

ITEM 8
FINAL STAFF ANALYSIS
PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES

Welfare and Institutions Code Sections 6250 and 6600 through 6608

Statutes 1995, Chapters 762 and 763

Statutes 1996, Chapter 4

Sexually Violent Predators

05-PGA-43 (CSM-4509)

State Controller's Office, Requestor

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is a request filed by the State Controller's Office (SCO) to amend the original parameters and guidelines for the *Sexually Violent Predators* program (CSM-4509) to add language regarding source documentation, and record retention requirements during the period a claim is subject to an audit. If the Commission on State Mandates (Commission) approves the SCO's request, the amendments would be effective for costs incurred beginning on July 1, 2005.

In 2003, upon recommendation from the Bureau of State Audits, direction from the Legislature, and an SCO request, the Commission adopted amendments to parameters and guidelines that clarified what source documentation claimants are required to retain to support the claims they file to obtain reimbursement for mandated programs, and records retention language that identifies the records that must be retained to support an audit initiated by the SCO. The adopted language, commonly referred to as "boilerplate language," has been included in all parameters and guidelines adopted since 2003. In addition, section 1183 of the Commission's regulations require parameters and guidelines to include instruction on claim preparation, notice of the SCO's authority to audit claims, and the amount of time documentation must be retained during the audit period.

The SCO is now requesting that parameters and guidelines adopted prior to 2003 be amended to also include the source documentation and records retention language.

This analysis pertains only to the request to amend the *Sexually Violent Predators* program. The staff analyses for the other 48 programs will be presented separately.

There is one issue for the Commission's consideration:

- **Should the parameters and guidelines be amended to add the current "boilerplate language"?**

Staff finds that it is appropriate to approve the SCO's request to insert the source documentation and records retention language because it would conform the parameters and guidelines for the *Sexually Violent Predators* program with the parameters and guidelines adopted for other programs, and is consistent with section 1183.1 of the Commission's regulation. Therefore, staff included the language requested by the SCO.

Commission staff issued a draft staff analysis for review and comment on September 23, 2009. On October 14, 2009, Department of Finance submitted comments concurring with the draft staff analysis, stating “These changes provide claimants with clear instructions regarding documentation and record retention requirements.”

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends that the Commission:

- Adopt the SCO’s proposed amendments to the parameters and guidelines for the *Sexually Violent Predators* program, beginning on page 9.
- Authorize staff to make any non-substantive, technical corrections to the parameters and guidelines following the hearing.

STAFF ANALYSIS

Requestor

State Controller's Office

Chronology

06/25/1998	Commission on State Mandates (Commission) adopts Statement of Decision
09/24/1998	Commission adopts parameters and guidelines
03/25/1999	Commission adopts statewide cost estimate
01/23/2003	The Commission, upon the recommendation of the Bureau of State Audits, direction from the Legislature, and upon request from the State Controller's Office (SCO), adopts amendments to the <i>School Bus Safety II</i> parameters and guidelines to include "boilerplate language" that details the documentation necessary to support reimbursement claims. After this date, all adopted parameters and guidelines contain this language
04/07/2006	SCO requests the parameters and guidelines for 49 mandated programs adopted prior to 2003 also be amended to include boilerplate language, including the <i>Sexually Violent Predators</i> program analyzed here
04/27/2006	Commission deems SCO's request for amendment of parameters and guidelines complete and issues for comment
07/23/2009	Commission reissues SCO's request for amendment of parameters and guidelines for comment
08/18/2009	Department of Finance files comments
09/23/2009	Draft staff analysis issued
10/14/2009	Department of Finance files comments

Background

This is a request filed by the State Controller's Office (SCO) to amend the original parameters and guidelines for the *Sexually Violent Predators* program (CSM - 4509) to add language regarding source documentation, and record retention requirements during the period a claim is subject to an audit. If the Commission on State Mandates (Commission) approves the SCO's request, the amendments would be effective for costs incurred beginning on July 1, 2005.

Test Claim Decision and Parameters and Guidelines

The test claim statutes for this program established new civil commitment procedures for the continued detention and treatment of sexually violent predators following completion of prison term for certain sex-related offenses. Before detention and treatment are imposed, the county attorney is required to file a petition for civil commitment. A trial is then conducted to determine if the inmate is a sexually violent predator beyond a reasonable doubt. If the inmate accused of

being a sexually violent predator is indigent, the test claim legislation requires counties to provide the indigent with the assistance of counsel and experts necessary to prepare the defense.

The County of Los Angeles filed a test claim on May 30, 1996, alleging that the test claim statutes imposed mandated activities upon its district attorney, public defender, court appointed indigent defense counsel and sheriff.

The Commission approved this test claim on June 28, 1998, concluding that the test claim statutes constituted a reimbursable state mandated program upon local agencies pursuant to section 6, article XIII B of the California Constitution, for the new duties required for the continued detention and treatment of sexually violent predators.¹

On September 24, 1998, the Commission adopted the parameters and guidelines for this program.²

Boilerplate Language

On March 28, 2002, the Bureau of State Audits (BSA) issued an audit report on the *School Bus Safety II* program, stating that the parameters and guidelines do not impose sufficient requirements regarding the documentation required to support reimbursement claims, and thus, insufficient documentation was being submitted to support claims.³ The report recommended, among other things, that the Commission work with the SCO, other affected state agencies, and interested parties to make sure the language in the parameters and guidelines and the claiming instructions for the *School Bus Safety II* program reflects the Commission's intentions as well as the SCO's expectations regarding supporting documentation. On June 10, 2002, the SCO proposed that parameters and guidelines be amended to clarify what documentation is necessary to support reimbursement claims and what records must be retained to support audits initiated by the SCO.

Based on BSA's audit findings and recommendations, the Legislature enacted Statutes 2002, chapter 1167 (AB 2781) to direct the Commission to amend the parameters and guidelines in *School Bus Safety II*, to detail the documentation necessary to support reimbursement claims.

On January 23, 2003, upon recommendation from BSA, direction from the Legislature, and the SCO's request, the Commission adopted the following language regarding source documentation and records retention to the *School Bus Safety II* parameters and guidelines:⁴

IV. Reimbursable Activities

To be eligible for mandated cost reimbursement for any fiscal year, only actual costs may be claimed. Actual costs are those costs actually incurred to implement the mandated activities. Actual costs must be traceable and supported by source documents that show the validity of such costs, when they were incurred, and their relationship to the reimbursable activities. A source document is a document created at or near the same time the actual cost was incurred for the event or activity in question. Source documents may include, but are not limited to, employee time records or time logs, sign-in sheets, invoices, and receipts.

¹ Exhibit A.

² Exhibit B.

³ Exhibit C.

⁴ The Commission also adopted other boilerplate language that is not relevant to this request.

Evidence corroborating the source documents may include, but is not limited to, worksheets, cost allocation reports (system generated), purchase orders, contracts, agendas, training packets, and declarations. Declarations must include a certification or declaration stating, "I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct based upon personal knowledge." Evidence corroborating the source documents may include data relevant to the reimbursable activities otherwise in compliance with local, state, and federal government requirements. However, corroborating documents cannot be substituted for source documents.

The claimant is only allowed to claim and be reimbursed for increased costs for reimbursable activities identified below. Increased cost is limited to the cost of an activity that the claimant is required to incur as a result of the mandate.

VI. Record Retention

Pursuant to Government Code section 17558.5, subdivision (a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a local agency or school district pursuant to this chapter is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. All documents used to support the reimbursable activities, as described in Section IV, must be retained during the period subject to audit. If an audit has been initiated by the Controller during the period subject to audit, the retention period is extended until the ultimate resolution of any audit findings.*

The Commission has included this language, commonly referred to as "boilerplate language," in all parameters and guidelines adopted on or after January 23, 2003.

SCO Request to Amend Parameters and Guidelines

On April 7, 2006, the SCO requested that the parameters and guidelines for 49 mandated programs that were adopted prior to 2003 be amended to also include the boilerplate language regarding source documentation and records retention that was adopted by the Commission in 2003.⁵

The parameters and guidelines for the *Sexual Violent Predators* program is one of the 49 programs the SCO is requesting be amended.

Comments on the Proposal

On April 27, 2006, the Commission issued the SCO's request to amend the parameters and guidelines for comment. No comments were filed. On July 23, 2009, the Commission reissued the proposal for comment. On August 18, 2009, Department of Finance submitted comments.⁶

In its comments, Finance stated it was neutral on the proposal, because the request to include boilerplate language in the parameters and guidelines for the 49 programs would allow the

* This refers to Title 2, division 4, part 7, chapter 4 of the Government Code.

⁵ Exhibit D.

⁶ Exhibit E.

Controller to complete audit related tasks more efficiently, and provide the claimant with more information and record retention requirements, as well as the statute of limitations for audits.

No other comments were filed on the SCO proposal.

Related Litigation (*Clovis Unified School Dist., et al. v. State Controller*)

This case involves a challenge by school districts and community college districts on reductions made by the State Controller's Office to reimbursement claims for several mandated programs.⁷ The school districts argue that reductions made on the ground that school districts do not have contemporaneous source documents are invalid.

Trial Court Ruling. On January 2, 2009, the Sacramento County Superior Court (Case No. 06CS00748) issued a clarification of ruling and on February 19, 2009, issued a Judgment and Writ, finding that reductions made by the Controller on the ground that claimants did not have contemporaneous source documents supporting their reimbursement claims were invalid as an underground regulation *if* the contemporaneous source document requirement was not in the Commission's parameters and guidelines. The court held that the Controller has no authority to reduce a claim on the ground that a claimant did not maintain contemporaneous source documents to support their claim, absent statutory or regulatory authority to require contemporaneous source documents, or language in the parameters and guidelines requiring it. Pursuant to Government Code section 17558, the Controller's claiming instructions shall be derived from the test claim decision and the adopted parameters and guidelines. Thus, the court granted declaratory relief and a writ of mandate requiring the Controller to set aside the reduction and pay the school district plaintiffs the amounts reduced on two mandated programs that did not have parameters and guidelines language requiring claimants to maintain contemporaneous source documents.

Court of Appeal Filings (Third District Court of Appeal, Case No. C061696). Notices of appeal and cross-appeal have been filed by the SCO, the community college districts, and the school districts, and opening briefs have been filed. The appeal on the issue of the validity of the contemporaneous source documentation requirement remains pending.

Discussion

The proposed amendments to the parameters and guidelines raise the following issue for determination by the Commission:

Issue: Should the parameters and guidelines be amended to add the Commission's current "boilerplate language"?

In 2003, following recommendation from the BSA and direction from the Legislature, the SCO requested, and the Commission adopted amendments to parameters and guidelines that clarify what source documentation claimants are required to retain to support the claims they file to obtain reimbursement for mandated programs, and records retention language that identifies the records that must be retained to support an audit initiated by the SCO.

The adopted language, as detailed on pages 3 and 4 of this analysis, has been included in all parameters and guidelines adopted since 2003.

In addition, section 1183.1, subdivision (a) (5) and (6) require that the parameters and guidelines contain, among other things, the following:

⁷ The Commission is not a party to this action.

- Claim preparation. Instruction on claim preparation, including instruction for direct and indirect cost reporting, or application of a reasonable reimbursement methodology.
- Record retention. Notice of the Office of the State Controller’s authority to audit claims and the amount of time supporting documents must be retained during period subject to audit.

The SCO is now requesting that parameters and guidelines adopted prior to 2003 be amended to also include the source documentation and records retention language. This analysis pertains to the parameters and guidelines for the *Sexually Violent Predators* program.⁸

Inserting the source documentation and records retention boilerplate language would conform the parameters and guidelines for the *Sexually Violent Predators* program with the parameters and guidelines adopted for other programs, and is consistent with section 1183.1 of the Commission’s regulations.

Therefore, staff finds that it is appropriate to approve the SCO’s request, and made the following modifications to the parameters and guidelines:

III. Period of Reimbursement

Government Code section 17557, subdivision (d) states that a parameters and guidelines amendment filed on or before the claiming deadline following a fiscal year, shall establish reimbursement eligibility for that fiscal year. This amendment was filed on April 7, 2006, (after the claiming deadline) establishing reimbursement for fiscal year 2005-2006. Therefore, reimbursement for this amendment shall begin on July 1, 2005.

Staff clarified that the proposed amendments would be effective on July 1, 2005.

IV. Reimbursable Activities

Staff inserted the following boilerplate language regarding source documentation, as requested by the SCO:

To be eligible for mandated cost reimbursement for any fiscal year, only actual costs may be claimed. Actual costs are those costs actually incurred to implement the mandated activities. Actual costs must be traceable and supported by source documents that show the validity of such costs, when they were incurred, and their relationship to the reimbursable activities. A source document is a document created at or near the same time the actual cost was incurred for the event or activity in question. Source documents may include, but are not limited to, employee time records or time logs, sign-in sheets, invoices, and receipts.

Evidence corroborating the source documents may include, but is not limited to, worksheets, cost allocation reports (system generated), purchase orders, contracts, agendas, training packets, and declarations. Declarations must include a certification or declaration stating, “I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct based upon personal knowledge.”

⁸ The SCO only requested that the portions of the boilerplate language regarding source documentation and records retention be added to the parameters and guidelines for the 49 programs. There are other sections of the boilerplate language regarding the remedies available before the Commission, and the legal and factual basis for the parameters and guidelines. Staff did not include these sections because the SCO did not request that they be included.

Evidence corroborating the source documents may include data relevant to the reimbursable activities otherwise in compliance with local, state, and federal government requirements. However, corroborating documents cannot be substituted for source documents.

The claimant is only allowed to claim and be reimbursed for increased costs for reimbursable activities identified below. Increased cost is limited to the cost of an activity that the claimant is required to incur as a result of the mandate

VI. Records Retention

At the request of the SCO, staff removed the existing language regarding records retention, and replaced it with the following boilerplate language regarding records retention.

Pursuant to Government Code section 17558.5, subdivision (a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a local agency or school district pursuant to this chapter is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. All documents used to support the reimbursable activities, as described in Section IV, must be retained during the period subject to audit. If an audit has been initiated by the Controller during the period subject to audit, the retention period is extended until the ultimate resolution of any audit findings.*

Comments on the Draft Staff Analysis

Commission staff issued a draft staff analysis for review and comment on September 23, 2009.⁹ On October 14, 2009, Department of Finance submitted comments concurring with the draft staff analysis, stating “These changes provide claimants with clear instructions regarding documentation and record retention requirements.”¹⁰

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends that the Commission:

- Adopt the proposed amendments to parameters and guidelines for the *Sexually Violent Predators* program, beginning on page 9.
- Authorize staff to make any non-substantive, technical corrections to the parameters and guidelines following the hearing.

* This refers to Title 2, division 4, part 7, chapter 4 of the Government Code.

⁹ Exhibit F.

¹⁰ Exhibit G.

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES

Welfare and Institutions Code Sections 6250 and 6600 through 6608

~~Chapter 762~~, Statutes of 1995, Chapter 762

~~Chapter 763~~, Statutes of 1995, Chapter 763

~~Chapter 4~~, Statutes of 1996, Chapter 4

Sexually Violent Predators

05-PGA-43 (CSM-4509)

This amendment is effective beginning with claims filed for the July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006 period of reimbursement.

I. Summary and Source of the Mandate

Chapters 762 and 763, Statutes of 1995, and Chapter 4, Statutes of 1996, established new civil commitment procedures for the continued detention and treatment of sexually violent offenders following their completion of a prison term for certain sex-related offenses. Before detention and treatment are imposed, the county attorney is required to file a petition for civil commitment. A trial is then conducted to determine if the inmate is a sexually violent predator beyond a reasonable doubt. If the inmate accused of being a sexually violent predator is indigent, the test claim legislation requires counties to provide the indigent with the assistance of counsel and experts necessary to prepare the defense.

On June 25, 1998, the Commission adopted a Statement of Decision which approved reimbursement for the following services:

- Designation by the County Board of Supervisors of the appropriate District Attorney or County Counsel who will be responsible for the sexually violent predator civil commitment proceedings. (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 6601, subd. (i).)
- Initial review of reports and records by the county's designated counsel to determine if the county concurs with the state's recommendation. (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 6601, subd. (i).)
- Preparation and filing of the petition for commitment by the county's designated counsel. (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 6601, subd. (j).)
- Preparation and attendance by the county's designated counsel and indigent defense counsel at the probable cause hearing. (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 6602.)
- Preparation and attendance by the county's designated counsel and indigent defense counsel at trial. (Welf. & Inst. Code, §§ 6603 and 6604.)
- Preparation and attendance by the county's designated counsel and indigent defense counsel at subsequent hearings regarding the condition of the sexually violent

predator. (Welf. & Inst. Code, §§ 6605, subs. (b) through (d), and 6608, subs. (a) through (d).)

- Retention of necessary experts, investigators, and professionals for preparation for trial and subsequent hearings regarding the condition of the sexually violent predator. (Welf. & Inst. Code, §§ 6603 and 6605, subd. (d).)
- Transportation and housing for each potential sexually violent predator at a secured facility while the individual awaits trial on the issue of whether he or she is a sexually violent predator. (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 6602.)

Chapters 762 and 763, Statutes of 1995, were enacted on October 11, 1995, and became operative on January 1, 1996. Chapter 4, Statutes of 1996, relating to the transportation and housing of potential sexually violent predators at a secured facility, was enacted as an urgency measure and became operative on January 25, 1996.

II. Eligible Claimants

Counties or cities and counties.

III. Period of Reimbursement

[This amendment is effective beginning with claims filed for the July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006 period of reimbursement.](#)

Section 17557 of the Government Code states that a test claim must be submitted on or before December 31 following a given fiscal year to establish eligibility for reimbursement for that fiscal year. The test claim for this mandate was filed by the County of Los Angeles on May 30, 1996. Therefore, costs incurred for Chapter 762, Statutes of 1995 and Chapter 763, Statutes of 1995, are eligible for reimbursement on or after January 1, 1996. Costs incurred for Chapter 4, Statutes of 1996, regarding transport and secured custody of defendants, are eligible for reimbursement on or after January 25, 1996.

Actual costs for one fiscal year shall be included in each claim. Estimated costs for the subsequent year may be included on the same claim, if applicable. Pursuant to section 17561, subdivision (d)(1) of the Government Code, all claims for reimbursement of costs shall be submitted within 120 days of notification by the State Controller of the enactment of the claims bill.

If total costs for a given year do not exceed \$200, no reimbursement shall be allowed, except as otherwise allowed by Government Code section 17564.

IV. Reimbursable Activities

[To be eligible for mandated cost reimbursement for any fiscal year, only actual costs may be claimed. Actual costs are those costs actually incurred to implement the mandated activities. Actual costs must be traceable and supported by source documents that show the validity of such costs, when they were incurred, and their relationship to the reimbursable activities. A source document is a document created at or near the same time the actual cost was incurred for the event or activity in question. Source documents may include, but are not limited to, employee time records or time logs, sign-in sheets, invoices, and receipts.](#)

Evidence corroborating the source documents may include, but is not limited to, worksheets, cost allocation reports (system generated), purchase orders, contracts, agendas, training packets, and declarations. Declarations must include a certification or declaration stating, "I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct based upon personal knowledge." Evidence corroborating the source documents may include data relevant to the reimbursable activities otherwise in compliance with local, state, and federal government requirements. However, corroborating documents cannot be substituted for source documents.

The claimant is only allowed to claim and be reimbursed for increased costs for reimbursable activities identified below. Increased cost is limited to the cost of an activity that the claimant is required to incur as a result of the mandate.

For each eligible claimant, all direct and indirect costs of labor, supplies and services, for the following activities only are eligible for reimbursement:

- A. Designation by the County Board of Supervisors of the appropriate District Attorney or County Counsel who will be responsible for the sexually violent predator civil commitment proceedings.
 1. Development of internal policies and procedures (one-time activity).
 2. One-time training for each employee who normally works on the sexually violent predator program on the county's internal policies and procedures.
- B. The following reimbursable activities must be specifically identified to a defendant:
 1. Initial review of reports and records by the county's designated counsel to determine if the county concurs with the state's recommendation. Such activity includes the following:
 - a. Secretarial and paralegal services to assist the county's designated counsel; and
 - b. Copying and making long distance telephone calls.
 - c. Investigator services that are necessary to determine the sufficiency of the factual evidence supporting a petition.
 2. Preparation and filing of the petition for commitment by the county's designated counsel. Such activities include secretarial and paralegal services to assist the county's designated counsel in the preparation and filing of the petition for commitment.
 3. Preparation and attendance by the county's designated counsel and indigent defense counsel at the probable cause hearing. Preparation for the probable cause hearing includes the following:
 - a. Secretarial, paralegal and investigator services;
 - b. Copying and making long distance telephone calls; and
 - c. Travel.
 4. Preparation and attendance by the county's designated counsel and indigent defense counsel at pre-trial and trial hearings. Preparation for the pre-trial and trial hearings include the following:

- a. Secretarial, paralegal and investigator services;
 - b. Copying and making long distance telephone calls; and
 - c. Travel.
5. Preparation and attendance by the county's designated counsel and indigent defense counsel at subsequent hearings regarding the condition of the sexually violent predator. Preparation for the subsequent hearings includes the following:
 - a. Secretarial, paralegal and investigator services;
 - b. Copying and making long distance telephone calls; and
 - c. Travel.
 6. Retention of court-approved experts, investigators, and professionals for the indigent defendant in preparation for trial and subsequent hearings regarding the condition of the sexually violent predator. Such activity includes the following:
 - a. Copying and long distance telephone calls made by the court-approved expert, investigator and/or professional; and
 - b. Travel.
 7. Transportation and housing costs for each potential sexually violent predator at a secured facility while the individual awaits trial on the issue of whether he or she is a sexually violent predator. Counties shall be entitled to reimbursement for such transportation and housing costs, regardless of whether the secured facility is a state facility or county facility, except in those circumstances when the State has directly borne the costs of housing and transportation, in which case no reimbursement of such costs shall be permitted.

V. Claim Preparation and Submission

Claims for reimbursement must be timely filed and identify each cost element for which reimbursement is claimed under this mandate. Claimed costs must be identified to each reimbursable activity identified in Section IV of this document.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

Claimed costs shall be supported by the following cost element information:

A. Direct Costs

Direct Costs are defined as costs that can be traced to specific goods, services, units, programs, activities or functions.

Claimed costs shall be supported by the following cost element information:

1. Salaries and Benefits

Identify the employee(s), and/or show the classification of the employee(s) involved. Describe the reimbursable activities performed and specify the actual time devoted to each reimbursable activity by each employee, productive hourly rate and related fringe benefits.

Reimbursement for personal services include compensation paid for salaries, wages and employee fringe benefits. Employee fringe benefits include regular compensation paid to an

employee during periods of authorized absences (e.g., annual leave, sick leave) and the employer's contribution of social security, pension plans, insurance and worker's compensation insurance. Fringe benefits are eligible for reimbursement when distributed equitably to all job activities which the employee performs.

2. Materials and Supplies

Only expenditures that can be identified as a direct cost of this mandate may be claimed. List the cost of the materials and supplies consumed specifically for the purposes of this mandate. Purchases shall be claimed at the actual price after deducting cash discounts, rebates and allowances received by the claimant. Supplies that are withdrawn from inventory shall be charged based on a recognized method of costing, consistently applied.

3. Contract Services

Provide the name(s) of the contractor(s) who performed the services, including any fixed contracts for services. Describe the reimbursable activity(ies) performed by each named contractor and give the number of actual hours spent on the activities, if applicable. Show the inclusive dates when services were performed and itemize all costs for those services. Attach consultant invoices to the claim.

4. Travel

Travel expenses for mileage, per diem, lodging, and other employee entitlements are eligible for reimbursement in accordance with the rules of the local jurisdiction. Provide the name(s) of the traveler(s), purpose of travel, inclusive dates and times of travel, destination points, and travel costs.

5. Training

The cost of training an employee to perform the mandated activities is eligible for reimbursement. Identify the employee(s) by name and job classification. Provide the title and subject of the training session, the date(s) attended, and the location. Reimbursable costs may include salaries and benefits, transportation, lodging, per diem, and registration fees.

6. Fixed Assets

List the costs of the fixed assets that have been acquired specifically for the purpose of this mandate. If the fixed asset is utilized in some way not directly related to the mandated program, only the pro-rata portion of the asset which is used for the purposes of the mandated program is reimbursable.

B. Indirect Costs

Indirect costs are defined as costs which are incurred for a common or joint purpose, benefiting more than one program and are not directly assignable to a particular department or program without efforts disproportionate to the result achieved. Indirect costs may include both (1) overhead costs of the unit performing the mandate; and (2) the costs of central government services distributed to other departments based on a systematic and rational basis through a cost allocation plan.

Compensation for indirect costs is eligible for reimbursement utilizing the procedure provided in the OMB A-87. Claimants have the option of using 10% of direct labor, excluding fringe benefits, or preparing an Indirect Cost Rate Proposal (ICRP) for the department if the indirect

cost rate claimed exceeds 10%. If more than one department is claiming indirect costs for the mandated program, each department must have its own ICRP prepared in accordance with OMB A-87. An ICRP must be submitted with the claim when the indirect cost rate exceeds 10%.

VI. Record RetentionSupporting Data

Pursuant to Government Code section 17558.5, subdivision (a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a local agency or school district pursuant to this chapter¹ is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. In any case, an audit shall be completed not later than two years after the date that the audit is commenced. All documents used to support the reimbursable activities, as described in Section IV, must be retained during the period subject to audit. If the Controller has initiated an audit during the period subject to audit, the retention period is extended until the ultimate resolution of any audit findings.

~~For audit purposes, all costs claimed shall be traceable to source documents (e.g., employee time records, invoices, receipts, purchase orders, contracts, worksheets, calendars, declarations, etc.) that show evidence of the validity of such costs and their relationship to the state mandated program. All documentation in support of the claimed costs shall be made available to the State Controller's Office, as may be requested, and all reimbursement claims are subject to audit during the period specified in Government Code section 17558.5, subdivision (a).~~

VII. Data for Development of a Statewide Cost Estimate

The State Controller's Office is directed to include in the claiming instructions a request that claimants send an additional copy of the test claim specific form for the initial years' reimbursement claim by mail or facsimile to the Commission on State Mandates, 1300 I Street, Suite 950, Sacramento, California 95814, Facsimile number: (916) 445-0278. Although providing this information to the Commission on State Mandates is not a condition of reimbursement, claimants are encouraged to provide this information to enable the Commission to develop a statewide cost estimate which will be the basis for the Legislature's appropriation for this program.

VIII. Offsetting Savings and Other Reimbursement

Any offsetting savings the claimant experiences as a direct result of the subject mandate shall be deducted from the costs claimed. In addition, reimbursement for this mandate received from any source, including but not limited to, service fees collected, federal funds and other state funds shall be identified and deducted from this claim.

IX. State Controller's Office Required Certification

An authorized representative of the claimant shall be required to provide a certification of the claim, as specified in the State Controller's claiming instructions, for those costs mandated by the State contained herein.

¹ This refers to Title 2, division 4, part 7, chapter 4 of the Government Code.