City Attorney, *City of San Jose*
200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor, San Jose, CA 95113
Phone: (408) 535-1900
nora.frimann@sanjoseca.gov

Sylvia Gallegos, Deputy County Executive, *County of Santa Clara*
70 West Hedding Street, 11th Floor, San Jose, CA 95110-1770
Phone: (408) 299-5106
sylvia.gallegos@ceo.sccgov.org

Amber Garcia Rossow, Legislative Analyst, *California State Association of Counties*
1100 K Street, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 650-8170
arossow@counties.org

Juliana Gmur, Executive Director, *Commission on State Mandates*
980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 323-3562
juliana.gmur@csm.ca.gov

Sharon Gosselin, Associate Environmental Compliance Specialist, *County of Alameda, Alameda Co Flood Control & Water*
399 Elmhurst Street, Hayward, CA 94544
Phone: (510) 670-6547
sharon@acpwa.org

Gary Grimm, *Law Office of Gary J. Grimm*
2390 Vine Street, Berkeley, CA 94708
Phone: (510) 848-4140
ggrimm@garygrimmlaw.com

Kathy Guarnieri, Environmental Services Manager, *City of Fremont*
39550 Liberty Street, Fremont, CA 94537
Phone: (510) 494-4583
kcote@fremont.gov

Michael Guina, City Attorney, *City of Burlingame*
501 Primrose Road, Burlingame, CA 94010
Phone: (650) 558-7204
mguina@burlingame.org

Catherine George Hagan, Senior Staff Counsel, *State Water Resources Control Board*
c/o San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board, 2375 Northside Drive, Suite 100, San Diego, CA 92108
Phone: (619) 521-3012
catherine.hagan@waterboards.ca.gov

Kristin Hathaway, Watershed and Stormwater Management Supervisor, *City of Oakland*
250 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Oakland, CA 94612-2034
Phone: (510) 238-7571
khathaway@oaklandca.gov

Chris Hill, Principal Program Budget Analyst, *Department of Finance*
Local Government Unit, 915 L Street, 8th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 445-3274
Chris.Hill@dof.ca.gov

Tiffany Hoang, Associate Accounting Analyst, *State Controller's Office*
Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 323-1127
THoang@sco.ca.gov

Mary Eleonor Ignacio, Assistant City Attorney, *Redwood City*
400 County Ctr, Redwood City, CA 94063
Phone: (650) 780-7200
eignacio@redwoodcity.org

Irene Islas, *Best Best & Krieger, LLP*
2001 N Main St, Suite 390, Walnut Creek, CA 94596
Phone: (925) 977-3300
irene.islas@bbklaw.com

Jason Jennings, Director, *Maximus Consulting*
Financial Services, 808 Moorefield Park Drive, Suite 205, Richmond, VA 23236
Phone: (804) 323-3535
SB90@maximus.com

Angelo Joseph, Supervisor, *State Controller's Office*
Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 740,
Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 323-0706
AJoseph@sco.ca.gov

Anne Kato, Acting Chief, *State Controller's Office*
Local Government Programs and Services Division, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA
95816
Phone: (916) 322-9891
akato@sco.ca.gov

Michelle Kenyon, City Attorney, *City of Pacifica*
540 Crespi Drive, Pacifica, CA 94044
Phone: (650) 738-7409
cmoffice@pacifica.gov

Anita Kerezsi, *AK & Company*
2425 Golden Hill Road, Suite 106, Paso Robles, CA 93446
Phone: (805) 239-7994
akcompanysb90@gmail.com

Joanne Kessler, Fiscal Specialist, *City of Newport Beach*
Revenue Division, 100 Civic Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 90266
Phone: (949) 644-3199
jkessler@newportbeachca.gov

Robin Kim, Wastewater Superintendent, *City of Redwood City*
1400 Broadway Street, Redwood City, CA 94063
Phone: (650) 780-7477
rkim@redwoodcity.org

Kristopher Kokotaylo, Partner, *Redwood Public Law*
409 13th St., Suite 600, Oakland, CA 94612
Phone: (510) 877-5830
kristopher.kokotaylo@redwoodpubliclaw.com

Lisa Kurokawa, Bureau Chief for Audits, *State Controller's Office*
Compliance Audits Bureau, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 327-3138
lkurokawa@sco.ca.gov

Terence Kyaw, Public Works Service Director, *City of Redwood City*
1400 Broadway Street, Redwood City, CA 94063-2505
Phone: (650) 780-7466
tkyaw@redwoodcity.org

Justin Lai, *City of Foster City*

Public Works, 610 Foster City Boulevard, Foster City, CA 94404

Phone: (650) 286-3270

jlai@fostercity.org

Margo Laskowska, Sr. Deputy City Attorney, *City of San Jose*

Office of the City Attorney, 200 E Santa Clara St, 16th Floor, San Jose, CA 95113

Phone: (408) 535-1900

margo.laskowska@sanjoseca.gov

Michael Lauffer, Chief Counsel, *State Water Resources Control Board*

1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814-2828

Phone: (916) 341-5183

michael.lauffer@waterboards.ca.gov

Government Law Intake, *Department of Justice*

Attorney General's Office, 1300 I Street, Suite 125, PO Box 944255, Sacramento, CA 94244-2550

Phone: (916) 210-6046

governmentlawintake@doj.ca.gov

Eric Lawyer, Legislative Advocate, *California State Association of Counties (CSAC)*

Government Finance and Administration, 1100 K Street, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 650-8112

elawyer@counties.org

Kim-Anh Le, Deputy Controller, *County of San Mateo*

555 County Center, 4th Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063

Phone: (650) 599-1104

kle@smcgov.org

John Le, City Attorney, *City of East Palo Alto*

2415 University Avenue, East Palo Alto, CA 94303

Phone: (650) 853-5921

jle@cityofepa.org

Matthew Lee, Public Works Director, *City of San Bruno*

567 El Camino Real, San Bruno, CA 94066

Phone: (650) 616-7065

mlee@sanbruno.ca.gov

Fernando Lemus, Principal Accountant - Auditor, *County of Los Angeles*

Auditor-Controller's Office, 500 West Temple Street, Room 603, Los Angeles, CA 90012

Phone: (213) 974-0324

flemus@auditor.lacounty.gov

Elliott Levitsky, *Zone 7 Water Agency*

100 North Canyons Parkway, Livermore, CA 94551

Phone: (925) 454-5033

elevitsky@zone7water.com

Erika Li, Chief Deputy Director, *Department of Finance*

915 L Street, 10th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274

erika.li@dof.ca.gov

Keith Lichten, Division Chief, *San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board*

Watershed Management, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400, Oakland, CA 94612

Phone: (510) 622-2380
klichten@waterboards.ca.gov

Selina Louie, Water Resource Control Engineer, *San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board*

1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400, Oakland, CA 94612
Phone: (510) 622-2383
SLouie@waterboards.ca.gov

Everett Luc, Accounting Administrator I, Specialist, *State Controller's Office*
3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 323-0766
ELuc@sco.ca.gov

Steven Machida, Director, *City of San Carlos*
Public Works, 600 Elm Street, San Carlos, CA 94070
Phone: (650) 802-4203
smachida@cityofsancarlos.org

Jill Magee, Program Analyst, *Commission on State Mandates*
980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 323-3562
Jill.Magee@csm.ca.gov

Jennifer Maguire, City Manager, *City of San Jose*
Claimant Contact
200 East Santa Clara Street, San Jose, CA 95113
Phone: (408) 535-8111
Jennifer.Maguire@sanjoseca.gov

Darryl Mar, Manager, *State Controller's Office*
3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 323-0706
DMar@sco.ca.gov

Debra Margolis, *City of Fremont*
3300 Capitol Avenue, Building A, Fremont, CA 94538
Phone: (510) 284-4030
dmargolis@fremont.gov

Joseph Martinez, Acting Lead, *San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board*
1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400, Oakland, CA 94612
Phone: (510) 622-2304
Joseph.Martinez@Waterboards.ca.gov

Steven Mattas, Partner, *Redwood Public Law*
409 13th St., Suite 600, Oakland, CA 94612
Phone: (510) 282-7033
steve.mattas@redwoodpubliclaw.com

Tina McKendell, *County of Los Angeles*
Auditor-Controller's Office, 500 West Temple Street, Room 603, Los Angeles, CA 90012
Phone: (213) 974-0324
tmckendell@auditor.lacounty.gov

Michelle Mendoza, *MAXIMUS*
17310 Red Hill Avenue, Suite 340, Irvine, CA 95403

Phone: (949) 440-0845
michellemendoza@maximus.com

Azalea Mitch, Public Works Director, *City of Menlo Park*
701 Laurel Street, Menlo Park, CA 94025
Phone: (650) 330-6692
aamitch@menlopark.gov

Joseph Monical, Water Resource Control Engineer, *San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board*
1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400, Oakland, CA 94612
Phone: (510) 622-2304
Joseph.Monical@Waterboards.ca.gov

Jill Moya, Financial Services Director, *City of Oceanside*
300 North Coast Highway, Oceanside, CA 92054
Phone: (760) 435-3887
jmoya@oceansideca.org

Thomas Mumley, Assistant Executive Officer, *San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board*
1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400, Oakland, CA 94612
Phone: (510) 622-2395
thomas.mumley@waterboards.ca.gov

Marilyn Munoz, Senior Staff Counsel, *Department of Finance*
915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 445-8918
Marilyn.Munoz@dof.ca.gov

Justin Murphy, City Manager, *City of Menlo Park*
701 Laurel Street, Menlo Park, CA 94025
Phone: (650) 330-6725
jicmurphy@menlopark.gov

Kaleb Neufeld, Assistant Controller, *City of Fresno*
2600 Fresno Street, Fresno, CA 93721
Phone: (559) 621-2489
Kaleb.Neufeld@fresno.gov

Gregory Newmark, *Meyers Nave*
Claimant Representative
707 Wilshire Blvd., 24th Floor, Los Angeles , CA 90017
Phone: (213) 626-2906
gnewmark@meyersnave.com

Andy Nichols, *Nichols Consulting*
1857 44th Street, Sacramento, CA 95819
Phone: (916) 455-3939
andy@nichols-consulting.com

Adriana Nunez, Staff Counsel, *State Water Resources Control Board*
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 322-3313
Adriana.Nunez@waterboards.ca.gov

Margaret Olaiya, Director of Finance, *County of Santa Clara*

Claimant Contact

70 West Hedding Street, East Wing, 2nd Floor, San Jose, CA 95110

Phone: (408) 299-5200

Margaret.Olaiya@fin.sccgov.org

Erika Opp, Administrative Analyst, *City of St. Helena*

City Clerk, 1480 Main Street, St. Helena, CA 94574

Phone: (707) 968-2743

eopp@cityofsthelelena.gov

Eric Oppenheimer, Executive Director, *State Water Resources Control Board*

1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814-2828

Phone: (916) 341-5615

eric.oppenheimer@waterboards.ca.gov

Celso Ortiz, *City of Oakland*

One Frank Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor, Oakland, CA 94612

Phone: (510) 238-6236

cortiz@oaklandcityattorney.org

Robert Ovadia, Public Works Director/City Engineer, *Town of Atherton*

80 Fair Oaks Lane, Atherton, CA 94027

Phone: (650) 752-0541

rovadia@ci.atherton.ca.us

Patricia Pacot, Accountant Auditor I, *County of Colusa*

Office of Auditor-Controller, 546 Jay Street, Suite #202, Colusa, CA 95932

Phone: (530) 458-0424

ppacot@countyofcolusa.org

Arthur Palkowitz, *Law Offices of Arthur M. Palkowitz*

12807 Calle de la Siena, San Diego, CA 92130

Phone: (858) 259-1055

law@artpalk.onmicrosoft.com

Kirsten Pangilinan, Specialist, *State Controller's Office*

Local Reimbursements Section, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 322-2446

KPangilinan@sco.ca.gov

Nisha Patel, Public Works Director, *City of Belmont*

Public Works, 1 Twin Pines Lane, Belmont, CA 94002

Phone: (650) 595-7459

npatel@belmont.gov

Elizabeth Pianca, Deputy County Counsel, *County of Santa Clara*

70 West Hedding Street, East Wing, 9th Floor, San Jose, CA 95110-1770

Phone: (408) 299-5920

elizabeth.pianca@cco.sccgov.org

Thomas Piccolotti, City Manager, *City of Daly City*

333-90th Street, Daly City, CA 94015

Phone: (650) 991-8127

tpiccolotti@dalycity.org

Johnnie Pina, Legislative Policy Analyst, *League of Cities*

1400 K Street, Suite 400, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 658-8214
jpina@cacities.org

Richard Pio Roda, Partner, *Redwood Public Law*
409 13th St., Suite 600, Oakland, CA 94612
Phone: (510) 877-5845
richard@redwoodpubliclaw.com

Jai Prasad, *County of San Bernardino*
Office of Auditor-Controller, 222 West Hospitality Lane, 4th Floor, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0018
Phone: (909) 386-8854
jai.prasad@sbccountyatc.gov

Valerie Pryor, General Manager, *Alameda County Flood Control & Water Conservation*
District Zone 7, 100 North Canyons Parkway, Livermore, CA 94551
Phone: (925) 454-5000
vpryor@zone7water.com

Jonathan Quan, Associate Accountant, *County of San Diego*
Projects, Revenue, and Grants Accounting, 5530 Overland Ave, Suite 410, San Diego, CA 92123
Phone: 6198768518
Jonathan.Quan@sdcountry.ca.gov

Veronica Ramirez, *City of Redwood City*
1017 Middlefield Road, Redwood City, CA 94063
Phone: (650) 780-7200
vramirez@redwoodcity.org

Roberta Raper, Director of Finance, *City of West Sacramento*
1110 West Capitol Ave, West Sacramento, CA 95691
Phone: (916) 617-4509
robertar@cityofwestsacramento.org

Prasanna Rasiah, City Attorney, *City of San Mateo*
330 W. 20th Avenue, San Mateo, CA 94403
Phone: (650) 522-7020
CityAttorneysOffice@cityofsanmateo.org

George Rodericks, City Manager, *Town of Atherton*
91 Ashfield Road, Atherton, CA 94027
Phone: (650) 752-0504
grodericks@ci.atherton.ca.us

Eren Romero, Business Manager, *City of Menlo Park*
Department of Public Works, 701 Laurel Street, Menlo Park, CA 94025-3483
Phone: (650) 330-6755
eromero@menlopark.gov

Michael Roush, *Emergency Services-Marina Services-Public Works*
50 Park Place, Brisbane, CA 94005
Phone: (415) 508-2136
mroush@ci.brisbane.ca.us

Greg Rubens, City Attorney, *City of San Carlos*
600 Elm Street, San Carlos, CA 94070
Phone: (650) 802-4148
grubens@cityofsancarlos.org

Teresita Sablan, *State Water Resources Control Board*

Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board and San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 341-5174

Teresita.Sablan@waterboards.ca.gov

Office of the San Jose City Attorney, *City of San Jose***Claimant Representative**

Office of the San Jose City Attorney, 200 E. Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor, San Jose, CA 95113

Phone: (408) 535-1900

cao.main@sanjoseca.gov

Jessica Sankus, Senior Legislative Analyst, *California State Association of Counties (CSAC)*

Government Finance and Administration, 1100 K Street, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 327-7500

jsankus@counties.org

James Scanlin, Environmental Compliance Specialist, *County of Alameda*

Public Works, 399 Elmhurst Street, Hayward, CA 94544

Phone: (510) 670-6548

jims@acpwa.org

Cindy Sconce, Director, *Government Consulting Partners*

5016 Brower Court, Granite Bay, CA 95746

Phone: (916) 276-8807

cindysconcecp@gmail.com

Tracy Scramaglia, Assistant Public Works Director, *City of Belmont*

One Twin Pines Lane, Belmont, CA 94002

Phone: (650) 595-7469

jmceill@sandiego.gov

Carla Shelton, Senior Legal Analyst, *Commission on State Mandates*

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562

carla.shelton@csm.ca.gov

Camille Shelton, Chief Legal Counsel, *Commission on State Mandates*

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562

camille.shelton@csm.ca.gov

Mary Ellen Skramstad, Environmental Compliance Specialist, *City of Berkeley*

Engineering Division, 1947 Center Street,, 4th Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Phone: (510) 981-6337

mskramstad@cityofberkeley.info

Anthony Smith, Water Resources Manager, *City of Livermore*

Water Resources, 1052 S. Livermore Avenue, Livermore, CA 94550

Phone: (925) 960-8100

awsmith@livermoreca.gov

Daniel Sodergren, City Attorney, *City of Pleasanton*

123 Main Street, Pleasanton, CA 94566

Phone: (925) 931-5018

dsodergren@cityofpleasantonca.gov

Paul Steenhausen, Principal Fiscal and Policy Analyst, *Legislative Analyst's Office*
925 L Street, Suite 1000, , Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 319-8303
Paul.Steenhausen@lao.ca.gov

Ann Stillman, Director of Public Works, *County of San Mateo*
555 County Center, 5th Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063
Phone: (650) 363-4100
astillman@smcgov.org

Julie Testa, Vice Mayor, *City of Pleasanton*
123 Main Street PO Box520, Pleasanton, CA 94566
Phone: (925) 872-6517
Jtesta@cityofpleasantonca.gov

Jolene Tollenaar, *MGT Consulting Group*
2251 Harvard Street, Suite 134, Sacramento, CA 95815
Phone: (916) 243-8913
jolenetollenaar@gmail.com

Annie Tom, *County of Santa Clara*
Controller - Treasurer Department, 70 West Hedding Street, East Wing, San Jose, CA 95110
Phone: (408) 299-5265
annie.tom@fin.sccgov.org

Colleen Tribby, Finance Director, *City of Dublin*
Claimant Contact
100 Civic Plaza, Dublin, CA 94568
Phone: (925) 833-6640
colleen.tribby@dublin.ca.gov

Catherina Tsang, Controller-Treasurer Division Manager, *County of Santa Clara*
Controller-Treasurer, 70 West Hedding Street, San Jose, CA 95110
Phone: (408) 299-5216
catherina.tsang@fin.sccgov.org

Jessica Uzarski, Consultant, *Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee*
1020 N Street, Room 502, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 651-4103
Jessica.Uzarski@sen.ca.gov

Nawel Voelker, Acting Director of Finance (Management Analyst), *City of Belmont*
Finance Department, One Twin Pines Lane, Belmont, CA 94002
Phone: (650) 595-7433
nvoelker@belmont.gov

Victor Voong, Associate Engineer, *City of Burlingame*
501 Primrose Road, Burlingame, CA 94010
Phone: (650) 558-7242
vvoong@burlingame.org

Athena Watson, *Zone 7 Water Agency*
100 North Canyons Parkway, Livermore, CA 94551
Phone: (925) 454-5033
athena@zone7water.com

Renee Wellhouse, *David Wellhouse & Associates, Inc.*
3609 Bradshaw Road, H-382, Sacramento, CA 95927

Phone: (916) 797-4883
dwa-renee@surewest.net

Adam Whelen, Director of Public Works, *City of Anderson*
1887 Howard St., Anderson, CA 96007
Phone: (530) 378-6640
awhelen@ci.anderson.ca.us

Eileen White, Executive Officer, *San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board*
1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400, Oakland, CA 94612
Phone: (510) 622-2300
Eileen.White@waterboards.ca.gov

Scott Wikstrom, City Engineer, *City of Alameda*
950 West Mall Square, Alameda, CA 94501
Phone: (510) 747-7930
swikstrom@alamedaca.gov

Paul Willis, Director of Public Works, *Town of Hillsborough*
1600 Floribunda Avenue, Hillsborough, CA 94010
Phone: (650) 375-7444
pwillis@hillsborough.net

Yuri Won, Attorney, Office of Chief Counsel, *State Water Resources Control Board*
San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento,
CA 95814
Phone: (916) 327-4439
Yuri.Won@waterboards.ca.gov

Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez, Deputy Executive Director for Legislative Affairs, *California State Association of Counties (CSAC)*
1100 K Street, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 650-8104
jwong-hernandez@counties.org

Elisa Wynne, Staff Director, *Senate Budget & Fiscal Review Committee*
California State Senate, State Capitol Room 5019, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 651-4103
elisa.wynne@sen.ca.gov

Kaily Yap, Budget Analyst, *Department of Finance*
Local Government Unit, 915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 445-3274
Kaily.Yap@dof.ca.gov

Siew-Chin Yeong, Director of Public Works, *City of Pleasanton*
3333 Busch Road, Pleasanton, CA 94566
Phone: (925) 931-5506
syeong@cityofpleasantonca.gov

Howard Young, Public Works Director, *City of Newark*
, Newark, CA 94560
Phone: (510) 578-4286
howard.young@newark.org

Stephanie Yu, Assistant Chief Counsel, *State Water Resources Control Board*
Office of Chief Counsel, 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 341-5157
stephanie.yu@waterboards.ca.gov

Helmholt Zinser-Watkins, Associate Governmental Program Analyst, *State Controller's Office*
Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 700,
Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 324-7876
HZinser-watkins@sco.ca.gov