

COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

980 NINTH STREET, SUITE 300
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
PHONE: (916) 323-3562
(916) 445-0278
E-mail: csminfo@csm.ca.gov



December 16, 2005

Ms. Bonnie Ter Keurst
County of San Bernardino
Office of the Auditor/Controller-Recorder
222 West Hospitality Lane
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0018

And State Agencies and Interested Parties (See Attached Mailing List)

RE: **Proposed Statewide Cost Estimate**
False Reports of Police Misconduct, 00-TC-26
County of San Bernardino, Claimant
Penal Section 148.6, subdivisions (a)(2) and (a)(3)
Statutes 1995, Chapter 590
Statutes 2000, Chapter 289

Dear Ms. Ter Keurst:

The draft staff analysis and proposed statewide cost estimate are enclosed for your review.

Written Comments

Any party or interested party may file written comments on the draft staff analysis and proposed statewide cost estimate by **January 6, 2006**. Comments filed with the Commission are required to be simultaneously served on the parties on the mailing list, and to be accompanied by a proof of service (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.2). To request an extension of time to file comments, please refer to section 1183.01, subdivision (c)(1), of the Commission's regulations.

Hearing

This matter is tentatively set for hearing on **January 26, 2006**, at 9:30 a.m. in Room 126 of the State Capitol, Sacramento, California. This item will be scheduled for the consent calendar unless any party objects. Please let us know in advance if you or a representative of your agency will testify at the hearing, and if other witnesses will appear. If you would like to request postponement of the hearing, please refer to section 1183.01, subdivision (c), of the Commission's regulations.

Ms. Bonnie Ter Keurst
Page 2

Special Accommodations

For any special accommodations such as a sign language interpreter, an assistive listening device, materials in an alternative format, or any other accommodations, please contact the Commission Office at least five to seven *working* days prior to the meeting.

Please contact Tina Poole at (916) 323-8220 with questions.

Sincerely,



NANCY PATTON
Assistant Executive Director

Enclosures

MAILED: _____
FAXED: _____
DATE: 12/10/01 INITIAL: JP
CHRON: _____ FILE: _____
WORKING BINDER: _____

ITEM __
DRAFT STAFF ANALYSIS
PROPOSED STATEWIDE COST ESTIMATE

Penal Code Section 148.6, Subdivisions (a)(2) and (a)(3)

Statutes 1995, Chapter 590

Statutes 2000, Chapter 289

False Reports of Police Misconduct (00-TC-26)

County of San Bernardino, Claimant

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Executive Summary will be included in the Final Staff Analysis.

STAFF ANALYSIS

Summary of the Mandate

On January 29, 2004, the Commission on State Mandates (Commission) adopted its Statement of Decision for *False Reports of Police Misconduct* (00-TC-26). The Commission found that Penal Code section 148.6, subdivision (a), sections (2) and (3), constitute a new program or higher levels of service and impose a reimbursable state-mandated program upon city and county law enforcement agencies within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6, of the California Constitution, and impose costs mandated by the state pursuant to Government Code section 17514.

The claimant filed the test claim on July 5, 2001. The Commission adopted the Statement of Decision on January 29, 2004, and the parameters and guidelines on March 30, 2005. Eligible claimants were required to file initial reimbursement claims with the State Controller's Office (SCO) by October 4, 2005. The Commission uses these initial claims to develop the statewide cost estimate.

Reimbursable Activities

The Commission approved the following reimbursable activities for this program:

Reimbursement Period July 1, 1999 through December 31, 2000¹:

In accepting an allegation of peace officer misconduct, requiring the complainant to read and sign the advisory prescribed in Penal Code section 148.6, subdivision (a)(2).²

A. One-Time Activity

1. Update policies and procedures to implement the reimbursable activities listed in Section IV. B. of the parameters and guidelines.

B. On-going Activity

1. Provide the complainant with the PC 148.6 advisory form.

Reimbursement Period Begins January 1, 2001³:

Make the advisory available in multiple languages, utilizing the translations available from the state.⁴

C. One-Time Activities

1. Create an electronic and/or paper advisory form folder to file multi-language PC 148.6 advisory forms, which are created and released by the Department of Justice.
2. Update policies and procedures to implement the reimbursable activities listed in Section IV. C. and D. of the parameters and guidelines.

¹ Pursuant to Government Code section 17557, subdivision (c).

² Penal Code section 148.6, subdivision (a)(2), as added by Statutes 1995, chapter 590.

³ Pursuant to Government Code section 17557, subdivision (c).

⁴ Penal Code section 148.6, subdivision (a)(3), as added by Statutes 2000, chapter 289.

D. Ongoing Activities

1. Downloading the PC 148.6 advisory form and saving it to an electronic and/or paper advisory form folder.
2. Update the multi-language advisory form folder as needed, if additional PC 148.6 advisory forms become available through the Department of Justice.
3. Inform the local law enforcement agency employees about the availability of the new (or any changes made to the existing) PC 148.6 advisory forms by the Department of Justice.
4. Provide the complainant with the advisory form written in a language understood by the complainant if the advisory form is available from the Department of Justice.⁵

Statewide Cost Estimate

Staff reviewed the claims data submitted by the claimants and compiled by the SCO. The actual claims data showed that eight cities and two counties filed 28 claims between fiscal years 1999-2000 and 2004-2005, for a total of \$90,990. Based on this data, staff made the following assumptions and used the following methodology to develop a statewide cost estimate for this program. If the Commission adopts this proposed statewide cost estimate, it will be reported to the Legislature along with staff's assumptions and methodology.

Assumptions

Staff made the following assumptions:

1. *The actual claiming data is unaudited.* The 28 actual claims filed by eight cities and two counties for fiscal years 1999-2000 through 2004-2005 are unaudited and may be inaccurate.⁶
2. *The actual amount claimed will increase if late or amended claims are filed.* Only eight cities and two counties have filed reimbursement claims for this program. Thus, if reimbursement claims are filed by any of the remaining cities and counties, the amount of reimbursement claimed may exceed the statewide cost estimate. For this program, late claims may be filed until October 4, 2006. However, according to claimant representatives, no late claims will be filed.
3. *The SCO may reduce any reimbursement claim for this program.* If the SCO audits this program and deems any reimbursement claim to be excessive or unreasonable, it may reduce a claim. Therefore, the total amount of reimbursement for this program may be lower than the statewide cost estimate.
4. *Most cities and counties may not meet the minimum \$1,000 filing threshold that entitles them to claim for reimbursement,* because the Commission denied reimbursement for most of the activities alleged by the claimant.

⁵ Penal Code section 148.6, subdivision (a)(2) and (a)(3).

⁶ Claims data reported as of November 3, 2005.

Methodology

Fiscal Years 1999-2000 through 2004-2005

The proposed statewide cost estimate for fiscal years 1999-2000 through 2004-2005 is based on 27 of the 28 actual reimbursement claims filed with the SCO for these years. The claim filed by the City of Merced for fiscal year 2004-2005 was disallowed because it did not meet the minimum \$1,000 filing threshold that entitles it to claim for reimbursement.

Fiscal Years 2005-2006 and 2006-2007

Staff estimated fiscal year 2005-2006 costs by multiplying the 2004-2005 estimate by the implicit price deflator for 2004-2005 (3.5%), as forecast by the Department of Finance. Staff estimated fiscal year 2006-2007 costs by multiplying the 2005-2006 estimate by the implicit price deflator for 2005-2006 (3.1%).

The proposed statewide cost estimate includes eight fiscal years for a total of \$126,024. This averages to \$15,753 annually in costs for the state.

Following is a breakdown of estimated total costs per fiscal year:

Table 2. Breakdown of Estimated Total Costs per Fiscal Year

Fiscal Year	Number of Claims Filed with SCO	Estimated Cost
1999-2000	6	16,787
2000-2001	5	16,797
2001-2002	5	15,254
2002-2003	4	13,341
2003-2004	3	11,175
2004-2005	4	16,979
2005-2006 (estimated)	N/A	17,573
2006-2007 (estimated)	N/A	18,118
TOTAL	27	126,024

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends that the Commission adopt the proposed statewide cost estimate of \$126,024 for costs incurred in complying with the *False Reports of Police Misconduct* program.

Commission on State Mandates

Original List Date: 7/6/2001 Mailing Information: Other
Last Updated: 6/8/2004
List Print Date: 12/01/2005
Claim Number: 00-TC-26
Issue: False Reports of Police Misconduct

Mailing List

Related Matter(s)

02-TC-09 False Reports of Police Misconduct (K-14)

TO ALL PARTIES AND INTERESTED PARTIES:

Each commission mailing list is continuously updated as requests are received to include or remove any party or person on the mailing list. A current mailing list is provided with commission correspondence, and a copy of the current mailing list is available upon request at any time. Except as provided otherwise by commission rule, when a party or interested party files any written material with the commission concerning a claim, it shall simultaneously serve a copy of the written material on the parties and interested parties to the claim identified on the mailing list provided by the commission. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.2.)

Ms. Annette Chinn

Cost Recovery Systems, Inc.
705-2 East Bidwell Street, #294
Folsom, CA 95630

Tel: (916) 939-7901

Fax: (916) 939-7801

Mr. David Wellhouse

David Wellhouse & Associates, Inc.
9175 Kiefer Blvd, Suite 121
Sacramento, CA 95826

Tel: (916) 368-9244

Fax: (916) 368-5723

Ms. Susan Geanacou

Department of Finance (A-15)
915 L Street, Suite 1190
Sacramento, CA 95814

Tel: (916) 445-3274

Fax: (916) 324-4888

Mr. Steve Keil

California State Association of Counties
1100 K Street, Suite 101
Sacramento, CA 95814-3941

Tel: (916) 327-7523

Fax: (916) 441-5507

Ms. Harmeet Barkschat

Mandate Resource Services
5325 Elkhorn Blvd. #307
Sacramento, CA 95842

Tel: (916) 727-1350

Fax: (916) 727-1734

Ms. Bonnie Ter Keurst

County of San Bernardino
Office of the Auditor/Controller-Recorder
222 West Hospitality Lane
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0018

Claimant

Tel: (909) 386-8850

Fax: (909) 386-8830

Ms. Pam Stone

MAXIMUS

4320 Auburn Blvd., Suite 2000
Sacramento, CA 95841

Tel: (916) 485-8102

Fax: (916) 485-0111

Ms. Jesse McGuinn

Department of Finance (A-15)
915 L Street, 8th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814

Tel: (916) 445-8913

Fax: (916) 327-0225

Mr. Steve Smith

Steve Smith Enterprises, Inc.
4633 Whitney Avenue, Suite A
Sacramento, CA 95821

Tel: (916) 483-4231

Fax: (916) 483-1403

Mr. J. Bradley Burgess

Public Resource Management Group
1380 Lead Hill Boulevard, Suite #106
Roseville, CA 95661

Tel: (916) 677-4233

Fax: (916) 677-2283

Mr. Keith B. Petersen

SixTen & Associates
5252 Balboa Avenue, Suite 807
San Diego, CA 92117

Tel: (858) 514-8605

Fax: (858) 514-8645

Mr. Jim Jagers

Axiom, Inc.
2440 Gold River Road, Suite 200
Gold River, CA 95670

Tel: (916) 853-6000

Fax: (916) 351-1020

Ms. Ginny Brummels

State Controller's Office (B-08)
Division of Accounting & Reporting
3301 C Street, Suite 500
Sacramento, CA 95816

Tel: (916) 324-0256

Fax: (916) 323-6527
