

SixTen and Associates

Mandate Reimbursement Services

KEITH B. PETERSEN, President
P.O. Box 340430
Sacramento, CA 95834-0430
Telephone: (916) 419-7093
Fax: (916) 263-9701

E-Mail: Kbpsixten@aol.com
5252 Balboa Avenue, Suite 900
San Diego, CA 92117
Telephone: (858) 514-8605
Fax: (858) 514-8645

November 13, 2013

Heather Halsey, Executive Director
Commission on State Mandates
980 Ninth Street, Suite 300
Sacramento, CA 95814



RE: RE: CSM 10-904133-I-10
Riverside Unified School District
498/83 Notification of Truancy-Audit #2
Fiscal Years 2003-04 through 2006-07
Revised Incorrect Reduction Claim

Dear Ms. Halsey:

Enclosed is the original and two copies of the above-referenced revised incorrect reduction claim for Riverside Unified School District.

SixTen and Associates has been appointed by the District as its representative for this matter and all interested parties should direct their inquiries to me, with a copy as follows:

Michael H. Fine, Deputy Superintendent
Business Services & Governmental Relations
Riverside Unified School District
3380 Fourteenth Street
Riverside, CA 92501
Voice: 951-788-7135 x80423
Fax: 951-778-5668
email: mfine@rusd.k12.ca.us

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "KB Petersen".

Keith B. Petersen

Enclosure: Revised Incorrect Reduction Claim

C: Michael H. Fine, Deputy Superintendent

COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

1. REVISED INCORRECT REDUCTION CLAIM TITLE

498/83 Notification of Truancy #2

Original Incorrect No: 10-904133-10

This is the second incorrect reduction claim filed by the District on this mandate program

2. CLAIMANT INFORMATION

Riverside Unified School District

Michael H. Fine, Deputy Superintendent
Business Services & Governmental Relations
Riverside Unified School District
3380 Fourteenth Street
Riverside, CA 92501
Voice: 951-788-7135 x80423
Fax: 951-778-5668
email: mfine@rusd.k12.ca.us

3. CLAIMANT REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION

Claimant designates the following person to act as its sole representative in this incorrect reduction claim. All correspondence and communications regarding this claim shall be forwarded to this representative. Any change in representation must be authorized by the claimant in writing, and sent to the Commission on State Mandates.

Keith B. Petersen, President
SixTen and Associates
P. O. Box 340430
Sacramento, California 95834-0430
Voice: (916) 419-7093
Fax: (916) 263-9701
kbsixten@aol.com

RECEIVED
For CSW Use Only

Filing Date:

NOV 15 2013

**COMMISSION ON
STATE MANDATES**

IRC #: 13-904133-I-12

4. IDENTIFICATION OF STATUTES OR EXECUTIVE ORDERS

Statutes of 1983, Chapter 498
Education Code Sections 48260 and 48260.5

5. AMOUNT OF INCORRECT REDUCTION

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Amount of Reduction</u>
2003-04	\$ 33,358
2004-05	\$ 38,599
2005-06	\$ 27,708
2006-07	\$ 28,303
TOTAL:	\$127,968

6. NOTICE OF NO INTENT TO CONSOLIDATE

This claim is **not** being filed with the intent to consolidate on behalf of other claimants.

Sections 7 and 8 are attached as follows:

7. Written Detailed Narrative Pages 1 to 9
8. Controller's Revised Audit Report Exhibit A

9. CLAIM CERTIFICATION

This claim alleges an incorrect reduction of a reimbursement claim filed with the State Controller's Office pursuant to Government Code section 17561. This incorrect reduction claim is filed pursuant to Government Code section 17551, subdivision (d). I hereby declare, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the information in this incorrect reduction claim submission is true and complete to the best of my own knowledge or information or belief.

Michael H. Fine, Deputy Superintendent
Business Services & Governmental Relations



Signature

10/29/13

Date

1 Filing Prepared by:
2 Keith B. Petersen, President
3 SixTen and Associates
4 P. O. Box 340430
5 Sacramento, California 95834-0430
6 Voice: (916) 419-7093
7 Fax: (916) 263-9701
8 kbpsixten@aol.com

9 BEFORE THE
10 COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES
11 STATE OF CALIFORNIA

12	REVISED)	RE: CSM 10-904133-I-10
13	INCORRECT REDUCTION CLAIM OF:)	2 nd AUDIT
14)	
15)	Chapter 498, Statutes of 1983
16)	
17)	Education Code Section 48260.5
18	RIVERSIDE UNIFIED)	
19)	<u>Notification of Truancy (Revised)</u>
20	School District)	
21)	Annual Reimbursement Claims:
22	Claimant.)	
23)	
24)	Fiscal Year 2003-04
25)	Fiscal Year 2004-05
26)	Fiscal Year 2005-06
27)	Fiscal Year 2006-07
28)	

29 INCORRECT REDUCTION CLAIM FILING
30 PART I. AUTHORITY FOR THE CLAIM

31 The Commission on State Mandates has the authority pursuant to Government
32 Code Section 17551(d) " . . . to hear and decide upon a claim by a local agency or
33 school district, filed on or after January 1, 1985, that the Controller has incorrectly
34 reduced payments to the local agency or school district pursuant to paragraph (2) of

Revised Incorrect Reduction Claim of Riverside Unified School District
498/83 Notification of Truancy-2nd Audit (Revised)

1 subdivision (d) of Section 17561.” Riverside Unified School District (hereafter “District”)
2 is a school district as defined in Government Code Section 17519. Title 2, CCR,
3 Section 1185 (a), requires the claimant to file an incorrect reduction claim with the
4 Commission.

5 Original Incorrect Reduction Claim-November 1, 2010

6 The Controller issued the original final audit report on February 5, 2010. The
7 District submitted an incorrect reduction claim on October 25, 2010. By letter dated
8 November 4, 2010, the Commission on State Mandates notified the District that the
9 incorrect reduction claim was received November 1, 2010, and accepted for filing.

10 This Revised Incorrect Reduction Claim

11 The Controller issued a revised final audit report on August 24, 2012. The
12 revised final audit report is attached as Exhibit “A.” The revised final audit report
13 constitutes a new and separate demand for repayment and an adjudication of the
14 claim. The Controller’s revised audit report transmittal letter dated August 24, 2012,
15 states that the District may file a revised incorrect reduction claim if the District
16 disagrees with the audit findings, and there is no other dispute resolution process. Title
17 2, CCR, Section 1185 (b), requires incorrect reduction claims to be filed no later than
18 three years following the date of the Controller’s action. There are no regulations
19 specific to “revised” incorrect reduction claims, but the District infers the same three-
20 year period of limitations would be applicable for filing a “revised” incorrect reduction
21 claim in response to a “revised” audit report. Thus, this “revised” incorrect reduction

Revised Incorrect Reduction Claim of Riverside Unified School District
498/83 Notification of Truancy-2nd Audit (Revised)

1 claim is timely filed.

2 PART II. SUMMARY OF THE CLAIM

3 The Controller conducted a field audit of the District's annual reimbursement
4 claims, for Fiscal Years 2003-04, 2004-05, 2005-06 and 2006-07, for the costs of
5 complying with the legislatively mandated program 498/83 Notification of Truancy.

6 Original Final Audit Report Dated February 5, 2010

7 As a result of the audit, the Controller determined that \$326,088 of the claimed costs
8 were unallowable:

9	Fiscal	Amount	Audit	SCO	Amount Due
10	<u>Year</u>	<u>Claimed</u>	<u>Adjustment</u>	<u>Payments</u>	<u><State> District</u>
11	2003-04	\$244,101	\$ 33,358	\$244,101	<\$ 33,358>
12	2004-05	\$272,234	\$ 38,599	\$272,234	<\$ 38,599>
13	2005-06	\$243,123	\$ 27,708	\$ 0	\$215,415
14	2006-07	<u>\$226,423</u>	<u>\$226,423</u>	<u>\$ 46,437</u>	<u><\$ 46,437></u>
15	Totals	\$985,881	\$326,088	\$562,772	\$ 97,021

16 The audit report states that the District was paid \$562,772 for these annual claims and
17 concludes that the amount of \$97,021 is due to the District.

18 Revised Final Audit Report Dated August 24, 2012

19 As a result of the first revised final audit report, the Controller determined that
20 \$127,968 of the claimed costs were unallowable:

21 /

22 /

Revised Incorrect Reduction Claim of Riverside Unified School District
 498/83 Notification of Truancy-2nd Audit (Revised)

1	Fiscal	Amount	Audit	SCO	Amount Due
2	<u>Year</u>	<u>Claimed</u>	<u>Adjustment</u>	<u>Payments</u>	<u><State> District</u>
3	2003-04	\$244,101	\$ 33,358	\$210,743	\$ 0
4	2004-05	\$272,234	\$ 38,599	\$233,635	\$ 0
5	2005-06	\$243,123	\$ 27,708	\$215,415	\$ 0
6	2006-07	<u>\$226,423</u>	<u>\$ 28,303</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$198,120</u>
7	Totals	\$985,881	\$127,968	\$659,793	\$198,120

8 The revised audit report states that the District was paid \$ 659,793 for these claims and
 9 determined that the District is owed \$198,120.

10 **PART III. PREVIOUS INCORRECT REDUCTION CLAIMS**

11 **FIRST AUDIT:** The District previously filed an incorrect reduction claim for this
 12 mandate program for Fiscal Years 1999-00, 2000-01, and 2001-02 on June 16, 2006.
 13 The District filed a revised incorrect reduction claim for those fiscal years on August 26,
 14 2008, in response to a revised audit report dated December 12, 2007. The District filed
 15 a second revised incorrect reduction claim for those fiscal years on September 13,
 16 2010, in response to a second revised audit report dated February 5, 2010. On April 8,
 17 2013, the Controller issued a third revised audit report. The District determined that
 18 there were no issues remaining in dispute and withdrew the original and two revised
 19 incorrect reduction claims for the first audit by separate written notice to the
 20 Commission, dated October 1, 2013.

21 /

Revised Incorrect Reduction Claim of Riverside Unified School District
498/83 Notification of Truancy-2nd Audit (Revised)

1 SECOND AUDIT: The District previously filed an incorrect reduction claim for this
2 mandate program for Fiscal Years 2003-04, 2004-05, 2005-06 and 2006-07 on
3 November 1, 2010. This revised incorrect reduction claim is the result of a revised
4 Controller's audit report dated August 24, 2012.

5 The District is not aware of any incorrect reduction claims having been
6 adjudicated on the specific issues or subject matter raised by this incorrect reduction
7 claim.

8 PART IV. BASIS FOR REIMBURSEMENT

9 No change.

10 PART V. STATE CONTROLLER CLAIM ADJUDICATION

11 On February 5, 2010, the Controller issued the original audit of the District's
12 annual reimbursement claims for Fiscal Years 2003-04, 2004-05, 2005-06 and 2006-
13 07. A copy of the original audit report is included in the original incorrect reduction
14 claim. On August 24, 2012, the Controller issued the revised final audit report. A copy
15 of the revised audit report is attached as Exhibit "A."

16 VI. CLAIMANT'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE CONTROLLER

17 The District submitted its original incorrect reduction claim on November 1,
18 2010, in response to the original final audit report dated February 5, 2010. As a result
19 of the incorrect reduction claim, the Controller's revised final audit report dated August
20 24, 2012, modified Finding 3 "Noncompliant initial truancy notifications."

21 /

Revised Incorrect Reduction Claim of Riverside Unified School District
498/83 Notification of Truancy-2nd Audit (Revised)

1 48264 arrest of minors, was not included. The revised audit report pro-rates the
2 adjustment to 12.5% of the total cost, which is satisfactory to the District. This finding
3 and fiscal year is not included in the extrapolation of the statistical sample.

4 **Amount Paid by The State**

5 This issue was not an audit finding. The amount of payments received from the
6 state is an integral part of the reimbursement calculation. The Controller changed
7 some of the claimed payment amounts received without a finding in the original or
8 revised audit report.

9

	Fiscal Year of Claim			
<u>Amount Paid by the State</u>	<u>2003-04</u>	<u>2004-05</u>	<u>2005-06</u>	<u>2006-07</u>
11 As Claimed	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
12 Audit Report	\$244,101	\$272,234	\$ 0	\$ 46,437
13 Revised Audit Report	\$210,743	\$233,635	\$215,415	\$ 0

14 The propriety of these adjustments cannot be determined until the Controller
15 documents the reason for the changes.

16 **PART VIII. RELIEF REQUESTED**

17 The District filed its annual reimbursement claims within the time limits
18 prescribed by the Government Code. The amounts claimed by the District for
19 reimbursement of the costs of implementing the program imposed by Chapter 498,
20 Statutes of 1983, Notification of Truancy, and relevant Education Code Sections,
21 represent the actual costs incurred by the District to carry out this program. These

Revised Incorrect Reduction Claim of Riverside Unified School District
498/83 Notification of Truancy-2nd Audit (Revised)

1 costs were properly claimed pursuant to the Commission's parameters and guidelines.
2 Reimbursement of these costs is required under Article XIII B, Section 6 of the California
3 Constitution. The Controller's adjustments deny reimbursement without any basis in
4 law or fact. The District has met its burden of going forward on this claim by complying
5 with the requirements of Section 1185, Title 2, California Code of Regulations.
6 Because the Controller has enforced and is seeking to enforce these adjustments
7 without benefit of statute or regulation, the burden of proof is now upon the Controller to
8 establish a legal basis for these actions.

9 The District requests that the Commission make findings of fact and law on each
10 and every adjustment made by the Controller and each and every procedural and
11 jurisdictional issue raised in this claim, and order the Controller to correct the audit
12 report findings therefrom.

13 /
14 /
15 /
16 /
17 /
18 /
19 /
20 /
21 /

Revised Incorrect Reduction Claim of Riverside Unified School District
498/83 Notification of Truancy-2nd Audit (Revised)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

PART IX. CERTIFICATION

By my signature below, I hereby declare, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the information in this incorrect reduction claim submission is true and complete to the best of my own knowledge or information or belief, and that the attached documents are true and correct copies of documents received from or sent by the state agency which originated the document.

Executed on October 29, 2013, at Riverside, California, by

Michael H. Fine

Michael H. Fine, Deputy Superintendent
Business Services & Governmental Relations
Riverside Unified School District
3380 Fourteenth Street
Riverside, CA 92501
Voice: 951-788-7135 x80423
Fax: 951-778-5668
email: mfine@rusd.k12.ca.us

PART X. APPOINTMENT OF REPRESENTATIVE

Riverside Unified School District appoints Keith B. Petersen, President, SixTen and Associates, as its representative for this incorrect reduction claim.

Michael H. Fine

10/29/13

Michael H. Fine, Deputy Superintendent
Business Services & Governmental Relations
Riverside Unified School District

Date

Attachments:

Exhibit "A": Controller's revised audit report dated August 24, 2012

The original incorrect reduction claim and its attached documents are available to the public at the Commission on State Mandates web site:
<http://www.csm.ca.gov/pendingclaims/nt8.shtml>

RIVERSIDE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Revised Audit Report

NOTIFICATION OF TRUANCY PROGRAM

Chapter 498, Statutes of 1983; Chapter 1023, Statutes of 1994;
Chapter 19, Statutes of 1995; and Chapter 69, Statutes of 2007

July 1, 2003, through June 30, 2007



JOHN CHIANG
California State Controller

August 2012



JOHN CHIANG
California State Controller

August 24, 2012

Gayle Cloud, President
Board of Education
Riverside Unified School District
3380 14th Street
Riverside, CA 92501

Dear Mrs. Cloud:

The State Controller's Office audited the costs claimed by the Riverside Unified School District for the legislatively mandated Notification of Truancy Program (Chapter 498, Statutes of 1983; Chapter 1023, Statutes of 1994; Chapter 19, Statutes of 1995; and Chapter 69, Statutes of 2007) for the period of July 1, 2003, through June 30, 2007.

This revised final report supersedes our previous report dated February 5, 2010. Our original report did not allow reimbursement for initial truancy notifications that did not comply with the program's parameters and guidelines. We revised Finding 3 to allow partial reimbursement for the fiscal year 2006-07 notifications that the district distributed. As a result, allowable costs increased by \$198,120 for the audit period.

The district claimed \$985,881 (\$987,881 less a \$2,000 penalty for filing late claims) for the mandated program. Our audit disclosed that \$857,913 is allowable and \$127,968 is unallowable. The costs are unallowable because the district claimed unsupported, non-reimbursable, and non-compliant initial truancy notifications. The State paid the district \$659,793. The State will pay allowable costs claimed that exceed the amount paid, totaling \$198,120, contingent upon available appropriations.

The district previously filed an Incorrect Reduction Claim (IRC) with the Commission on State Mandates (CSM) on November 1, 2010. The district may file an amended IRC based on this revised final audit report. The IRC must be filed within three years following the date that we notify you of a claim reduction. You may obtain IRC information at CSM's Web site at www.csm.ca.gov/docs/IRCForm.pdf.

If you have any questions, please contact Jim L. Spano, Chief, Mandated Cost Audits Bureau, at (916) 323-5849.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

JEFFREY V. BROWNFIELD
Chief, Division of Audits

JVB/sk

cc: Richard L. Miller, Ph.D., Superintendent
Riverside Unified School District
Michael H. Fine, Deputy Superintendent
Business Services and Governmental Relations
Riverside Unified School District
Timothy Walker, Executive Director of Pupil Services/SELPA
Riverside Unified School District
Annette Alvarez, Fiscal Services Manager
Riverside Unified School District
Gerald P. Colapinto, President
Board of Education
Riverside County Office of Education
Scott Hannan, Director
School Fiscal Services Division
California Department of Education
Carol Bingham, Director
Fiscal Policy Division
California Department of Education
Thomas Todd, Assistant Program Budget Manager
Education Systems Unit
Department of Finance
Jay Lal, Manager
Division of Accounting and Reporting
State Controller's Office

Contents

Revised Audit Report

Summary	1
Background	1
Objective, Scope, and Methodology	2
Conclusion	3
Views of Responsible Official	3
Restricted Use	3
Revised Schedule 1—Summary of Program Costs	4
Revised Findings and Recommendations.....	6
Attachment—District’s Response to Draft Audit Report	

Revised Audit Report

Summary

The State Controller's Office (SCO) audited the costs claimed by the Riverside Unified School District for the legislatively mandated Notification of Truancy Program (Chapter 498, Statutes of 1983; Chapter 1023, Statutes of 1994; Chapter 19, Statutes of 1995; and Chapter 69, Statutes of 2007) for the period of July 1, 2003, through June 30, 2007.

The district claimed \$985,881 (\$987,881 less a \$2,000 penalty for filing late claims) for the mandated program. Our audit disclosed that \$857,913 is allowable and \$127,968 is unallowable. The costs are unallowable because the district claimed unsupported, non-reimbursable, and non-compliant initial truancy notifications. The State paid the district \$659,793. The State will pay allowable costs claimed that exceed the amount paid, totaling \$198,120, contingent upon available appropriations.

Background

Education Code section 48260.5 (added by Chapter 498, Statutes of 1983) originally required school districts, upon a pupil's initial classification as a truant, to notify the pupil's parent or guardian by first-class mail or other reasonable means that: (1) the pupil is truant; (2) parents or guardians are obligated to compel the pupil's attendance at school; (3) parents or guardians who fail to meet this obligation may be guilty of an infraction and subject to prosecution; (4) alternative educational programs are available in the district; and (5) they have the right to meet with appropriate school personnel to discuss solutions to the pupil's truancy.

Chapter 1023, Statutes of 1994, amended Education Code section 48260.5 to require school districts to notify the pupil's parent or guardian that (1) the pupil may be subject to prosecution; (2) the pupil may be subject to suspension, restriction, or delay of the pupil's driving privilege; and (3) it is recommended that the parent or guardian accompany the pupil to school and attend classes with the pupil for one day. However, the Commission on State Mandates (CSM) did not amend the program's parameters and guidelines until January 31, 2008 (effective July 1, 2006). Therefore, until June 30, 2006, districts are eligible for mandated program reimbursement if they notify a parent or guardian of the first five elements.

Education Code section 48260 originally defined a truant pupil as one who is absent from school without a valid excuse for more than three days or who is tardy in excess of 30 minutes on each of more than three days in one school year. Chapter 1023, Statutes of 1994, and Chapter 19, Statutes of 1995, amended Education Code section 48260 and renumbered it to section 48260, subdivision (a), stating that a pupil is truant when he or she is absent from school without valid excuse three full days in one school year or is tardy or absent for more than any 30-minute period during the school day without a valid excuse on three occasions in one school year, or any combination thereof. However, the

CSM did not amend the program's parameters and guidelines until January 31, 2008 (effective July 1, 2006). Therefore, for mandate-reimbursement purposes, until June 30, 2006, a pupil is initially classified as truant upon the fourth unexcused absence.

On November 29, 1984, the State Board of Control (now CSM) determined that Chapter 498, Statutes of 1983, imposed a state mandate upon school districts reimbursable under Government Code section 17561.

The parameters and guidelines establish the state mandate and define reimbursement criteria. The CSM adopted parameters and guidelines on August 27, 1987, and amended them on July 22, 1993, and January 31, 2008. In compliance with Government Code section 17558, the SCO issues claiming instructions to assist local agencies and schools districts in claiming mandated program reimbursable costs.

**Objective, Scope,
and Methodology**

We conducted the audit to determine whether costs claimed represent increased costs resulting from the Notification of Truancy Program for the period of July 1, 2003, through June 30, 2007.

Our audit scope included, but was not limited to, determining whether costs claimed were supported by appropriate source documents, were not funded by another source, and were not unreasonable and/or excessive.

We conducted this performance audit under the authority of Government Code sections 12410, 17558.5, and 17561. We did not audit the district's financial statements. Except for the following issue, we conducted the audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We were unable to assess fraud risk because the district did not respond to our inquiries regarding fraud assessment. The district did not respond based on its consultant's advice. As a result, we increased our substantive testing; however, this would not necessarily identify a fraud or abuse that may have occurred.

We limited our review of the district's internal controls to gaining an understanding of the transaction flow and claim preparation process as necessary to develop appropriate auditing procedures.

We asked the district's representative to submit a written representation letter regarding the district's accounting procedures, financial records, and mandated cost claiming procedures as recommended by generally accepted government auditing standards. However, the district declined our request.

Conclusion

Our audit disclosed instances of noncompliance with the requirements outlined above. These instances are described in the accompanying Summary of Program Costs (Schedule 1) and in the Findings and Recommendations section of this report.

For the audit period, the Riverside Unified School District claimed \$985,881 (\$987,881 less a \$2,000 penalty for filing late claims) for costs of the Notification of Truancy Program. Our audit disclosed that \$857,913 is allowable and \$127,968 is unallowable.

For the fiscal year (FY) 2003-04 claim, the State paid the district \$210,743 from funds specifically appropriated for mandated program claims. Our audit disclosed that the entire amount is allowable.

For the FY 2004-05 claim, the State paid the district \$233,635 from funds specifically appropriated for mandated program claims. Our audit disclosed that the entire amount is allowable.

For the FY 2005-06 claim, the State paid the district \$215,415 from funds appropriated under Chapter 724, Statutes of 2010. Our audit disclosed that the entire amount is allowable.

For the FY 2006-07 claim, the State made no payment to the district. Our audit disclosed that \$198,120 is allowable. The State will pay that amount, contingent upon available appropriations.

**Views of
Responsible
Official**

We issued a draft audit report on November 20, 2009. Michael H. Fine, Deputy Superintendent, responded by letter dated December 14, 2009 (Attachment). The district disagreed with Findings 2 and 3, and stated that it does not dispute Finding 1 at this time. We issued the final audit report on February 5, 2010.

Subsequently, we revised our audit report to allow partial reimbursement for non-compliant initial truancy notifications that the district distributed in FY 2006-07. We revised Finding 3 to reduce unallowable costs from \$226,423 to \$28,303. We advised Annette Alvarez, Fiscal Services Manager, of the revision.

Restricted Use

This report is solely for the information and use of the Riverside Unified School District, the Riverside County Office of Education, the California Department of Education, the California Department of Finance, and the SCO; it is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. This restriction is not intended to limit distribution of this report, which is a matter of public record.

Original signed by

JEFFREY V. BROWNFIELD
Chief, Division of Audits

August 24, 2012

**Revised Schedule 1—
Summary of Program Costs
July 1, 2003, through June 30, 2007**

<u>Cost Elements</u>	<u>Actual Costs Claimed</u>	<u>Allowable per Audit</u>	<u>Audit Adjustment</u>	<u>Reference¹</u>
<u>July 1, 2003, through June 30, 2004</u>				
Number of initial truancy notifications	17,943	15,501	(2,442)	Findings 1, 2
Uniform cost allowance	× \$13.66	× \$13.66	× \$13.66	
Total costs ²	\$ 245,101	\$ 211,743	\$ (33,358)	
Less late penalty	(1,000)	(1,000)	—	
Total program costs	<u>\$ 244,101</u>	210,743	<u>\$ (33,358)</u>	
Less amount paid by the State		(210,743)		
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) amount paid		<u>\$ —</u>		
<u>July 1, 2004, through June 30, 2005</u>				
Number of initial truancy notifications	19,134	16,431	(2,703)	Findings 1, 2
Uniform cost allowance	× \$14.28	× \$14.28	× \$14.28	
Total costs	\$ 273,234	\$ 234,635	\$ (38,599)	
Less late penalty	(1,000)	(1,000)	—	
Total program costs	<u>\$ 272,234</u>	233,635	<u>\$ (38,599)</u>	
Less amount paid by the State		(233,635)		
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) amount paid		<u>\$ —</u>		
<u>July 1, 2005, through June 30, 2006</u>				
Number of initial truancy notifications	15,645	13,862	(1,783)	Finding 2
Uniform cost allowance	× \$15.54	× \$15.54	× \$15.54	
Total program costs	<u>\$ 243,123</u>	\$ 215,415	<u>\$ (27,708)</u>	
Less amount paid by the State ³		(215,415)		
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) amount paid		<u>\$ —</u>		
<u>July 1, 2006, through June 30, 2007</u>				
Number of initial truancy notifications	14,020	14,020	—	
Uniform cost allowances	× \$16.15	× \$16.15	× \$16.15	
Subtotal	\$ 226,423	\$ 226,423	\$ —	
Noncompliant initial truancy notifications	—	(28,303)	(28,303)	Finding 3
Total program costs	<u>\$ 226,423</u>	\$ 198,120	<u>\$ (28,303)</u>	
Less amount paid by the State		—		
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) amount paid		<u>\$ 198,120</u>		

Revised Schedule 1 (continued)

Cost Elements	Actual Costs Claimed	Allowable per Audit	Audit Adjustment	Reference ¹
<u>Summary: July 1, 2003, through June 30, 2007</u>				
Total costs	\$ 987,881	\$ 859,913	\$ (127,968)	
Less late claim penalty	<u>(2,000)</u>	<u>(2,000)</u>	<u>—</u>	
Total program costs	<u>\$ 985,881</u>	857,913	<u>\$ (127,968)</u>	
Less amount paid by the State		<u>(659,793)</u>		
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) amount paid		<u>\$ 198,120</u>		

¹ See the Revised Findings and Recommendations section.

² Calculation differences due to rounding.

³ Payment from funds appropriated under Chapter 724, Statutes of 2010 (Assembly Bill No. 1610).

Revised Findings and Recommendations

**FINDING 1—
Unsupported initial
truancy notifications
claimed**

The district claimed \$799 in unsupported initial truancy notifications for fiscal year (FY) 2003-04 and FY 2004-05 because attendance records did not support the number of initial truancy notifications claimed.

For FY 2003-04 and FY 2004-05, the district claimed 17,943 and 19,134 initial truancy notifications, respectively. However, its attendance records supported only 17,919 and 19,101 notifications, respectively, for the same fiscal years. The overstated number of truancy notifications totaled 57.

The following table summarizes the unsupported initial truancy notifications claimed:

	Fiscal Year		Total
	2003-04	2004-05	
Number of elementary school initial notifications documented	9,214	9,395	
Number of secondary school initial notifications documented	8,705	9,706	
Total number of initial truancy notifications documented	17,919	19,101	
Less number of initial truancy notifications claimed	(17,943)	(19,134)	
Overstated number of initial truancy notifications	(24)	(33)	(57)
Uniform cost allowance	× \$13.66	× \$14.28	
Audit adjustment	\$ (328)	\$ (471)	\$ (799)

The program’s parameter’s and guidelines require the district to provide documentation that supports the total number of initial truancy notifications distributed. In specifying reimbursable costs, the parameters and guidelines state that districts shall be reimbursed for the costs to identify truant pupils, prepare and distribute by mail or other method the forms to parents or guardians, and perform associated recordkeeping. The program reimburses claimants based on a uniform cost allowance and the number of eligible initial truancy notifications documented.

Recommendation

We recommend that the district claim the number of allowable initial truancy notification letters that its records support.

District’s Response

This finding adjusts the total notifications claimed to the number of audited notifications “supported” by District documentation. The audited decrease in the number of notices is 57 less for FY 2003-04 and FY 2004-05. This District has no additional documentation available at this time to support the 57 notices. The District does not dispute this finding at this time.

SCO's Comment

Our finding and recommendation are unchanged. The district did not provide additional documentation to refute the audit finding.

**FINDING 2—
Non-reimbursable
initial truancy
notifications claimed**

The district overstated allowable initial truancy notifications by \$98,866 during the audit period. This amount is net of the adjustment in Finding 1 totaling \$799 in costs claimed that were not supported by the district's attendance records and \$5,237 of reimbursable elementary school costs the district did not claim for FY 2005-06.

The district claimed initial truancy notifications for students who did not accumulate the required number of unexcused absences or tardiness occurrences to be classified as truant under the mandated program. In addition, the district's attendance records supported 454 more initial truancy notifications than it claimed for Harrison and Hawthorne Elementary Schools.

The district accounts for elementary and secondary school attendance differently; therefore, we stratified the population into two groups for each year. For each group of students, we selected a statistical sample of initial truancy notifications based on a 95% confidence level, a precision rate of +/-8%, and an expected error rate of 50%. We chose our statistical sample from the population of initial truancy notifications that the district documented. We used statistical samples so that we could project the sample results to the population for each group.

The district claimed unallowable initial truancy notifications for students who accumulated fewer than four unexcused absences or tardiness occurrences during the fiscal year. (Some of these students accumulated fewer than three unexcused absences or tardiness occurrences.)

The following table summarizes unallowable initial truancy notifications claimed:

	Fiscal Year			Total
	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	
<u>Elementary Schools</u>				
Number of unallowable initial truancy notifications from statistical sample	(36)	(40)	(38)	
Statistical sample size	÷ 148	÷ 148	÷ 147	
Unallowable percentage Population sampled ¹	(24.32)% × 9,214	(27.03)% × 9,395	(25.85)% × 7,562	
Extrapolated number of unallowable initial truancy notifications	(2,241)	(2,539)	(1,955)	
Uniform cost allowance	×\$13.66	×\$14.28	×\$15.54	
Unallowable costs, elementary schools	<u>\$(30,612)</u>	<u>\$(36,257)</u>	<u>\$(30,381)</u>	\$ (97,250)

	Fiscal Year			Total
	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	
<u>Secondary Schools</u>				
Number of unallowable initial truancy notifications from statistical sample	(3)	(2)	(3)	
Statistical sample size	÷ 148	÷ 148	÷ 147	
Unallowable percentage	(2.03)%	(1.35)%	(2.04)%	
Population sampled ¹	× 8,705	× 9,706	× 8,083	
Extrapolated number of unallowable initial truancy notifications	(177)	(131)	(165)	
Uniform cost allowance	×\$13.66	×\$14.28	× \$15.54	
Unallowable costs, secondary schools	\$ (2,418)	\$ (1,871)	\$ (2,564)	(6,853)
Audit adjustment, unallowable initial truancy notifications claimed	<u>\$(33,030)</u>	<u>\$(38,128)</u>	<u>\$(32,945)</u>	<u>\$(104,103)</u>

¹ Net of unsupported trancies identified in Finding 1. For FY 2005-06, the population of elementary schools sampled totaled 8,016 (7,562 claimed and 454 unclaimed).

The following table summarizes unclaimed allowable initial truancy notifications:

	Fiscal Year 2005-06
<u>Elementary Schools</u>	
Understated number of initial truancy notifications	454
Allowable percentage	× 74.15%
Extrapolated number of unclaimed allowable initial truancy notifications	337
Uniform cost allowance	× \$15.54
Audit adjustment, unclaimed initial truancy notifications	<u>\$ 5,237</u>

The following table summarizes the unallowable initial truancy notifications claimed net of unclaimed notifications:

	Fiscal Years			Total
	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	
Audit adjustment, unallowable initial truancy notifications claimed	\$ (33,030)	\$ (38,128)	\$ (32,945)	\$ (104,103)
Audit adjustment, unclaimed initial truancy notifications	—	—	5,237	5,237
Total audit adjustment	<u>\$ (33,030)</u>	<u>\$ (38,128)</u>	<u>\$ (27,708)</u>	<u>\$ (98,866)</u>

Education Code section 48260, subdivision (a), as amended in 1994 states:

Any pupil *subject to compulsory full-time education or to compulsory continuation education* [emphasis added] who is absent from school without valid excuse three full days in one school year or tardy or absent for more than any 30-minute period during the schoolday [sic] without a valid excuse on three occasions in one school year, or any combination thereof, is a truant. . . .

Education Code section 48200 states that children between ages 6 and 18 are subject to compulsory full-time education. Therefore, student absences that occur before the student's 6th birthday or after the student's 18th birthday are not relevant when determining whether a student is a truant.

For the audit period, the parameters and guidelines state that initial truancy occurs when a student is absent from school without a valid excuse *more* than three days or is tardy in excess of 30 minutes on each of *more* than three days in one school year. The Commission on State Mandates (CSM) did not amend the parameters and guidelines until July 1, 2006. Therefore, for the audit period, an initial truancy notification is reimbursable only when a student has accumulated four or more unexcused absences or tardiness occurrences while between ages 6 and 18.

Effective July 1, 2006, the CSM adopted amended parameters and guidelines for the Notification of Truancy Program. The amended parameters and guidelines state:

A truancy occurs when a student is absent from school without valid excuse three (3) full days in one school year, or is tardy or absent without valid excuse for more than any thirty (30) - minute period during the school day on three (3) occasions in one school year, or any combination thereof.

Recommendation

We recommend that the district claim initial truancy notification costs for only those students who accumulate three or more unexcused absences or tardiness occurrences between ages 6 and 18, in accordance with Education Code sections 48200 and 48260, subdivision (a).

District's Response

Audit by sampling

The draft audit report states that this finding is based on a statistical sample of truancy notifications actually examined for the three fiscal years. A sample of 147 or 148 notifications was selected for both elementary and secondary schools each year, or a total of 886 notifications for the three years for which there are findings. Based on the claimed number of notifications for the three years (52,722), it appears the sample size is approximately 1.7 percent. The results from this review of less than two-percent of the total number of notices were extrapolated to the universe and the claims were adjusted based on the extrapolation.

The draft audit report has cited no statutory or regulatory authority to allow the Controller to reduce claimed reimbursement based on an extrapolation of a statistical sample. The Controller does not assert that the claimed costs were excessive or unreasonable, which is the only mandated cost audit standard in statute (Government Code Section 17561(d)(2)). It would, therefore, appear that the entire findings are based upon the wrong standard for review.

Aside from the legal basis for sampling, there are potential factual problems with the sample students selected. The ultimate risk for extrapolating findings from a sample is that the conclusions obtained from the sample may not be representative of the universe. That is, the errors perceived from the sample do not occur at the same rate in the universe. That is what has occurred in this audit. For example, kindergarten students present in the sample are more likely to be excluded because of the under-age issue, which makes these samples non-representative of the universe. Also, if any of the notices excluded for being under-age or over-age are for students who are special education students, these samples would also not be representative of the universe since the possibility of a special education student being under-age or over-age is greater than the entire student body.

Number of absences required for the initial notification

About one-half of the sampled notifications disallowed were deemed unallowable because the students had only three absences during the school year. Education Code Section 48260 was amended, effective January 1, 1996, to require a student to be classified as a truant after only three tardies or absences, rather than the four previously required. However, the Parameters and Guidelines were not amended until January 31, 2008 (effective July 1, 2006), to reflect the change in statute.

The Controller's auditors have chosen to enforce the definition of a truant as it was stated in the Parameters and Guidelines prior to the amendment, even though it contradicts a statute in effect during the audit period. The District properly complied with state law when it issued truancy notifications after three absences, rather than waiting for a fourth absence as required by the Parameters and Guidelines. Therefore, the Controller's adjustment is without legal authority.

Age of student

Many of the sampled notifications were disallowed because the student was younger than six years or older than 17 years, which is outside the scope of the compulsory attendance law (Education Code Section 48200). However, the District has distinct statutory duties to enroll some children who are five years old by December 2 of the year of enrollment as well as continue to enroll special education students through age 21. To the extent that these particular circumstances occur for any of the sampled students, the disallowance is without legal authority and the sampled student is statistically not representative of the universe.

The adjustments that result from the statistical sampling should be withdrawn as factually incorrect and unsupported by law.

SCO's Comment

Our finding and recommendation are unchanged. The district did not provide additional documentation to refute the audit finding. We have the following comments on the district's response:

Audit by Sampling

The district concludes that the SCO based its audit finding on the “wrong standard for review” and that the SCO may reduce only those claims that it determines to be excessive or unreasonable. We disagree. Government Code section 17558.5 requires the district to file a reimbursement claim for *actual* mandate-related costs. Government Code section 17561, subdivision (d)(2), allows the SCO to audit the district’s records to verify *actual* mandate-related costs. In addition, Government Code section 12410 states, “The Controller shall audit all claims against the state, and may audit the disbursement of any state money, for correctness, legality, and for sufficient provisions of law for payment.”

The SCO did, in fact, conclude that the district’s claim was excessive. “Excessive” is defined as “exceeding what is usual, *proper*, *necessary* [emphasis added], or normal.”¹ The district’s mandated cost claims exceeded the proper amount based on the reimbursable costs that the parameters and guidelines identify.

The SCO conducted its audit according to generally accepted government auditing standards (*Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the U.S. Government Accountability Office, July 2007). *Government Auditing Standards*, section 1.03 states, “The professional standards and guidance contained in this document . . . provide a framework for conducting high quality government audits and attestation engagements with competence, integrity, objectivity, and independence.” Generally accepted government auditing standards require the auditor to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for the findings and conclusions. The standards recognize statistical sampling as an acceptable method to provide sufficient, appropriate evidence.

The district believes that the sample results may not be representative of the universe because the audit sample included kindergarten students, who are more likely to be excluded due to the under-age issue. The district also states that the possibility that a special education student is under-age or over-age is “greater than [that of] the entire student body,” and the inclusion of special education students in the tested sample is “non-representative of the universe.” In fact, the opposite is true. An appropriate random, statistical sample may include some kindergarten and special education students because those students are part of the truancy population. The district’s response provides no evidence showing that the audit sample included a disproportionate number of kindergarten or special education students compared to the truancy population.

Number of Absences Required for the Initial Notification

The district does not distinguish between its statutory responsibility and mandate-related reimbursable costs. Reimbursable costs are limited to allowable costs identified in the mandated program’s parameters and

¹ Merriam-Webster Collegiate Dictionary, Tenth Edition, 2001.

guidelines. For the audit period (excluding FY 2006-07), the parameters and guidelines state that an initial truancy occurs when a student is absent from school without a valid excuse *more* than three days or is tardy in excess of 30 minutes on each of *more* than three days in one school year.

Pursuant to Government Code section 17550 et al., school districts are responsible for identifying state-mandated costs and filing test claims for reimbursement of those costs. This district, and all other California school districts, failed to file a test claim in response to Chapter 1023, Statutes of 1994. This legislation amended Education Code section 48260 and renumbered it to Education Code section 48260, subdivision (a), revising the definition of initial truancy.

Age of Student

The district does not distinguish between its statutory responsibility to enroll students versus its responsibility to issue initial truancy notification letters. Although the district might be obligated to enroll students younger than age 6 or older than age 17, those students are not subject to compulsory attendance requirements. Therefore, for initial truancy notification purposes, it is irrelevant whether students are absent when they are younger than age 6 or older than age 17.

FINDING 3— Noncompliant initial truancy notifications

The district claimed unallowable costs totaling \$28,303. The costs are unallowable because the district distributed initial truancy notifications in FY 2006-07 that did not contain all eight items required by the parameters and guidelines.

Effective July 1, 2006, the parameters and guidelines require that districts distribute initial truancy notification forms that notify parents/guardians of the following eight items:

1. The pupil is truant.
2. The parent or guardian is obligated to compel the attendance of the pupil at school.
3. Parents or guardians who fail to meet this obligation may be guilty of an infraction and subject to prosecution pursuant Article 6 (commencing with section 48290) of Chapter 2 of Part 27 of the Education Code.
4. Alternative educational programs are available in the district.
5. The parent or guardian has the right to meet with appropriate school personnel to discuss solutions to the pupil's truancy.
6. The pupil may be subject to prosecution under Education Code section 48264.

7. The pupil may be subject to suspension, restriction, or delay of the pupil's driving privileges pursuant to Vehicle Code section 13202.7.
8. It is recommended that the parent or guardian accompany the pupil to school and attend classes with the pupil for one day.

The district distributed initial truancy notifications that did not include the sixth item listed above. Therefore, 1/8 (12.5%) of the unit cost allowance for each notification is unallowable.

The following table summarizes the audit adjustment:

	Fiscal Year <u>2006-07</u>
Number of noncompliant initial truancy notifications	14,020
Uniform cost allowance	× \$16.15
Subtotal	<u>226,423</u>
Unallowable percentage	× (12.5)%
Audit adjustment	<u>\$ (28,303)</u>

Recommendation

We recommend that the district revise its initial truancy notifications to comply with the minimum requirements specified in the parameters and guidelines.

District's Response

The draft audit report states in the "Background" section, on page 1, that the Commission amended the parameters and guidelines on January 31, 2008. Therefore, the District could not have been on notice of the retroactive effect to FY 2006-07 until the amended parameters and guidelines were adopted and included in the next update of the claiming instructions for this program, which was after FY 2006-07.

Notwithstanding, the District initial notification of truancy more than substantially complies with Education Code Section 48260.5. The notice provides a summary of the code section, but does not specifically cite Section 48264. Section 48264, which states that truants are subject to arrest, has been state law in some form since 1903. It permits discretionary *noncriminal* custody arrests during school hours of students away from home and not in school. A Section 48264 detention does not depend on the occurrence or documentation of either three or four or more absences or tardies and thus a Section 48260.5 notice is not a condition precedent to the enforcement of Section 48264. The student is subject to this penalty at any time, before and after the Section 48260.5 notice, so the lack of citation of Section 48264 in the initial notification of truancy is substantively insignificant.

The adjustment should be rescinded as unnecessary and punitive.

SCO's Comment

Subsequent to our final audit report issued February 5, 2010, we revised Finding 3 to allow a prorated amount of the unit cost allowance for noncompliant initial truancy notifications. Our recommendation is unchanged.

The district asserts that it was not "on notice" of the retroactive effect to FY 2006-07, as the program's parameters and guidelines were amended on January 31, 2008. We disagree. Chapter 1023, Statutes of 1994, required the district to notify parents/guardians of the eight specific items noted in this audit finding. Therefore, the district has been "on notice" of its statutory obligation since that time. The recent amendment to the parameters and guidelines simply aligns these guidelines with the Education Code for mandate-reimbursement purposes.

The district agrees that its FY 2006-07 initial truancy notification is missing a required element, as it does not state "the pupil may be subject to prosecution under Education Code section 48264." Nevertheless, the district believes it should be reimbursed because its notification "more than substantially complies with Education Code Section 48260.5." In addition, the district believes that our reference to Education Code section 48264 is "substantively insignificant" because enforcement under the section is not dependent on the number of unexcused absences that the pupil accumulates. We disagree on both counts. The parameters and guidelines do not provide reimbursement for "substantial compliance." In addition, the matter of when a district may enforce the provisions of Education Code section 48264 is irrelevant. The parameters and guidelines require that initial truancy notifications include the information provided in Education Code section 48260.5, subdivision (f). The district's notifications did not include the required information; therefore, only a prorated portion of the unit cost allowance is allowable.

**OTHER ISSUE—
Public records
request**

District's Response

The District requests that the Controller provide the District any and all written instructions, memorandums, or other writings in effect and applicable during the claiming period relevant to the findings, and specifically, the Controller's legal authority to use statistical sampling to adjust claims and to disallow notices sent to students whose attendance is otherwise required by law.

Government Code section 6253, subdivision (c), requires the state agency that is the subject of the request, within ten days from receipt of a request for a copy of records, to determine whether the request, in whole or in part, seeks copies of disclosable public records in your possession and promptly notify the requesting party of that determination and the reasons therefore. Also, as required when so notifying the District, please state the estimated date and time when the records will be made available.

SCO's Comment

The SCO provided the district the requested records by separate letter dated January 26, 2010.

**Attachment—
District's Response to
Draft Audit Report**

BOARD OF EDUCATION
Mr. Lewis Vanderzyl
President
Mr. Tom Hunt
Vice President
Mrs. Gayle Cloud
Clerk
Mrs. Kathy Allave
Charles L. Beatty Ph.D.

Riverside Unified School District

ADMINISTRATION BUILDING
3360 LITHIUM STREET - P. O. BOX 2860
RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA 92516

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT
(951) 786-3133
FAX: (951) 778-5668

Richard L. Miller, Ph.D.
District Superintendent

CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

December 14, 2009

Jim L. Spano, Chief
Mandated Cost Audits Bureau
Division of Audits
State Controller's Office
P.O. Box 942850
Sacramento, CA 94250-5874

Re: Chapter 498, Statutes of 1983
Notification of Truancy
Fiscal Years: 2003-04, 2004-05, 2005-06, 2006-07
Riverside Unified School District

Dear Mr. Spano:

This letter is the response of the Riverside Unified School District to the letter from Jeffrey V. Brownfield, Chief, Division of Audits, dated November 20, 2009, and received by the District on December 1, 2009, that transmitted the draft audit report of the District's Notification of Truancy mandate reimbursement claims for the period of July 1, 2003 through June 30, 2007.

FINDING 1 - Unsupported initial truancy notifications claimed

This finding adjusts the total notifications claimed to the number of audited notifications "supported" by District documentation. The audited decrease in the number of notices is 57 less for FY 2003-04 and FY 2004-05. This District has no additional documentation available at this time to support the 57 notices. The District does not dispute this finding at this time.

FINDING 2- Non-reimbursable initial truancy notifications claimed

The draft audit report concludes that the District claimed costs for non-reimbursable initial truancy notifications in the amount of \$98,866 for FY 2003-04, FY 2004-05, and FY 2005-06. There is no adjustment in this finding for FY 2006-07 as a result of Finding 3.

Audit by sampling

The draft audit report states that this finding is based on a statistical sample of truancy notifications actually examined for the three fiscal years. A sample of 147 or 148 notifications was selected for both elementary and secondary schools each year, or a total of 886 notifications for the three years for which there are findings. Based on the claimed number of notifications for the three years (52,722), it appears the sample size is approximately 1.7 percent. The results from this review of less than two-percent of the total number of notices were extrapolated to the universe and the claims were adjusted based on the extrapolation.

The draft audit report has cited no statutory or regulatory authority to allow the Controller to reduce claimed reimbursement based on an extrapolation of a statistical sample. The Controller does not assert that the claimed costs were excessive or unreasonable, which is the only mandated cost audit standard in statute (Government Code Section 17561(d) (2)). It would, therefore, appear that the entire findings are based upon the wrong standard for review.

Aside from the legal basis for sampling, there are potential factual problems with the sample students selected. The ultimate risk for extrapolating findings from a sample is that the conclusions obtained from the sample may not be representative of the universe. That is, the errors perceived from the sample do not occur at the same rate in the universe. That is what has occurred in this audit. For example, kindergarten students present in the sample are more likely to be excluded because of the under-age issue, which makes these samples non-representative of the universe. Also, if any of the notices excluded for being under-age or over-age are for students who are special education students, these samples would also not be representative of the universe since the possibility of a special education student being under-age or over-age is greater than the entire student body.

Number of absences required for the initial notification

About one-half of the sampled notifications disallowed were deemed unallowable because the students had only three absences during the school year. Education Code Section 48260 was amended, effective January 1, 1996, to require a student to be classified as a truant after only three tardies or absences, rather than the four previously required. However, the Parameters and Guidelines were not amended until January 31, 2008 (effective July 1, 2006), to reflect the change in statute.

The Controller's auditors have chosen to enforce the definition of a truant as it was stated in the Parameters and Guidelines prior to the amendment, even though it contradicts a statute in effect during the audit period. The District properly complied with state law when it issued truancy notifications after three absences, rather than waiting for a fourth

absence as required by the Parameters and Guidelines. Therefore, the Controller's adjustment is without legal authority.

Age of student

Many of the sampled notifications were disallowed because the student was younger than six years or older than 17 years, which is outside the scope of the compulsory attendance law (Education Code Section 48200). However, the District has distinct statutory duties to enroll some children who are five years old by December 2 of the year of enrollment as well as continue to enroll special education students through age 21. To the extent that these particular circumstances occur for any of the sampled students, the disallowance is without legal authority and the sampled student is statistically not representative of the universe.

The adjustments that result from the statistical sampling should be withdrawn as factually incorrect and unsupported by law.

FINDING 3- Noncompliant initial truancy notifications

The draft audit report disallows all (\$226,423) of the FY 2006-07 notices as noncompliant with Section 48260.5 since item six (the reference to Section 48264 arrest of minors) of the eight notice elements was not included. The draft audit states that effective July 1, 2006, the parameters and guidelines require that districts distribute initial truancy notification forms with the eight notice elements.

The draft audit report states in the "Background" section, on page 1, that the Commission amended the parameters and guidelines on January 31, 2008. Therefore, the District could not have been on notice of the retroactive effect to FY 2006-07 until the amended parameters and guidelines were adopted and included in the next update of the claiming instructions for this program, which was after FY 2006-07.

Notwithstanding, the District initial notification of truancy more than substantially complies with Education Code Section 48260.5. The notice provides a summary of the code section, but does not specifically cite Section 48264. Section 48264, which states that truants are subject to arrest, has been state law in some form since 1903. It permits discretionary *noncriminal* custody arrests during school hours of students away from home and not in school. A Section 48264 detention does not depend on the occurrence or documentation of either three or four or more absences or tardies and thus a Section 48260.5 notice is not a condition precedent to the enforcement of Section 48264. The student is subject to this penalty at any time, before and after the Section 48260.5 notice, so the lack of citation of Section 48264 in the initial notification of truancy is substantively insignificant.

The adjustment should be rescinded as unnecessary and punitive.

Jim L. Spano, Chief
Mandated Cost Audits Bureau
December 14, 2009
Page 4

Public Records Request

The District requests that the Controller provide the District any and all written instructions, memorandums, or other writings in effect and applicable during the claiming period relevant to the findings, and specifically, the Controller's legal authority to use statistical sampling to adjust claims and to disallow notices sent to students whose attendance is otherwise required by law.

Government Code section 6253, subdivision (c), requires the state agency that is the subject of the request, within ten days from receipt of a request for a copy of records, to determine whether the request, in whole or in part, seeks copies of disclosable public records in your possession and promptly notify the requesting party of that determination and the reasons therefore. Also, as required when so notifying the District, please state the estimated date and time when the records will be made available.

Sincerely,



Michael H. Fine, Deputy Superintendent
Business Services & Governmental Relations

**State Controller's Office
Division of Audits
Post Office Box 942850
Sacramento, CA 94250-5874**

<http://www.sco.ca.gov>

FILING A CLAIM

RECEIVED
December 16, 2013
*Commission on
State Mandates*

1. Introduction

The law in the State of California, (GC Sections 17500 through 17617), provides for the reimbursement of costs incurred by school districts for costs mandated by the State. Costs mandated by the State means any increased costs which a school district is required to incur after July 1, 1980, as a result of any statute enacted after January 1, 1975, or any executive order implementing such statute which mandates a new program or higher level of service of an existing program.

Estimated claims that show costs to be incurred in the current fiscal year and reimbursement claims that detail the costs actually incurred for the prior fiscal year may be filed with the State Controller's Office (SCO). Claims for on-going programs are filed annually by January 15. Claims for new programs are filed within 120 days from the date claiming instructions are issued for the program. A 10 percent penalty, up to \$1,000 for continuing claims, no limit for initial claims, is assessed for late claims. The SCO may audit the records of any school district to verify the actual amount of mandated costs and may reduce any claim that is excessive or unreasonable.

When a program has been reimbursed for three or more years, the Commission on State Mandates (COSM) may approve the program for inclusion in the State Mandates Apportionment System (SMAS). For programs included in SMAS, the SCO determines the amount of each claimant's entitlement based on an average of three consecutive fiscal years of actual costs adjusted by any changes in the Implicit Price Deflator (IPD). Claimants with an established entitlement receive an annual apportionment adjusted by any changes in the IPD and, under certain circumstances, by any changes in workload. Claimants with an established entitlement do not file further claims for the program.

The SCO is authorized to make payments for costs of mandated programs from amounts appropriated by the State Budget Act, by the State Mandates Claims Fund, or by specific legislation. In the event the appropriation is insufficient to pay claims in full, claimants will receive prorated payments in proportion to the dollar amount of approved claims for the program. Balances of prorated payments will be made when supplementary funds are made available.

The instructions contained in this manual are intended to provide general guidance for filing a mandated cost claim. Since each mandate is administered separately, it is important to refer to the specific program for information relating to established policies on eligible reimbursable costs.

2. Types of Claims

There are three types of claims: Reimbursement, Estimated, and Entitlement. A claimant may file a reimbursement claim for actual mandated costs incurred in the prior fiscal year or may file an estimated claim for mandated costs to be incurred during the current fiscal year. An entitlement claim may be filed for the purpose of establishing a base year entitlement amount for mandated programs included in SMAS. A claimant who has established a base year entitlement for a program would receive an automatic annual payment which is reflective of the current costs for the program.

All claims received by the SCO will be reviewed to verify actual costs. An adjustment of the claim will be made if the amount claimed is determined to be excessive, improper, or unreasonable. The claim must be filed with sufficient documentation to support the costs claimed. The types of documentation required to substantiate a claim are identified in the instructions for the program. The certification of claim, form FAM-27, must be signed and dated by the entity's authorized officer in order for the SCO to make payment on the claim.

A. Reimbursement Claim

A reimbursement claim is defined in GC Section 17522 as any claim filed with the SCO by a local agency for reimbursement of costs incurred for which an appropriation is made for the purpose of paying the claim. The claim must include supporting documentation to substantiate the costs claimed.

Initial reimbursement claims are first-time claims for reimbursement of costs for one or more prior fiscal years of a program that was previously unfunded. Claims are due 120 days from the date of issuance of the claiming instructions for the program by the SCO. The first statute that appropriates funds for the mandated program will specify the fiscal years for which costs are eligible for reimbursement.

Annual reimbursement claims must be filed by January 15 following the fiscal year in which costs were incurred for the program. A reimbursement claim must detail the costs actually incurred in the prior fiscal year.

An actual claim for the 2003-04 fiscal year may be filed by January 15, 2005, without a late penalty. Claims filed after the deadline will be reduced by a late penalty of 10%, not to exceed \$1,000. However, initial reimbursement claims will be reduced by a late penalty of 10% with no limitation. In order for a claim to be considered properly filed, it must include any specific supporting documentation requested in the instructions. Claims filed more than one year after the deadline or without the requested supporting documentation will not be accepted.

B. Estimated Claim

An estimated claim is defined in GC Section 17522 as any claim filed with the SCO, during the fiscal year in which the mandated costs are to be incurred by the school districts and county superintendent of schools, against an appropriation made to the SCO for the purpose of paying those costs.

An estimated claim may be filed in conjunction with an initial reimbursement claim, annual reimbursement claim, or at other times for estimated costs to be incurred during the current fiscal year. Annual estimated claims are due January 15 of the fiscal year in which the costs are to be incurred. Initial estimated claims are due on the date specified in the claiming instructions. Timely filed estimated claims are paid before those filed after the deadline.

After receiving payment for an estimated claim, the claimant must file a reimbursement claim by January 15 following the fiscal year in which costs were incurred. If the claimant fails to file a reimbursement claim, monies received for the estimated claims must be returned to the State.

C. Entitlement Claim

An entitlement claim is defined in GC Section 17522 as any claim filed by a school district and county superintendent of schools with the SCO for the sole purpose of establishing or adjusting a base year entitlement for a mandated program that has been included in SMAS. An entitlement claim should not contain nonrecurring or initial start-up costs. There is no statutory deadline for the filing of entitlement claims. However, entitlement claims and supporting documents should be filed by January 15, following the third fiscal year used to develop the entitlement claim, to permit an orderly processing of claims. When the claims are approved and a base year entitlement amount is determined, the claimant will receive an apportionment reflective of the program's current year costs. School mandates included in SMAS are listed in Section 2, number 6.

Once a mandate has been included in SMAS and the claimant has established a base year entitlement, the claimant will receive automatic payments from the SCO for the mandate. The automatic apportionment is determined by adjusting the claimant's base year entitlement for

changes in the implicit price deflator of costs of goods and services to governmental agencies, as determined by the State Department of Finance. For programs approved by the COSM for inclusion in SMAS on or after January 1, 1988, the payment for each year succeeding the three year base period is adjusted according to any changes by both the deflator and average daily attendance. Annual apportionments for programs included in the system are paid on or before November 30 of each year.

A base year entitlement is determined by computing an average of the claimant's costs for any three consecutive years after the program has been approved for the SMAS process. The amount is first adjusted according to any changes in the deflator. The deflator is applied separately to each year's costs for the three years, which comprise the base year. The SCO will perform this computation for each claimant who has filed claims for three consecutive years. If a claimant has incurred costs for three consecutive years but has not filed a claim in each of those years, the claimant may file an entitlement claim, form FAM-43, to establish a base year entitlement. The form FAM-43 is included in the claiming instructions for SMAS programs. An entitlement claim does not result in the claimant being reimbursed for the costs incurred, but rather entitles the claimant to receive automatic payments from SMAS.

3. Minimum Claim Amount

GC Section 17564(a) provides that no claim shall be filed pursuant to Sections 17551 and 17561, unless such a claim exceeds one thousand dollars (\$1,000), provided that a county superintendent of schools may submit a combined claim on behalf of school districts within their county if the combined claim exceeds \$1,000, even if the individual school district's claim does not each exceed \$1,000. The county superintendent of schools shall determine if the submission of the combined claim is economically feasible and shall be responsible for disbursing the funds to each school district. These combined claims may be filed only when the county superintendent of schools is the fiscal agent for the districts. A combined claim must show the individual claim costs for each eligible district. All subsequent claims based upon the same mandate shall only be filed in the combined form unless a school district provides a written notice of its intent to file a separate claim to the county superintendent of schools and to the SCO at least 180 days prior to the deadline for filing the claim.

4. Filing Deadline for Claims

Initial reimbursement claims (first-time claims) for reimbursement of costs of a previously unfunded mandated program must be filed within 120 days from the date of issuance of the program's claiming instructions by the SCO. If the initial reimbursement claim is filed after the deadline, but within one year of the deadline, the approved claim must be reduced by a 10% penalty. A claim filed more than one year after the deadline cannot be accepted for reimbursement.

Annual reimbursement claims for costs incurred during the previous fiscal year and estimated claims for costs to be incurred during the current fiscal year must be filed with the SCO and postmarked on or before January 15. If the annual or estimated reimbursement claim is filed after the deadline, but within one year of the deadline, the approved claim must be reduced by a 10% late penalty, not to exceed \$1,000. Claims must include supporting data to show how the amount claimed was derived. Without this information, the claim cannot be accepted.

Entitlement claims do not have a filing deadline. However, entitlement claims and supporting documents should be filed by January 15 to permit an orderly processing of claims. Entitlement claims are used to establish a base year entitlement amount for calculating automatic annual payments. Entitlement does not result in the claimant being reimbursed for costs incurred, but rather entitles the claimant to receive automatic payments from SMAS.

5. Payment of Claims

In order for the SCO to authorize payment of a claim, the Certification of Claim, form FAM-27, must be properly filled out, signed, and dated by the entity's authorized officer.

Reimbursement and estimated claims are paid within 60 days of the filing deadline for the claim, or 15 days after the date the appropriation for the claim is effective, whichever is later. A claimant is entitled to receive accrued interest at the pooled money investment account rate if the payment was made more than 60 days after the claim filing deadline or the actual date of claim receipt, whichever is later. For an initial claim, interest begins to accrue when the payment is made more than 365 days after the adoption of the program's statewide cost estimate. The SCO may withhold up to 20 percent of the amount of an initial claim until the claim is audited to verify the actual amount of the mandated costs. The 20 percent withheld is not subject to accrued interest.

In the event the amount appropriated by the Legislature is insufficient to pay the approved amount in full for a program, claimants will receive a prorated payment in proportion to the amount of approved claims timely filed and on hand at the time of proration.

The SCO reports the amounts of insufficient appropriations to the State Department of Finance, the Chairperson of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, and the Chairperson of the respective committee in each house of the Legislature which considers appropriations in order to assure appropriation of these funds in the Budget Act. If these funds cannot be appropriated on a timely basis in the Budget Act, this information is transmitted to the COSM which will include these amounts in its report to assure that an appropriation sufficient to pay the claims is included in the next local government claims bill or other appropriation bills. When the supplementary funds are made available, the balance of the claims will be paid.

Unless specified in the statutes, regulations, or parameters and guidelines, the determination of allowable and unallowable costs for mandates is based on the Parameters and Guidelines adopted by the COSM. The determination of allowable reimbursable mandated costs for unfunded mandates is made by the COSM. The SCO determines allowable reimbursable costs, subject to amendment by the COSM, for mandates funded by special legislation. Unless specified, allowable costs are those direct and indirect costs, less applicable credits, considered to be eligible for reimbursement. In order for costs to be allowable and thus eligible for reimbursement, the costs must meet the following general criteria:

1. The cost is necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient administration of the mandate and not a general expense required to carry out the overall responsibilities of government.
2. The cost is allocable to a particular cost objective identified in the Parameters and Guidelines.
3. The cost is net of any applicable credits that offset or reduce expenses of items allocable to the mandate.

The SCO has identified certain costs that should not be claimed as direct program costs unless specified as reimbursable under the program's Parameters and Guidelines. These costs include, but are not limited to, subscriptions, depreciation, memberships, conferences, workshops general education, and travel costs.

6. State Mandates Apportionment System (SMAS)

Chapter 1534, Statutes of 1985, established SMAS, a method of paying certain mandated programs as apportionments. This method is utilized whenever a program has been approved for inclusion in SMAS by the COSM.

When a mandated program has been included in SMAS, the SCO will determine a base year entitlement amount for each county that has submitted reimbursement claims (or entitlement claims) for three consecutive fiscal years. A base year entitlement amount is determined by averaging the approved reimbursement claims (or entitlement claims) for 1982-83, 1983-84, and

1984-85 years or any three consecutive fiscal years thereafter. The amounts are first adjusted by any change in the Implicit Price Deflator (IPD), which is applied separately to each year's costs for the three years that comprise the base period. The base period means the three fiscal years immediately succeeding the COSM's approval.

Each school district with an established base year entitlement for the program will receive automatic annual payments from the SCO reflective of the program's current year costs. The amount of apportionment is adjusted annually for any change in the IPD. If the mandated program was included in SMAS after January 1, 1988, the annual apportionment is adjusted for any change in both the IPD and average daily attendance.

In the event a school district has incurred costs for three consecutive fiscal years but did not file a reimbursement claim in one or more of those fiscal years, the school district may file an entitlement claim for each of those missed years to establish a base year entitlement. An "entitlement claim" means any claim filed by a school district with the SCO for the sole purpose of establishing a base year entitlement. A base year entitlement shall not include any nonrecurring or initial start-up costs.

Initial apportionments are made on an individual program basis. After the initial year, all apportionments are made by November 30. The amount to be apportioned is the base year entitlement adjusted by annual changes in the IPD for the cost of goods and services to governmental agencies as determined by the State Department of Finance.

In the event the school district determines that the amount of apportionment does not accurately reflect costs incurred to comply with a mandate, the process of adjusting an established base year entitlement upon which the apportionment is based is set forth in GC Section 17615.8 and requires the approval of the COSM.

School Mandates Included in SMAS

Program Name	Chapter/Statute	Program Number
Immunization Records	Ch. 1176/77	32

Pupil Expulsion Transcripts, program #91, Chapter 1253/75 was removed from SMAS for the 2002-03 fiscal year. This program was consolidated with other mandate programs that are included in Pupil Suspension, Expulsions, and Expulsion Appeals, program #176.

7. Direct Costs

A direct cost is a cost that can be identified specifically with a particular program or activity. Each claimed reimbursable cost must be supported by documentation as described in Section 12. Costs that are typically classified as direct costs are:

(1) Employee Wages, Salaries, and Fringe Benefits

For each of the mandated activities performed, the claimant must list the names of the employees who worked on the mandate, their job classification, hours worked on the mandate, and rate of pay. The claimant may, in-lieu of reporting actual compensation and fringe benefits, use a productive hourly rate:

(a) Productive Hourly Rate Options

A school district may use one of the following methods to compute productive hourly rates:

- Actual annual productive hours for each employee
- The weighted-average annual productive hours for each job title, or
- 1,800* annual productive hours for all employees

If actual annual productive hours or weighted-average annual productive hours for each job title is chosen, the claim must include a computation of how these hours were computed.

* 1,800 annual productive hours excludes the following employee time:

- o Paid holidays
- o Vacation earned
- o Sick leave taken
- o Informal time off
- o Jury duty
- o Military leave taken.

(b) Compute a Productive Hourly Rate

1. Compute a productive hourly rate for salaried employees to include actual fringe benefit costs. The methodology for converting a salary to a productive hourly rate is to compute the employee's annual salary and fringe benefits and divide by the annual productive hours.

Table 1 Productive Hourly Rate, Annual Salary + Benefits Method

Formula:	Description:
$[(EAS + Benefits) \div APH] = PHR$	EAS = Employee's Annual Salary
	APH = Annual Productive Hours
$[(\$26,000 + \$8,099)] \div 1,800 \text{ hrs} = 18.94$	PHR = Productive Hourly Rate

- As illustrated in Table 1, if you assume an employee's compensation was \$26,000 and \$8,099 for annual salary and fringe benefits, respectively, using the "Salary + Benefits Method," the productive hourly rate would be \$18.94. To convert a biweekly salary to EAS, multiply the biweekly salary by 26. To convert a monthly salary to EAS, multiply the monthly salary by 12. Use the same methodology to convert other salary periods.
2. A claimant may also compute the productive hourly rate by using the "Percent of Salary Method."

Table 2 Productive Hourly Rate, Percent of Salary Method

Example:		
Step 1: <i>Fringe Benefits as a Percent of Salary</i>		Step 2: <i>Productive Hourly Rate</i>
Retirement	15.00 %	Formula: $[(EAS \times (1 + FBR)) \div APH] = PHR$ $[(\$26,000 \times (1.3115)) \div 1,800] = \18.94
Social Security & Medicare	7.65	
Health & Dental Insurance	5.25	
Workers Compensation	3.25	
Total	31.15 %	
Description:		
EAS = Employee's Annual Salary		APH = Annual Productive Hours
FBR = Fringe Benefit Rate		PHR = Productive Hourly Rate

- As illustrated in Table 3, both methods produce the same productive hourly rate.

Reimbursement for personnel services includes, but is not limited to, compensation paid for salaries, wages and employee fringe benefits. Employee fringe benefits include employer's contributions for social security, pension plans, insurance, workmen's compensation insurance and similar payments. These benefits are eligible for reimbursement as long as they are distributed equitably to all activities. Whether these costs are allowable is based on the following presumptions:

- The amount of compensation is reasonable for the service rendered.
- The compensation paid and benefits received are appropriately authorized by the governing board.
- Amounts charged for personnel services are based on payroll documents that are supported by time and attendance or equivalent records for individual employees.
- The methods used to distribute personnel services should produce an equitable distribution of direct and indirect allowable costs.

For each of the employees included in the claim, the claimant must use reasonable rates and hours in computing the wage cost. If a person of a higher-level job position performs an activity which normally would be performed by a lower-level position, reimbursement for time spent is allowable at the average salary range for the lower-level position. The salary rate of the person at the higher level position may be claimed if it can be shown that it was more cost effective in comparison to the performance by a person at the lower-level position under normal circumstances and conditions. The number of hours charged to an activity should reflect the time expected to complete the activity under normal circumstances and conditions. The numbers of hours in excess of normal expected hours are not reimbursable.

(c) Calculating an Average Productive Hourly Rate

In those instances where the parameters and guidelines allow a unit as a basis of claiming costs, the direct labor component of the unit cost should be expressed as an average productive hourly rate and can be determined as follows:

Table 3 Calculating an Average Productive Hourly Rate

	<u>Time Spent</u>	<u>Productive Hourly Rate</u>	<u>Total Cost by Employee</u>
Employee A	1.25 hrs	\$6.00	\$7.50
Employee B	0.75 hrs	4.50	3.38
Employee C	3.50 hrs	10.00	35.00
Total	5.50 hrs		\$45.88
Average Productive Hourly Rate is \$45.88/5.50 hrs. = \$8.34			

(d) Employer's Fringe Benefits Contribution

A school district has the option of claiming actual employer's fringe benefit contributions or may compute an average fringe benefit cost for the employee's job classification and claim it as a percentage of direct labor. The same time base should be used for both salary and fringe benefits when computing a percentage. For example, if health and dental insurance payments are made annually, use an annual salary. After the percentage of salary for each fringe benefit is computed, total them.

For example:

<u>Employer's Contribution</u>	<u>% of Salary</u>
Retirement	15.00%
Social Security	7.65%
Health and Dental	
Insurance	5.25%
Worker's Compensation	0.75%
Total	<u>28.65%</u>

(e) Materials and Supplies

Only actual expenses can be claimed for materials and supplies, which were acquired and consumed specifically for the purpose of a mandated program. The claimant must list the materials and supplies that were used to perform the mandated activity, the number of units consumed, the cost per unit, and the total dollar amount claimed. Materials and supplies purchased to perform a particular mandated activity are expected to be reasonable in quality, quantity and cost. Purchases in excess of reasonable quality, quantity and cost are not reimbursable. Materials and supplies withdrawn from inventory and charged to the mandated activity must be based on a recognized method of pricing, consistently applied. Purchases shall be claimed at the actual price after deducting discounts, rebates and allowances received by local agencies.

(f) Calculating a Unit Cost for Materials and Supplies

In those instances where the parameters and guidelines suggest that a unit cost be developed for use as a basis of claiming costs mandated by the State, the materials and supplies component of the unit cost should be expressed as a unit cost of materials and supplies as shown in Table 1 or Table 2:

Table 1 Calculating A Unit Cost for Materials and Supplies

Supplies	<u>Cost Per Unit</u>	<u>Amount of Supplies Used Per Activity</u>	<u>Unit Cost of Supplies Per Activity</u>
Paper	0.02	4	\$0.08
Files	0.10	1	0.10
Envelopes	0.03	2	0.06
Photocopies	0.10	4	<u>0.40</u>
			<u>\$0.64</u>

Table 2 Calculating a Unit Cost for Materials and Supplies

Supplies	Supplies Used	Unit Cost of Supplies Per Activity
Paper (\$10.00 for 500 sheet ream)	250 Sheets	\$5.00
Files (\$2.50 for box of 25)	10 Folders	1.00
Envelopes (\$3.00 for box of 100)	50 Envelopes	1.50
Photocopies (\$0.05 per copy)	40 Copies	<u>2.00</u>
		<u><u>\$9.50</u></u>
<p>If the number of reimbursable instances is 25, then the unit cost of supplies is \$0.38 per reimbursable instance ($\\$9.50 / 25$).</p>		

(g) Contract Services

The cost of contract services is allowable if the school district lacks the staff resources or necessary expertise, or it is economically feasible to hire a contractor to perform the mandated activity. The claimant must give the name of the contractor, explain the reason for having to hire a contractor, describe the mandated activities performed, give the dates when the activities were performed, the number of hours spent performing the mandate, the hourly billing rate, and the total cost. The hourly billing rate shall not exceed the rate specified in the parameters and guidelines for the mandated program. The contractor's invoice, or statement, which includes an itemized list of costs for activities performed, must accompany the claim.

(h) Equipment Rental Costs

Equipment purchases and leases (with an option to purchase) are not reimbursable as a direct cost unless specifically allowed by the parameters and guidelines for the particular mandate. Equipment rentals used solely for the mandate are reimbursable to the extent such costs do not exceed the retail purchase price of the equipment plus a finance charge. The claimant must explain the purpose and use for the equipment, the time period for which the equipment was rented and the total cost of the rental. If the equipment is used for purposes other than reimbursable activities, only the prorata portion of the rental costs can be claimed.

(i) Capital Outlay

Capital outlays for land, buildings, equipment, furniture and fixtures may be claimed if the parameters and guidelines specify them as allowable. If they are allowable, the parameters and guidelines for the program will specify a basis for the reimbursement. If the fixed asset or equipment is also used for purposes other than reimbursable activities for a specific mandate, only the prorata portion of the purchase price used to implement the reimbursable activities can be claimed.

(j) Travel Expenses

Travel expenses are normally reimbursable in accordance with travel rules and regulations of the local jurisdiction. For some programs, however, the parameters and guidelines may specify certain limitations on expenses, or that expenses can only be reimbursed in accordance with the State Board of Control travel standards. When claiming travel expenses, the claimant must explain the purpose of the trip, identify the name and address of the persons incurring the expense, the date and time of departure

and return for the trip, description of each expense claimed, the cost of transportation, number of private auto miles traveled, and the cost of tolls and parking with receipts required for charges over \$10.00.

(k) Documentation

It is the responsibility of the claimant to make available to the SCO, upon request, documentation in the form of general and subsidiary ledgers, purchase orders, invoices, contracts, canceled warrants, equipment usage records, land deeds, receipts, employee time sheets, agency travel guidelines, inventory records, and other relevant documents to support claimed costs. The type of documentation necessary for each claim may differ with the type of mandate.

8. Indirect Costs

Indirect costs are: (a) Incurred for a common or joint purpose benefiting more than one cost objective, and (b) not readily assignable to the cost objectives specifically benefited, without effort disproportionate to the results achieved. Indirect costs can originate in the department performing the mandate or in departments that supply the department performing the mandate with goods, services and facilities. As noted previously, in order for a cost to be allowable, it must be allocable to a particular cost objective. With respect to indirect costs, this requires that the cost be distributed to benefiting cost objectives on bases, which produce an equitable result in relation to the benefits derived by the mandate.

School districts and county superintendents of schools may use the indirect cost rates approved by the California Department of Education based on J-380/580/780/SACS Expenditure Data applicable to the fiscal year of the claim.

The amount of indirect costs the claimant is eligible to claim is computed by multiplying the rate by direct costs. When applying the rate, multiply the rate by direct costs not included in total support services EDP No. 422 of the J-380 or J-580. When applying the rate, multiply the rate by mandated direct costs not included in either (1) total support services, EDP No. 422 of the J-380 or J-580, or (2) indirect costs on Form ICR of the California Department of Education's SACS Financial Reporting Software. If there are any exceptions to this general rule for applying the indirect cost rate, they will be found in the individual mandate instructions.

9. Time Study Guidelines

Background

For costs incurred on or after January 1, 2005, a reasonable reimbursement methodology can be used as a "formula for reimbursing local agency and school district costs mandated by the state" that meets certain conditions specified in GC Section 17518.5(a). For costs incurred prior to January 1, 2005, time study can only substitute for continuous records of actual time spent for a specific fiscal year if the program's Parameters and Guidelines (Ps & Gs) allows for the use of time studies.

Two methods are acceptable for documenting employee time charged to mandated cost programs: Actual Time Reporting and Time Study, which are described below. Application of time study results is restricted. As explained in Time Study Results below, the results may be projected forward a maximum of two years provided the claimant meets certain criteria.

Actual Time Reporting

Parameters and Guidelines define reimbursable activities for each mandated cost program. (Some Ps & Gs refer to reimbursable activities as reimbursable components.) When employees work on

multiple activities and/or programs, a distribution of their salaries or wages must be supported by personnel activity reports or equivalent documentation that meets the following standards (which clarify documentation requirements discussed under the Reimbursable Activities section of recent Ps & Gs):

- They must reflect an after-the-fact (contemporaneous) distribution of the actual activity of each employee;
- They must account for the total activity for which each employee is compensated;
- They must be prepared at least monthly and must coincide with one or more pay periods; and
- They must be signed by the employee.

Budget estimates or other distribution percentages determined before services are performed do not qualify as support for time distribution.

Time Study

In certain cases, a time study may be used to substitute for continuous records of actual time spent on multiple activities and/or programs. An effective time study requires that an activity be a task that is repetitive in nature. Activities that require a varying level of effort are not appropriate for time studies.

Time Study Plan

A time study plan is necessary before conducting the time study. The claimant must retain the time study plan for audit purposes. The plan needs to identify the following:

- Time period(s) to be studied – The plan must show that all time periods selected are representative of the fiscal year, and that the results can be reasonably projected to approximate actual costs.
- Activities and/or programs to be studied – For each mandated program included, the time study must separately identify each reimbursable activity defined in the mandated program's Ps & Gs, which are derived from the program's Statement of Decision. If a reimbursable activity in the Ps & Gs identifies separate and distinct subactivities, these subactivities must also be treated as individual activities.

For example, subactivities (a), (b), and (c) under reimbursable activity (B)(1) of the local agency's Domestic Violence Treatment Services: Authorization and Case Management program relate to information to be discussed during victim notification by the probation department and therefore are not separate and distinct activities. These subactivities do not have to be separately studied.

- Process used to accomplish each reimbursable activity – Use flowcharts or similar analytical tools and/or written desk procedures to describe the process for each activity.
- Employee universe – The employee universe used in the time study must include all positions whose salaries and wages are to be allocated by means of the time study.
- Employee sample selection methodology – The plan must show that employees selected are representative of the employee universe, and the results can be reasonably projected to approximate actual costs. In addition, the employee sample size should be proportional to the variation in time spent to perform a task. The sample size should be larger for tasks with significant time variations.

- Time increments to be recorded – The time increments used should be sufficient to recognize the number of different activities performed and the dynamics of these responsibilities. Very large increments (such as one hour or more) might be used for employees performing only a few functions that change very slowly over time. Very small increments (a number of minutes) may be needed for employees performing more short-term tasks.

Random moment sampling is not an acceptable alternative to continuous time records for mandated cost claims. Random moment sampling techniques are most applicable in situations where employees perform many different types of activities on a variety of programs with small time increments throughout the fiscal year.

Time Study Documentation

Time studies must:

- Be supported by time records that are completed contemporaneously;
- Report activity on a daily basis;
- Be sufficiently detailed to reflect all mandated activities and/or programs performed during a specific time period; and
- Coincide with one or more pay periods.

Time records must be signed by the employee (electronic signatures are acceptable) and be supported by corroborating evidence which validates that the work was actually performed. As with actual time reporting, budget estimates or other distribution percentages determined before services are performed do not qualify as valid time studies.

Time Study Results

Time study results must be summarized to show how the time study supports the costs claimed for each activity. Any variations from the procedures identified in the original time study plan must be documented and explained.

Current-year costs must be used to prepare a time study. Claimants may project time study results to no more than two subsequent fiscal years. A claimant may not apply time study results retroactively.

- Annual Reimbursement Claims – Claimants may use time studies to support costs incurred on or after January 1, 2005. Claimants may not use time studies for the period July 1, 2004, through December 31, 2004, unless (1) the program's Ps & Gs specifically allow time studies, and (2) the time study is prepared based on mandated activity occurring between July 1, 2004, and December 31, 2004.
- Initial Claims –When filing an initial claim for new mandated programs, claimants may only use time study results for costs incurred on or after January 1, 2005. Claimants may not use time studies to support costs incurred before January 1, 2005, unless (1) the program's Ps & Gs specifically allow time studies, and (2) the claimant prepares separate time studies for each fiscal year preceding January 1, 2005, based on mandated activity occurring during those years.

When projecting time study results, the claimant must certify that there have been no significant changes between years in either (1) the requirements of each mandated program activity or (2) the processes and procedures used to accomplish the activity. For all years, the claimant must maintain corroborating evidence that validates the mandated activity was actually performed. Time study results used to support subsequent years' claims are subject to the recordkeeping requirements for those claims.

10. Offset Against State Mandated Claims

As noted previously, allowable costs are defined as those direct and indirect costs, less applicable credits, considered to be eligible for reimbursement. When all or part of the costs of a mandated program are specifically reimbursable from local assistance revenue sources (e.g., state, federal, foundation, etc.), only that portion of any increased costs payable from school district funds is eligible for reimbursement under the provisions of GC Section 17561.

Example 1:

As illustrated in Table 5, this example shows how the "Offset against State Mandated Claims" is determined for school districts receiving block grant revenues not based on a formula allocation. Program costs for each of the situations equals \$100,000.

Table 5 Offset Against State Mandates, Example 1

	Program Costs	Actual Local Assistance Revenues	State Mandated Costs	Offset Against State Mandated Claims	Claimable Mandated Costs
1.	\$100,000	\$95,000	\$2,500	\$-0-	\$2,500
2.	100,000	97,000	2,500	-0-	2,500
3.	100,000	98,000	2,500	500	2,000
4.	100,000	100,000	2,500	2,500	-0-
5.	100,000 *	50,000	2,500	1,250	1,250
6.	100,000 *	49,000	2,500	250	2,250

* School district share is \$50,000 of the program cost.

Numbers (1) through (4), in Table 5, show intended funding at 100% from local assistance revenue sources. Numbers (5) and (6) show cost sharing on a 50/50 basis with the district. In numbers (1) through (6), included in the program costs of \$100,000 are state mandated costs of \$2,500. The offset against state mandated claims is the amount of actual local assistance revenues which exceeds the difference between program costs and state mandated costs. This offset cannot exceed the amount of state mandated costs.

In (1), local assistance revenues were less than expected. Local assistance funding was not in excess of the difference between program costs and state mandated costs. As a result, the offset against state mandated claims is zero and \$2,500 is claimable as mandated costs.

In (4), local assistance revenues were fully realized to cover the entire cost of the program, including the state mandate activity; therefore, the offset against state mandated claims is \$2,500, and claimable costs are \$0.

In (5), the district is sharing 50% of the project cost. Since local assistance revenues of \$50,000 were fully realized, the offset against state mandated claims is \$1,250.

In (6), local assistance revenues were less than the amount expended and the offset against state mandated claims is \$250. Therefore, the claimable mandated costs are \$2,250.

Example 2:

As illustrated in Table 6, this example shows how the offset against state mandated claims is determined for school districts receiving special project funds based on approved actual costs. Local assistance revenues for special projects must be applied proportionately to approved costs.

Table 6 Offset Against State Mandates, Example 2

	Program Costs	Actual Local Assistance Revenues	State Mandated Costs	Offset Against State Mandated Claims	Claimable Mandated Costs
1.	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$-0-
2.	100,000 **	75,000	2,500	1,875	625
3.	100,000 **	45,000	1,500	1,125	375

** School district share is \$25,000 of the program cost.

In (2), the entire program cost was approved. Since the local assistance revenue source covers 75% of the program cost, it also proportionately covered 75% of the \$2,500 state mandated costs, or \$1,875.

If in (3) local assistance revenues are less than the amount expected because only \$60,000 of the \$100,000 program costs were determined to be valid by the contracting agency, then a proportionate share of state mandated costs is likewise reduced to \$1,500. The offset against state mandated claims is \$1,125. Therefore, the claimable mandated costs are \$375.

Federal and State Funding Sources

State school fund apportionments and federal aid for education, which are based on average daily attendance and are part of the general system of financing public schools as well as block grants which do not provide for specific reimbursement of costs (i.e., allocation formulas not tied to expenditures), should not be included as reimbursements from local assistance revenue sources.

Governing Authority

The costs of salaries and expenses of the governing authority, such as the school superintendent and governing board, are not reimbursable. These are costs of general government as described in the Office of Management and Budget Circular A-87, "Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments".

11. Notice of Claim Adjustment

All claims submitted to the SCO are reviewed to determine if the claim was prepared in accordance with the claiming instructions. If any adjustments are made to a claim, the claimant will receive a "Notice of Claim Adjustments" detailing adjustments made by the SCO.

12. Audit of Costs

All claims submitted to the State Controller's Office (SCO) are reviewed to determine if costs are related to the mandate, are reasonable and not excessive, and the claim was prepared in accordance with the SCO's claiming instructions and the Ps & Gs adopted by the COSM. If any adjustments are made to a claim, a "Notice of Claim Adjustment" specifying the claim component adjusted, the amount adjusted, and the reason for the adjustment will be mailed within 30 days after payment of the claim.

Pursuant to GC Section 17558.5, subdivision (a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a local agency or school district pursuant to this chapter is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to

initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. In any case, an audit shall be completed no later than two years after the date that the audit is commenced. All documents used to support the reimbursable activities must be retained during the period subject to audit. If an audit has been initiated by the Controller during the period subject to audit, the retention period is extended until the ultimate resolution of any audit findings.

On-site audits will be conducted by the SCO as deemed necessary. Accordingly, all documentation to support actual costs claimed must be retained for a period of three years after the end of the calendar year in which the reimbursement claim was filed or amended regardless of the year of costs incurred. When no funds are appropriated for initial claims at the time the claim is filed, supporting documents must be retained for three years from the date of initial payment of the claim. Claim documentation shall be made available to the SCO on request.

13. Source Documents

To be eligible for mandated cost reimbursement for any fiscal year, only actual costs may be claimed. Actual costs are those costs actually incurred to implement the mandated activities. Actual costs must be traceable and supported by source documents that show the validity of such costs, when they were incurred, and their relationship to the reimbursable activities. A source document is a document created at or near the same time the actual cost was incurred for the event or activity in question. Source documents may include, but are not limited to, employee time records or time logs, sign-in sheets, invoices, and receipts.

Evidence corroborating the source documents may include, but is not limited to, worksheets, cost allocation reports (system generated), purchase orders, contracts, agendas, training packets, and declarations. Declarations must include a certification or declaration stating, "I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct based upon personal knowledge." Evidence corroborating the source documents may include data relevant to the reimbursable activities otherwise in compliance with local, state, and federal government requirements. However, corroborating documents cannot be substituted for source documents.

For costs incurred on or after January 1, 2005, a reasonable reimbursement methodology can be used as a "formula for reimbursing local agency and school district costs mandated by the state" that meets certain conditions specified in 17518.5(a). For costs incurred prior to January 1, 2005, time study can substitute for continuous records of actual time spent for a specific fiscal year only if the program's Ps & Gs allow for the use of time studies.

14. Claim Forms and Instructions

A claimant may submit a computer generated report in substitution for Form-1 and Form-2, provided the format of the report and data fields contained within the report are identical to the claim forms included with these instructions. The claim forms provided with these instructions should be duplicated and used by the claimant to file an estimated or reimbursement claim. The SCO will revise the manual and claim forms as necessary.

A. Form-2, Component/Activity Cost Detail

This form is used to segregate the detail costs by claim component. In some mandates, specific reimbursable activities have been identified for each component. The expenses reported on this form must be supported by the official financial records of the claimant and copies of supporting documentation, as specified in the claiming instructions, must be submitted with the claims. All supporting documents must be retained for a period of not less than three years after the reimbursement claim was filed or last amended.

B. Form-1, Claim Summary

This form is used to summarize direct costs by component and compute allowable indirect costs for the mandate. The direct costs summarized on this form are derived from Form-2 and are carried forward to form FAM-27.

School districts and county superintendents of schools may compute the amount of indirect costs using the indirect cost rates approved by the California Department of Education based on J-380/580/780/SACS Expenditure Data applicable to the fiscal year of the claim.

C. Form FAM-27, Claim for Payment

This form contains a certification that must be signed by an authorized officer of the school district. All applicable information from Form-1 must be carried forward onto this form in order for the SCO to process the claim for payment. An original and one copy of the FAM-27 is required.

Claims should be rounded to the nearest dollar. Submit a signed original and one copy of form FAM-27, Claim for Payment, and all other forms and supporting documents (**To expedite the payment process, please sign the form FAM-27 with blue ink, and attach a copy of the form FAM-27 to the top of the claim package.**) Use the following mailing addresses:

If delivered by
U.S. Postal Service:

Office of the State Controller
Attn: Local Reimbursements Section
Division of Accounting and Reporting
P.O. Box 942850
Sacramento, CA 94250

If delivered by
Other delivery services:

Office of the State Controller
Attn: Local Reimbursements Section
Division of Accounting and Reporting
3301 C Street, Suite 500
Sacramento, CA 95816

15. Retention of Claiming Instructions

For your convenience, the revised claiming instructions in this package have been arranged in alphabetical order by program name. These revisions should be inserted in the School Mandated Cost Manual and the old forms they replace should be removed. The instructions should then be retained permanently for future reference, and the forms should be duplicated to meet your filing requirements. Annually, updated forms and any other information or instructions claimants may need to file claims, as well as instructions and forms for all new programs released throughout the year will be placed on the SCO's web site at www.sco.ca.gov/ard/local/locreim/index.shtml.

If you have any questions concerning mandated cost reimbursements, please write to us at the address listed for filing claims, or send e-mail to lrsdar@sco.ca.gov, or call the Local Reimbursements Section at (916) 324-5729.

16. Retention of Claim Records and Supporting Documentation

All claims submitted to the SCO are reviewed to determine if costs are related to the mandate, are reasonable and not excessive, and that the claim was prepared in accordance with the SCO's claiming instructions and the COSM's P's and G's. If any adjustments are made to a claim, a "Notice of Claim Adjustments" specifying the claim component adjusted, the amount adjusted, and the reason for the adjustment, will be mailed within 30 days after payment of the claim.

On-site audits will be conducted by the SCO as deemed necessary. Pursuant to GC Section 17558.5, subdivision (a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a school district is subject to audit by the SCO no later than three years after the date the actual reimbursement claim was

filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds were appropriated or no payment was made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim was filed, the time for the SCO to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. Therefore, all documentation to support actual costs claimed must be retained for the same period, and shall be made available to the SCO on request.

OFFICE OF THE STATE CONTROLLER

STATE MANDATED COSTS CLAIMING INSTRUCTIONS NO. 2004-12

ANNUAL REVISIONS - SCHOOL DISTRICTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

Government Code Section (GC §) 17561 provides for the reimbursement of state mandated costs. Enclosed is information for updating the Mandated Cost Manual for Schools. The manual contains all forms and instructions that are necessary for school districts to file 2004-05 fiscal year annual claims with the State Controller's Office (SCO).

Estimated claims for costs to be incurred during the 2004-05 fiscal year and reimbursement claims detailing the costs actually incurred in the 2003-04 fiscal year must be filed with the SCO. **Claims must be delivered or postmarked on or before January 15, 2005.** If the reimbursement claim is filed after the deadline, but by January 15, 2006, the approved claim will be reduced by a late penalty of 10% for initially filed claims and for continuing programs, the late fee is 10% not to exceed \$1,000. In order for a claim to be considered properly filed, the claim must include supporting documentation as specified in the instructions to substantiate the costs claimed. In addition, the claimant must explain the functions performed by each employee for whom costs were claimed. Claims will not be accepted if filed more than one year after the deadline or without supporting documentation.

Amounts appropriated for payment of program costs are shown beginning on page 5 under "Appropriations for the State Mandated Cost Programs for the 2004-05 Fiscal Year." The fiscal years for which costs can be claimed for a mandated cost program are shown beginning on page 8 under "Reimbursable State Mandated Costs Programs." To prepare 2004-05 estimated claims and 2003-04 reimbursement claims, forms in the manual should be duplicated to meet the district's filing requirements. Claim amounts should be rounded to the nearest dollar.

Claims should be rounded to the nearest dollar. Submit a signed original and a copy of form FAM-27, Claim for Payment, and all other forms and supporting documents. **(To expedite the payment process, please sign the form in blue ink, and attach a copy of the form FAM-27 to the top of the claim package.)** Use the following mailing addresses:

If delivered by
U.S. Postal Service:

Office of the State Controller
Attn: Local Reimbursements Section
Division of Accounting and Reporting
P.O. Box 942850
Sacramento, CA 94250

If delivered by
Other delivery services:

Office of the State Controller
Attn: Local Reimbursements Section
Division of Accounting and Reporting
3301 C Street, Suite 500
Sacramento, CA 95816

MINIMUM CLAIM COST

GC Section 17564(a) provides that no claim shall be filed pursuant to Sections 17551 and 17561, unless such a claim exceeds one thousand dollars (\$1,000), provided that a county superintendent of schools may submit a combined claim on behalf of school districts within their county if the combined claim exceeds \$1,000, even if the individual school district's claim does not each exceed \$1,000. The county superintendent of schools shall determine if the submission of the combined claim is economically feasible and shall be responsible for disbursing the funds to each school district. Combined claims may be filed only when the county superintendent of schools is the fiscal agent for the school districts. A combined claim must show the individual claim costs for each eligible school district. All subsequent claims based upon the same mandate shall only be filed in the combined form unless a school district provides a written notice of its intent to file a separate claim to the county superintendent of schools and to the SCO at least 180 days prior to the deadline for filing the claim.

ESTIMATED CLAIMS

Unless otherwise specified in the claiming instructions, claimants do not have to provide cost schedules and supporting documents with the estimated claim if the estimated amount does not exceed the prior fiscal year's actual costs by more than 10%. The claimant can simply enter the estimated amount on form FAM-27, line (07). However, if the estimated claim exceeds the prior fiscal year's actual costs by more than 10%, the claimant must complete claim forms as specified in the claiming instructions for the program and explain the reason for the increased costs. If the explanation to support the higher estimate is not provided, the claim will automatically be adjusted to 110% of the prior fiscal year's actual costs.

PROGRAM UPDATES FOR 2003-04 FISCAL YEAR

Ch. 486/75, Mandate Reimbursement Process

Ch. 486/75, Mandate Reimbursement Process, provides reimbursement for the cost of: (1) preparing and presenting successful test claims, and (2) preparing and submitting successful reimbursement claims to the SCO. With respect to preparing and submitting claims to the SCO, the 2003 State Budget Act (Chapter 157, Statutes of 2003) imposed in the 2003-04 fiscal year the same limitations as those imposed since the 1995-96 fiscal year. Claiming instructions and forms for Chapter 486/75, Mandate Reimbursement Process, were issued separately and are not included in this revision. Limitations on reimbursement for independent contractor costs are as follows:

“If a school district contracts with an independent contractor for the preparation and submission of reimbursement claims, the costs reimbursable by the state for that purpose shall not exceed the lesser of (1) 10 percent of the amount of the claims prepared and submitted by the independent contractor, or (2) the actual costs that would necessarily have been incurred for that purpose if performed by employees of the school district.

The maximum amount of reimbursement provided (in the above provision) may be exceeded only if the school district establishes, by appropriate documentation, that the preparation and submission of these claims could not have been accomplished without incurring the additional costs claimed by the school district”.

Updates of Rates and Factors

The following rates are to be used for filing 2003-04 reimbursement claims. These rates are computed by adjusting the 2002-03 rates by changes in the Implicit Price Deflator (IPD) as determined by the State Department of Finance's Report of August 2004, National Deflators, State and Local Purchases. The estimated change in the IPD for 2003-04 is 2.5%. For preparing the 2004-05 estimated claims, districts may use the program's 2003-04 rate or increase the 2003-04 rate by the estimated 2004-05 IPD change of 2.1% to determine 2004-05 estimated claim amounts. In the subsequent fiscal year, the estimated amount must be adjusted to actual cost.

- *Ch. 448/75, Annual Parent Notification III (Program No. 221)*

The 2003-04 unit rate is \$0.0667 per page of printed notification material distributed to parents and guardians, and \$0.2653 per notice.

- *Ch. 961/75, Collective Bargaining (Program No. 11)*

The 2003-04 GNP Deflator factor for adjusting the 1974-75 Winton Act cost is 3.411.

- *Ch. 498/83, Graduation Requirements (Program No. 26)*

The 2003-04 maximum reimbursement hourly rate for contract services is \$116.81. Staffing cost reimbursement is limited to salary and other remuneration differentials, if any, of a science teacher, and the cost of lab assistants or special training aids required by a science class. The addition of science classes should have resulted in offsetting savings due to a corresponding reduction of non-science classes.

- *Ch. 1177/76, Immunization Records (Program No. 32)*

The 2003-04 unit rate is \$5.40 per new entrant (K-12). A new entrant does not include a student previously enrolled in a school within the State of California.

Payment of the cost of immunization records for 1992-93 and subsequent fiscal years are made pursuant to the State Mandates Apportionment System (SMAS) to those school districts with an established base year entitlement. An entitlement amount is determined by the SCO by averaging the district's actual costs (from reimbursement claims filed) for 1989-90, 1990-91, and 1991-92, or any three consecutive fiscal years thereafter, adjusted by changes in the IPD. The amount of apportionment the district receives for 1992-93 and subsequent fiscal years is the base year entitlement amount adjusted by annual changes in IPD and workload. "Workload" means change in the district's average daily attendance from the previous fiscal year.

Once the district has filed actual costs for 1989-90, through 1991-92, or any three consecutive fiscal years thereafter, no further filing of claims is necessary. The claimant will automatically receive an annual payment by November 30 of each fiscal year. A district without an established entitlement amount must continue to file reimbursement claims until three consecutive fiscal years of costs are available to compute a base year cost.

- *Ch. 325/78, Immunization Records: Hepatitis B (Program No. 230)*

The 2003-04 unit rate is \$6.78 per new entrant (K-12). A new entrant does not include a student previously enrolled in a school within the State of California. And \$3.55 per student in the seventh grade.

- *Ch. 1423/84, Juvenile Court Notices II (Program No. 155)*

The 2003-04 unit rates for the number of notices received from the juvenile court system and distributed to school district personnel is \$38.17 per notice received, and the number of written requests received from parents or guardian to review the record to ensure the record has been destroyed is \$27.14 per letter received.

- *Ch. 498/83, Notification of Truancy (Program No. 48)*

The 2003-04 unit cost reimbursement is \$13.66 per initial truancy notification. The unit cost covers all costs (direct and indirect), including, but not limited to, identifying the truant pupil, preparing and distributing by mail or other methods of notification to parents/guardians, and associated record keeping.

- *Ch. 641/86, Open Meetings Act/Brown Act Reform II (Program No. 218)*

The 2003-04 uniform cost allowance is \$119.28 per meeting. This uniform allowance covers all of the direct and indirect costs incurred in compliance with this mandate.

- *Ch. 668/78, Pupil Exclusions (Program No. 165)*

The 2003-04 unit cost reimbursement is \$0.1919 per page for the cost of including specific information in the notice of pupil exclusion to the parents or guardian. The unit cost rate covers all costs (direct and indirect) of performing activities required by subparagraph (2), (3), and (4), of Education Code Section 48213.

- *Ch. 1347/80, Scoliosis Screening (Program No. 58)*

The 2003-04 unit cost rate is \$6.48 per student screened. This rate covers all costs (direct and indirect), incurred including activities for, but not limited to, parent notification, screening, re-screening, referral and follow-up, record keeping, and administration of the program.

FINAL FILING DEADLINE FOR 2003-04 FISCAL YEAR CLAIMS

The final filing deadline for 2003-04 reimbursement claims is **January 15, 2005**. A late penalty of 10% of the approved claim will be applied to 2003-04 claims filed after January 15, 2005. **Claims filed after January 15, 2006, will not be accepted.**

APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE 2004-05 FISCAL YEAR

Source of State Mandated Cost Appropriations - 2004 State Budget Act (Chapter 208/04)

Schedule	Program	Amount Appropriated
Item 6110-295-0001		
(1) Chapter 36/77	Annual Parent Notification III	\$1,000
(2) Chapter 98/94	Caregiver Affidavits	1,000
(3) Chapter 161/93	Intradistrict Attendance	1,000
(4) Chapter 486/75	Mandate Reimbursement Process	1,000
(5) Chapter 498/83	Graduation Requirements	1,000
(6) Chapter 498/83	Notification of Truancy	1,000
(7) Chapter 498/83	Pupil Suspensions, Expulsions/Expulsion Appeals	1,000
(8) Chapter 641/86	Open Meetings Act	1,000
(9) Chapter 668/78	Pupil Exclusions	1,000
(10) Chapter 781/92	Charter Schools	1,000
(11) Chapter 783/95	Investment Reports	0 ²
(12) Chapter 799/80	PERS Death Benefits	1,000 ¹
(13) Chapter 818/91	AIDS Prevention Instruction	1,000
(14) Chapter 961/75	Collective Bargaining	1,000
(15) Chapter 965/77	Pupil Classroom Suspension: Counseling	1,000
(16) Chapter 1208/76	Pupil Health Screenings	1,000
(17) Chapter 975/95	Physical Performance Tests	1,000
(18) Chapter 1011/84	Juvenile Court Notices II (Ch. 1423, Stats. 1984)	1,000
(19) Chapter 1107/84	Removal of Chemicals	1,000
(20) Chapter 1117/89	Law Enforcement Agency Notifications	1,000
(21) Chapter 1176/77	Immunization Records	1,000
(22) Chapter 1184/75	Habitual Truants	1,000
(23) Chapter 1253/75	Expulsion Transcripts	1,000
(24) Chapter 1284/88	Pupil Suspensions: Parents Classroom Visits	1,000
(25) Chapter 1306/89	Notification to Teachers of Public Expulsion	1,000
(26) Chapter 1347/80	Scoliosis Screening	1,000
(27) Chapter 1398/74	PERS Unused Sick Leave Credit	1,000 ¹
(28) Chapter 1463/89	School Accountability Report Cards	1,000
(29) Chapter 1659/84	Emergency Procedures	1,000
(30) Chapter 778/96	American Government Course Documents Requirements	1,000
(31) Chapter 309/95	Pupil Residency Verification and Appeals	1,000
(32) Chapter 588/97	Criminal Background Checks	1,000
(33) Chapter 759/92	School Crimes Reporting II (Ch. 410, Stats. 1995)	0 ²

¹ Funds appropriated in nos. 12 and 27 are for transfer to the Public Employees' Retirement System for reimbursement of cost incurred pursuant to 799/80 or 1398/74.

² These programs are suspended for the 2004-05 fiscal year's budget per Chapter 208/04, Item 6110-295-0001.

APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE 2004-05 FISCAL YEAR

Source of State Mandated Cost Appropriations-2004 State Budget Act (Chapter 208/04) (con't.)

Schedule	Program	Amount Appropriated
Item 6110-295-0001		
(34) Chapter 624/92	School Bus Safety I and II (Ch. 831, Stats. 1994; Ch. 739, Stats. 1997)	0 ²
(35) Chapter 465/76	Peace Officers Procedural Bill of Rights	1,000
(36) Chapter 36/77	Financial and Compliance Audits	1,000
(37) Chapter 640/97	Physical Education Reports	1,000
(38) Chapter 1120/96	Health Benefits for Survivors of Peace Officers and Firefighters	1,000
(39) Chapter 917/87	County Office of Education Fiscal Accountability Reporting	1,000
(40) Chapter 650/94	Employee Benefits Disclosure	1,000
(41) Chapter 100/81	School District Fiscal Accountability Reporting	1,000
(42) Chapter 875/85	Photographic Record of Evidence	1,000
(43) Chapter 126/93	Law Enforcement Sexual Harassment Training	0 ²
(44) Chapter 784/95	County Treasury Oversight Committee	0 ²
Total Appropriations, Item 6110-295-001		<u>\$39,000</u>

² These programs are suspended for the 2004-05 fiscal year's budget per Chapter 208/04, Item 6110-295-0001.

REIMBURSABLE STATE MANDATED COST PROGRAMS

Claims for the following State mandated cost programs may be filed with the SCO. For your convenience, the programs are listed in alphabetical order by program name. An "X" indicates the fiscal year for which a claim may be filed.

2003-04 Reimburse- ment Claims	2004-05 Estimated Claims	School Districts and County Offices of Education	
x	x	Ch.	77/78 Absentee Ballots
x	x	Ch.	818/91 AIDS Prevention Instruction II
x	x	Ch.	778/96 American Government Course Document Requirements
x	x	Ch.	36/77 Annual Parent Notification III
x	x	Ch.	855/97 Attendance Accounting
x	x	Ch.	98/94 Caregiver Affidavits
x	x	Ch.	34/98 Charter Schools II
x	x	Ch.	917/87 COE Fiscal Accountability Reporting
x	x	Ch.	961/75 Collective Bargaining
x	N/A ¹	Ch.	784/95 County Treasury Oversight Committee
x	x	Ch.	588/97 Criminal Background Checks I
x	x	Ch.	594/98 Criminal Background Checks II
x	x	Ch.	30/98 Differential Pay and Reemployment
x	x	Ch.	1659/84 Emergency Procedures, Earthquake Procedures and Disasters/ Comprehensive School Safety Plans
x	x	Ch.	650/94 Employee Benefits Disclosure
x	x	Ch.	1253/75 Expulsion Transcripts
x	x	Ch.	36/77 Financial and Compliance Audits
x	x	Ch.	498/83 Graduation Requirements
x	x	Ch.	1170/96 Grand Jury Proceedings
x	x	Ch.	1184/75 Habitual Truant
x	x	Ch.	1120/96 Health Benefits for Survivors of Peace Officers & Firefighters
x	x	Ch.	1176/77 Immunization Records
x	x	Ch.	325/78 Immunization Records: Hepatitis B
x	N/A ¹	Ch.	172/86 Interdistrict Attendance Permits
x	N/A ¹	Ch.	172/86 Interdistrict Transfer Requests: Parent's Employment
x	x	Ch.	161/93 Intradistrict Attendance
x	N/A ¹	Ch.	783/95 Investment Reports
x	x	Ch.	1011/84 Juvenile Court Notices II

¹ These programs are suspended for the 2004-05 fiscal year's budget per Chapter 208/04, Item 6110-295-0001.

REIMBURSABLE STATE MANDATED COST PROGRAMS (continued)

2003-04 Reimburse- ment Claims	2004-05 Estimated Claims	School Districts and County Offices of Education		
x	x	Ch.	1117/89	Law Enforcement Agency Notification
x	N/A ¹	Ch.	126/93	Law Enforcement Sexual Harassment Training
x	x	Ch.	486/75	Mandate Reimbursement Process
x	x	Ch.	498/83	Notification of Truancy
x	x	Ch.	1306/89	Notification to Teachers: Pupils Subject to Suspension of Expulsion
x	x	Ch.	641/86	Open Meetings/Brown Act Reform
x	x	Ch.	1284/88	Parent Classroom Visits
x	x	Ch.	465/76	Peace Officers Procedural Bill of Rights
x	x	Ch.	875/85	Photographic Record of Evidence
x	x	Ch.	640/97	Physical Education Reports
x	x	Ch.	975/95	Physical Performance Tests
x	x	Ch.	965/77	Pupil Classroom Suspension: Counseling
x	x	Ch.	668/78	Pupil Exclusions
x	x	Ch.	1208/76	Pupil Health Screenings
x	x	Ch.	100/81	Pupil Promotion and Retention
x	x	Ch.	309/95	Pupil Residency Verification and Appeals
x	x	Ch.	1253/75	Pupil Suspensions, Expulsions, and Expulsion Appeals
x	x	Ch.	1107/84	Removal of Chemicals
x	x	Ch.	1463/89	School Accountability Report Cards
N/A ²	N/A ¹	Ch.	624/92	School Bus Safety I & II
N/A ²	N/A ¹	Ch.	759/92	School Crimes Reporting II (Ch. 410, Stats. 1995)
x	x	Ch.	100/81	School District Fiscal Accountability Reporting
x	x	Ch.	1192/80	School District Reorganization
x	x	Ch.	1347/80	Scoliosis Screening
x	x	Ch.	828/97	Standardized Testing & Reporting
x	x	Ch.	331/98	Teacher Incentive Program

¹ These programs are suspended for the 2004-05 fiscal year's budget per Chapter 208/04, Item 6110-295-0001.

² These programs were suspended for the 2003-04 fiscal year's budget per Chapter 157/03, Item 6110-295-0001, Provision 4.

PROGRAMS SUSPENDED FOR THE 2004-05 FISCAL YEAR

Pursuant to Government Code Section 17581.5, the following education state mandated programs are identified in the 2004 State Budget Act, with a \$0 appropriation by the Legislature. Therefore, the following state mandated programs have been suspended for the 2004-05 fiscal year, and no 2004-05 claim shall be filed.

Chapter	784/95	County Treasury Oversight Committee
Chapter	783/95	Investment Reports
Chapter	126/93	Law Enforcement Sexual Harassment Training
Chapter	624/92	School Bus Safety I & II
Chapter	759/92	School Crimes Reporting II (Ch. 410, Stats. 1995)

The following education state mandated programs have been determined to be optional, repealed or overturned by the court.

Chapter	172/86	Interdistrict Attendance Permits
Chapter	172/86	Interdistrict Transfer Requests: Parent's Employment
Chapter	160/93	School District of Choice: Transfers and Appeals
Chapter	1138/93	Schoolsite Councils and Brown Act Reform
Chapter	87/86	Schoolsite Discipline Rules

AUDIT OF COSTS

All claims submitted to the SCO are reviewed to determine if costs are related to the mandate, are reasonable and not excessive, and that the claim was prepared in accordance with the SCO's claiming instructions and the Commission on State Mandate's Parameters and Guidelines (P's and G's). If any adjustments are made to a claim, a "Notice of Claim Adjustment" specifying the claim component adjusted, the amount adjusted, and the reason for the adjustment will be mailed within 30 days after payment of the claim.

On-site audits will be conducted by the SCO as deemed necessary. Pursuant to GC §17558.5, subdivision (a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a school district is subject to audit by the State Controller no later than three years after the date the actual reimbursement claim was filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds were appropriated or no payment was made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim was filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. Therefore, all documentation to support actual costs claimed must be retained for the same period, and shall be made available to the SCO on request.

SOURCE DOCUMENTS

To be eligible for mandated cost reimbursement for any fiscal year, only actual costs may be claimed. Actual costs are those costs actually incurred to implement the mandated activities. Actual costs must be traceable and supported by source documents that show the validity of such costs, when they were incurred, and their relationship to the reimbursable activities. A source document is a document created at or near the same time the actual cost was incurred for the event or activity in question. Source documents may include, but are not limited to, employee time records or time logs, sign-in sheets, invoices, and receipts.

SOURCE DOCUMENTS (continued)

Evidence corroborating the source documents may include, but is not limited to, worksheets, cost allocation reports (system generated), purchase orders, contracts, agendas, training packets, and declarations. Declarations must include a certification or declaration stating, "I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct based upon personal knowledge." Evidence corroborating the source documents may include data relevant to the reimbursable activities otherwise in compliance with local, state, and federal government requirements. However, corroborating documents cannot be substituted for source documents.

RETENTION OF CLAIMING INSTRUCTIONS

For your convenience, the revised claiming instructions in this package have been arranged in alphabetical order by program name. These revisions should be inserted in the School Mandated Cost Manual to replace the old forms. The instructions should then be retained permanently for future reference, and the forms should be duplicated to meet your filing requirements. Annually, updated forms and any other information or instructions claimants may need to file claims, as well as instructions and forms for all new programs released throughout the year will be placed on the SCO's Web site at www.sco.ca.gov/ard/local/locreim/index.shtml.

If you have any questions concerning mandated cost reimbursements, please write to us at the address listed for filing claims, send e-mail to LRS DAR@sco.ca.gov, or call the Local Reimbursements Section at (916) 324-5729.

CLAIM FOR PAYMENT Pursuant to Government Code Section 17561 NOTIFICATION OF TRUANCY	For State Controller Use Only (19) Program Number 00048 (20) Date Filed ___/___/___ (21) LRS Input ___/___/___	Program <h1 style="margin: 0;">048</h1>
---	---	--

L A B E L H E R E	(01) Claimant Identification Number		Reimbursement Claim Data	
	(02) Claimant Name		(22) NOT-1, (03)	
	County of Location		(23)	
	Street Address or P.O. Box Suite		(24)	
	City State Zip Code		(25)	
	Type of Claim	Estimated Claim	Reimbursement Claim	(26)
	(03) Estimated <input type="checkbox"/>	(09) Reimbursement <input type="checkbox"/>	(27)	
	(04) Combined <input type="checkbox"/>	(10) Combined <input type="checkbox"/>	(28)	
	(05) Amended <input type="checkbox"/>	(11) Amended <input type="checkbox"/>	(29)	
Fiscal Year of Cost	(06) 20 ___/20 ___	(12) 20 ___/20 ___	(30)	
Total Claimed Amount	(07)	(13)	(31)	
Less: 10% Late Penalty, not to exceed \$1,000		(14)	(32)	
Less: Prior Claim Payment Received		(15)	(33)	
Net Claimed Amount		(16)	(34)	
Due from State	(08)	(17)	(35)	
Due to State		(18)	(36)	

(37) CERTIFICATION OF CLAIM

In accordance with the provisions of Government Code Section 17561, I certify that I am the officer authorized by the school district to file mandated cost claims with the State of California for this program, and certify under penalty of perjury that I have not violated any of the provisions of Government Code Sections 1090 to 1098, inclusive.

I further certify that there was no application other than from the claimant, nor any grant or payment received, for reimbursement of costs claimed herein, and such costs are for a new program or increased level of services of an existing program. All offsetting savings and reimbursements set forth in the Parameters and Guidelines are identified, and all costs claimed are supported by source documentation currently maintained by the claimant.

The amounts for this Estimated Claim and/or Reimbursement Claim are hereby claimed from the State for payment of estimated and/or actual costs set forth on the attached statements. I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signature of Authorized Officer _____ Date _____

 Type or Print Name _____ Title _____

(38) Name of Contact Person for Claim _____ Telephone Number () - Ext. _____

 E-Mail Address _____

Program 048	NOTIFICATION OF TRUANCY Certification Claim Form Instructions	FORM FAM-27
------------------------------	--	------------------------------

- (01) Enter the payee number assigned by the State Controller's Office.
- (02) Enter your Official Name, County of Location, Street or P. O. Box address, City, State, and Zip Code.
- (03) If filing an estimated claim, enter an "X" in the box on line (03) Estimated.
- (04) If filing a combined estimated claim on behalf of districts within the county, enter an "X" in the box on line (04) Combined.
- (05) If filing an amended estimated claim, enter an "X" in the box on line (05) Amended.
- (06) Enter the fiscal year in which costs are to be incurred.
- (07) Enter the amount of the estimated claim. If the estimate exceeds the previous year's actual costs by more than 10%, complete form NOT-1 and enter the amount from line (08).
- (08) Enter the same amount as shown on line (07).
- (09) If filing a reimbursement claim, enter an "X" in the box on line (09) Reimbursement.
- (10) If filing a combined reimbursement claim on behalf of districts within the county, enter an "X" in the box on line (10) Combined.
- (11) If filing an amended reimbursement claim, enter an "X" in the box on line (11) Amended.
- (12) Enter the fiscal year for which actual costs are being claimed. If actual costs for more than one fiscal year are being claimed, complete a separate form FAM-27 for each fiscal year.
- (13) Enter the amount of the reimbursement claim from form NOT-1, line (08). The total claimed amount must exceed \$1,000.
- (14) Reimbursement claims must be filed by January 15 of the following fiscal year in which costs were incurred or the claims shall be reduced by a late penalty. Enter zero if the claim was timely filed, otherwise, enter the product of multiplying line (13) by the factor 0.10 (10% penalty), not to exceed \$1,000.
- (15) If filing a reimbursement claim or a claim was previously filed for the same fiscal year, enter the amount received for the claim. Otherwise, enter a zero.
- (16) Enter the result of subtracting line (14) and line (15) from line (13).
- (17) If line (16), Net Claimed Amount, is positive, enter that amount on line (17), Due from State.
- (18) If line (16), Net Claimed Amount, is negative, enter that amount on line (18), Due to State.
- (19) to (21) Leave blank.
- (22) to (36) Reimbursement Claim Data. Bring forward the cost information as specified on the left-hand column of lines (22) through (36) for the reimbursement claim, e.g., NOT-1, (03), means the information is located on form NOT-1, line (03). Enter the information on the same line but in the right-hand column. Cost information should be rounded to the nearest dollar, i.e., no cents. Indirect costs percentage should be shown as a whole number and without the percent symbol, i.e., 7.548% should be shown as 8. **Completion of this data block will expedite the payment process.**
- (37) Read the statement "Certification of Claim." If it is true, the claim must be dated, signed by the agency's authorized officer, and must include the person's name and title, typed or printed. **Claims cannot be paid unless accompanied by an original signed certification. (To expedite the payment process, please sign the form FAM-27 with blue ink, and attach a copy of the form FAM-27 to the top of the claim package.)**
- (38) Enter the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person to contact if additional information is required.

SUBMIT A SIGNED ORIGINAL, AND A COPY OF FORM FAM-27, WITH ALL OTHER FORMS AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS TO:

Address, if delivered by U.S. Postal Service:

**OFFICE OF THE STATE CONTROLLER
ATTN: Local Reimbursements Section
Division of Accounting and Reporting
P.O. Box 942850
Sacramento, CA 94250**

Address, if delivered by other delivery service:

**OFFICE OF THE STATE CONTROLLER
ATTN: Local Reimbursements Section
Division of Accounting and Reporting
3301 C Street, Suite 500
Sacramento, CA 95816**

Program 048	MANDATED COSTS NOTIFICATION OF TRUANCY CLAIM SUMMARY	FORM NOT-1
---	---	-----------------------------

(01) Claimant	(02) Type of Claim Reimbursement <input type="checkbox"/> Estimated <input type="checkbox"/>	Fiscal Year 20__/20__
---------------	--	--------------------------

Claim Statistics

(03) Number of truant notifications	
-------------------------------------	--



Cost

(04) Unit Cost per an initial truancy notification	[\$13.66 for the 2003-04 fiscal year]	
(05) Total Costs	[Line (03) x line (04)]	

Cost Reduction

(06) Less: Offsetting Savings	
(07) Less: Other Reimbursements	
(08) Total Claimed Amount	[Line (05) - {(line (06) + line (07))}]

Program 048	NOTIFICATION OF TRUANCY CLAIM SUMMARY Instructions	FORM NOT-1
------------------------------	---	-----------------------------

- (01) Enter the name of the claimant.

- (02) Type of Claim. Check a box, Reimbursement or Estimated, to identify the type of claim being filed. Enter the fiscal year of costs.

Form NOT-1 must be filed for a reimbursement claim. Do not complete form NOT-1 if you are filing an estimated claim and the estimate does not exceed the previous fiscal year's actual costs by more than 10%. Simply enter the amount of the estimated claim on form FAM-27, line (07). However, if the estimated claim exceeds the previous fiscal year's actual costs by more than 10%, form NOT-1 must be completed and a statement attached explaining the increased costs. Without this information the estimated claim will automatically be reduced to 110% of the previous fiscal year's actual costs.

- (03) Number of truant notifications. Enter the number of initial notifications sent upon the student's fourth unexcused absence to inform the parent or guardian of their child's absence from school without a valid excuse or is tardy in excess of thirty (30) minutes for more than three days in one school year.

- (04) Unit cost rate for the 2003-04 fiscal year is \$13.66 per initial notification. This cost rate will be updated yearly and listed in the annual updates to claiming instructions mailed to school districts in September.

- (05) Total Costs. Multiply line (03) by the unit cost rate, line (04).

- (06) Less: Offsetting Savings. If applicable, enter the total savings experienced by the claimant as a direct result of this mandate. Submit a detailed schedule of savings with the claim.

- (07) Less: Other Reimbursements. If applicable, enter the amount of other reimbursements received from any source (i.e., service fees collected, federal funds, other state funds etc.) which reimbursed any portion of the mandated program. Submit a detailed schedule of the reimbursement sources and amounts.

- (08) Total Claimed Amount. Subtract the sum of Offsetting Savings, line (06), and Other Reimbursements, line (07), from Total Costs, line (05). Enter the remainder of this line and carry the amount forward to form FAM-27, line (07) for the Estimated Claim or line (13) for the Reimbursement Claim.

A. STATE OF CALIFORNIA TRAVEL EXPENSE GUIDELINES

Travel Program Effective January 31, 2002

The travel reimbursement program continues to be subject to the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) requirements for an accountable plan. There are no flat rate reimbursements. All items are to be claimed for the actual amount of expense, up to the maximum allowed. If the provisions below do not require submission of a receipt for a given item of expense, it is the employee's responsibility to retain receipts and other records of the expense and have them available for audit.

Lodging and meals that are provided by the State, including hotel expenses, conference fees, or transportation costs such as airline tickets; or otherwise provided shall not be claimed for reimbursement.

Employees may be reimbursed for actual expenses for breakfast, lunch, dinner, and incidentals for each 24 hours of travel, as follows:

Breakfast	up to	\$6.00
Lunch	up to	10.00
Dinner	up to	18.00
Incidentals	up to	6.00

Incidental expenses include, but are not limited to, expenses for laundering and pressing of clothing and tips for services such as porters and baggage handlers. Incidentals do not include taxicab fares, lodging taxes, or the cost of telegrams or telephone calls.

Lodging

All lodging reimbursements require a receipt from a commercial lodging establishment such as a hotel, motel, bed and breakfast inn, or campground that caters to the general public. No lodging will be reimbursed without a valid receipt. Employees who stay with friends or relatives are not eligible for lodging reimbursement, but may claim their actual expenses for meals and incidentals.

Short-Term Travel

A. For continuous short-term travel of more than 24 hours but less than 31 days, the employee will be reimbursed for actual costs up to the maximum for each meal, incidental, and lodging expense for each completed 24 hours of travel, beginning with the traveler's time of departure and return as follows:

1. On the first day of travel at the beginning of a trip of more than 24 hours:
 - Trip begins at or before 6 a.m. - Breakfast may be claimed
 - Trip begins at or before 11 a.m. - Lunch may be claimed
 - Trip begins at or before 5 p.m. - Dinner may be claimed
2. On the fractional day of travel at the end of a trip of more than 24 hours:
 - Trip ends at or after 8 a.m. - Breakfast may be claimed
 - Trip ends at or after 2 p.m. - Lunch may be claimed
 - Trip ends at or after 7 p.m. - Dinner may be claimed

If the fractional day includes an overnight stay, receipted lodging may be claimed. No meal or lodging expenses may be claimed or reimbursed more than once on any given date or during any 24-hour period.

- B.** For continuous travel of less than 24 hours, the employee will be reimbursed for actual expenses, up to a maximum as follows:

Travel begins at or before 6 a.m. and ends at or after 9 a.m. - Breakfast may be claimed
Travel begins at or before 4 p.m. and ends at or after 7 p.m. - Dinner may be claimed

If the trip extends overnight, receipted lodging may be claimed. No lunch or incidentals may be claimed on a trip of less than 24 hours.

Short-Term Travel Maximum Lodging Reimbursement Rate

- A.** Statewide except as in (B) and (C) below, actual receipted lodging up to \$84 plus tax.
- B.** When required to conduct state business and obtain lodging in the counties of Los Angeles and San Diego, reimbursement will be for actual receipted lodging, to a maximum of \$110 plus tax.
- C.** When required to conduct state business and obtain lodging in the counties of Alameda, San Francisco, San Mateo, and Santa Clara, reimbursement will be for actual receipted lodging, to a maximum of \$140 plus tax.

Long-Term Travel

Actual expenses for long-term meals and receipted lodging will be reimbursed when the employee incurs expenses in one location comparable to those arising from the use of establishments catering to long-term visitors.

A. Full Long-Term Travel

To qualify for full long-term travel reimbursement, the employee on a long-term field assignment must meet the following criteria:

- a) The employee continues to maintain a permanent residence at the primary headquarters, and either,
- b) The permanent residence is occupied by the employee's dependents, or
- c) The permanent residence is maintained at a net expense to the employee exceeding \$200 per month.

The employee who is living at the long-term location may claim either:

1. Reimbursement for actual individual expense, substantiated by receipts for lodging, water, sewer, gas, and electricity, up to a maximum of \$1,130 per calendar month while on the long-term assignment, and actual expenses up to \$10 for meals and incidentals, for each period of 12 to 24 hours and up to \$5 for actual meals and incidentals for each period of less than 12 hours at the long-term location, or
2. Long-term subsistence rates of \$24 for actual meals and incidentals, \$24 for receipted lodging for travel of 12 hours up to 24 hours, and either \$24 for actual meals or \$24 for receipted lodging for travel less than 12 hours when the employee incurs expenses in one location comparable to those arising from the use of establishments catering to long-term visitors.

B. Partial Long-Term Travel

An employee on long-term field assignment who does not maintain a separate residence in the headquarters area may claim long-term subsistence rates of up to \$12 for actual meals and incidentals and \$12 for receipted lodging for travel of 12 hours up to 24 hours at the long-term location, and either \$12 for actual meals or \$12 for receipted lodging for travel less than 12 hours at the long-term location.

Receipts

Receipts or vouchers shall be submitted for every item of expense of \$25 or more.

- a) Receipts are required for every item of transportation and business expense incurred as a result of conducting state business except for actual expenses as follows:
 1. Railroad and bus fares of less than \$25, when travel is wholly within the State of California.
 2. Street car, ferry fares, bridge and road tolls, local rapid transit system, taxi, shuttle, or hotel bus fares, and parking fees of \$10 or less for each continuous period of parking or each separate transportation expense noted in this item.
 3. Telephone, telegraph, tax, or other business charges related to state business of \$5 or less.
 4. In the absence of a receipt, reimbursement will be limited to the non-receipted amount above.
- b) Reimbursement will be claimed only for the actual and necessary expenses noted above. Regardless of the above exceptions, the approving officer may require additional certification and/or explanation in order to determine that an expense was actually and reasonably incurred. In the absence of a satisfactory explanation, the expense shall not be allowed.

Mileage

When an employee is authorized by his/her appointing authority or designee to operate a privately owned vehicle on state business, the employee will be allowed to claim and be reimbursed 34 cents per mile. An employee who is required to use a specialized vehicle that has been modified to accommodate disabilities may claim 37 cents per mile.

B. GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIONS 17500-17617

GC §17500: Legislative Findings and Declarations

The Legislature finds and declares that the existing system for reimbursing local agencies and school districts for the costs of state-mandated local programs has not provided for the effective determination of the state's responsibilities under Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution. The Legislature finds and declares that the failure of the existing process to adequately and consistently resolve the complex legal questions involved in the determination of state-mandated costs has led to an increasing reliance by local agencies and school districts on the judiciary and, therefore, in order to relieve unnecessary congestion of the judicial system, it is necessary to create a mechanism which is capable of rendering sound quasi-judicial decisions and providing an effective means of resolving disputes over the existence of state-mandated local programs. It is the intent of the Legislature in enacting this part to provide for the implementation of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution. Further, the Legislature intends that the Commission on State Mandates, as a quasi-judicial body, will act in a deliberative manner in accordance with the requirements of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution.

GC §17510: Construction of Part

Unless the context otherwise requires, the definitions contained in this chapter govern the construction of this part. The definition of a word applies to any variants thereof and the singular tense of a word includes the plural.

GC §17511: "City"

"City" means any city whether general law or charter, except a city and county.

GC §17512: "Commission"

"Commission" means the Commission on State Mandates.

GC §17513: "Cost Mandated by the Federal Government"

"Costs mandated by the federal government" means any increased costs incurred by a local agency or school district after January 1, 1973, in order to comply with the requirements of a federal statute or regulation. "Costs mandated by the federal government" includes costs resulting from enactment of a state law or regulation where failure to enact that law or regulation to meet specific federal program or service requirements imposed upon the state would result in substantial monetary penalties or loss of funds to public or private persons in the state whether the federal law was enacted before or after the enactment of the state law, regulation, or executive order. "Costs mandated by the federal government" does not include costs which are specifically reimbursed or funded by the federal or state government or programs or services which may be implemented at the option of the state, local agency, or school district.

GC §17514: "Costs Mandated by the State"

"Costs mandated by the state" means any increased costs which a local agency or school district is required to incur after July 1, 1980, as a result of any statute enacted on or after January 1, 1975, or any executive order implementing any statute enacted on or after January 1, 1975, which mandates a new program or higher level of service of an existing program within the meaning of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution.

GC §17515: "County"

"County" means any chartered or general law county. "County" includes a city and county.

GC §17516: "Executive Order"

"Executive order" means any order, plan, requirement, rule, or regulation issued by any of the following: (a) The Governor. (b) Any officer or official serving at the pleasure of the Governor. (c) Any agency, department, board, or commission of state government. "Executive order" does not include any order, plan, requirement, rule, or regulation issued by the State Water Resources Control Board or by any regional water quality control board pursuant to Division 7 (commencing with Section 13000) of the Water Code. It is the intent of the Legislature that the State Water Resources Control Board and regional water quality control boards will not adopt enforcement orders against publicly owned dischargers which mandate major waste water treatment facility construction costs unless federal financial assistance and state financial assistance pursuant to the Clean Water Bond Act of 1970 and 1974, is simultaneously made available. "Major" means either a new treatment facility or an addition to an existing facility, the cost of which is in excess of 20 percent of the cost of replacing the facility.

GC §17517.5: "Cost Savings authorized by the state"

"Cost savings authorized by the state" means any decreased costs that a local agency or school district realizes as a result of any statute enacted or any executive order adopted that permits or requires the discontinuance of or a reduction in the level of service of an existing program that was mandated before January 1, 1975.

GC §17518: "Local Agency"

"Local agency" means any city, county, special district, authority, or other political subdivision of the state.

GC §17518.5: "Reasonable Reimbursement Methodology"

(a) "Reasonable reimbursement methodology" means a formula for reimbursing local agency and school district costs mandated by the state that meets the following conditions: (1) The total amount to be reimbursed statewide is equivalent to total estimated local agency and school district costs to implement the mandate in a cost-efficient manner. (2) For 50 percent or more of eligible local agency and school district claimants, the amount reimbursed is estimated to fully offset their projected costs to implement the mandate in a cost-efficient manner. (b) Whenever possible, a reasonable reimbursement methodology shall be based on general allocation formulas, uniform cost allowances, and other approximations of local costs mandated by the state, rather than detailed documentation of actual local costs. In cases when local agencies and school districts are projected to incur costs to implement a mandate over a period of more than one fiscal year, the determination of a reasonable reimbursement methodology may consider local costs and state reimbursements over a period of greater than one fiscal year, but not exceeding 10 years. (c) A reasonable reimbursement methodology may be developed by any of the following: (1) The Department of Finance. (2) The Controller. (3) An affected state agency. (4) A claimant. (5) An interested party.

GC §17519: "School District"

"School district" means any school district, community college district, or county superintendent of schools.

GC §17520: "Special District"

"Special district" means any agency of the state that performs governmental or proprietary functions within limited boundaries. "Special district" includes a county service area, a maintenance district or

area, an improvement district or improvement zone, or any other zone or area. "Special district" does not include a city, a county, a school district, or a community college district. County free libraries established pursuant to Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 27151) of Division 20 of the Education Code, areas receiving county fire protection services pursuant to Section 25643 of the Government Code, and county road districts established pursuant to Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 1550) of Division 2 of the Streets and Highways Code shall be considered "special districts" for all purposes of this part.

GC §17521: "Test Claim"

"Test claim" means the first claim filed with the commission alleging that a particular statute or executive order imposes costs mandated by the state.

GC §17522: Definitions

(a) "Initial reimbursement claim" means a claim filed with the Controller by a local agency or school district for costs to be reimbursed for the fiscal years specified in the first claiming instructions issued by the Controller pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 17558. (b) "Annual reimbursement claim" means a claim for actual costs incurred in a prior fiscal year filed with the Controller by a local agency or school district for which appropriations are made to the Controller for this purpose. (c) "Estimated reimbursement claim" means a claim filed with the Controller by a local agency or school district in conjunction with an initial reimbursement claim, annual reimbursement claim, or at other times, for estimated costs to be reimbursed during the current or future fiscal years, for which appropriations are made to the Controller for this purpose. (d) "Entitlement claim" means a claim filed by a local agency or school district with the Controller for the purpose of establishing or adjusting a base year entitlement. All entitlement claims are subject to Section 17616.

GC §17523: "Deflator"

"Deflator" means the Implicit Price Deflator for the Costs of Goods and Services to Governmental Agencies, as determined by the Department of Finance.

GC §17524: "Base Year Entitlement"

"Base year entitlement" means that amount determined to be the average for the approved reimbursement claims of each local agency or school district for the three preceding fiscal years adjusted by the change in the deflator. A base year entitlement shall not include any nonrecurring or initial startup costs incurred by a local agency or school district in any of those three fiscal years. For those mandates which become operative on January 1 of any year, the amount of the "approved reimbursement claim" for the first of the three years may be computed by annualizing the amount claimed for the six-month period of January through June in that first year, excluding nonrecurring or startup costs.

GC §17525: Members: Term and Per Diem for Specified Members

(a) There is hereby created the Commission on State Mandates, which shall consist of seven members as follows: (1) The Controller. (2) The Treasurer. (3) The Director of Finance. (4) The Director of the Office of Planning and Research. (5) A public member with experience in public finance, appointed by the Governor and approved by the Senate. (6) Two members from the following three categories appointed by the Governor and approved by the Senate, provided that no more than one member shall come from the same category: (A) A city council member. (B) A member of a county or city and county board of supervisors. (C) A governing board member of a school district as defined in Section 17519. (b) Each member appointed pursuant to paragraph (5) or (6) of subdivision (a) shall be subject to both of the following: (1) The member shall serve for a term of four years subject to renewal. (2) The member shall receive per diem of one hundred dollars (\$100) for each day actually spent in the

discharge of official duties and shall be reimbursed for any actual and necessary expenses incurred in connection with the performance of duties as a member of the commission.

GC §17526: Open Meetings: Executive Sessions

(a) All meetings of the commission shall be open to the public, except that the commission may meet in executive session to consider the appointment or dismissal of officers or employees of the commission or to hear complaints or charges brought against a member, officer, or employee of the commission. (b) The commission shall meet at least once every two months. (c) The time and place of meetings may be set by resolution of the commission, by written petition of a majority of the members, or by written call of the chairperson. The chairperson may, for good cause, change the starting time or place, reschedule, or cancel any meeting.

GC §17527: Powers of Commission

In carrying out its duties and responsibilities, the commission shall have the following powers: (a) To examine any document, report, or data, including computer programs and data files, held by any local agency or school district. (b) To meet at times and places as it may deem proper. (c) As a body or, on the authorization of the commission, as a committee composed of one or more members, to hold hearings at any time and place it may deem proper. (d) Upon a majority vote of the commission, to issue subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, records, papers, accounts, reports, and documents. (e) To administer oaths. (f) To contract with other agencies or individuals, public or private, as it deems necessary, to provide or prepare services, facilities, studies, and reports to the commission as will assist it in carrying out its duties and responsibilities. (g) To adopt, promulgate, amend, and rescind rules and regulations, which shall not be subject to the review and approval of the Office of Administrative Law pursuant to the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act provided for in Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2. (h) To do any and all other actions necessary or convenient to enable it fully and adequately to perform its duties and to exercise the powers expressly granted to it.

GC §17528: Election of Officers

The members of the commission shall elect a chairperson and a vice chairperson of the commission.

GC §17529: Appointment of Attorney: Duties

The commission may appoint as attorney to the commission an attorney at law of this state, who shall hold office at the pleasure of the commission. The attorney shall represent and appear for the commission in all actions and proceedings involving any question under this part or under any order or act of the commission. The attorney shall advise the commission and each member of the commission, when so requested, in regard to all matters in connection with the powers and duties of the commission and the members thereof. The attorney shall generally perform all duties and services as attorney to the commission which the commission may require.

GC §17530: Appointment of Executive Director: Duties

The commission shall appoint an executive director, who shall be exempt from civil service and shall hold office at the pleasure of the commission. The executive director shall be responsible for the executive and administrative duties of the commission and shall organize, coordinate, supervise, and direct the operations and affairs of the commission and expedite all matters within the jurisdiction of the commission. The executive director shall keep a full and true record of all proceedings of the commission, issue all necessary process, writs, warrants, and notices, and perform other duties as the commission prescribes.

GC §17531: Authority of Executive Director to Employ Necessary Staff

The executive director may employ those officers, examiners, experts, statisticians, accountants, inspectors, clerks, and employees as the executive director deems necessary to carry out the provisions of this part or to perform the duties and exercise the powers conferred upon the commission by law.

GC §17532: Quorum: Investigations, Inquiries, and Hearing

A majority of the commissioners shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of any business, for the performance of any duty, or for the exercise of any power of the commission. Any investigation, inquiry, or hearing which the commission has power to undertake or to hold may be undertaken or held by or before any commissioner or commissioners designated for the purpose by the commission. The evidence in any investigation, inquiry, or hearing may be taken by the commissioner or commissioners to whom the investigation, inquiry, or hearing has been assigned or, in his or her or their behalf, by an examiner designated for that purpose. Every finding, opinion, and order made by the commissioner or commissioners so designated, pursuant to the investigation, inquiry, or hearing, when approved or confirmed by the commission and ordered filed in its office, shall be deemed to be the finding, opinion, and order of the commission.

GC §17533: Provisions not Applicable to Hearing by Commission

Notwithstanding Section 11425.10, Chapter 4.5 (commencing with Section 11400) of Part 1 of Division 3 does not apply to a hearing by the commission under this part.

GC §17550: Reimbursements of Local Agencies and Special Districts

Reimbursement of local agencies and school districts for costs mandated by the state shall be provided pursuant to this chapter.

GC §17551: Commission Hearing and Decision Upon Claims

(a) The commission, pursuant to the provisions of this chapter, shall hear and decide upon a claim by a local agency or school district that the local agency or school district is entitled to be reimbursed by the state for costs mandated by the state as required by Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution. (b) Commission review of claims may be had pursuant to subdivision (a) only if the test claim is filed within the time limits specified in this section. (c) Local agency and school district test claims shall be filed not later than 12 months following the effective date of a statute or executive order, or within 12 months of incurring increased costs as a result of a statute or executive order, whichever is later. (d) The commission, pursuant to the provisions of this chapter, shall hear and decide upon a claim by a local agency or school district filed on or after January 1, 1985, that the Controller has incorrectly reduced payments to the local agency or school district pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (d) of Section 17561.

GC §17552: Exclusivity of Procedure by Chapter

This chapter shall provide the sole and exclusive procedure by which a local agency or school district may claim reimbursement for costs mandated by the state as required by Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution.

GC §17553: Adoption of Procedure for Receiving Claims and Providing Hearings: Postponement of Hearings

(a) The commission shall adopt procedures for receiving claims pursuant to this article and for providing a hearing on those claims. The procedures shall do all of the following: (1) Provide for presentation of evidence by the claimant, the Department of Finance and any other affected department

or agency, and any other interested person. (2) Ensure that a statewide cost estimate is adopted within 12 months after receipt of a test claim, when a determination is made by the commission that a mandate exists. This deadline may be extended for up to six months upon the request of either the claimant or the commission. (3) Permit the hearing of a claim to be postponed at the request of the claimant, without prejudice, until the next scheduled hearing. (b) All test claims shall be filed on a form prescribed by the commission and shall contain at least the following elements and documents: (1) A written narrative that identifies the specific sections of statutes or executive orders alleged to contain a mandate and shall include all of the following: (A) A detailed description of the new activities and costs that arise from the mandate. (B) A detailed description of existing activities and costs that are modified by the mandate. (C) The actual increased costs incurred by the claimant during the fiscal year for which the claim was filed to implement the alleged mandate. (D) The actual or estimated annual costs that will be incurred by the claimant to implement the alleged mandate during the fiscal year immediately following the fiscal year for which the claim was filed. (E) A statewide cost estimate of increased costs that all local agencies or school districts will incur to implement the alleged mandate during the fiscal year immediately following the fiscal year for which the claim was filed. (F) Identification of all of the following: (i) Dedicated state funds appropriated for this program. (ii) Dedicated federal funds appropriated for this program. (iii) Other nonlocal agency funds dedicated for this program. (iv) The local agency's general purpose funds for this program. (v) Fee authority to offset the costs of this program. (G) Identification of prior mandate determinations made by the Board of Control or the Commission on State Mandates that may be related to the alleged mandate. (2) The written narrative shall be supported with declarations under penalty of perjury, based on the declarant's personal knowledge, information or belief, and signed by persons who are authorized and competent to do so, as follows: (A) Declarations of actual or estimated increased costs that will be incurred by the claimant to implement the alleged mandate. (B) Declarations identifying all local, state, or federal funds, or fee authority that may be used to offset the increased costs that will be incurred by the claimant to implement the alleged mandate, including direct and indirect costs. (C) Declarations describing new activities performed to implement specified provisions of the new statute or executive order alleged to impose a reimbursable state-mandated program. Specific references shall be made to chapters, articles, sections, or page numbers alleged to impose a reimbursable state-mandated program. (3) (A) The written narrative shall be supported with copies of all of the following: (i) The test claim statute that includes the bill number or executive order, alleged to impose or impact a mandate. (ii) Relevant portions of state constitutional provisions, federal statutes, and executive orders that may impact the alleged mandate. (iii) Administrative decisions and court decisions cited in the narrative. (B) State mandate determinations made by the Board of Control and the Commission on State Mandates and published court decisions on state mandate determinations made by the Commission on State Mandates are exempt from this requirement. (4) A test claim shall be signed at the end of the document, under penalty of perjury by the claimant or its authorized representative, with the declaration that the test claim is true and complete to the best of the declarant's personal knowledge or information or belief. The date of signing, the declarant's title, address, telephone number, facsimile machine telephone number, and electronic mail address shall be included. (c) If a completed test claim is not received by the commission within 30 calendar days from the date that an incomplete test claim was returned by the commission, the original test claim filing date may be disallowed, and a new test claim may be accepted on the same statute or executive order. (d) In addition, the commission shall determine whether an incorrect reduction claim is complete within 10 days after the date that the incorrect reduction claim is filed. If the commission determines that an incorrect reduction claim is not complete, the commission shall notify the local agency and school district that filed the claim stating the reasons that the claim is not complete. The local agency or school district shall have 30 days to complete the claim. The commission shall serve a copy of the complete incorrect reduction claim on the Controller. The Controller shall have no more than 90 days after the date the claim is delivered or mailed to file any rebuttal to an incorrect reduction claim. The failure of the Controller to file a rebuttal to an incorrect reduction claim shall not serve to delay the consideration of the claim by the commission.

GC §17554: Commission's Authority to Expedite Claim

With the agreement of all parties to the claim, the commission may waive the application of any procedural requirement imposed by this chapter or pursuant to Section 17553. The authority granted by this section includes the consolidation of claims and the shortening of time periods.

GC §17555: Date for Public Hearing: Test Claim Form and Procedure

(a) No later than 30 days after hearing and deciding upon a test claim pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 17551, the commission shall notify the appropriate Senate and Assembly policy and fiscal committees, the Legislative Analyst, the Department of Finance, and the Controller of that decision. (b) For purposes of this section, the "appropriate policy committee" means the policy committee that has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the statute, regulation, or executive order, and bills relating to that subject matter would have been heard.

GC §17556: Criteria for not Finding Costs Mandated by the State

The commission shall not find costs mandated by the state, as defined in Section 17514, in any claim submitted by a local agency or school district, if, after a hearing, the commission finds that: (a) The claim is submitted by a local agency or school district that requested legislative authority for that local agency or school district to implement the program specified in the statute, and that statute imposes costs upon that local agency or school district requesting the legislative authority. A resolution from the governing body or a letter from a delegated representative of the governing body of a local agency or school district that requests authorization for that local agency or school district to implement a given program shall constitute a request within the meaning of this paragraph. (b) The statute or executive order affirmed for the state a mandate that had been declared existing law or regulation by action of the courts. (c) The statute or executive order imposes a requirement that is mandated by a federal law or regulation and results in costs mandated by the federal government, unless the statute or executive order mandates costs that exceed the mandate in that federal law or regulation. This subdivision applies regardless of whether the federal law or regulation was enacted or adopted prior to or after the date on which the state statute or executive order was enacted or issued. (d) The local agency or school district has the authority to levy service charges, fees, or assessments sufficient to pay for the mandated program or increased level of service. (e) The statute, executive order, or an appropriation in a Budget Act or other bill provides for offsetting savings to local agencies or school districts that result in no net costs to the local agencies or school districts, or includes additional revenue that was specifically intended to fund the costs of the state mandate in an amount sufficient to fund the cost of the state mandate. (f) The statute or executive order imposed duties that were expressly included in a ballot measure approved by the voters in a statewide or local election. (g) The statute created a new crime or infraction, eliminated a crime or infraction, or changed the penalty for a crime or infraction, but only for that portion of the statute relating directly to the enforcement of the crime or infraction.

GC §17557: Determination of Amount to be Subvened for Reimbursement: Parameters and Guidelines

(a) If the commission determines there are costs mandated by the state pursuant to Section 17551, it shall determine the amount to be subvened to local agencies and school districts for reimbursement. In so doing it shall adopt parameters and guidelines for reimbursement of any claims relating to the statute or executive order. The successful test claimants shall submit proposed parameters and guidelines within 30 days of adoption of a statement of decision on a test claim. At the request of a successful test claimant, the commission may provide for one or more extensions of this 30-day period at any time prior to its adoption of the parameters and guidelines. If proposed parameters and guidelines are not submitted within the 30-day period and the commission has not granted an extension, then the commission shall notify the test claimant that the amount of reimbursement the test claimant is entitled to for the first 12 months of incurred costs will be reduced by 20 percent, unless the test claimant can demonstrate to the commission why an extension of the 30-day period is justified. (b) In adopting parameters and guidelines, the commission may adopt a reasonable reimbursement methodology. (c)

The parameters and guidelines adopted by the commission shall specify the fiscal years for which local agencies and school districts shall be reimbursed for costs incurred. However, the commission may not specify in the parameters and guidelines any fiscal year for which payment could be provided in the annual Budget Act. (d) A local agency, school district, or the state may file a written request with the commission to amend, modify, or supplement the parameters or guidelines. The commission may, after public notice and hearing, amend, modify, or supplement the parameters and guidelines. A parameters and guidelines amendment submitted within 90 days of the claiming deadline for initial claims, as specified in the claiming instructions pursuant to Section 17561, shall apply to all years eligible for reimbursement as defined in the original parameters and guidelines. A parameters and guidelines amendment filed more than 90 days after the claiming deadline for initial claims, as specified in the claiming instructions pursuant to Section 17561, and on or before January 15 following a fiscal year, shall establish reimbursement eligibility for that fiscal year. (e) A test claim shall be submitted on or before June 30 following a fiscal year in order to establish eligibility for reimbursement for that fiscal year. The claimant may thereafter amend the test claim at any time, but before the test claim is set for a hearing, without affecting the original filing date as long as the amendment substantially relates to the original test claim. (f) In adopting parameters and guidelines, the commission shall consult with the Department of Finance, the affected state agency, the Controller, the fiscal and policy committees of the Assembly and Senate, the Legislative Analyst, and the claimants to consider a reasonable reimbursement methodology that balances accuracy with simplicity.

GC §17558: Submission of Parameters and Guidelines to Controller: Transfer of Claims; Claiming Instructions

(a) The commission shall submit the adopted parameters and guidelines to the Controller. All claims relating to a statute or executive order that are filed after the adoption or amendment of parameters and guidelines pursuant to Section 17557 shall be transferred to the Controller who shall pay and audit the claims from funds made available for that purpose. (b) Not later than 60 days after receiving the adopted parameters and guidelines from the commission, the Controller shall issue claiming instructions for each mandate that requires state reimbursement, to assist local agencies and school districts in claiming costs to be reimbursed. In preparing claiming instructions, the Controller shall request assistance from the Department of Finance and may request the assistance of other state agencies. The claiming instructions shall be derived from the test claim decision and the parameters and guidelines adopted by the commission. (c) The Controller shall, within 60 days after receiving revised adopted parameters and guidelines from the commission or other information necessitating a revision of the claiming instructions, prepare and issue revised claiming instructions for mandates that require state reimbursement that have been established by commission action pursuant to Section 17557 or after any decision or order of the commission pursuant to Section 17551. In preparing revised claiming instructions, the Controller may request the assistance of other state agencies.

GC §17558.5: Reimbursement Claim: Audit; Remittance Advice and Other Notices of Payment

(a) A reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a local agency or school district pursuant to this chapter is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. In any case, an audit shall be completed not later than two years after the date that the audit is commenced. (b) The Controller may conduct a field review of any claim after the claim has been submitted, prior to the reimbursement of the claim. (c) The Controller shall notify the claimant in writing within 30 days after issuance of a remittance advice of any adjustment to a claim for reimbursement that results from an audit or review. The notification shall specify the claim components adjusted, the amounts adjusted, interest charges on claims adjusted to reduce the overall reimbursement to the local agency or school district, and the reason for the adjustment. Remittance advices and other notices of payment action shall not constitute notice of adjustment from an audit or review. (d) The interest rate charged by the Controller on reduced claims shall be set at the Pooled

Money Investment Account rate and shall be imposed on the dollar amount of the overpaid claim from the time the claim was paid until overpayment is satisfied. (e) Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the adjustment of payments when inaccuracies are determined to be the result of the intent to defraud, or when a delay in the completion of an audit is the result of willful acts by the claimant or inability to reach agreement on terms of final settlement.

GC §17558.6: Legislative Intent

It is the intent of the Legislature that the Commission on State Mandates review its process by which local agencies may appeal the reduction of reimbursement claims on the basis that the reduction is incorrect in order to provide for a more expeditious and less costly process.

GC §17559: Judicial Review

(a) The commission may order a reconsideration of all or part of a test claim or incorrect reduction claim on petition of any party. The power to order a reconsideration or amend a test claim decision shall expire 30 days after the statement of decision is delivered or mailed to the claimant. If additional time is needed to evaluate a petition for reconsideration filed prior to the expiration of the 30-day period, the commission may grant a stay of that expiration for no more than 30 days, solely for the purpose of considering the petition. If no action is taken on a petition within the time allowed for ordering reconsideration, the petition shall be deemed denied. (b) A claimant or the state may commence a proceeding in accordance with the provisions of Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure to set aside a decision of the commission on the ground that the commission's decision is not supported by substantial evidence. The court may order the commission to hold another hearing regarding the claim and may direct the commission on what basis the claim is to receive a rehearing.

GC §17560: Deadlines for Filing Reimbursement Claims

Reimbursement for state-mandated costs may be claimed as follows: (a) A local agency or school district may file an estimated reimbursement claim by January 15 of the fiscal year in which costs are to be incurred, and, by January 15 following that fiscal year shall file an annual reimbursement claim that details the costs actually incurred for that fiscal year; or it may comply with the provisions of subdivision (b). (b) A local agency or school district may, by January 15 following the fiscal year in which costs are incurred, file an annual reimbursement claim that details the costs actually incurred for that fiscal year. (c) In the event revised claiming instructions are issued by the Controller pursuant to subdivision (c) of Section 17558 between October 15 and January 15, a local agency or school district filing an annual reimbursement claim shall have 120 days following the issuance date of the revised claiming instructions to file a claim.

GC §17561: Reimbursement of Costs for State Mandated Programs

(a) The state shall reimburse each local agency and school district for all "costs mandated by the state," as defined in Section 17514. (b) (1) For the initial fiscal year during which these costs are incurred, reimbursement funds shall be provided as follows: (A) Any statute mandating these costs shall provide an appropriation therefor. (B) Any executive order mandating these costs shall be accompanied by a bill appropriating the funds therefor, or alternatively, an appropriation for these costs shall be included in the Budget Bill for the next succeeding fiscal year. The executive order shall cite that item of appropriation in the Budget Bill or that appropriation in any other bill which is intended to serve as the source from which the Controller may pay the claims of local agencies and school districts. (2) In subsequent fiscal years appropriations for these costs shall be included in the annual Governor's Budget and in the accompanying Budget Bill. In addition, appropriations to reimburse local agencies and school districts for continuing costs resulting from chaptered bills or executive orders for which claims have been awarded pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 17551 shall be included in the annual Governor's Budget and in the accompanying Budget Bill subsequent to the enactment of the local government claims bill pursuant to Section 17600 that includes the amounts awarded relating to these chaptered bills or executive orders. (c) The amount appropriated to reimburse local agencies and

school districts for costs mandated by the state shall be appropriated to the Controller for disbursement. (d) The Controller shall pay any eligible claim pursuant to this section within 60 days after the filing deadline for claims for reimbursement or 15 days after the date the appropriation for the claim is effective, whichever is later. The Controller shall disburse reimbursement funds to local agencies or school districts if the costs of these mandates are not payable to state agencies, or to state agencies that would otherwise collect the costs of these mandates from local agencies or school districts in the form of fees, premiums, or payments. When disbursing reimbursement funds to local agencies or school districts, the Controller shall disburse them as follows: (1) For initial reimbursement claims, the Controller shall issue claiming instructions to the relevant local agencies and school districts pursuant to Section 17558. Issuance of the claiming instructions shall constitute a notice of the right of the local agencies and school districts to file reimbursement claims, based upon parameters and guidelines adopted by the commission. (A) When claiming instructions are issued by the Controller pursuant to Section 17558 for each mandate determined pursuant to Section 17551 that requires state reimbursement, each local agency or school district to which the mandate is applicable shall submit claims for initial fiscal year costs to the Controller within 120 days of the issuance date for the claiming instructions. (B) When the commission is requested to review the claiming instructions pursuant to Section 17571, each local agency or school district to which the mandate is applicable shall submit a claim for reimbursement within 120 days after the commission reviews the claiming instructions for reimbursement issued by the Controller. (C) If the local agency or school district does not submit a claim for reimbursement within the 120-day period, or submits a claim pursuant to revised claiming instructions, it may submit its claim for reimbursement as specified in Section 17560. The Controller shall pay these claims from the funds appropriated therefor, provided that the Controller (i) may audit the records of any local agency or school district to verify the actual amount of the mandated costs, and (ii) may reduce any claim that the Controller determines is excessive or unreasonable. (2) In subsequent fiscal years each local agency or school district shall submit its claims as specified in Section 17560. The Controller shall pay these claims from funds appropriated therefor, provided that the Controller (A) may audit the records of any local agency or school district to verify the actual amount of the mandated costs, (B) may reduce any claim that the Controller determines is excessive or unreasonable, and (C) shall adjust the payment to correct for any underpayments or overpayments which occurred in previous fiscal years. (3) When paying a timely filed claim for initial reimbursement, the Controller shall withhold 20 percent of the amount of the claim until the claim is audited to verify the actual amount of the mandated costs. All initial reimbursement claims for all fiscal years required to be filed on their initial filing date for a state-mandated local program shall be considered as one claim for the purpose of computing any late claim penalty. Any claim for initial reimbursement filed after the filing deadline shall be reduced by 10 percent of the amount that would have been allowed had the claim been timely filed. The Controller may withhold payment of any late claim for initial reimbursement until the next deadline for funded claims unless sufficient funds are available to pay the claim after all timely filed claims have been paid. In no case may a reimbursement claim be paid if submitted more than one year after the filing deadline specified in the Controller's claiming instructions on funded mandates contained in a claims bill.

GC §17561.5: Payment of Claim with Interest

The payment of an initial reimbursement claim by the Controller shall include accrued interest at the Pooled Money Investment Account rate, if the payment is being made more than 365 days after adoption of the statewide cost estimate for an initial claim or, in the case of payment of a subsequent claim relating to that same statute or executive order, if payment is being made more than 60 days after the filing deadline for, or the actual date of receipt of, the subsequent claim, whichever is later. In those instances, interest shall begin to accrue as of the 366th day after adoption of the statewide cost estimate for an initial claim and as of the 61st day after the filing deadline for, or actual date of receipt of, the subsequent claim, whichever is later.

GC §17561.6: Payment

A budget act item or appropriation pursuant to this part for reimbursement of claims shall include an amount necessary to reimburse any interest due pursuant to Section 17561.5.

GC §17562: Review of Costs of State-Mandated Local Programs

(a) The Legislature hereby finds and declares that the increasing revenue constraints on state and local government and the increasing costs of financing state-mandated local programs make evaluation of state-mandated local programs imperative. Accordingly, it is the intent of the Legislature to increase information regarding state mandates and establish a method for regularly reviewing the costs and benefits of state-mandated local programs. (b) The Controller shall submit a report to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee and fiscal committees by January 1 of each year. This report shall summarize, by state mandate, the total amount of claims paid per fiscal year and the amount, if any, of mandate deficiencies or surpluses. This report shall be made available in an electronic spreadsheet format. The report shall compare the annual cost of each mandate to the statewide cost estimate adopted by the commission. (c) After the commission submits its second semiannual report to the Legislature pursuant to Section 17600, the Legislative Analyst shall submit a report to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee and legislative fiscal committees on the mandates included in the commission's reports. The report shall make recommendations as to whether the mandate should be repealed, funded, suspended, or modified. (d) In its annual analysis of the Budget Bill and based on information provided pursuant to subdivision (b), the Legislative Analyst shall identify mandates that significantly exceed the statewide cost estimate adopted by the commission. The Legislative Analyst shall make recommendations on whether the mandate should be repealed, funded, suspended, or modified. (e) (1) A statewide association of local agencies or school districts or a Member of the Legislature may submit a proposal to the Legislature recommending the elimination or modification of a state-mandated local program. To make such a proposal, the association or member shall submit a letter to the Chairs of the Assembly Committee on Education or the Assembly Committee on Local Government, as the case may be, and the Senate Committee on Education or the Senate Committee on Local Government, as the case may be, specifying the mandate and the concerns and recommendations regarding the mandate. The association or member shall include in the proposal all information relevant to the conclusions. If the chairs of the committees desire additional analysis of the submitted proposal, the chairs may refer the proposal to the Legislative Analyst for review and comment. The chairs of the committees may refer up to a total of 10 of these proposals to the Legislative Analyst for review in any year. Referrals shall be submitted to the Legislative Analyst by December 1 of each year. (2) The Legislative Analyst shall review and report to the Legislature with regard to each proposal that is referred to the office pursuant to paragraph (1). The Legislative Analyst shall recommend that the Legislature adopt, reject, or modify the proposal. The report and recommendations shall be submitted annually to the Legislature by March 1 of the year subsequent to the year in which referrals are submitted to the Legislative Analyst. (3) The Department of Finance shall review all statutes enacted each year that contain provisions making inoperative Section 17561 or Section 17565 that have resulted in costs or revenue losses mandated by the state that were not identified when the statute was enacted. The review shall identify the costs or revenue losses involved in complying with the statutes. The Department of Finance shall also review all statutes enacted each year that may result in cost savings authorized by the state. The Department of Finance shall submit an annual report of the review required by this subdivision, together with the recommendations as it may deem appropriate, by December 1 of each year. (f) It is the intent of the Legislature that the Assembly Committee on Local Government and the Senate Committee on Local Government hold a joint hearing each year regarding the following: (1) The reports and recommendations submitted pursuant to subdivision (e). (2) The reports submitted pursuant to Sections 17570, 17600, and 17601. (3) Legislation to continue, eliminate, or modify any provision of law reviewed pursuant to this subdivision. The legislation may be by subject area or by year or years of enactment.

GC §17563: Use of Funds Received for Public Purpose

Any funds received by a local agency or school district pursuant to the provisions of this chapter may be used for any public purpose.

GC §17564: Filing of Claims: Threshold Amount

(a) No claim shall be made pursuant to Sections 17551 and 17561, nor shall any payment be made on claims submitted pursuant to Sections 17551 and 17561, unless these claims exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000), provided that a county superintendent of schools or county may submit a combined claim on behalf of school districts, direct service districts, or special districts within their county if the combined claim exceeds one thousand dollars (\$1,000) even if the individual school district's, direct service district's, or special district's claims do not each exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000). The county superintendent of schools or the county shall determine if the submission of the combined claim is economically feasible and shall be responsible for disbursing the funds to each school, direct service, or special district. These combined claims may be filed only when the county superintendent of schools or the county is the fiscal agent for the districts. All subsequent claims based upon the same mandate shall only be filed in the combined form unless a school district, direct service district, or special district provides to the county superintendent of schools or county and to the Controller, at least 180 days prior to the deadline for filing the claim, a written notice of its intent to file a separate claim. (b) Claims for direct and indirect costs filed pursuant to Section 17561 shall be filed in the manner prescribed in the parameters and guidelines and claiming instructions.

GC §17565: Reimbursement of Subsequently Mandated Costs

If a local agency or a school district, at its option, has been incurring costs which are subsequently mandated by the state, the state shall reimburse the local agency or school district for those costs incurred after the operative date of the mandate.

GC §17567: Insufficiency of Appropriation: Proration of Claims

In the event that the amount appropriated for reimbursement purposes pursuant to Section 17561 is not sufficient to pay all of the claims approved by the Controller, the Controller shall prorate claims in proportion to the dollar amount of approved claims timely filed and on hand at the time of proration. The Controller shall adjust prorated claims if supplementary funds are appropriated for this purpose. In the event that the Controller finds it necessary to prorate claims as provided by this section, the Controller shall immediately report this action to the Department of Finance, the Chairperson of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, and the Chairperson of the respective committee in each house of the Legislature which considers appropriations in order to assure appropriation of these funds in the Budget Act. If these funds cannot be appropriated on a timely basis in the Budget Act, the Controller shall transmit this information to the commission which shall include these amounts in its report to the Legislature pursuant to Section 17600 to assure that an appropriation sufficient to pay the claims is included in the local government claims bills or other appropriation bills. If the local government claims bills required by Section 17612 have been introduced in the Legislature, the Controller shall report directly to the chairperson of the respective committee in each house of the Legislature which considers appropriations to assure inclusion of a sufficient appropriation in the claims bills.

GC §17568: Payment of Claims Submitted After Deadline

If a local agency or school district submits an otherwise valid reimbursement claim to the Controller after the deadline specified in Section 17560, the Controller shall reduce the reimbursement claim in an amount equal to 10 percent of the amount which would have been allowed had the reimbursement claim been timely filed, provided that the amount of this reduction shall not exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000). In no case shall a reimbursement claim be paid which is submitted more than one year after the deadline specified in Section 17560. Estimated claims which were filed by the deadline specified in that section shall be paid in full before payments are made on estimated claims filed after the deadline. In the event the amount appropriated to the Controller for reimbursement purposes is not sufficient to pay the estimated claims approved by the Controller, the Controller shall prorate those claims in proportion to the dollar amount of approved claims filed after the deadline and shall report to the commission or the Legislature in the same manner as described in Section 17566 in order to assure appropriation of funds sufficient to pay those claims.

GC §17570: Annual Report to Legislature

The Legislative Analyst shall review each unfunded statutory or regulatory mandate for which claims have been approved by the Legislature pursuant to a claims bill during the preceding fiscal year. Any recommendations by the Legislative Analyst to eliminate or modify the mandates shall be contained in the annual analysis of the Budget Bill prepared by the Legislative Analyst.

GC §17571: Review and Modification of Claiming Instructions

The commission, upon request of a local agency or school district, shall review the claiming instructions issued by the Controller or any other authorized state agency for reimbursement of mandated costs. If the commission determines that the claiming instructions do not conform to the parameters and guidelines, the commission shall direct the Controller to modify the claiming instructions and the Controller shall modify the claiming instructions to conform to the parameters and guidelines as directed by the commission.

GC §17572: Amended Animal Adoption Parameters and Guidelines

(a) The commission shall amend the parameters and guidelines for the state-mandated local program contained in Chapter 752 of the Statutes of 1998, known as the Animal Adoption mandate (Case No. 98-TC-11), as specified below: (1) Amend the formula for determining the reimbursable portion of acquiring or building additional shelter space that is larger than needed to comply with the increased holding period to specify that costs incurred to address preexisting shelter overcrowding or animal population growth are not reimbursable. (2) Clarify how the costs for care and maintenance shall be calculated. (3) Detail the documentation necessary to support reimbursement claims under this mandate, in consultation with the Bureau of State Audits and the Controller's office. (b) The parameters and guidelines, as amended pursuant to this section, shall apply to claims for costs incurred in fiscal years commencing with the 2005-06 fiscal year in which Chapter 752 of the Statutes of 1998 is not suspended pursuant to Section 17581. (c) Before funds are appropriated to reimburse local agencies for claims related to costs incurred in fiscal years commencing with the 2005-06 fiscal year pursuant to Sections 1834 and 1846 of the Civil Code, and Sections 31108, 31752, 31752.5, 31753, 32001, and 32003 of the Food and Agricultural Code, known as the Animal Adoption mandate, local agencies shall file reimbursement claims pursuant to the parameters and guidelines amended pursuant to this section, and the Controller's revised claiming instructions.

GC §17575: Review of Bills

When a bill is introduced in the Legislature, and each time a bill is amended, on and after January 1, 1985, the Legislative Counsel shall determine whether the bill mandates a new program or higher level of service pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution. The Legislative Counsel shall make this determination known in the digest of the bill and shall describe in the digest the basis for this determination. The determination by the Legislative Counsel shall not be binding on the commission in making its determination pursuant to Section 17555.

GC §17576: Amendment of Bills on Floor: Notification by Legislative Counsel

Whenever the Legislative Counsel determines that a bill will mandate a new program or higher level of service pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution, the Department of Finance shall prepare an estimate of the amount of reimbursement which will be required. This estimate shall be prepared for the respective committees of each house of the Legislature which consider taxation measures and appropriation measures and shall be prepared prior to any hearing on the bill by any such committee.

GC §17577: Amount of Estimates

The estimate required by Section 17576 shall be the amount estimated to be required during the first fiscal year of a bill's operation in order to reimburse local agencies and school districts for costs mandated by the state by the bill.

GC §17578: Amendment of Bills on Floor: Notification by Legislative Counsel

In the event that a bill is amended on the floor of either house, whether by adoption of the report of a conference committee or otherwise, in such a manner as to mandate a new program or higher level of service pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution, the Legislative Counsel shall immediately inform, respectively, the Speaker of the Assembly and the President of the Senate of that fact. Notification from the Legislative Counsel shall be published in the journal of the respective houses of the Legislature.

GC §17579: Requirement for New Mandates to Specify Reimbursement Requirements: Appropriations

Any bill introduced or amended for which the Legislative Counsel has determined the bill will mandate a new program or higher level of service pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution shall contain a section specifying that reimbursement shall be made pursuant to this chapter or that the mandate is being disclaimed and the reason therefor.

GC §17581: Conditions for Exemption from Implementation of Statute or Executive Order

(a) No local agency shall be required to implement or give effect to any statute or executive order, or portion thereof, during any fiscal year and for the period immediately following that fiscal year for which the Budget Act has not been enacted for the subsequent fiscal year if all of the following apply: (1) The statute or executive order, or portion thereof, has been determined by the Legislature, the commission, or any court to mandate a new program or higher level of service requiring reimbursement of local agencies pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution. (2) The statute or executive order, or portion thereof, has been specifically identified by the Legislature in the Budget Act for the fiscal year as being one for which reimbursement is not provided for that fiscal year. For purposes of this paragraph, a mandate shall be considered to have been specifically identified by the Legislature only if it has been included within the schedule of reimbursable mandates shown in the Budget Act and it is specifically identified in the language of a provision of the item providing the appropriation for mandate reimbursements. (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, if a local agency elects to implement or give effect to a statute or executive order described in subdivision (a), the local agency may assess fees to persons or entities which benefit from the statute or executive order. Any fee assessed pursuant to this subdivision shall not exceed the costs reasonably borne by the local agency. (c) This section shall not apply to any state-mandated local program for the trial courts, as specified in Section 77203. (d) This section shall not apply to any state-mandated local program for which the reimbursement funding counts toward the minimum General Fund requirements of Section 8 of Article XVI of the Constitution.

GC §17581.5 Exemption from Provisions of School Bus Safety II Mandate and School Crimes Reporting II Mandate

(a) A school district may not be required to implement or give effect to the statutes, or portion thereof, identified in subdivision (b) during any fiscal year and for the period immediately following that fiscal year for which the Budget Act has not been enacted for the subsequent fiscal year if all of the following apply: (1) The statute or portion thereof, has been determined by the Legislature, the commission, or any court to mandate a new program or higher level of service requiring reimbursement of school districts pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution. (2) The statute, or portion thereof, has been specifically identified by the Legislature in the Budget Act for the fiscal year as being

one for which reimbursement is not provided for that fiscal year. For purposes of this paragraph, a mandate shall be considered to have been specifically identified by the Legislature only if it has been included within the schedule of reimbursable mandates shown in the Budget Act and it is specifically identified in the language of a provision of the item providing the appropriation for mandate reimbursements. (b) This section applies only to the following mandates: (1) The School Bus Safety I (CSM-4433) and II (97-TC-22) mandates (Chapter 642 of the Statutes of 1992; Chapter 831 of the Statutes of 1994; and Chapter 739 of the Statutes of 1997). (2) The School Crimes Reporting II mandate (97-TC-03; and Chapter 759 of the Statutes of 1992 and Chapter 410 of the Statutes of 1995). (3) Investment reports (96-358-02; and Chapter 783 of the Statutes of 1995 and Chapters 156 and 749 of the Statutes of 1996). (4) County treasury oversight committees (96-365-03; and Chapter 784 of the Statutes of 1995 and Chapter 156 of the Statutes of 1996).

GC §17600: Report on Number of Mandates and Their Costs

At least twice each calendar year the commission shall report to the Legislature on the number of mandates it has found pursuant to Article 1 (commencing with Section 17550) and the estimated statewide costs of these mandates. This report shall identify the statewide costs estimated for each mandate and the reasons for recommending reimbursement.

GC §17601: Report on Claims Denied

The commission shall report to the Legislature on January 15, 1986, and each January 15 thereafter, on the number of claims it denied during the preceding calendar year and the basis on which the particular claims were denied.

GC §17612: Local Government Claims Bills: Judicial Review of Funding Deletions

(a) Immediately upon receipt of the report submitted by the commission pursuant to Section 17600, a local government claims bill shall be introduced in the Legislature. The local government claims bill, at the time of its introduction, shall provide for an appropriation sufficient to pay the estimated costs of these mandates. (b) The Legislature may amend, modify, or supplement the parameters and guidelines for mandates contained in the local government claims bill. If the Legislature amends, modifies, or supplements the parameters and guidelines, it shall make a declaration in the local government claims bill specifying the basis for the amendment, modification, or supplement. (c) If the Legislature deletes from a local government claims bill funding for a mandate, the local agency or school district may file in the Superior Court of the County of Sacramento an action in declaratory relief to declare the mandate unenforceable and enjoin its enforcement.

GC §17613: Authorization of Augmentation for Mandated Costs

(a) The Director of Finance may, upon receipt of any report submitted pursuant to Section 17567, authorize the augmentation of the amount available for expenditure to reimburse costs mandated by the state, as defined in Section 17514, as follows: (1) For augmentation of (A) any schedule in any item to reimburse costs mandated by the state in any budget act, or (B) the amount appropriated in a local government claims bill for reimbursement of the claims of local agencies, as defined by Section 17518, from the unencumbered balance of any other item to reimburse costs mandated by the state in that budget act or another budget act or in an appropriation for reimbursement of the claims of local agencies in another local government claims bill. (2) For augmentation of (A) any schedule in any budget act item, or (B) any amount appropriated in a local government claims bill, when either of these augmentations is for reimbursement of mandated claims of school districts, as defined in Section 17519, when the source of this augmentation is (A) the unencumbered balance of any other scheduled amount in that budget act or another budget act, or (B) an appropriation in another local government claims bill, when either of these appropriations is for reimbursement of mandate claims of school districts. This paragraph applies only to appropriations that are made for the purpose of meeting the minimum funding guarantee for educational programs pursuant to Section 8 of Article XVI of the California Constitution. (b) No authorization for an augmentation pursuant to this section may be made

sooner than 30 days after the notification in writing of the necessity therefor to the chairperson of the committee in each house which considers appropriations and the chairperson of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, or not sooner than whatever lesser time as the chairperson of the joint committee, or his or her designee, may in each instance determine.

GC §17615: Legislative Findings and Intent

The Legislature finds and declares that the existing system for reimbursing local agencies and school districts for actual costs mandated by the state on an annual claim basis is time consuming, cumbersome, and expensive at both the local and state levels. The Controller must process voluminous claims with all claims subject to a desk audit and selected claims also subject to a field audit. Local agencies are required to maintain extensive documentation of all claims in anticipation of such an audit. The volume of these records is substantial and will continue to grow with no relief in sight as new programs are mandated. The cost to local agencies and school districts for filing claims, and for maintaining documentation and responding to the Controller's audits is substantial. The current administrative cost to both state and local governments represents a significant expenditure of public funds with no apparent benefit to the taxpayers. It is the intent of the Legislature to streamline the reimbursement process for costs mandated by the state by creating a system of state mandate apportionments to fund the costs of certain programs mandated by the state.

GC §17615.1: Review of Programs for Inclusion in System

The commission shall establish a procedure for reviewing, upon request, mandated cost programs for which appropriations have been made by the Legislature for the 1982-83, 1983-84, and 1984-85 fiscal years, or any three consecutive fiscal years thereafter. At the request of the Department of Finance, the Controller, or any local agency or school district receiving reimbursement for the mandated program, the commission shall review the mandated cost program to determine whether the program should be included in the State Mandates Apportionment System. If the commission determines that the State Mandates Apportionment System would accurately reflect the costs of the state-mandated program, the commission shall direct the Controller to include the program in the State Mandates Apportionment System.

GC §17615.2: Calculation of Disbursement Amounts

(a) Notwithstanding Section 17561, after November 30, 1985, for those programs included in the State Mandates Apportionment System, after approval by the commission, there shall be disbursed by the Controller to each local agency and school district which has submitted a reimbursement claim for costs mandated by the state in the 1982-83, 1983-84, and the 1984-85 fiscal years, or any three consecutive fiscal years thereafter, an amount computed by averaging the approved reimbursement claims for this three-year period. The amount shall first be adjusted according to any changes in the deflator. The deflator shall be applied separately to each year's costs for the three years which comprise the base period. Funds for these purposes shall be available to the extent they are provided for in the Budget Act of 1985 and the Budget Act for any subsequent fiscal year thereafter. For purposes of this article, "base period" means the three fiscal years immediately succeeding the commission's approval. (b) When the Controller has made payment on claims prior to commission approval of the program for inclusion in the State Mandates Apportionment System, the payment shall be adjusted in the next apportionment to the amount which would have been subvended to the local agency or school district for that fiscal year had the State Mandates Apportionment System been in effect at the time of the initial payment.

GC §17615.3: Annual Recalculation of Allocation

Notwithstanding Section 17561, by November 30, 1986, and by November 30 of each year thereafter, for those programs included in the State Mandates Apportionment System, the Controller shall recalculate each allocation for each local agency and school district for the 1985-86 fiscal year, by using the actual change in the deflator for that year. That recalculated allocation shall then be adjusted by the estimated change in the deflator for the 1986 -87 fiscal year, and each fiscal year thereafter, to

establish the allocation amount for the 1986-87 fiscal year, and each fiscal year thereafter. Additionally, for programs approved by the commission for inclusion in the State Mandates Apportionment System on or after January 1, 1988, the allocation for each year succeeding the three-year base period shall be adjusted according to any changes in both the deflator and workload. The Controller shall then subvene that amount after adjusting it by any amount of overpayment or underpayment in the 1985-86 fiscal year, and each fiscal year thereafter, due to a discrepancy between the actual change and the estimated change in the deflator or workload. Funds for these purposes shall be available to the extent they are provided for in the Budget Act of 1986 and the Budget Act for any subsequent fiscal year thereafter. For purposes of this article, "workload" means, for school districts and county offices of education, changes in the average daily attendance; for community colleges, changes in the number of full-time equivalent students; for cities and counties, changes in the population within their boundaries; and for special districts, changes in the population of the county in which the largest percentage of the district's population is located.

GC §17615.4: Procedure for Newly Mandated Program

(a) When a new mandate imposes costs that are funded either by legislation or in local government claims bills, local agencies and school districts may file reimbursement claims as required by Section 17561, for a minimum of three years after the initial funding of the new mandate. (b) After actual cost claims are submitted for three fiscal years against such a new mandate, the commission shall determine, upon request of the Controller or a local entity or school district receiving reimbursement for the program, whether the amount of the base year entitlement adjusted by changes in the deflator and workload accurately reflects the costs incurred by the local agency or school district. If the commission determines that the base year entitlement, as adjusted, does accurately reflect the costs of the program, the commission shall direct the Controller to include the program in the State Mandates Apportionment System. (c) The Controller shall make recommendations to the commission and the commission shall consider the Controller's recommendations for each new mandate submitted for inclusion in the State Mandates Apportionment System. All claims included in the State Mandates Apportionment System pursuant to this section are also subject to the audit provisions of Section 17616.

GC §17615.5: Procedure Where No Base Year Entitlement Has Been Established

(a) If any local agency or school district has an established base year entitlement which does not include costs for a particular mandate, that local agency or school district may submit reimbursement claims for a minimum of three consecutive years, adjusted pursuant to Section 17615.3 by changes in the deflator and workload, or entitlement claims covering a minimum of three consecutive years, after which time its base year entitlement may be adjusted by an amount necessary to fund the costs of that mandate. (b) If any local agency or school district has no base year entitlement, but wishes to begin claiming costs of one or more of the mandates included in the State Mandates Apportionment System, that local agency or school district may submit reimbursement claims for a minimum of three consecutive years, or entitlement claims covering the preceding three consecutive years, which shall be adjusted pursuant to Sections 17615.2 and 17615.3 by changes in the deflator and workload, after which time a base year entitlement may be established in an amount necessary to fund the costs of the mandate or mandates.

GC §17615.6: Procedure Where Program is No Longer Mandatory

If a local agency or school district realizes a decrease in the amount of costs incurred because a mandate is discontinued, or made permissive, the Controller shall determine the amount of the entitlement attributable to that mandate by determining the base year amount for that mandate for the local agency or school district plus the annual adjustments. This amount shall be subtracted from the annual subvention which would otherwise have been allocated to the local agency or school district.

GC §17615.7: Procedure Where Program is Modified

If a mandated program included in the State Mandates Apportionment System is modified or amended by the Legislature or by executive order, and the modification or amendment significantly affects the costs of the program, as determined by the commission, the program shall be removed from the State Mandate Apportionment System, and the payments reduced accordingly. Local entities or school districts may submit actual costs claims for a period of three years, after which the program may be considered for inclusion in the State Mandates Apportionment System, pursuant to the provisions of Section 17615.4.

GC §17615.8: Review of Base Year Entitlement

(a) The commission shall establish a procedure for reviewing, upon request, any apportionment or base year entitlement of a local agency or school district. (b) Local agencies and school districts which request such a review shall maintain and provide those records and documentation as the commission or its designee determines are necessary for the commission or its designee to make the required determinations. With the exception of records required to verify base year entitlements, the records may not be used to adjust current or prior apportionments, but may be used to adjust future apportionments. (c) If the commission determines that an apportionment or base year entitlement for funding costs mandated by the state does not accurately reflect the costs incurred by the local agency or school district for all mandates upon which that apportionment is based, the commission shall direct the Controller to adjust the apportionment accordingly. For the purposes of this section, an apportionment or a base year entitlement does not accurately reflect the costs incurred by a local agency or school district if it falls short of reimbursing, or overreimburses, that local agency's or school district's actual costs by 20 percent or by one thousand dollars (\$1,000), whichever is less. (d) If the commission determines that an apportionment or base year entitlement for funding costs mandated by the state accurately reflects the costs incurred by the local agency or school district for all mandates upon which that apportionment is based, the commission may, in its discretion, direct the Controller to withhold, and, if so directed, the Controller shall withhold the costs of the commission's review from the next apportionment to the local agency or school district, if the commission review was requested by the local agency or school district.

GC §17615.9: Review of Programs Under SMAS

The commission shall periodically review programs funded under the State Mandate Apportionments System to evaluate the effectiveness or continued statewide need for each such mandate.

GC §17616: Audits and Verification by Controller

The Controller shall have the authority to do either or both of the following: (a) Audit the fiscal years comprising the base year entitlement no later than three years after the year in which the base year entitlement is established. The results of such audits shall be used to adjust the base year entitlements and any subsequent apportionments based on that entitlement, in addition to adjusting actual cost payments made for the base years audited. (b) Verify that any local agency or school district receiving funds pursuant to this article is providing the reimbursed activities.

GC §17617: Local Agency Payment

The total amount due to each city, county, city and county, and special district, for which the state has determined, as of June 30, 2005, that reimbursement is required under Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution, shall be appropriated for payment to these entities over a period of not more than five years, commencing with the Budget Act for the 2006-07 fiscal year and concluding with the Budget Act for the 2011-12 fiscal year.

FILING A CLAIM

RECEIVED

December 16, 2013

**Commission on
State Mandates**

1. Introduction

The law in the State of California, (GC Sections 17500 through 17617), provides for the reimbursement of costs incurred by school districts for costs mandated by the State. Costs mandated by the State means any increased costs which a school district is required to incur after July 1, 1980, as a result of any statute enacted after January 1, 1975, or any executive order implementing such statute which mandates a new program or higher level of service of an existing program.

Estimated claims that show costs to be incurred in the current fiscal year and reimbursement claims that detail the costs actually incurred for the prior fiscal year may be filed with the State Controller's Office (SCO). Claims for on-going programs are filed annually by January 15. Claims for new programs are filed within 120 days from the date claiming instructions are issued for the program. A 10 percent penalty, up to \$1,000 for continuing claims, no limit for initial claims, is assessed for late claims. The SCO may audit the records of any school district to verify the actual amount of mandated costs and may reduce any claim that is excessive or unreasonable.

When a program has been reimbursed for three or more years, the Commission on State Mandates (COSM) may approve the program for inclusion in the State Mandates Apportionment System (SMAS). For programs included in SMAS, the SCO determines the amount of each claimant's entitlement based on an average of three consecutive fiscal years of actual costs adjusted by any changes in the Implicit Price Deflator (IPD). Claimants with an established entitlement receive an annual apportionment adjusted by any changes in the IPD and, under certain circumstances, by any changes in workload. Claimants with an established entitlement do not file further claims for the program.

The SCO is authorized to make payments for costs of mandated programs from amounts appropriated by the State Budget Act, by the State Mandates Claims Fund, or by specific legislation. In the event the appropriation is insufficient to pay claims in full, claimants will receive prorated payments in proportion to the dollar amount of approved claims for the program. Balances of prorated payments will be made when supplementary funds are made available.

The instructions contained in this manual are intended to provide general guidance for filing a mandated cost claim. Since each mandate is administered separately, it is important to refer to the specific program for information relating to established policies on eligible reimbursable costs.

2. Types of Claims

There are three types of claims: Reimbursement, estimated, and entitlement. A claimant may file a reimbursement claim for actual mandated costs incurred in the prior fiscal year or may file an estimated claim for mandated costs to be incurred during the current fiscal year. An entitlement claim may be filed for the purpose of establishing a base year entitlement amount for mandated programs included in SMAS. A claimant who has established a base year entitlement for a program would receive an automatic annual payment which is reflective of the current costs for the program.

All claims received by the SCO will be reviewed to verify actual costs. An adjustment of the claim will be made if the amount claimed is determined to be excessive, improper, or unreasonable. The claim must be filed with sufficient documentation to support the costs claimed. The types of documentation required to substantiate a claim are identified in the instructions for the program. The certification of claim, form FAM-27, must be signed and dated by the entity's authorized officer in order for the SCO to make payment on the claim.

A. Reimbursement Claim

A reimbursement claim is defined in GC Section 17522 as any claim filed with the SCO by a school district for reimbursement of costs incurred for which an appropriation is made for the purpose of paying the claim. The claim must include supporting documentation to substantiate the costs claimed.

Initial reimbursement claims are first-time claims for reimbursement of costs for one or more prior fiscal years of a program that was previously unfunded. Claims are due 120 days from the date of issuance of the claiming instructions for the program by the SCO. The first statute that appropriates funds for the mandated program will specify the fiscal years for which costs are eligible for reimbursement.

Annual reimbursement claims must be filed by January 15 following the fiscal year in which costs were incurred for the program. A reimbursement claim must detail the costs actually incurred in the prior fiscal year.

An actual claim for the 2004-05 fiscal year may be filed by January 17, 2006, without a late penalty. Claims filed after the deadline will be reduced by a late penalty of 10%, not to exceed \$1,000. However, initial reimbursement claims will be reduced by a late penalty of 10% with no limitation. In order for a claim to be considered properly filed, it must include any specific supporting documentation requested in the instructions. Claims filed more than one year after the deadline or without the requested supporting documentation will not be accepted.

B. Estimated Claim

An estimated claim is defined in GC Section 17522 as any claim filed with the SCO, during the fiscal year in which the mandated costs are to be incurred by the school districts and county superintendent of schools, against an appropriation made to the SCO for the purpose of paying those costs.

An estimated claim may be filed in conjunction with an initial reimbursement claim, annual reimbursement claim, or at other times for estimated costs to be incurred during the current fiscal year. Annual estimated claims are due January 15 of the fiscal year in which the costs are to be incurred. Initial estimated claims are due on the date specified in the claiming instructions. Timely filed estimated claims are paid before those filed after the deadline.

After receiving payment for an estimated claim, the claimant must file a reimbursement claim by January 15 following the fiscal year in which costs were incurred. If the claimant fails to file a reimbursement claim, monies received for the estimated claims must be returned to the State.

C. Entitlement Claim

An entitlement claim is defined in GC Section 17522 as any claim filed by a school district and county superintendent of schools with the SCO for the sole purpose of establishing or adjusting a base year entitlement for a mandated program that has been included in SMAS. An entitlement claim should not contain nonrecurring or initial start-up costs. There is no statutory deadline for the filing of entitlement claims. However, entitlement claims and supporting documents should be filed by January 15, following the third fiscal year used to develop the entitlement claim, to permit an orderly processing of claims. When the claims are approved and a base year entitlement amount is determined, the claimant will receive an apportionment reflective of the program's current year costs. School mandates included in SMAS are listed on page 5.

Once a mandate has been included in SMAS and the claimant has established a base year entitlement, the claimant will receive automatic payments from the SCO for the mandate. The automatic apportionment is determined by adjusting the claimant's base year entitlement for changes in the implicit price deflator of costs of goods and services to governmental agencies,

as determined by the State Department of Finance. For programs approved by the COSM for inclusion in SMAS on or after January 1, 1988, the payment for each year succeeding the three-year base period is adjusted according to any changes by both the deflator and average daily attendance. Annual apportionments for programs included in the system are paid on or before November 30 of each year.

A base year entitlement is determined by computing the average of the claimant's costs for any three consecutive years after the program has been approved for the SMAS process. The amount is first adjusted according to any changes in the deflator. The deflator is applied separately to each year's costs for the three years, which comprise the base year. The SCO will perform this computation for each claimant who has filed claims for three consecutive years. If a claimant has incurred costs for three consecutive years but has not filed a claim in each of those years, the claimant may file an entitlement claim, form FAM-43, to establish a base year entitlement. The form FAM-43 is included in the claiming instructions for SMAS programs. An entitlement claim does not result in the claimant being reimbursed for the costs incurred, but rather entitles the claimant to receive automatic payments from SMAS.

3. Minimum Claim Amount

GC Section 17564(a) provides that no claim shall be filed pursuant to Sections 17551 and 17561, unless such a claim exceeds one thousand dollars (\$1,000), provided that a county superintendent of schools may submit a combined claim on behalf of school districts within their county if the combined claim exceeds \$1,000, even if the individual school district's claim does not each exceed \$1,000. The county superintendent of schools shall determine if the submission of the combined claim is economically feasible and shall be responsible for disbursing the funds to each school district. These combined claims may be filed only when the county superintendent of schools is the fiscal agent for the districts. A combined claim must show the individual claim costs for each eligible district. All subsequent claims based upon the same mandate shall only be filed in the combined form unless a school district provides a written notice of its intent to file a separate claim to the county superintendent of schools and to the SCO at least 180 days prior to the deadline for filing the claim.

4. Filing Deadline for Claims

Initial reimbursement claims (first-time claims) for reimbursement of costs of a previously unfunded mandated program must be filed within 120 days from the date of issuance of the program's claiming instructions by the SCO. If the initial reimbursement claim is filed after the deadline, but within one year of the deadline, the approved claim must be reduced by a 10% penalty. A claim filed more than one year after the deadline cannot be accepted for reimbursement.

Annual reimbursement claims for costs incurred during the previous fiscal year and estimated claims for costs to be incurred during the current fiscal year must be filed with the SCO and postmarked on or before January 15. If the annual or estimated reimbursement claim is filed after the deadline, but within one year of the deadline, the approved claim must be reduced by a 10% late penalty, not to exceed \$1,000. Claims must include supporting data to show how the amount claimed was derived. Without this information, the claim cannot be accepted.

Entitlement claims do not have a filing deadline. However, entitlement claims and supporting documents should be filed by January 15 to permit an orderly processing of claims. Entitlement claims are used to establish a base year entitlement amount for calculating automatic annual payments. Entitlement does not result in the claimant being reimbursed for costs incurred, but rather entitles the claimant to receive automatic payments from SMAS.

5. Payment of Claims

In order for the SCO to authorize payment of a claim, the Certification of Claim, form FAM-27, must

be properly filled out, signed, and dated by the entity's authorized officer.

Reimbursement and estimated claims are paid within 60 days of the filing deadline for the claim, or 15 days after the date the appropriation for the claim is effective, whichever is later. A claimant is entitled to receive accrued interest at the pooled money investment account rate if the payment was made more than 60 days after the claim filing deadline or the actual date of claim receipt, whichever is later. For an initial claim, interest begins to accrue when the payment is made more than 365 days after the adoption of the program's statewide cost estimate. The SCO may withhold up to 20 percent of the amount of an initial claim until the claim is audited to verify the actual amount of the mandated costs. The 20 percent withheld is not subject to accrued interest.

In the event the amount appropriated by the Legislature is insufficient to pay the approved amount in full for a program, claimants will receive a prorated payment in proportion to the amount of approved claims timely filed and on hand at the time of proration.

The SCO reports the amounts of insufficient appropriations to the State Department of Finance, the Chairperson of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, and the Chairperson of the respective committee in each house of the Legislature, which considers appropriations in order to assure appropriation of these funds in the Budget Act. If these funds cannot be appropriated on a timely basis in the Budget Act, this information is transmitted to the COSM which will include these amounts in its report to assure that an appropriation sufficient to pay the claims is included in the next local government claims bill or other appropriation bills. When the supplementary funds are made available, the balance of the claims will be paid.

Unless specified in the statutes, regulations, or P's & G's, the determination of allowable and unallowable costs for mandates is based on the P's & G's adopted by the COSM. The determination of allowable reimbursable mandated costs for unfunded mandates is made by the COSM. The SCO determines allowable reimbursable costs, subject to amendment by the COSM, for mandates funded by special legislation. Unless specified, allowable costs are those direct and indirect costs, less applicable credits, considered to be eligible for reimbursement. In order for costs to be allowable and thus eligible for reimbursement, the costs must meet the following general criteria:

1. The cost is necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient administration of the mandate and not a general expense required to carry out the overall responsibilities of government.
2. The cost is allocable to a particular cost objective identified in the P's & G's.
3. The cost is net of any applicable credits that offset or reduce expenses of items allocable to the mandate.

The SCO has identified certain costs that should not be claimed as direct program costs unless specified as reimbursable under the program's P's & G's. These costs include, but are not limited to, subscriptions, depreciation, memberships, conferences, workshops general education, and travel costs.

6. State Mandates Apportionment System (SMAS)

Chapter 1534, Statutes of 1985, established SMAS, a method of paying certain mandated programs as apportionments. This method is utilized whenever a program has been approved for inclusion in SMAS by the COSM.

When a mandated program has been included in SMAS, the SCO will determine a base year entitlement amount for each school district that has submitted reimbursement claims (or entitlement claims) for three consecutive fiscal years. A base year entitlement amount is determined by averaging the approved reimbursement claims (or entitlement claims) for 1982-83, 1983-84, and 1984-85 years or any three consecutive fiscal years thereafter. The amounts are first adjusted by any change in the Implicit Price Deflator (IPD), which is applied separately to each year's costs for

the three years that comprise the base period. The base period means the three fiscal years immediately succeeding the COSM's approval.

Each school district with an established base year entitlement for the program will receive automatic annual payments from the SCO reflective of the program's current year costs. The amount of apportionment is adjusted annually for any change in the IPD. If the mandated program was included in SMAS after January 1, 1988, the annual apportionment is adjusted for any change in both the IPD and average daily attendance.

In the event a school district has incurred costs for three consecutive fiscal years but did not file a reimbursement claim in one or more of those fiscal years, the school district may file an entitlement claim for each of those missed years to establish a base year entitlement. An "entitlement claim" means any claim filed by a school district with the SCO for the sole purpose of establishing a base year entitlement. A base year entitlement shall not include any nonrecurring or initial start-up costs.

Initial apportionments are made on an individual program basis. After the initial year, all apportionments are made by November 30. The amount to be apportioned is the base year entitlement adjusted by annual changes in the IPD for the cost of goods and services to governmental agencies as determined by the State Department of Finance.

In the event the school district determines that the amount of apportionment does not accurately reflect costs incurred to comply with a mandate, the process of adjusting an established base year entitlement upon which the apportionment is based is set forth in GC Section 17615.8 and requires the approval of the COSM.

School Mandates Included in SMAS

Program Name	Chapter/Statute	Program Number
Immunization Records	Ch. 1176/77	32

Pupil Expulsion Transcripts, program #91, Chapter 1253/75 was removed from SMAS for the 2002-03 fiscal year. This program was consolidated with other mandate programs that are included in Pupil Suspension, Expulsions, and Expulsion Appeals, program #176.

7. Direct Costs

A direct cost is a cost that can be identified specifically with a particular program or activity. Each claimed reimbursable cost must be supported by documentation as described in Section 12. Costs that are typically classified as direct costs are:

(1) Employee Wages, Salaries, and Fringe Benefits

For each of the mandated activities performed, the claimant must list the names of the employees who worked on the mandate, their job classification, hours worked on the mandate, and rate of pay. The claimant may, in-lieu of reporting actual compensation and fringe benefits, use a productive hourly rate:

(a) Productive Hourly Rate Options

A school district may use one of the following methods to compute productive hourly rates:

- Actual annual productive hours for each employee
- The weighted-average annual productive hours for each job title, or
- 1,800* annual productive hours for all employees

If actual annual productive hours or weighted-average annual productive hours for each job title is chosen, the claim must include a computation of how these hours were computed.

* 1,800 annual productive hours excludes the following employee time:

- o Paid holidays
- o Vacation earned
- o Sick leave taken
- o Informal time off
- o Jury duty
- o Military leave taken.

(b) Compute a Productive Hourly Rate

1. Compute a productive hourly rate for salaried employees to include actual fringe benefit costs. The methodology for converting a salary to a productive hourly rate is to compute the employee's annual salary and fringe benefits and divide by the annual productive hours.

Table 1: Productive Hourly Rate, Annual Salary + Benefits Method

Formula:	Description:
$[(EAS + Benefits) \div APH] = PHR$	EAS = Employee's Annual Salary
	APH = Annual Productive Hours
$[(\$26,000 + \$8,099)] \div 1,800 \text{ hrs} = 18.94$	PHR = Productive Hourly Rate

- As illustrated in Table 1, if you assume an employee's compensation was \$26,000 and \$8,099 for annual salary and fringe benefits, respectively, using the "Salary + Benefits Method," the productive hourly rate would be \$18.94. To convert a biweekly salary to EAS, multiply the biweekly salary by 26. To convert a monthly salary to EAS, multiply the monthly salary by 12. Use the same methodology to convert other salary periods.
2. A claimant may also compute the productive hourly rate by using the "Percent of Salary Method."

Table 2: Productive Hourly Rate, Percent of Salary Method

Example:		
Step 1: Fringe Benefits as a Percent of Salary		Step 2: Productive Hourly Rate
Retirement	15.00 %	Formula: $[(EAS \times (1 + FBR)) \div APH] = PHR$ $[(\$26,000 \times (1.3115)) \div 1,800] = \18.94
Social Security & Medicare	7.65	
Health & Dental Insurance	5.25	
Workers Compensation	3.25	
Total	31.15 %	
Description:		
EAS = Employee's Annual Salary		APH = Annual Productive Hours
FBR = Fringe Benefit Rate		PHR = Productive Hourly Rate

- As illustrated in Table 3, both methods produce the same productive hourly rate. Reimbursement for personnel services includes, but is not limited to, compensation paid

for salaries, wages, and employee fringe benefits. Employee fringe benefits include employer's contributions for social security, pension plans, insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, and similar payments. These benefits are eligible for reimbursement as long as they are distributed equitably to all activities. Whether these costs are allowable is based on the following presumptions:

- The amount of compensation is reasonable for the service rendered.
- The compensation paid and benefits received are appropriately authorized by the governing board.
- Amounts charged for personnel services are based on payroll documents that are supported by time and attendance or equivalent records for individual employees.
- The methods used to distribute personnel services should produce an equitable distribution of direct and indirect allowable costs.

For each of the employees included in the claim, the claimant must use reasonable rates and hours in computing the wage cost. If a person of a higher-level job position performs an activity which normally would be performed by a lower-level position, reimbursement for time spent is allowable at the average salary range for the lower-level position. The salary rate of the person at the higher-level position may be claimed if it can be shown that it was more cost effective in comparison to the performance by a person at the lower-level position under normal circumstances and conditions. The number of hours charged to an activity should reflect the time expected to complete the activity under normal circumstances and conditions. The numbers of hours in excess of normal expected hours are not reimbursable.

(c) Calculating an Average Productive Hourly Rate

In those instances where the parameters and guidelines allow a unit as a basis of claiming costs, the direct labor component of the unit cost should be expressed as an average productive hourly rate and can be determined as follows:

Table 3: Calculating an Average Productive Hourly Rate

	<u>Time Spent</u>	<u>Productive Hourly Rate</u>	<u>Total Cost by Employee</u>
Employee A	1.25 hrs	\$6.00	\$7.50
Employee B	0.75 hrs	4.50	3.38
Employee C	3.50 hrs	10.00	35.00
Total	5.50 hrs		\$45.88
Average Productive Hourly Rate is $\$45.88/5.50 \text{ hrs.} = \8.34			

(d) Employer's Fringe Benefits Contribution

A school district has the option of claiming actual employer's fringe benefit contributions or may compute an average fringe benefit cost for the employee's job classification and claim it as a percentage of direct labor. The same time base should be used for both salary and fringe benefits when computing a percentage. For example, if health and dental insurance payments are made annually, use an annual salary. After the percentage of salary for each fringe benefit is computed, total them.

For example:

<u>Employer's Contribution</u>	<u>% of Salary</u>
Retirement	15.00%
Social Security	7.65%
Health and Dental	
Insurance	5.25%
Worker's Compensation	0.75%
Total	<u>28.65%</u>

(e) Materials and Supplies

Only actual expenses can be claimed for materials and supplies, which were acquired and consumed specifically for the purpose of a mandated program. The claimant must list the materials and supplies that were used to perform the mandated activity, the number of units consumed, the cost per unit, and the total dollar amount claimed. Materials and supplies purchased to perform a particular mandated activity are expected to be reasonable in quality, quantity, and cost. Purchases in excess of reasonable quality, quantity, and cost are not reimbursable. Materials and supplies withdrawn from inventory and charged to the mandated activity must be based on a recognized method of pricing, consistently applied. Purchases shall be claimed at the actual price after deducting discounts, rebates, and allowances received by local agencies.

(f) Calculating a Unit Cost for Materials and Supplies

In those instances where the parameters and guidelines suggest that a unit cost be developed for use as a basis of claiming costs mandated by the State, the materials and supplies component of the unit cost should be expressed as a unit cost of materials and supplies as shown in Table 1 or Table 2:

Table 1: Calculating A Unit Cost for Materials and Supplies

Supplies	<u>Cost Per Unit</u>	<u>Amount of Supplies Used Per Activity</u>	<u>Unit Cost of Supplies Per Activity</u>
Paper	0.02	4	\$0.08
Files	0.10	1	0.10
Envelopes	0.03	2	0.06
Photocopies	0.10	4	<u>0.40</u>
			<u>\$0.64</u>

Table 2: Calculating a Unit Cost for Materials and Supplies

Supplies	Supplies Used	Unit Cost of Supplies Per Activity
Paper (\$10.00 for 500 sheet ream)	250 Sheets	\$5.00
Files (\$2.50 for box of 25)	10 Folders	1.00
Envelopes (\$3.00 for box of 100)	50 Envelopes	1.50
Photocopies (\$0.05 per copy)	40 Copies	<u>2.00</u>
		<u><u>\$9.50</u></u>
<p>If the number of reimbursable instances is 25, then the unit cost of supplies is \$0.38 per reimbursable instance ($\\$9.50 / 25$).</p>		

(g) Contract Services

The cost of contract services is allowable if the school district lacks the staff resources or necessary expertise, or it is economically feasible to hire a contractor to perform the mandated activity. The claimant must give the name of the contractor, explain the reason for having to hire a contractor, describe the mandated activities performed, give the dates when the activities were performed, the number of hours spent performing the mandate, the hourly billing rate, and the total cost. The hourly billing rate shall not exceed the rate specified in the parameters and guidelines for the mandated program. The contractor's invoice, or statement, which includes an itemized list of costs for activities performed, must accompany the claim.

(h) Equipment Rental Costs

Equipment purchases and leases (with an option to purchase) are not reimbursable as a direct cost unless specifically allowed by the parameters and guidelines for the particular mandate. Equipment rentals used solely for the mandate is reimbursable to the extent such costs do not exceed the retail purchase price of the equipment plus a finance charge. The claimant must explain the purpose and use for the equipment, the time period for which the equipment was rented and the total cost of the rental. If the equipment is used for purposes other than reimbursable activities, only the pro rata portion of the rental costs can be claimed.

(i) Capital Outlay

Capital outlays for land, buildings, equipment, furniture and fixtures may be claimed if the parameters and guidelines specify them as allowable. If they are allowable, the parameters and guidelines for the program will specify a basis for the reimbursement. If the fixed asset or equipment is also used for purposes other than reimbursable activities for a specific mandate, only the pro rata portion of the purchase price used to implement the reimbursable activities can be claimed.

(j) Travel Expenses

Travel expenses are normally reimbursable in accordance with travel rules and regulations of the local jurisdiction. For some programs, however, the parameters and guidelines may specify certain limitations on expenses, or that expenses can only be reimbursed in accordance with the State Board of Control travel standards. When claiming travel expenses, the claimant must explain the purpose of the trip, identify the name and address of the persons incurring the expense, the date and time of departure

and return for the trip, description of each expense claimed, the cost of transportation, number of private auto miles traveled, and the cost of tolls and parking with receipts required for charges over \$10.00.

(k) Documentation

It is the responsibility of the claimant to make available to the SCO, upon request, documentation in the form of general and subsidiary ledgers, purchase orders, invoices, contracts, canceled warrants, equipment usage records, land deeds, receipts, employee time sheets, agency travel guidelines, inventory records, and other relevant documents to support claimed costs. The type of documentation necessary for each claim may differ with the type of mandate.

8. Indirect Costs

Indirect costs are: (a) Incurred for a common or joint purpose benefiting more than one cost objective, and (b) not readily assignable to the cost objectives specifically benefited, without effort disproportionate to the results achieved. Indirect costs can originate in the department performing the mandate or in departments that supply the department performing the mandate with goods, services and facilities. As noted previously, in order for a cost to be allowable, it must be allocable to a particular cost objective. With respect to indirect costs, this requires that the cost be distributed to benefiting cost objectives on bases, which produce an equitable result in relation to the benefits derived by the mandate.

School districts and county superintendents of schools may use the indirect cost rates approved by the California Department of Education based on J-380/580/780/SACS Expenditure Data whichever is applicable to the fiscal year of the claim.

The amount of indirect costs the claimant is eligible to claim is computed by multiplying the rate by direct costs. When applying the rate, multiply the rate by mandated direct costs not included in either (1) total support services, EDP No. 422 of the J-380 or J-580, or (2) indirect costs on Form ICR of the California Department of Education's SACS Financial Reporting Software. If there are any exceptions to this general rule for applying the indirect cost rate, they will be found in the individual mandate instructions.

9. Time Study Guidelines

Background

For costs incurred on or after January 1, 2005, a reasonable reimbursement methodology can be used as a formula for reimbursing school district costs mandated by the state that meet certain conditions specified in GC Section 17518.5(a). For costs incurred prior to January 1, 2005, a time study can only substitute for continuous records of actual time spent for a specific fiscal year if the program's P's & G's allow for the use of time studies.

Two methods are acceptable for documenting employee time charged to mandated cost programs: Actual Time Reporting and Time Study, which are described below. Application of time study results is restricted. As explained in Time Study Results below the results may be projected forward a maximum of two years provided the claimant meets certain criteria.

Actual Time Reporting

Parameters and Guidelines define reimbursable activities for each mandated cost program. (Some P's & G's refer to reimbursable activities as reimbursable components.) When employees work on multiple activities and/or programs, a distribution of their salaries or wages must be supported by personnel activity reports or equivalent documentation that meets the following standards (which

clarify documentation requirements discussed under the Reimbursable Activities section of recent P's & G's):

- They must reflect an after-the-fact (contemporaneous) distribution of the actual activity of each employee;
- They must account for the total activity for which each employee is compensated;
- They must be prepared at least monthly and must coincide with one or more pay periods; and
- They must be signed by the employee.

Budget estimates, or other distribution percentages determined before services are performed, do not qualify as support for time distribution.

Time Study

In certain cases, a time study may be used to substitute for continuous records of actual time spent on multiple activities and/or programs. An effective time study requires that an activity be a task that is repetitive in nature. Activities that require a varying level of effort are not appropriate for time studies.

Time Study Plan

A time study plan is necessary before conducting the time study. The claimant must retain the time study plan for audit purposes. The plan needs to identify the following:

- Time period(s) to be studied – The plan must show that all time periods selected are representative of the fiscal year and that the results can be reasonably projected to approximate actual costs.
- Activities and/or programs to be studied – For each mandated program included, the time study must separately identify each reimbursable activity defined in the mandated program's P's & G's, which are derived from the program's Statement of Decision. If a reimbursable activity in the P's & G's identifies separate and distinct sub-activities, they must also be treated as individual activities.

For example, sub-activities (a), (b), and (c) under reimbursable activity (B) (1) of the local agency's Domestic Violence Treatment Services: Authorization and Case Management program relate to information to be discussed during victim notification by the probation department and therefore are not separate and distinct activities. These sub-activities do not have to be separately studied.

- Process used to accomplish each reimbursable activity – Use flowcharts or similar analytical tools and/or written desk procedures to describe the process for each activity.
- Employee universe – The employee universe used in the time study must include all positions whose salaries and wages are to be allocated by means of the time study.
- Employee sample selection methodology – The plan must show that employees selected are representative of the employee universe, and the results can be reasonably projected to approximate actual costs. In addition, the employee sample size should be proportional to the variation in time spent to perform a task. The sample size should be larger for tasks with significant time variations.

- Time increments to be recorded – The time increments used should be sufficient to recognize the number of different activities performed and the dynamics of these responsibilities. Very large increments (such as one hour or more) might be used for employees performing only a few functions that change very slowly over time. Very small increments (a number of minutes) may be needed for employees performing more short-term tasks.

Random moment sampling is not an acceptable alternative to continuous time records for mandated cost claims. Random moment sampling techniques are most applicable in situations where employees perform many different types of activities on a variety of programs with small time increments throughout the fiscal year.

Time Study Documentation

Time studies must:

- Be supported by time records that are completed contemporaneously;
- Report activity on a daily basis;
- Be sufficiently detailed to reflect all mandated activities and/or programs performed during a specific time period; and
- Coincide with one or more pay periods.

Time records must be signed by the employee (electronic signatures are acceptable) and be supported by corroborating evidence, which validates that the work was actually performed. As with actual time reporting, budget estimates, or other distribution percentages determined before services are performed do not qualify as valid time studies.

Time Study Results

Time study results must be summarized to show how the time study supports the costs claimed for each activity. Any variations from the procedures identified in the original time study plan must be documented and explained.

Current-year costs must be used to prepare a time study. Claimants may project time study results to no more than two subsequent fiscal years. A claimant may not apply time study results retroactively.

- Annual Reimbursement Claims – Claimants may use time studies to support costs incurred on or after January 1, 2005. Claimants may not use time studies for the period July 1, 2004, through December 31, 2004, unless (1) the program's P's & G's specifically allow time studies, and (2) the time study is prepared based on mandated activity occurring between July 1, 2004, and December 31, 2004.
- Initial Claims – When filing an initial claim for new mandated programs, claimants may only use time study results for costs incurred on or after January 1, 2005. Claimants may not use time studies to support costs incurred before January 1, 2005, unless (1) the program's P's & G's specifically allow time studies, and (2) the claimant prepares separate time studies for each fiscal year preceding January 1, 2005, based on mandated activity occurring during those years.

When projecting time study results, the claimant must certify that there have been no significant changes between years in either (1) the requirements of each mandated program activity or (2) the processes and procedures used to accomplish the activity. For all years, the claimant must maintain corroborating evidence that validates the mandated activity was actually performed. Time study results used to support subsequent years' claims are subject to the recordkeeping requirements for those claims.

10. Offset Against State Mandated Claims

As noted previously, allowable costs are defined as those direct and indirect costs, less applicable credits, considered to be eligible for reimbursement. When all or part of the costs of a mandated program are specifically reimbursable from local assistance revenue sources (e.g., state, federal, foundation, etc.), only that portion of any increased costs payable from school district funds is eligible for reimbursement under the provisions of GC Section 17561.

Example 1:

As illustrated in Table 5, this example shows how the "Offset against State Mandated Claims" is determined for school districts receiving block grant revenues not based on a formula allocation. Program costs for each of the situations equals \$100,000.

Table 5: Offset Against State Mandates, Example 1

	Program Costs	Actual Local Assistance Revenues	State Mandated Costs	Offset Against State Mandated Claims	Claimable Mandated Costs
1.	\$100,000	\$95,000	\$2,500	\$-0-	\$2,500
2.	100,000	97,000	2,500	-0-	2,500
3.	100,000	98,000	2,500	500	2,000
4.	100,000	100,000	2,500	2,500	-0-
5.	100,000 *	50,000	2,500	1,250	1,250
6.	100,000 *	49,000	2,500	250	2,250

* School district share is \$50,000 of the program cost.

Numbers (1) through (4), in Table 5, show intended funding at 100% from local assistance revenue sources. Numbers (5) and (6) show cost sharing on a 50/50 basis with the district. In numbers (1) through (6), included in the program costs of \$100,000 are state mandated costs of \$2,500. The offset against state mandated claims are the amount of actual local assistance revenues which exceeds the difference between program costs and state mandated costs. This offset cannot exceed the amount of state mandated costs.

In (1), local assistance revenues were less than expected. Local assistance funding was not in excess of the difference between program costs and state mandated costs. As a result, the offset against state mandated claims is zero and \$2,500 is claimable as mandated costs.

In (4), local assistance revenues were fully realized to cover the entire cost of the program, including the state mandate activity; therefore, the offset against state mandated claims is \$2,500, and claimable costs are \$0.

In (5), the district is sharing 50% of the project cost. Since local assistance revenues of \$50,000 were fully realized, the offset against state mandated claims is \$1,250.

In (6), local assistance revenues were less than the amount expended and the offset against state mandated claims is \$250. Therefore, the claimable mandated costs are \$2,250.

Example 2:

As illustrated in Table 6, this example shows how the offset against state mandated claims is determined for school districts receiving special project funds based on approved actual costs. Local assistance revenues for special projects must be applied proportionately to approved costs.

Table 6: Offset Against State Mandates, Example 2

	Program Costs	Actual Local Assistance Revenues	State Mandated Costs	Offset Against State Mandated Claims	Claimable Mandated Costs
1.	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$-0-
2.	100,000 **	75,000	2,500	1,875	625
3.	100,000 **	45,000	1,500	1,125	375

** School district share is \$25,000 of the program cost.

In (2), the entire program cost was approved. Since the local assistance revenue source covers 75% of the program cost, it also proportionately covered 75% of the \$2,500 state mandated costs, or \$1,875.

If in (3) local assistance revenues are less than the amount expected because only \$60,000 of the \$100,000 program costs were determined to be valid by the contracting agency, then a proportionate share of state mandated costs is likewise reduced to \$1,500. The offset against state mandated claims are \$1,125. Therefore, the claimable mandated costs are \$375.

Offsetting Revenues – For Direct Mandated Program Costs Included in District’s Indirect Cost Pool

Districts claim indirect costs using indirect cost rates approved by the California Department of Education (CDE). Districts calculate these rates based on criteria specified in CDE’s California School Accounting Manual. An offsetting revenue situation occurs when districts claim direct mandate-related costs (salaries and benefits, material and supplies, and/or contracted services) that are also included in the district’s indirect cost pool.

When the district’s indirect cost pool includes direct mandate-related costs, the district may use the following methodology to calculate offsetting revenue. The offsetting revenue calculation reflects the portion of direct mandate-related costs that a district recovers from federal and other state funding sources. A district may develop its own methodology; however, the district must be able to show that its methodology accurately calculates offsetting revenues.

A district’s indirect cost rate computation is comprised of expenditures from the General Fund (fund 01), Adult Education Fund (fund 11), Child Development Fund (fund 12), Cafeteria Special Revenue Fund (fund 13), and Cafeteria Enterprise Fund (fund 61). To determine offsetting revenues, calculate the percentage of federal and other state revenues (excluding Mandated Cost Reimbursements (resource code 8550) and State Lottery Revenue (resource code 8560) versus total revenues for these funds combined.

Apply this percentage to the direct mandate-related costs that are included in the indirect cost pool. The following summarizes the calculation:

$[(A + B)/C] \times D = R$, where

A = Total federal revenue for the specified funds

B = Total other state revenue (excluding Mandated Cost Reimbursements and State Lottery Revenue) for the specified funds

C = Total revenue for the specified funds

D = Direct mandate-related costs included in district’s indirect cost pool

R = Offsetting revenue

In addition, districts must ensure that they calculate mandate-related indirect costs correctly. Direct mandate-related costs included in the district's indirect cost pool are consequently not included in the direct cost base that is used to calculate the indirect cost rate. Thus, the district cannot apply the indirect cost rate to these mandate-related costs. Districts should deduct these costs from total direct mandate-related costs before applying the indirect cost rate to calculate mandate-related indirect costs.

Federal and State Funding Sources

State school fund apportionments and federal aid for education, which are based on average daily attendance and are part of the general system of financing public schools as well as block grants which do not provide for specific reimbursement of costs (i.e., allocation formulas not tied to expenditures), should not be included as reimbursements from local assistance revenue sources.

Governing Authority

The costs of salaries and expenses of the governing authority, such as the school superintendent and governing board, are not reimbursable. These are costs of general government as described in the Office of Management and Budget Circular (OMB) 2 CFR Part 225.

11. Notice of Claim Adjustment

All claims submitted to the SCO are reviewed to determine if the claim was prepared in accordance with the claiming instructions. If any adjustments are made to a claim, the claimant will receive a "Notice of Claim Adjustments" detailing adjustments made by the SCO.

12. Audit of Costs

All claims submitted to the State Controller's Office (SCO) are reviewed to determine if costs are related to the mandate, are reasonable and not excessive, and the claim was prepared in accordance with the SCO's claiming instructions and the P's & G's adopted by the COSM. If any adjustments are made to a claim, a "Notice of Claim Adjustment" specifying the claim component adjusted, the amount adjusted, and the reason for the adjustment will be mailed within 30 days after payment of the claim.

Pursuant to GC Section 17558.5, subdivision (a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a school district pursuant to this chapter is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. In any case, an audit shall be completed no later than two years after the date that the audit is commenced. All documents used to support the reimbursable activities must be retained during the period subject to audit. If an audit has been initiated by the Controller during the period subject to audit, the retention period is extended until the ultimate resolution of any audit findings.

On-site audits will be conducted by the SCO as deemed necessary. Accordingly, all documentation to support actual costs claimed must be retained for a period of three years after the end of the calendar year in which the reimbursement claim was filed or amended regardless of the year of costs incurred. When no funds are appropriated for initial claims at the time the claim is filed, supporting documents must be retained for three years from the date of initial payment of the claim. Claim documentation shall be made available to the SCO on request.

13. Source Documents

To be eligible for mandated cost reimbursement for any fiscal year, only actual costs may be claimed. Actual costs are those costs actually incurred to implement the mandated activities. Actual costs must be traceable and supported by source documents that show the validity of such costs, when they were incurred, and their relationship to the reimbursable activities. A source document is a document created at or near the same time the actual cost was incurred for the event or activity in question. Source documents may include, but are not limited to, employee time records or time logs, sign-in sheets, invoices, and receipts.

Evidence corroborating the source documents may include, but is not limited to, worksheets, cost allocation reports (system generated), purchase orders, contracts, agendas, training packets, and declarations. Declarations must include a certification or declaration stating: "I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct based upon personal knowledge." Evidence corroborating the source documents may include data relevant to the reimbursable activities otherwise in compliance with local, state, and federal government requirements. However, corroborating documents cannot be substituted for source documents.

For costs incurred on or after January 1, 2005, a reasonable reimbursement methodology can be used as a "formula for reimbursing school district costs mandated by the State" that meets certain conditions specified in 17518.5(a). For costs incurred prior to January 1, 2005, time study can substitute for continuous records of actual time spent for a specific fiscal year only if the program's P's & G's allow for the use of time studies.

14. Claim Forms and Instructions

A claimant may submit a computer generated report in substitution for Form-1 and Form-2, provided the format of the report and data fields contained within the report are identical to the claim forms included with these instructions. The claim forms provided with these instructions should be duplicated and used by the claimant to file an estimated or reimbursement claim. The SCO will revise the manual and claim forms as necessary.

A. Form-2, Component/Activity Cost Detail

This form is used to segregate the detail costs by claim component. In some mandates, specific reimbursable activities have been identified for each component. The expenses reported on this form must be supported by the official financial records of the claimant and copies of supporting documentation, as specified in the claiming instructions, must be submitted with the claims. All supporting documents must be retained for a period of not less than three years after the reimbursement claim was filed or last amended.

B. Form-1, Claim Summary

This form is used to summarize direct costs by component and compute allowable indirect costs for the mandate. The direct costs summarized on this form are derived from Form-2 and are carried forward to form FAM-27.

School districts and county superintendents of schools may compute the amount of indirect costs using the indirect cost rates approved by the California Department of Education based on J-380/580/780/SACS Expenditure Data applicable to the fiscal year of the claim.

C. Form FAM-27, Claim for Payment

This form contains a certification that must be signed by an authorized officer of the school district. All applicable information from Form-1 must be carried forward onto this form in order

for the SCO to process the claim for payment. An original and one copy of the FAM-27 is required.

Claims should be rounded to the nearest dollar. Submit a signed original and one copy of form FAM-27, Claim for Payment, and all other forms and supporting documents **(To expedite the payment process, please sign the form FAM-27 with blue ink, and attach a copy of the form FAM-27 to the top of the claim package.)** Use the following mailing addresses:

If delivered by
U.S. Postal Service:

If delivered by
Other delivery services:

Office of the State Controller
Attn: Local Reimbursements Section
Division of Accounting and Reporting
P.O. Box 942850
Sacramento, CA 94250

Office of the State Controller
Attn: Local Reimbursements Section
Division of Accounting and Reporting
3301 C Street, Suite 500
Sacramento, CA 95816

15. Retention of Claiming Instructions

For your convenience, the revised claiming instructions in this package have been arranged in alphabetical order by program name. These revisions should be inserted in the School Mandated Cost Manual and the old forms they replace should be removed. The instructions should then be retained permanently for future reference, and the forms should be duplicated to meet your filing requirements. Annually, updated forms and any other information or instructions claimants may need to file claims, as well as instructions and forms for all new programs released throughout the year will be placed on the SCO's web site at www.sco.ca.gov/ard/local/locreim/index.shtml.

If you have any questions concerning mandated cost reimbursements, please write to us at the address listed for filing claims, or send e-mail to lrsdar@sco.ca.gov, or call the Local Reimbursements Section at (916) 324-5729.

16. Retention of Claim Records and Supporting Documentation

All claims submitted to the SCO are reviewed to determine if costs are related to the mandate, are reasonable and not excessive, and that the claim was prepared in accordance with the SCO's claiming instructions and the COSM's P's and G's. If any adjustments are made to a claim, a "Notice of Claim Adjustments" specifying the claim component adjusted, the amount adjusted, and the reason for the adjustment, will be mailed within 30 days after payment of the claim.

On-site audits will be conducted by the SCO as deemed necessary. Pursuant to GC Section 17558.5, subdivision (a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a school district is subject to audit by the SCO no later than three years after the date the actual reimbursement claim was filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds were appropriated or no payment was made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim was filed, the time for the SCO to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. Therefore, all documentation to support actual costs claimed must be retained for the same period, and shall be made available to the SCO on request.

OFFICE OF THE STATE CONTROLLER

STATE MANDATED COSTS CLAIMING INSTRUCTIONS NO. 2005-09

ANNUAL REVISIONS - SCHOOL DISTRICTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2005

Government Code Section (GC §) 17561 provides for the reimbursement of state mandated costs. Enclosed is information for updating the Mandated Cost Manual for Schools. The manual contains all forms and instructions that are necessary for school districts to file 2005-06 fiscal year annual claims with the State Controller's Office (SCO).

Estimated claims for costs to be incurred during the 2005-06 fiscal year and reimbursement claims detailing the costs actually incurred in the 2004-05 fiscal year must be filed with the SCO. **Claims must be delivered or postmarked on or before January 17, 2006.** If the reimbursement claim is filed after the deadline, but by January 15, 2007, the approved claim will be reduced by a late penalty of 10% for initially filed claims and for continuing programs, the late fee is 10% not to exceed \$1,000. In order for a claim to be considered properly filed, the claim must include supporting documentation as specified in the instructions to substantiate the costs claimed. In addition, the claimant must explain the functions performed by each employee for whom costs were claimed. Claims will not be accepted if filed more than one year after the deadline or without supporting documentation.

Amounts appropriated for payment of program costs are shown beginning on page 5 under "Appropriations for the State Mandated Cost Programs for the 2005-06 Fiscal Year." The fiscal years for which costs can be claimed for a mandated cost program are shown beginning on page 7 under "Reimbursable State Mandated Costs Programs." To prepare 2005-06 estimated claims and 2004-05 reimbursement claims, forms in the manual should be duplicated to meet the district's filing requirements. Claim amounts should be rounded to the nearest dollar.

Submit a signed original and a copy of form FAM-27, Claim for Payment, and all other forms and supporting documents. **(To expedite the payment process, please sign the form in blue ink, and attach a copy of the form FAM-27 to the top of the claim package.)** Use the following mailing addresses:

If delivered by
U.S. Postal Service:

Office of the State Controller
Attn: Local Reimbursements Section
Division of Accounting and Reporting
P.O. Box 942850
Sacramento, CA 94250

If delivered by
Other delivery services:

Office of the State Controller
Attn: Local Reimbursements Section
Division of Accounting and Reporting
3301 C Street, Suite 500
Sacramento, CA 95816

MINIMUM CLAIM COST

GC § 17564(a) provides that no claim shall be filed pursuant to Sections 17551 and 17561, unless such a claim exceeds one thousand dollars (\$1,000), provided that a county superintendent of schools may submit a combined claim on behalf of school districts within their county if the combined claim exceeds \$1,000, even if the individual school district's claim does not each exceed \$1,000. The county superintendent of schools shall determine if the submission of the combined claim is economically feasible and shall be responsible for disbursing the funds to each school district. Combined claims may be filed only when the county superintendent of schools is the fiscal agent for the school districts. A combined claim must show the individual claim costs for each eligible school district. All subsequent claims based upon the same mandate shall only be filed in the combined form unless a school district provides a written notice of its intent to file a separate claim to the county superintendent of schools and to the SCO at least 180 days prior to the deadline for filing the claim.

ESTIMATED CLAIMS

Unless otherwise specified in the claiming instructions, claimants do not have to provide cost schedules and supporting documents with the estimated claim if the estimated amount does not exceed the prior fiscal year's actual costs by more than 10%. The claimant can simply enter the estimated amount on form FAM-27, line (07). However, if the estimated claim exceeds the prior fiscal year's actual costs by more than 10%, the claimant must complete claim forms as specified in the claiming instructions for the program and explain the reason for the increased costs. If the explanation to support the higher estimate is not provided, the claim will automatically be adjusted to 110% of the prior fiscal year's actual costs.

PROGRAM UPDATES FOR 2004-05 FISCAL YEAR

Ch. 486/75, Mandate Reimbursement Process

Ch. 486/75, Mandate Reimbursement Process, provides reimbursement for the cost of: (1) preparing and presenting successful test claims, and (2) preparing and submitting successful reimbursement claims to the SCO. With respect to preparing and submitting claims to the SCO, the 2004 State Budget Act (Chapter 208, Statutes of 2004) imposed in the 2004-05 fiscal year the same limitations as those imposed in the prior fiscal year. Claiming instructions and forms for Chapter 486/75, Mandate Reimbursement Process, were issued separately and are not included in this revision. Limitations on reimbursement for independent contractor costs are as follows:

“If a school district contracts with an independent contractor for the preparation and submission of reimbursement claims, the costs reimbursable by the state for that purpose shall not exceed the lesser of (1) 10 percent of the amount of the claims prepared and submitted by the independent contractor, or (2) the actual costs that would necessarily have been incurred for that purpose if performed by employees of the school district.

The maximum amount of reimbursement provided (in the above provision) may be exceeded only if the school district establishes, by appropriate documentation, that the preparation and submission of these claims could not have been accomplished without incurring the additional costs claimed by the school district”.

Updates of Rates and Factors

The following rates are to be used for filing 2004-05 reimbursement claims. These rates are computed by adjusting the 2003-04 rates by changes in the Implicit Price Deflator (IPD) as determined by the State Department of Finance's Report of April 2005, National Deflators, State and Local Purchases. The estimated change in the IPD for 2004-05 is 4.5%. For preparing the 2005-06 estimated claims, districts may use the program's 2004-05 rate or increase the 2004-05 rate by the estimated 2005-06 IPD change of 3.5% to determine 2005-06 estimated claim amounts. In the subsequent fiscal year, the estimated amount must be adjusted to actual cost.

- *Ch. 448/75, Annual Parent Notification III (Program No. 221)*

The 2004-05 unit rate is \$0.0697 per page of printed notification material distributed to parents and guardians, and \$0.2772 per notice.

- *Ch. 961/75, Collective Bargaining (Program No. 11)*

The 2004-05 GNP Deflator factor for adjusting the 1974-75 Winton Act cost is 3.564.

- *Ch. 498/83, Graduation Requirements (Program No. 26)*

The 2004-05 maximum reimbursement hourly rate for contract services is \$122.06. Staffing cost reimbursement is limited to salary and other remuneration differentials, if any, of a science teacher, and the cost of lab assistants or special training aids required by a science class. The addition of science classes should have resulted in offsetting savings due to a corresponding reduction of non-science classes.

- *Ch. 1177/76, Immunization Records (Program No. 32)*

The 2004-05 unit rate is \$5.65 per new entrant (K-12). A new entrant does not include a student previously enrolled in a school within the State of California.

Payment of the cost of immunization records for 1992-93 and subsequent fiscal years are made pursuant to the State Mandates Apportionment System (SMAS) to those school districts with an established base year entitlement. An entitlement amount is determined by the SCO by averaging the district's actual costs (from reimbursement claims filed) for 1989-90, 1990-91, and 1991-92, or any three consecutive fiscal years thereafter, adjusted by changes in the IPD. The amount of apportionment the district receives for 1992-93 and subsequent fiscal years is the base year entitlement amount adjusted by annual changes in IPD and workload. "Workload" means change in the district's average daily attendance from the previous fiscal year.

Once the district has filed actual costs for 1989-90 through 1991-92, or any three consecutive fiscal years thereafter, no further filing of claims is necessary. The claimant will automatically receive an annual payment by November 30 of each fiscal year. A district without an established entitlement amount must continue to file reimbursement claims until three consecutive fiscal years of costs are available to compute a base year cost.

- *Ch. 325/78, Immunization Records: Hepatitis B (Program No. 230)*

The 2004-05 unit rate is \$7.08 per new entrant (K-12). A new entrant does not include a student previously enrolled in a school within the State of California. And \$3.71 per student in the seventh grade.

- *Ch. 1423/84, Juvenile Court Notices II (Program No. 155)*

The 2004-05 unit rates for the number of notices received from the juvenile court system and distributed to school district personnel is \$39.89 per notice received, and the number of written requests received from parents or guardian to review the record to ensure the record has been destroyed is \$28.36 per letter received.

- *Ch. 498/83, Notification of Truancy (Program No. 48)*

The 2004-05 unit cost reimbursement is \$14.28 per initial truancy notification. The unit cost covers all costs (direct and indirect), including, but not limited to, identifying the truant pupil, preparing and distributing by mail or other methods of notification to parents/guardians, and associated record keeping.

- *Ch. 641/86, Open Meetings Act/Brown Act Reform II (Program No. 218)*

The 2004-05 uniform cost allowance is \$124.64 per meeting. This uniform allowance covers all of the direct and indirect costs incurred in compliance with this mandate.

- *Ch. 668/78, Pupil Exclusions (Program No. 165)*

The 2004-05 unit cost reimbursement is \$0.18 per page for the cost of including specific information in the notice of pupil exclusion to the parents or guardian. The unit cost rate covers all costs (direct and indirect) of performing activities required by subparagraph (2), (3), and (4), of Education Code Section 48213.

- *Ch. 1347/80, Scoliosis Screening (Program No. 58)*

The 2004-05 unit cost rate is \$6.77 per student screened. This rate covers all costs (direct and indirect), incurred including activities for, but not limited to, parent notification, screening, re-screening, referral and follow-up, record keeping, and administration of the program.

- *Ch. 818/91, Aids Prevention Instruction II (Program No. 250)*

The 2004-05 uniform cost allowance is \$0.0700 per notice. This uniform allowance covers all of the direct and indirect costs incurred in compliance with this mandate.

FINAL FILING DEADLINE FOR 2004-05 FISCAL YEAR CLAIMS

The final filing deadline for 2004-05 reimbursement claims is **January 17, 2006**. A late penalty of 10% of the approved claim will be applied to 2004-05 claims filed after January 17, 2006. **Claims filed after January 15, 2007, will not be accepted.**

APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE 2005-06 FISCAL YEAR

Source of State Mandated Cost Appropriations - 2005 State Budget Act (Chapter 38/05)

Schedule	Program	Amount Appropriated
Item 6110-295-0001		
(1) Chapter 36/77	Annual Parent Notification III	\$1,000
(2) Chapter 98/94	Caregiver Affidavits	1,000
(3) Chapter 161/93	Intradistrict Attendance	1,000
(4) Chapter 486/75	Mandate Reimbursement Process	1,000
(5) Chapter 498/83	Graduation Requirements	1,000
(6) Chapter 498/83	Notification of Truancy	1,000
(7) Chapter 498/83	Pupil Suspensions, Expulsions/Expulsion Appeals	1,000
(8) Chapter 641/86	Open Meetings Act/Brown Act Reform	1,000 ¹
(9) Chapter 781/92	Charter Schools	1,000
(10) Chapter 783/95	Investment Reports	0 ²
(11) Chapter 799/80	PERS Death Benefits	1,000 ³
(12) Chapter 818/91	AIDS Prevention Instruction	1,000
(13) Chapter 961/75	Collective Bargaining	1,000
(14) Chapter 1208/76	Pupil Health Screenings	1,000
(15) Chapter 975/95	Physical Performance Tests	1,000
(16) Chapter 1011/84	Juvenile Court Notices II (Ch. 1423, Stats. 1984)	1,000
(17) Chapter 1107/84	Removal of Chemicals	1,000
(18) Chapter 1117/89	Law Enforcement Agency Notifications	1,000
(19) Chapter 1176/77	Immunization Records	1,000
(20) Chapter 1184/75	Habitual Truants	1,000
(21) Chapter 1253/75	Expulsion Transcripts	1,000
(22) Chapter 1306/89	Notification to Teachers of Public Expulsion	1,000
(23) Chapter 1347/80	Scoliosis Screening	1,000
(24) Chapter 1398/74	PERS Unused Sick Leave Credit	1,000 ³
(25) Chapter 1463/89	School Accountability Report Cards	1,000
(26) Chapter 1659/84	Emergency Procedures	1,000
(27) Chapter 309/95	Pupil Residency Verification and Appeals	1,000
(28) Chapter 588/97	Criminal Background Checks	1,000
(29) Chapter 759/92	School Crimes Reporting II	0 ²
(30) Chapter 624/92	School Bus Safety I and II	0 ²
(31) Chapter 465/76	Peace Officers Procedural Bill of Rights	1,000
(32) Chapter 36/77	Financial and Compliance Audits	1,000
(33) Chapter 640/97	Physical Education Reports	1,000
(34) Chapter 1120/96	Health Benefits for Survivors of Peace Officers & Firefighters	1,000
(35) Chapter 917/87	County Office of Education Fiscal Accountability Reporting	1,000
(36) Chapter 100/81	School District Fiscal Accountability Reporting	1,000

¹ The Commission on State Mandates set aside this program as directed by AB 138, Statutes of 2005.

² These programs are suspended for the 2004-05 and 2005-06 fiscal year's budget.

³ Funds appropriated in nos. 11 and 24 are for transfer to the Public Employees' Retirement System for reimbursement of cost incurred pursuant to 799/80 or 1398/74.

APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE 2005-06 FISCAL YEAR

Source of State Mandated Cost Appropriations-2005 State Budget Act (Chapter 38/05) (con't.)

Schedule	Program	Amount Appropriated
Item 6110-295-0001		
(37) Chapter 875/85	Photographic Record of Evidence	1,000
(38) Chapter 126/93	Law Enforcement Sexual Harassment Training	0 ²
(39) Chapter 784/95	County Treasury Oversight Committee	0 ²
(40) Chapter 736/97	Comprehensive School Safety Plans	1,000
(41) Chapter 1249/92	Threats Against Peace Officers	1,000
(42) Chapter 325/78	Immunization Records-Hepatitis B	1,000
(43) Chapter 1192/80	School District Reorganization	1,000
(44) Chapter 34/98	Charter Schools II	1,000
(45) Chapter 594/98	Criminal Background Checks II	1,000
(46) Chapter 1170/96	Grand Jury Proceedings	0 ²
Total Appropriations, Item 6110-295-0001		<u><u>\$40,000</u></u>

² These programs are suspended for the 2004-05 and 2005-06 fiscal year's budget.

REIMBURSABLE STATE MANDATED COST PROGRAMS

Claims for the following State mandated cost programs may be filed with the SCO. For your convenience, the programs are listed in alphabetical order by program name. An "X" indicates the fiscal year for which a claim may be filed.

2004-05 Reimburse- ment Claims	2005-06 Estimated Claims	School Districts and County Offices of Education	
x	x	Ch.	77/78 Absentee Ballots
x	x	Ch.	818/91 AIDS Prevention Instruction II
x	N/A ²	Ch.	778/96 American Government Course Document Requirements
x	x	Ch.	36/77 Annual Parent Notification III
x	x	Ch.	98/94 Caregiver Affidavits
x	x	Ch.	34/98 Charter Schools
x	x	Ch.	917/87 COE Fiscal Accountability Reporting
x	x	Ch.	961/75 Collective Bargaining
x	x	Ch.	736/97 Comprehensive School Safety Plans and Emergency Procedures, Earthquake Procedures and Disasters (Ch. 1659/84)
N/A ¹	N/A ²	Ch.	784/95 County Treasury Oversight Committee
x	x	Ch.	588/97 Criminal Background Checks I
x	x	Ch.	594/98 Criminal Background Checks II
x	x	Ch.	30/98 Differential Pay and Reemployment
x	x	Ch.	650/94 Employee Benefits Disclosure
x	x	Ch.	1253/75 Expulsion Transcripts
x	x	Ch.	36/77 Financial and Compliance Audits
x	x	Ch.	498/83 Graduation Requirements
N/A ¹	N/A ²	Ch.	1170/96 Grand Jury Proceedings
x	x	Ch.	1184/75 Habitual Truant
x	x	Ch.	1120/96 Health Benefits for Survivors of Peace Officers & Firefighters
x	x	Ch.	1176/77 Immunization Records
x	x	Ch.	325/78 Immunization Records: Hepatitis B
N/A ¹	N/A ²	Ch.	172/86 Interdistrict Attendance Permits
N/A ¹	N/A ²	Ch.	172/86 Interdistrict Transfer Requests: Parent's Employment
x	x	Ch.	161/93 Intradistrict Attendance
N/A ¹	N/A ²	Ch.	783/95 Investment Reports
x	x	Ch.	1011/84 Juvenile Court Notices II

¹ These programs are suspended for the 2004-05 fiscal year's budget per Chapter 208/04, Item 6110-295-0001.

² These programs are suspended for the 2005-06 fiscal year's budget per Chapter 38/05, Item 6110-295-0001.

REIMBURSABLE STATE MANDATED COST PROGRAMS (continued)

2004-05 Reimburse- ment Claims	2005-06 Estimated Claims	School Districts and County Offices of Education		
x	x	Ch.	1117/89	Law Enforcement Agency Notification
N/A ¹	N/A ²	Ch.	126/93	Law Enforcement Sexual Harassment Training
x	x	Ch.	486/75	Mandate Reimbursement Process
x	x	Ch.	498/83	Notification of Truancy
x	x	Ch.	1306/89	Notification to Teachers: Pupils Subject to Suspension of Expulsion
x	N/A ³	Ch.	641/86	Open Meetings/Brown Act Reform
x	x	Ch.	1284/88	Parent Classroom Visits
x	x	Ch.	465/76	Peace Officers Procedural Bill of Rights
x	x	Ch.	875/85	Photographic Record of Evidence
x	x	Ch.	640/97	Physical Education Reports
x	x	Ch.	975/95	Physical Performance Tests
x	x	Ch.	965/77	Pupil Classroom Suspension: Counseling
x	x	Ch.	668/78	Pupil Exclusions
x	x	Ch.	965/77	Pupil Health Screenings
x	x	Ch.	100/81	Pupil Promotion and Retention
x	x	Ch.	309/95	Pupil Residency Verification and Appeals
x	x	Ch.	1253/75	Pupil Suspensions, Expulsions, and Expulsion Appeals
x	x	Ch.	1107/84	Removal of Chemicals
x	x	Ch.	1463/89	School Accountability Report Cards
N/A ¹	N/A ²	Ch.	624/92	School Bus Safety I & II
N/A ¹	N/A ²	Ch.	759/92	School Crimes Reporting II (Ch. 410, Stats. 1995)
x	x	Ch.	100/81	School District Fiscal Accountability Reporting
x	x	Ch.	1192/80	School District Reorganization
x	x	Ch.	1347/80	Scoliosis Screening
x	N/A ⁴	Ch.	828/97	Standardized Testing & Reporting
x	x	Ch.	331/98	Teacher Incentive Program
x	x	Ch.	1249/92	Threats Against Peace Officers

¹ These programs are suspended for the 2004-05 fiscal year's budget per Chapter 208/04, Item 6110-295-0001.

² These programs are suspended for the 2005-06 fiscal year's budget per Chapter 38/05, Item 6110-295-0001.

³ Commission on State Mandates set aside this program as directed by AB 138, Statutes of 2005.

⁴ Commission on State Mandates was directed to reconsider this program and new Parameters and Guidelines will be forthcoming.

PROGRAMS SUSPENDED FOR THE 2005-06 FISCAL YEAR

Pursuant to GC §17581.5, the following education state mandated programs are identified in the 2005 State Budget Act, with a \$0 appropriation by the Legislature. Therefore, the following state mandated programs have been suspended for the 2005-06 fiscal year, and no claim for fiscal year 2005-06 shall be filed.

Chapter	784/95	County Treasury Oversight Committee
Chapter	1170/96	Grand Jury Proceedings
Chapter	783/95	Investment Reports
Chapter	126/93	Law Enforcement Sexual Harassment Training
Chapter	624/92	School Bus Safety I & II
Chapter	759/92	School Crimes Reporting II (Ch. 410, Stats. 1995)

The following education state mandated programs have been determined to be optional, repealed, or overturned by the court.

Chapter	172/86	Interdistrict Attendance Permits
Chapter	172/86	Interdistrict Transfer Requests: Parent's Employment
Chapter	160/93	School District of Choice: Transfers and Appeals
Chapter	1138/93	Schoolsite Councils and Brown Act Reform
Chapter	87/86	Schoolsite Discipline Rules

Commission on State Mandates set aside Parameters and Guidelines for the following programs:

Chapter	778/96	American Government Course Documentation Requirements
Chapter	641/86	Open Meetings/Brown Act Reform

AUDIT OF COSTS

All claims submitted to the SCO are reviewed to determine if costs are related to the mandate, are reasonable and not excessive, and that the claim was prepared in accordance with the SCO's claiming instructions and the Commission on State Mandate's Parameters and Guidelines (P's and G's). If any adjustments are made to a claim, a "Notice of Claim Adjustment" specifying the claim component adjusted, the amount adjusted, and the reason for the adjustment will be mailed within 30 days after payment of the claim.

On-site audits will be conducted by the SCO as deemed necessary. Pursuant to GC §17558.5, subdivision (a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a school district is subject to audit by the State Controller no later than three years after the date the actual reimbursement claim was filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds were appropriated or no payment was made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim was filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. Therefore, all documentation to support actual costs claimed must be retained for the same period, and shall be made available to the SCO on request.

SOURCE DOCUMENTS

To be eligible for mandated cost reimbursement for any fiscal year, only actual costs may be claimed. Actual costs are those costs actually incurred to implement the mandated activities. Actual costs must be traceable and supported by source documents that show the validity of such costs, when they were incurred, and their relationship to the reimbursable activities. A source document is a document created at or near the same time the actual cost was incurred for the event or activity in question. Source documents may include, but are not limited to, employee time records or time logs, sign-in sheets, invoices, and receipts.

Evidence corroborating the source documents may include, but is not limited to, worksheets, cost allocation reports (system generated), purchase orders, contracts, agendas, training packets, and declarations. Declarations must include a certification stating: "I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct based upon personal knowledge." Evidence corroborating the source documents may include data relevant to the reimbursable activities otherwise in compliance with local, state, and federal government requirements. However, corroborating documents cannot be substituted for source documents.

RETENTION OF CLAIMING INSTRUCTIONS

For your convenience, the revised claiming instructions in this package have been arranged in alphabetical order by program name. These revisions should be inserted in the School Mandated Cost Manual to replace the old forms. The instructions should then be retained permanently for future reference, and the forms should be duplicated to meet your filing requirements. Annually, updated forms and any other information or instructions claimants may need to file claims, as well as instructions and forms for all new programs released throughout the year will be placed on the SCO's Web site at www.sco.ca.gov/ard/local/locreim/index.shtml.

If you have any questions concerning mandated cost reimbursements, please write to us at the address listed for filing claims, send e-mail to LRS DAR@sco.ca.gov, or call the Local Reimbursements Section at (916) 324-5729.

NOTIFICATION OF TRUANCY

1. Summary of Chapter 498/83

Education Code § 48260.5, as added by Chapter 498, Statutes of 1983, requires that school districts, upon a pupil's initial classification as a truant, notify the pupil's parent or guardian by first-class mail or other reasonable means, of the pupil's truancy, that the parent or guardian is obligated to compel the attendance of the pupil at school and that the parent or guardian who fails to meet this obligation may be guilty of an infraction and subject to prosecution pursuant to Article 6 (commencing with § 48290) of Chapter 2 of Part 27.

Additionally, the district must inform parents and guardians of alternative educational programs available in the district, and the right to meet with appropriate school personnel to discuss solutions to the pupil's truancy.

- (1) Truancy occurs when a student is absent from school without valid excuse more than three (3) days or is tardy in excess of thirty (30) minutes on each of more than three (3) days in one school year. (Definition from Education Code § 48260).
- (2) A student shall be classified as truant upon the fourth unexcused absence, and the school must at that time perform the requirements mandated in Education Code 48260.5 as enacted by Chapter 498, Statutes of 1983.

On November 29, 1984, the Commission on State Mandates determined that Chapter 498, Statutes of 1983, resulted in state mandated costs which are reimbursable pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Government Code § 17500) of Division 4 of Title 2.

2. Eligible Claimants

Any school district (K-12) or county office of education that incurs increased costs as a result of this mandate is eligible to claim reimbursement of these costs.

3. Appropriations

Claims may only be filed with the State Controller's Office for programs that have been funded in the state budget, the State Mandates Claims Fund, or in special legislation. To determine if this program is funded in subsequent fiscal years, refer to the schedule "Appropriation for State Mandated Cost Programs" in the "Annual Claiming Instructions for State Mandated Costs" issued in September of each year to county superintendents of schools and superintendents of schools.

4. Types of Claims

A. Reimbursement and Estimated Claims

A claimant may file a reimbursement and/or an estimated claim. A reimbursement claim details the costs actually incurred for a prior fiscal year. An estimated claim shows the costs to be incurred for the current fiscal year.

B. Minimum Claim

Government Code § 17564(a), provides that no claim shall be filed pursuant to Government Code § 17561 unless such a claim exceeds \$200 per program per fiscal year. However, any county superintendent of schools, as fiscal agent for the school district, may submit a combined claim in excess of \$200 on behalf of one or more districts within the county even if the individual district's claim does not exceed \$200. A combined claim must show the individual costs for each district.

Once a combined claim is filed, all subsequent years relating to the same mandate must be filed in a combined form. The county receives the reimbursement payment and is responsible for disbursing funds to each participating district. A district may withdraw from the combined claim form by providing a written notice to the county superintendent of schools and the State Controller's Office of its intent to file a separate claim at least 180 days prior to the deadline for

filing the claim.

5. Filing Deadline

Refer to the item, "Reimbursable State Mandated Cost Programs", contained in the annual cover letter for mandated cost programs issued annually in September, which identifies the fiscal years for which claims may be filed. If an "x" is shown for the program listed under "19__/_ Reimbursement Claim", and/or "19__/_ Estimated Claim", claims may be filed as follows:

- (1) An estimated claim must be filed with the State Controller's Office and postmarked by November 30 of the fiscal year in which costs are to be incurred. Timely filed estimated claims will be paid before late claims.

After having received payment for an estimated claim, the claimant must file a reimbursement claim by November 30 of the following fiscal year. If the district fails to file a reimbursement claim, monies received for the estimated claim must be returned to the State. If no estimated claim was filed, the agency may file a reimbursement claim detailing the actual costs incurred for the fiscal year, provided there was an appropriation for the program for that fiscal year. For information regarding appropriations for reimbursement claims, refer to the "Appropriation for State Mandated Cost Programs" in the previous fiscal year's annual claiming instructions.

- (2) A reimbursement claim detailing the actual costs must be filed with the State Controller's Office and postmarked by November 30 following the fiscal year in which costs were incurred. If the claim is filed after the deadline but by November 30 of the succeeding fiscal year, the approved claim must be reduced by a late penalty of 10%, not to exceed \$1,000. Claims filed more than one year after the deadline will not be accepted.

6. Reimbursable Components

Eligible claimants will be reimbursed on a unit cost basis for an initial notice to the parents or guardian regarding the pupil's truancy. For the 1995/96 fiscal year the unit rate is \$10.97 per initial notice. The unit rate is adjusted annually by the changes in the implicit price deflator and covers all direct and indirect costs of the following on-going activities:

- A. Identifying the Truant Pupil
- B. Notification to Parent or Guardian
- C. Printing Additional Forms
- D. Recordkeeping

7. Reimbursement Limitations

- A. This program does not provide reimbursement for activities related to resolving truancy problems (i.e., referrals to attendance review board, meetings with parent or guardian to discuss the pupil's truancy problems and/or discuss alternative educational programs, etc.).
- B. Any offsetting savings or reimbursement the claimant received from any source (e.g. service fees collected, federal funds, other state funds, etc.) as a result of this mandate shall be identified and deducted so only net local costs are claimed.

For audit purposes, all supporting documents must be retained for a period of two years after the end of the calendar year in which the reimbursement claim was filed or last amended, whichever is later. Such documents shall be made available to the State Controller's Office on request.

8. Form NOT-1, Claim Summary

This form is used to compute the amount of claimable costs based on the number of reports forwarded to the governing board with the recommendation not to expel the student. The claimant must give the number of truant notifications. The cost data on this form is carried forward to form FAM-27.

9. Form FAM-27, Claim for Payment

Form FAM-27 contains a certification that must be signed by an authorized representative of the district. All applicable information from form NOT-1 must be carried forward to this form for the State Controller's Office to process the claim for payment.

CLAIM FOR PAYMENT Pursuant to Government Code Section 17561 NOTIFICATION OF TRUANCY	For State Controller Use Only (19) Program Number 00048 (20) Date Filed ___/___/___ (21) LRS Input ___/___/___	Program 048
---	---	--

L A B E L H E R E	(01) Claimant Identification Number		Reimbursement Claim Data	
	(02) Claimant Name		(22) NOT-1, (03)	
	County of Location		(23)	
	Street Address or P.O. Box Suite		(24)	
	City State Zip Code		(25)	
	Type of Claim	Estimated Claim	Reimbursement Claim	(26)
	(03) Estimated <input type="checkbox"/>	(09) Reimbursement <input type="checkbox"/>	(27)	
	(04) Combined <input type="checkbox"/>	(10) Combined <input type="checkbox"/>	(28)	
	(05) Amended <input type="checkbox"/>	(11) Amended <input type="checkbox"/>	(29)	
Fiscal Year of Cost	(06) 20 ___/___ 20 ___	(12) 20 ___/___ 20 ___	(30)	
Total Claimed Amount	(07)	(13)	(31)	
Less: 10% Late Penalty, not to exceed \$1,000		(14)	(32)	
Less: Prior Claim Payment Received		(15)	(33)	
Net Claimed Amount		(16)	(34)	
Due from State	(08)	(17)	(35)	
Due to State		(18)	(36)	

(37) CERTIFICATION OF CLAIM

In accordance with the provisions of Government Code Section 17561, I certify that I am the officer authorized by the school district to file mandated cost claims with the State of California for this program, and certify under penalty of perjury that I have not violated any of the provisions of Government Code Sections 1090 to 1098, inclusive.

I further certify that there was no application other than from the claimant, nor any grant or payment received, for reimbursement of costs claimed herein, and such costs are for a new program or increased level of services of an existing program. All offsetting savings and reimbursements set forth in the Parameters and Guidelines are identified, and all costs claimed are supported by source documentation currently maintained by the claimant.

The amounts for this Estimated Claim and/or Reimbursement Claim are hereby claimed from the State for payment of estimated and/or actual costs set forth on the attached statements. I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signature of Authorized Officer _____ Date _____

 Type or Print Name _____ Title _____

(38) Name of Contact Person for Claim Telephone Number () - Ext. _____
 E-Mail Address _____

Program 048	NOTIFICATION OF TRUANCY Certification Claim Form Instructions	FORM FAM-27
------------------------------	--	------------------------------

- (01) Enter the payee number assigned by the State Controller's Office.
- (02) Enter your Official Name, County of Location, Street or P. O. Box address, City, State, and Zip Code.
- (03) If filing an estimated claim, enter an "X" in the box on line (03) Estimated.
- (04) If filing a combined estimated claim on behalf of districts within the county, enter an "X" in the box on line (04) Combined.
- (05) If filing an amended estimated claim, enter an "X" in the box on line (05) Amended.
- (06) Enter the fiscal year in which costs are to be incurred.
- (07) Enter the amount of the estimated claim. If the estimate exceeds the previous year's actual costs by more than 10%, complete form NOT-1 and enter the amount from line (08).
- (08) Enter the same amount as shown on line (07).
- (09) If filing a reimbursement claim, enter an "X" in the box on line (09) Reimbursement.
- (10) If filing a combined reimbursement claim on behalf of districts within the county, enter an "X" in the box on line (10) Combined.
- (11) If filing an amended reimbursement claim, enter an "X" in the box on line (11) Amended.
- (12) Enter the fiscal year for which actual costs are being claimed. If actual costs for more than one fiscal year are being claimed, complete a separate form FAM-27 for each fiscal year.
- (13) Enter the amount of the reimbursement claim from form NOT-1, line (08). The total claimed amount must exceed \$1,000.
- (14) Reimbursement claims must be filed by January 15 of the following fiscal year in which costs were incurred or the claims shall be reduced by a late penalty. Enter zero if the claim was timely filed, otherwise, enter the product of multiplying line (13) by the factor 0.10 (10% penalty), not to exceed \$1,000.
- (15) If filing a reimbursement claim or a claim was previously filed for the same fiscal year, enter the amount received for the claim. Otherwise, enter a zero.
- (16) Enter the result of subtracting line (14) and line (15) from line (13).
- (17) If line (16), Net Claimed Amount, is positive, enter that amount on line (17), Due from State.
- (18) If line (16), Net Claimed Amount, is negative, enter that amount on line (18), Due to State.
- (19) to (21) Leave blank.
- (22) to (36) Reimbursement Claim Data. Bring forward the cost information as specified on the left-hand column of lines (22) through (36) for the reimbursement claim, e.g., NOT-1, (03), means the information is located on form NOT-1, line (03). Enter the information on the same line but in the right-hand column. Cost information should be rounded to the nearest dollar, i.e., no cents. Indirect costs percentage should be shown as a whole number and without the percent symbol, i.e., 7.548% should be shown as 8. **Completion of this data block will expedite the payment process.**
- (37) Read the statement "Certification of Claim." If it is true, the claim must be dated, signed by the agency's authorized officer, and must include the person's name and title, typed or printed. **Claims cannot be paid unless accompanied by an original signed certification. (To expedite the payment process, please sign the form FAM-27 with blue ink, and attach a copy of the form FAM-27 to the top of the claim package.)**
- (38) Enter the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person to contact if additional information is required.

SUBMIT A SIGNED ORIGINAL, AND A COPY OF FORM FAM-27, WITH ALL OTHER FORMS AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS TO:

Address, if delivered by U.S. Postal Service:

**OFFICE OF THE STATE CONTROLLER
ATTN: Local Reimbursements Section
Division of Accounting and Reporting
P.O. Box 942850
Sacramento, CA 94250**

Address, if delivered by other delivery service:

**OFFICE OF THE STATE CONTROLLER
ATTN: Local Reimbursements Section
Division of Accounting and Reporting
3301 C Street, Suite 500
Sacramento, CA 95816**

Program 048	NOTIFICATION OF TRUANCY CLAIM SUMMARY Instructions	FORM NOT-1
------------------------	---	-----------------------

- (01) Enter the name of the claimant.
- (02) Type of Claim. Check a box, Reimbursement or Estimated, to identify the type of claim being filed. Enter the fiscal year of costs.

 Form NOT-1 must be filed for a reimbursement claim. Do not complete form NOT-1 if you are filing an estimated claim and the estimate does not exceed the previous fiscal year's actual costs by more than 10%. Simply enter the amount of the estimated claim on form FAM-27, line (07). However, if the estimated claim exceeds the previous fiscal year's actual costs by more than 10%, form NOT-1 must be completed and a statement attached explaining the increased costs. Without this information the estimated claim will automatically be reduced to 110% of the previous fiscal year's actual costs.
- (03) Number of truant notifications. Enter the number of initial notifications sent upon the student's fourth unexcused absence to inform the parent or guardian of their child's absence from school without a valid excuse or is tardy in excess of thirty (30) minutes for more than three days in one school year.
- (04) Unit cost rate for the 2004-05 fiscal year is \$14.28 per initial notification. This cost rate will be updated yearly and listed in the annual updates to claiming instructions mailed to school districts in September.
- (05) Total Costs. Multiply line (03) by the unit cost rate, line (04).
- (06) Less: Offsetting Savings. If applicable, enter the total savings experienced by the claimant as a direct result of this mandate. Submit a detailed schedule of savings with the claim.
- (07) Less: Other Reimbursements. If applicable, enter the amount of other reimbursements received from any source (i.e., service fees collected, federal funds, other state funds etc.), which reimbursed any portion of the mandated program. Submit a detailed schedule of the reimbursement sources and amounts.
- (08) Total Claimed Amount. Subtract the sum of Offsetting Savings, line (06), and Other Reimbursements, line (07), from Total Costs, line (05). Enter the remainder of this line and carry the amount forward to form FAM-27, line (07) for the Estimated Claim or line (13) for the Reimbursement Claim.

A. STATE OF CALIFORNIA TRAVEL EXPENSE GUIDELINES

Travel Program Effective January 31, 2002

The travel reimbursement program continues to be subject to the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) requirements for an accountable plan. There are no flat rate reimbursements. All items are to be claimed for the actual amount of expense, up to the maximum allowed. If the provisions below do not require submission of a receipt for a given item of expense, it is the employee's responsibility to retain receipts and other records of the expense and have them available for audit.

Lodging and meals that are provided by the State, including hotel expenses, conference fees, or transportation costs such as airline tickets; or otherwise provided shall not be claimed for reimbursement.

Employees may be reimbursed for actual expenses for breakfast, lunch, dinner, and incidentals for each 24 hours of travel, as follows:

Breakfast	up to	\$6.00
Lunch	up to	10.00
Dinner	up to	18.00
Incidentals	up to	6.00

Incidental expenses include, but are not limited to, expenses for laundering and pressing of clothing and tips for services such as porters and baggage handlers. Incidentals do not include taxicab fares, lodging taxes, or the cost of telegrams or telephone calls.

Lodging

All lodging reimbursements require a receipt from a commercial lodging establishment such as a hotel, motel, bed and breakfast inn, or campground that caters to the general public. No lodging will be reimbursed without a valid receipt. Employees who stay with friends or relatives are not eligible for lodging reimbursement, but may claim their actual expenses for meals and incidentals.

Short-Term Travel

A. For continuous short-term travel of more than 24 hours but less than 31 days, the employee will be reimbursed for actual costs up to the maximum for each meal, incidental, and lodging expense for each completed 24 hours of travel, beginning with the traveler's time of departure and return as follows:

1. On the first day of travel at the beginning of a trip of more than 24 hours:
 - Trip begins at or before 6 a.m. - Breakfast may be claimed
 - Trip begins at or before 11 a.m. - Lunch may be claimed
 - Trip begins at or before 5 p.m. - Dinner may be claimed
2. On the fractional day of travel at the end of a trip of more than 24 hours:
 - Trip ends at or after 8 a.m. - Breakfast may be claimed
 - Trip ends at or after 2 p.m. - Lunch may be claimed
 - Trip ends at or after 7 p.m. - Dinner may be claimed

If the fractional day includes an overnight stay, receipted lodging may be claimed. No meal or lodging expenses may be claimed or reimbursed more than once on any given date or during any 24-hour period.

- B.** For continuous travel of less than 24 hours, the employee will be reimbursed for actual expenses, up to a maximum as follows:

Travel begins at or before 6 a.m. and ends at or after 9 a.m. - Breakfast may be claimed
Travel begins at or before 4 p.m. and ends at or after 7 p.m. - Dinner may be claimed

If the trip extends overnight, receipted lodging may be claimed. No lunch or incidentals may be claimed on a trip of less than 24 hours.

Short-Term Travel Maximum Lodging Reimbursement Rate

- A.** Statewide except as in (B) and (C) below, actual receipted lodging up to \$84 plus tax.
- B.** When required to conduct state business and obtain lodging in the counties of Los Angeles and San Diego, reimbursement will be for actual receipted lodging, to a maximum of \$110 plus tax.
- C.** When required to conduct state business and obtain lodging in the counties of Alameda, San Francisco, San Mateo, and Santa Clara, reimbursement will be for actual receipted lodging, to a maximum of \$140 plus tax.

Long-Term Travel

Actual expenses for long-term meals and receipted lodging will be reimbursed when the employee incurs expenses in one location comparable to those arising from the use of establishments catering to long-term visitors.

A. Full Long-Term Travel

To qualify for full long-term travel reimbursement, the employee on a long-term field assignment must meet the following criteria:

- a) The employee continues to maintain a permanent residence at the primary headquarters, and either,
- b) The permanent residence is occupied by the employee's dependents, or
- c) The permanent residence is maintained at a net expense to the employee exceeding \$200 per month.

The employee who is living at the long-term location may claim either:

1. Reimbursement for actual individual expense, substantiated by receipts for lodging, water, sewer, gas, and electricity, up to a maximum of \$1,130 per calendar month while on the long-term assignment, and actual expenses up to \$10 for meals and incidentals, for each period of 12 to 24 hours and up to \$5 for actual meals and incidentals for each period of less than 12 hours at the long-term location, or
2. Long-term subsistence rates of \$24 for actual meals and incidentals, \$24 for receipted lodging for travel of 12 hours up to 24 hours, and either \$24 for actual meals or \$24 for receipted lodging for travel less than 12 hours when the employee incurs expenses in one location comparable to those arising from the use of establishments catering to long-term visitors.

B. Partial Long-Term Travel

An employee on long-term field assignment who does not maintain a separate residence in the headquarters area may claim long-term subsistence rates of up to \$12 for actual meals and incidentals and \$12 for receipted lodging for travel of 12 hours up to 24 hours at the long-term location, and either \$12 for actual meals or \$12 for receipted lodging for travel less than 12 hours at the long-term location.

Receipts

Receipts or vouchers shall be submitted for every item of expense of \$25 or more.

- a) Receipts are required for every item of transportation and business expense incurred as a result of conducting state business except for actual expenses as follows:
 1. Railroad and bus fares of less than \$25, when travel is wholly within the State of California.
 2. Street car, ferry fares, bridge and road tolls, local rapid transit system, taxi, shuttle, or hotel bus fares, and parking fees of \$10 or less for each continuous period of parking or each separate transportation expense noted in this item.
 3. Telephone, telegraph, tax, or other business charges related to state business of \$5 or less.
 4. In the absence of a receipt, reimbursement will be limited to the non-receipted amount above.
- b) Reimbursement will be claimed only for the actual and necessary expenses noted above. Regardless of the above exceptions, the approving officer may require additional certification and/or explanation in order to determine that an expense was actually and reasonably incurred. In the absence of a satisfactory explanation, the expense shall not be allowed.

Mileage

When an employee is authorized by his/her appointing authority or designee to operate a privately owned vehicle on state business, the employee will be allowed to claim and be reimbursed 34 cents per mile. An employee who is required to use a specialized vehicle that has been modified to accommodate disabilities may claim 37 cents per mile.

B. GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIONS 17500-17617

GC §17500: Legislative Findings and Declarations

The Legislature finds and declares that the existing system for reimbursing local agencies and school districts for the costs of state-mandated local programs has not provided for the effective determination of the state's responsibilities under Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution. The Legislature finds and declares that the failure of the existing process to adequately and consistently resolve the complex legal questions involved in the determination of state-mandated costs has led to an increasing reliance by local agencies and school districts on the judiciary and, therefore, in order to relieve unnecessary congestion of the judicial system, it is necessary to create a mechanism which is capable of rendering sound quasi-judicial decisions and providing an effective means of resolving disputes over the existence of state-mandated local programs. It is the intent of the Legislature in enacting this part to provide for the implementation of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution. Further, the Legislature intends that the Commission on State Mandates, as a quasi-judicial body, will act in a deliberative manner in accordance with the requirements of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution.

GC §17510: Construction of Part

Unless the context otherwise requires, the definitions contained in this chapter govern the construction of this part. The definition of a word applies to any variants thereof and the singular tense of a word includes the plural.

GC §17511: "City"

"City" means any city whether general law or charter, except a city and county.

GC §17512: "Commission"

"Commission" means the Commission on State Mandates.

GC §17513: "Cost Mandated by the Federal Government"

"Costs mandated by the federal government" means any increased costs incurred by a local agency or school district after January 1, 1973, in order to comply with the requirements of a federal statute or regulation. "Costs mandated by the federal government" includes costs resulting from enactment of a state law or regulation where failure to enact that law or regulation to meet specific federal program or service requirements imposed upon the state would result in substantial monetary penalties or loss of funds to public or private persons in the state whether the federal law was enacted before or after the enactment of the state law, regulation, or executive order. "Costs mandated by the federal government" does not include costs which are specifically reimbursed or funded by the federal or state government or programs or services which may be implemented at the option of the state, local agency, or school district.

GC §17514: "Costs Mandated by the State"

"Costs mandated by the state" means any increased costs which a local agency or school district is required to incur after July 1, 1980, as a result of any statute enacted on or after January 1, 1975, or any executive order implementing any statute enacted on or after January 1, 1975, which mandates a new program or higher level of service of an existing program within the meaning of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution.

GC §17515: "County"

"County" means any chartered or general law county. "County" includes a city and county.

GC §17516: "Executive Order"

"Executive order" means any order, plan, requirement, rule, or regulation issued by any of the following: (a) The Governor. (b) Any officer or official serving at the pleasure of the Governor. (c) Any agency, department, board, or commission of state government. "Executive order" does not include any order, plan, requirement, rule, or regulation issued by the State Water Resources Control Board or by any regional water quality control board pursuant to Division 7 (commencing with Section 13000) of the Water Code. It is the intent of the Legislature that the State Water Resources Control Board and regional water quality control boards will not adopt enforcement orders against publicly owned dischargers which mandate major waste water treatment facility construction costs unless federal financial assistance and state financial assistance pursuant to the Clean Water Bond Act of 1970 and 1974, is simultaneously made available. "Major" means either a new treatment facility or an addition to an existing facility, the cost of which is in excess of 20 percent of the cost of replacing the facility.

GC §17517.5: "Cost Savings authorized by the state"

"Cost savings authorized by the state" means any decreased costs that a local agency or school district realizes as a result of any statute enacted or any executive order adopted that permits or requires the discontinuance of or a reduction in the level of service of an existing program that was mandated before January 1, 1975.

GC §17518: "Local Agency"

"Local agency" means any city, county, special district, authority, or other political subdivision of the state.

GC §17518.5: "Reasonable Reimbursement Methodology"

(a) "Reasonable reimbursement methodology" means a formula for reimbursing local agency and school district costs mandated by the state that meets the following conditions: (1) The total amount to be reimbursed statewide is equivalent to total estimated local agency and school district costs to implement the mandate in a cost-efficient manner. (2) For 50 percent or more of eligible local agency and school district claimants, the amount reimbursed is estimated to fully offset their projected costs to implement the mandate in a cost-efficient manner. (b) Whenever possible, a reasonable reimbursement methodology shall be based on general allocation formulas, uniform cost allowances, and other approximations of local costs mandated by the state, rather than detailed documentation of actual local costs. In cases when local agencies and school districts are projected to incur costs to implement a mandate over a period of more than one fiscal year, the determination of a reasonable reimbursement methodology may consider local costs and state reimbursements over a period of greater than one fiscal year, but not exceeding 10 years. (c) A reasonable reimbursement methodology may be developed by any of the following: (1) The Department of Finance. (2) The Controller. (3) An affected state agency. (4) A claimant. (5) An interested party.

GC §17519: "School District"

"School district" means any school district, community college district, or county superintendent of schools.

GC §17520: "Special District"

"Special district" means any agency of the state that performs governmental or proprietary functions within limited boundaries. "Special district" includes a county service area, a maintenance district or

area, an improvement district or improvement zone, or any other zone or area. "Special district" does not include a city, a county, a school district, or a community college district. County free libraries established pursuant to Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 27151) of Division 20 of the Education Code, areas receiving county fire protection services pursuant to Section 25643 of the Government Code, and county road districts established pursuant to Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 1550) of Division 2 of the Streets and Highways Code shall be considered "special districts" for all purposes of this part.

GC §17521: "Test Claim"

"Test claim" means the first claim filed with the commission alleging that a particular statute or executive order imposes costs mandated by the state.

GC §17522: Definitions

(a) "Initial reimbursement claim" means a claim filed with the Controller by a local agency or school district for costs to be reimbursed for the fiscal years specified in the first claiming instructions issued by the Controller pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 17558. (b) "Annual reimbursement claim" means a claim for actual costs incurred in a prior fiscal year filed with the Controller by a local agency or school district for which appropriations are made to the Controller for this purpose. (c) "Estimated reimbursement claim" means a claim filed with the Controller by a local agency or school district in conjunction with an initial reimbursement claim, annual reimbursement claim, or at other times, for estimated costs to be reimbursed during the current or future fiscal years, for which appropriations are made to the Controller for this purpose. (d) "Entitlement claim" means a claim filed by a local agency or school district with the Controller for the purpose of establishing or adjusting a base year entitlement. All entitlement claims are subject to Section 17616.

GC §17523: "Deflator"

"Deflator" means the Implicit Price Deflator for the Costs of Goods and Services to Governmental Agencies, as determined by the Department of Finance.

GC §17524: "Base Year Entitlement"

"Base year entitlement" means that amount determined to be the average for the approved reimbursement claims of each local agency or school district for the three preceding fiscal years adjusted by the change in the deflator. A base year entitlement shall not include any nonrecurring or initial startup costs incurred by a local agency or school district in any of those three fiscal years. For those mandates which become operative on January 1 of any year, the amount of the "approved reimbursement claim" for the first of the three years may be computed by annualizing the amount claimed for the six-month period of January through June in that first year, excluding nonrecurring or startup costs.

GC §17525: Members: Term and Per Diem for Specified Members

(a) There is hereby created the Commission on State Mandates, which shall consist of seven members as follows: (1) The Controller. (2) The Treasurer. (3) The Director of Finance. (4) The Director of the Office of Planning and Research. (5) A public member with experience in public finance, appointed by the Governor and approved by the Senate. (6) Two members from the following three categories appointed by the Governor and approved by the Senate, provided that no more than one member shall come from the same category: (A) A city council member. (B) A member of a county or city and county board of supervisors. (C) A governing board member of a school district as defined in Section 17519. (b) Each member appointed pursuant to paragraph (5) or (6) of subdivision (a) shall be subject to both of the following: (1) The member shall serve for a term of four years subject to renewal. (2) The member shall receive per diem of one hundred dollars (\$100) for each day actually spent in the

discharge of official duties and shall be reimbursed for any actual and necessary expenses incurred in connection with the performance of duties as a member of the commission.

GC §17526: Open Meetings: Executive Sessions

(a) All meetings of the commission shall be open to the public, except that the commission may meet in executive session to consider the appointment or dismissal of officers or employees of the commission or to hear complaints or charges brought against a member, officer, or employee of the commission. (b) The commission shall meet at least once every two months. (c) The time and place of meetings may be set by resolution of the commission, by written petition of a majority of the members, or by written call of the chairperson. The chairperson may, for good cause, change the starting time or place, reschedule, or cancel any meeting.

GC §17527: Powers of Commission

In carrying out its duties and responsibilities, the commission shall have the following powers: (a) To examine any document, report, or data, including computer programs and data files, held by any local agency or school district. (b) To meet at times and places as it may deem proper. (c) As a body or, on the authorization of the commission, as a committee composed of one or more members, to hold hearings at any time and place it may deem proper. (d) Upon a majority vote of the commission, to issue subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, records, papers, accounts, reports, and documents. (e) To administer oaths. (f) To contract with other agencies or individuals, public or private, as it deems necessary, to provide or prepare services, facilities, studies, and reports to the commission as will assist it in carrying out its duties and responsibilities. (g) To adopt, promulgate, amend, and rescind rules and regulations, which shall not be subject to the review and approval of the Office of Administrative Law pursuant to the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act provided for in Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2. (h) To do any and all other actions necessary or convenient to enable it fully and adequately to perform its duties and to exercise the powers expressly granted to it.

GC §17528: Election of Officers

The members of the commission shall elect a chairperson and a vice chairperson of the commission.

GC §17529: Appointment of Attorney: Duties

The commission may appoint as attorney to the commission an attorney at law of this state, who shall hold office at the pleasure of the commission. The attorney shall represent and appear for the commission in all actions and proceedings involving any question under this part or under any order or act of the commission. The attorney shall advise the commission and each member of the commission, when so requested, in regard to all matters in connection with the powers and duties of the commission and the members thereof. The attorney shall generally perform all duties and services as attorney to the commission which the commission may require.

GC §17530: Appointment of Executive Director: Duties

The commission shall appoint an executive director, who shall be exempt from civil service and shall hold office at the pleasure of the commission. The executive director shall be responsible for the executive and administrative duties of the commission and shall organize, coordinate, supervise, and direct the operations and affairs of the commission and expedite all matters within the jurisdiction of the commission. The executive director shall keep a full and true record of all proceedings of the commission, issue all necessary process, writs, warrants, and notices, and perform other duties as the commission prescribes.

GC §17531: Authority of Executive Director to Employ Necessary Staff

The executive director may employ those officers, examiners, experts, statisticians, accountants, inspectors, clerks, and employees as the executive director deems necessary to carry out the provisions of this part or to perform the duties and exercise the powers conferred upon the commission by law.

GC §17532: Quorum: Investigations, Inquiries, and Hearing

A majority of the commissioners shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of any business, for the performance of any duty, or for the exercise of any power of the commission. Any investigation, inquiry, or hearing which the commission has power to undertake or to hold may be undertaken or held by or before any commissioner or commissioners designated for the purpose by the commission. The evidence in any investigation, inquiry, or hearing may be taken by the commissioner or commissioners to whom the investigation, inquiry, or hearing has been assigned or, in his or her or their behalf, by an examiner designated for that purpose. Every finding, opinion, and order made by the commissioner or commissioners so designated, pursuant to the investigation, inquiry, or hearing, when approved or confirmed by the commission and ordered filed in its office, shall be deemed to be the finding, opinion, and order of the commission.

GC §17533: Provisions not Applicable to Hearing by Commission

Notwithstanding Section 11425.10, Chapter 4.5 (commencing with Section 11400) of Part 1 of Division 3 does not apply to a hearing by the commission under this part.

GC §17550: Reimbursements of Local Agencies and Special Districts

Reimbursement of local agencies and school districts for costs mandated by the state shall be provided pursuant to this chapter.

GC §17551: Commission Hearing and Decision Upon Claims

(a) The commission, pursuant to the provisions of this chapter, shall hear and decide upon a claim by a local agency or school district that the local agency or school district is entitled to be reimbursed by the state for costs mandated by the state as required by Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution. (b) Commission review of claims may be had pursuant to subdivision (a) only if the test claim is filed within the time limits specified in this section. (c) Local agency and school district test claims shall be filed not later than 12 months following the effective date of a statute or executive order, or within 12 months of incurring increased costs as a result of a statute or executive order, whichever is later. (d) The commission, pursuant to the provisions of this chapter, shall hear and decide upon a claim by a local agency or school district filed on or after January 1, 1985, that the Controller has incorrectly reduced payments to the local agency or school district pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (d) of Section 17561.

GC §17552: Exclusivity of Procedure by Chapter

This chapter shall provide the sole and exclusive procedure by which a local agency or school district may claim reimbursement for costs mandated by the state as required by Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution.

GC §17553: Adoption of Procedure for Receiving Claims and Providing Hearings: Postponement of Hearings

(a) The commission shall adopt procedures for receiving claims pursuant to this article and for providing a hearing on those claims. The procedures shall do all of the following: (1) Provide for presentation of evidence by the claimant, the Department of Finance and any other affected department

or agency, and any other interested person. (2) Ensure that a statewide cost estimate is adopted within 12 months after receipt of a test claim, when a determination is made by the commission that a mandate exists. This deadline may be extended for up to six months upon the request of either the claimant or the commission. (3) Permit the hearing of a claim to be postponed at the request of the claimant, without prejudice, until the next scheduled hearing. (b) All test claims shall be filed on a form prescribed by the commission and shall contain at least the following elements and documents: (1) A written narrative that identifies the specific sections of statutes or executive orders alleged to contain a mandate and shall include all of the following: (A) A detailed description of the new activities and costs that arise from the mandate. (B) A detailed description of existing activities and costs that are modified by the mandate. (C) The actual increased costs incurred by the claimant during the fiscal year for which the claim was filed to implement the alleged mandate. (D) The actual or estimated annual costs that will be incurred by the claimant to implement the alleged mandate during the fiscal year immediately following the fiscal year for which the claim was filed. (E) A statewide cost estimate of increased costs that all local agencies or school districts will incur to implement the alleged mandate during the fiscal year immediately following the fiscal year for which the claim was filed. (F) Identification of all of the following: (i) Dedicated state funds appropriated for this program. (ii) Dedicated federal funds appropriated for this program. (iii) Other nonlocal agency funds dedicated for this program. (iv) The local agency's general purpose funds for this program. (v) Fee authority to offset the costs of this program. (G) Identification of prior mandate determinations made by the Board of Control or the Commission on State Mandates that may be related to the alleged mandate. (2) The written narrative shall be supported with declarations under penalty of perjury, based on the declarant's personal knowledge, information or belief, and signed by persons who are authorized and competent to do so, as follows: (A) Declarations of actual or estimated increased costs that will be incurred by the claimant to implement the alleged mandate. (B) Declarations identifying all local, state, or federal funds, or fee authority that may be used to offset the increased costs that will be incurred by the claimant to implement the alleged mandate, including direct and indirect costs. (C) Declarations describing new activities performed to implement specified provisions of the new statute or executive order alleged to impose a reimbursable state-mandated program. Specific references shall be made to chapters, articles, sections, or page numbers alleged to impose a reimbursable state-mandated program. (3) (A) The written narrative shall be supported with copies of all of the following: (i) The test claim statute that includes the bill number or executive order, alleged to impose or impact a mandate. (ii) Relevant portions of state constitutional provisions, federal statutes, and executive orders that may impact the alleged mandate. (iii) Administrative decisions and court decisions cited in the narrative. (B) State mandate determinations made by the Board of Control and the Commission on State Mandates and published court decisions on state mandate determinations made by the Commission on State Mandates are exempt from this requirement. (4) A test claim shall be signed at the end of the document, under penalty of perjury by the claimant or its authorized representative, with the declaration that the test claim is true and complete to the best of the declarant's personal knowledge or information or belief. The date of signing, the declarant's title, address, telephone number, facsimile machine telephone number, and electronic mail address shall be included. (c) If a completed test claim is not received by the commission within 30 calendar days from the date that an incomplete test claim was returned by the commission, the original test claim filing date may be disallowed, and a new test claim may be accepted on the same statute or executive order. (d) In addition, the commission shall determine whether an incorrect reduction claim is complete within 10 days after the date that the incorrect reduction claim is filed. If the commission determines that an incorrect reduction claim is not complete, the commission shall notify the local agency and school district that filed the claim stating the reasons that the claim is not complete. The local agency or school district shall have 30 days to complete the claim. The commission shall serve a copy of the complete incorrect reduction claim on the Controller. The Controller shall have no more than 90 days after the date the claim is delivered or mailed to file any rebuttal to an incorrect reduction claim. The failure of the Controller to file a rebuttal to an incorrect reduction claim shall not serve to delay the consideration of the claim by the commission.

GC §17554: Commission's Authority to Expedite Claim

With the agreement of all parties to the claim, the commission may waive the application of any procedural requirement imposed by this chapter or pursuant to Section 17553. The authority granted by this section includes the consolidation of claims and the shortening of time periods.

GC §17555: Date for Public Hearing: Test Claim Form and Procedure

(a) No later than 30 days after hearing and deciding upon a test claim pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 17551, the commission shall notify the appropriate Senate and Assembly policy and fiscal committees, the Legislative Analyst, the Department of Finance, and the Controller of that decision. (b) For purposes of this section, the "appropriate policy committee" means the policy committee that has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the statute, regulation, or executive order, and bills relating to that subject matter would have been heard.

GC §17556: Criteria for not Finding Costs Mandated by the State

The commission shall not find costs mandated by the state, as defined in Section 17514, in any claim submitted by a local agency or school district, if, after a hearing, the commission finds that: (a) The claim is submitted by a local agency or school district that requested legislative authority for that local agency or school district to implement the program specified in the statute, and that statute imposes costs upon that local agency or school district requesting the legislative authority. A resolution from the governing body or a letter from a delegated representative of the governing body of a local agency or school district that requests authorization for that local agency or school district to implement a given program shall constitute a request within the meaning of this paragraph. (b) The statute or executive order affirmed for the state a mandate that had been declared existing law or regulation by action of the courts. (c) The statute or executive order imposes a requirement that is mandated by a federal law or regulation and results in costs mandated by the federal government, unless the statute or executive order mandates costs that exceed the mandate in that federal law or regulation. This subdivision applies regardless of whether the federal law or regulation was enacted or adopted prior to or after the date on which the state statute or executive order was enacted or issued. (d) The local agency or school district has the authority to levy service charges, fees, or assessments sufficient to pay for the mandated program or increased level of service. (e) The statute, executive order, or an appropriation in a Budget Act or other bill provides for offsetting savings to local agencies or school districts that result in no net costs to the local agencies or school districts, or includes additional revenue that was specifically intended to fund the costs of the state mandate in an amount sufficient to fund the cost of the state mandate. (f) The statute or executive order imposed duties that were expressly included in a ballot measure approved by the voters in a statewide or local election. (g) The statute created a new crime or infraction, eliminated a crime or infraction, or changed the penalty for a crime or infraction, but only for that portion of the statute relating directly to the enforcement of the crime or infraction.

GC §17557: Determination of Amount to be Subvned for Reimbursement: Parameters and Guidelines

(a) If the commission determines there are costs mandated by the state pursuant to Section 17551, it shall determine the amount to be subvned to local agencies and school districts for reimbursement. In so doing it shall adopt parameters and guidelines for reimbursement of any claims relating to the statute or executive order. The successful test claimants shall submit proposed parameters and guidelines within 30 days of adoption of a statement of decision on a test claim. At the request of a successful test claimant, the commission may provide for one or more extensions of this 30-day period at any time prior to its adoption of the parameters and guidelines. If proposed parameters and guidelines are not submitted within the 30-day period and the commission has not granted an extension, then the commission shall notify the test claimant that the amount of reimbursement the test claimant is entitled to for the first 12 months of incurred costs will be reduced by 20 percent, unless the test claimant can demonstrate to the commission why an extension of the 30-day period is justified. (b) In adopting parameters and guidelines, the commission may adopt a reasonable reimbursement methodology. (c)

The parameters and guidelines adopted by the commission shall specify the fiscal years for which local agencies and school districts shall be reimbursed for costs incurred. However, the commission may not specify in the parameters and guidelines any fiscal year for which payment could be provided in the annual Budget Act. (d) A local agency, school district, or the state may file a written request with the commission to amend, modify, or supplement the parameters or guidelines. The commission may, after public notice and hearing, amend, modify, or supplement the parameters and guidelines. A parameters and guidelines amendment submitted within 90 days of the claiming deadline for initial claims, as specified in the claiming instructions pursuant to Section 17561, shall apply to all years eligible for reimbursement as defined in the original parameters and guidelines. A parameters and guidelines amendment filed more than 90 days after the claiming deadline for initial claims, as specified in the claiming instructions pursuant to Section 17561, and on or before January 15 following a fiscal year, shall establish reimbursement eligibility for that fiscal year. (e) A test claim shall be submitted on or before June 30 following a fiscal year in order to establish eligibility for reimbursement for that fiscal year. The claimant may thereafter amend the test claim at any time, but before the test claim is set for a hearing, without affecting the original filing date as long as the amendment substantially relates to the original test claim. (f) In adopting parameters and guidelines, the commission shall consult with the Department of Finance, the affected state agency, the Controller, the fiscal and policy committees of the Assembly and Senate, the Legislative Analyst, and the claimants to consider a reasonable reimbursement methodology that balances accuracy with simplicity.

GC §17558: Submission of Parameters and Guidelines to Controller: Transfer of Claims; Claiming Instructions

(a) The commission shall submit the adopted parameters and guidelines to the Controller. All claims relating to a statute or executive order that are filed after the adoption or amendment of parameters and guidelines pursuant to Section 17557 shall be transferred to the Controller who shall pay and audit the claims from funds made available for that purpose. (b) Not later than 60 days after receiving the adopted parameters and guidelines from the commission, the Controller shall issue claiming instructions for each mandate that requires state reimbursement, to assist local agencies and school districts in claiming costs to be reimbursed. In preparing claiming instructions, the Controller shall request assistance from the Department of Finance and may request the assistance of other state agencies. The claiming instructions shall be derived from the test claim decision and the parameters and guidelines adopted by the commission. (c) The Controller shall, within 60 days after receiving revised adopted parameters and guidelines from the commission or other information necessitating a revision of the claiming instructions, prepare and issue revised claiming instructions for mandates that require state reimbursement that have been established by commission action pursuant to Section 17557 or after any decision or order of the commission pursuant to Section 17551. In preparing revised claiming instructions, the Controller may request the assistance of other state agencies.

GC §17558.5: Reimbursement Claim: Audit; Remittance Advice and Other Notices of Payment

(a) A reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a local agency or school district pursuant to this chapter is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. In any case, an audit shall be completed not later than two years after the date that the audit is commenced. (b) The Controller may conduct a field review of any claim after the claim has been submitted, prior to the reimbursement of the claim. (c) The Controller shall notify the claimant in writing within 30 days after issuance of a remittance advice of any adjustment to a claim for reimbursement that results from an audit or review. The notification shall specify the claim components adjusted, the amounts adjusted, interest charges on claims adjusted to reduce the overall reimbursement to the local agency or school district, and the reason for the adjustment. Remittance advices and other notices of payment action shall not constitute notice of adjustment from an audit or review. (d) The interest rate charged by the Controller on reduced claims shall be set at the Pooled

Money Investment Account rate and shall be imposed on the dollar amount of the overpaid claim from the time the claim was paid until overpayment is satisfied. (e) Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the adjustment of payments when inaccuracies are determined to be the result of the intent to defraud, or when a delay in the completion of an audit is the result of willful acts by the claimant or inability to reach agreement on terms of final settlement.

GC §17558.6: Legislative Intent

It is the intent of the Legislature that the Commission on State Mandates review its process by which local agencies may appeal the reduction of reimbursement claims on the basis that the reduction is incorrect in order to provide for a more expeditious and less costly process.

GC §17559: Judicial Review

(a) The commission may order a reconsideration of all or part of a test claim or incorrect reduction claim on petition of any party. The power to order a reconsideration or amend a test claim decision shall expire 30 days after the statement of decision is delivered or mailed to the claimant. If additional time is needed to evaluate a petition for reconsideration filed prior to the expiration of the 30-day period, the commission may grant a stay of that expiration for no more than 30 days, solely for the purpose of considering the petition. If no action is taken on a petition within the time allowed for ordering reconsideration, the petition shall be deemed denied. (b) A claimant or the state may commence a proceeding in accordance with the provisions of Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure to set aside a decision of the commission on the ground that the commission's decision is not supported by substantial evidence. The court may order the commission to hold another hearing regarding the claim and may direct the commission on what basis the claim is to receive a rehearing.

GC §17560: Deadlines for Filing Reimbursement Claims

Reimbursement for state-mandated costs may be claimed as follows: (a) A local agency or school district may file an estimated reimbursement claim by January 15 of the fiscal year in which costs are to be incurred, and, by January 15 following that fiscal year shall file an annual reimbursement claim that details the costs actually incurred for that fiscal year; or it may comply with the provisions of subdivision (b). (b) A local agency or school district may, by January 15 following the fiscal year in which costs are incurred, file an annual reimbursement claim that details the costs actually incurred for that fiscal year. (c) In the event revised claiming instructions are issued by the Controller pursuant to subdivision (c) of Section 17558 between October 15 and January 15, a local agency or school district filing an annual reimbursement claim shall have 120 days following the issuance date of the revised claiming instructions to file a claim.

GC §17561: Reimbursement of Costs for State Mandated Programs

(a) The state shall reimburse each local agency and school district for all "costs mandated by the state," as defined in Section 17514. (b) (1) For the initial fiscal year during which these costs are incurred, reimbursement funds shall be provided as follows: (A) Any statute mandating these costs shall provide an appropriation therefor. (B) Any executive order mandating these costs shall be accompanied by a bill appropriating the funds therefor, or alternatively, an appropriation for these costs shall be included in the Budget Bill for the next succeeding fiscal year. The executive order shall cite that item of appropriation in the Budget Bill or that appropriation in any other bill which is intended to serve as the source from which the Controller may pay the claims of local agencies and school districts. (2) In subsequent fiscal years appropriations for these costs shall be included in the annual Governor's Budget and in the accompanying Budget Bill. In addition, appropriations to reimburse local agencies and school districts for continuing costs resulting from chaptered bills or executive orders for which claims have been awarded pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 17551 shall be included in the annual Governor's Budget and in the accompanying Budget Bill subsequent to the enactment of the local government claims bill pursuant to Section 17600 that includes the amounts awarded relating to these chaptered bills or executive orders. (c) The amount appropriated to reimburse local agencies and

school districts for costs mandated by the state shall be appropriated to the Controller for disbursement. (d) The Controller shall pay any eligible claim pursuant to this section within 60 days after the filing deadline for claims for reimbursement or 15 days after the date the appropriation for the claim is effective, whichever is later. The Controller shall disburse reimbursement funds to local agencies or school districts if the costs of these mandates are not payable to state agencies, or to state agencies that would otherwise collect the costs of these mandates from local agencies or school districts in the form of fees, premiums, or payments. When disbursing reimbursement funds to local agencies or school districts, the Controller shall disburse them as follows: (1) For initial reimbursement claims, the Controller shall issue claiming instructions to the relevant local agencies and school districts pursuant to Section 17558. Issuance of the claiming instructions shall constitute a notice of the right of the local agencies and school districts to file reimbursement claims, based upon parameters and guidelines adopted by the commission. (A) When claiming instructions are issued by the Controller pursuant to Section 17558 for each mandate determined pursuant to Section 17551 that requires state reimbursement, each local agency or school district to which the mandate is applicable shall submit claims for initial fiscal year costs to the Controller within 120 days of the issuance date for the claiming instructions. (B) When the commission is requested to review the claiming instructions pursuant to Section 17571, each local agency or school district to which the mandate is applicable shall submit a claim for reimbursement within 120 days after the commission reviews the claiming instructions for reimbursement issued by the Controller. (C) If the local agency or school district does not submit a claim for reimbursement within the 120-day period, or submits a claim pursuant to revised claiming instructions, it may submit its claim for reimbursement as specified in Section 17560. The Controller shall pay these claims from the funds appropriated therefor, provided that the Controller (i) may audit the records of any local agency or school district to verify the actual amount of the mandated costs, and (ii) may reduce any claim that the Controller determines is excessive or unreasonable. (2) In subsequent fiscal years each local agency or school district shall submit its claims as specified in Section 17560. The Controller shall pay these claims from funds appropriated therefor, provided that the Controller (A) may audit the records of any local agency or school district to verify the actual amount of the mandated costs, (B) may reduce any claim that the Controller determines is excessive or unreasonable, and (C) shall adjust the payment to correct for any underpayments or overpayments which occurred in previous fiscal years. (3) When paying a timely filed claim for initial reimbursement, the Controller shall withhold 20 percent of the amount of the claim until the claim is audited to verify the actual amount of the mandated costs. All initial reimbursement claims for all fiscal years required to be filed on their initial filing date for a state-mandated local program shall be considered as one claim for the purpose of computing any late claim penalty. Any claim for initial reimbursement filed after the filing deadline shall be reduced by 10 percent of the amount that would have been allowed had the claim been timely filed. The Controller may withhold payment of any late claim for initial reimbursement until the next deadline for funded claims unless sufficient funds are available to pay the claim after all timely filed claims have been paid. In no case may a reimbursement claim be paid if submitted more than one year after the filing deadline specified in the Controller's claiming instructions on funded mandates contained in a claims bill.

GC §17561.5: Payment of Claim with Interest

The payment of an initial reimbursement claim by the Controller shall include accrued interest at the Pooled Money Investment Account rate, if the payment is being made more than 365 days after adoption of the statewide cost estimate for an initial claim or, in the case of payment of a subsequent claim relating to that same statute or executive order, if payment is being made more than 60 days after the filing deadline for, or the actual date of receipt of, the subsequent claim, whichever is later. In those instances, interest shall begin to accrue as of the 366th day after adoption of the statewide cost estimate for an initial claim and as of the 61st day after the filing deadline for, or actual date of receipt of, the subsequent claim, whichever is later.

GC §17561.6: Payment

A budget act item or appropriation pursuant to this part for reimbursement of claims shall include an amount necessary to reimburse any interest due pursuant to Section 17561.5.

GC §17562: Review of Costs of State-Mandated Local Programs

(a) The Legislature hereby finds and declares that the increasing revenue constraints on state and local government and the increasing costs of financing state-mandated local programs make evaluation of state-mandated local programs imperative. Accordingly, it is the intent of the Legislature to increase information regarding state mandates and establish a method for regularly reviewing the costs and benefits of state-mandated local programs. (b) The Controller shall submit a report to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee and fiscal committees by January 1 of each year. This report shall summarize, by state mandate, the total amount of claims paid per fiscal year and the amount, if any, of mandate deficiencies or surpluses. This report shall be made available in an electronic spreadsheet format. The report shall compare the annual cost of each mandate to the statewide cost estimate adopted by the commission. (c) After the commission submits its second semiannual report to the Legislature pursuant to Section 17600, the Legislative Analyst shall submit a report to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee and legislative fiscal committees on the mandates included in the commission's reports. The report shall make recommendations as to whether the mandate should be repealed, funded, suspended, or modified. (d) In its annual analysis of the Budget Bill and based on information provided pursuant to subdivision (b), the Legislative Analyst shall identify mandates that significantly exceed the statewide cost estimate adopted by the commission. The Legislative Analyst shall make recommendations on whether the mandate should be repealed, funded, suspended, or modified. (e) (1) A statewide association of local agencies or school districts or a Member of the Legislature may submit a proposal to the Legislature recommending the elimination or modification of a state-mandated local program. To make such a proposal, the association or member shall submit a letter to the Chairs of the Assembly Committee on Education or the Assembly Committee on Local Government, as the case may be, and the Senate Committee on Education or the Senate Committee on Local Government, as the case may be, specifying the mandate and the concerns and recommendations regarding the mandate. The association or member shall include in the proposal all information relevant to the conclusions. If the chairs of the committees desire additional analysis of the submitted proposal, the chairs may refer the proposal to the Legislative Analyst for review and comment. The chairs of the committees may refer up to a total of 10 of these proposals to the Legislative Analyst for review in any year. Referrals shall be submitted to the Legislative Analyst by December 1 of each year. (2) The Legislative Analyst shall review and report to the Legislature with regard to each proposal that is referred to the office pursuant to paragraph (1). The Legislative Analyst shall recommend that the Legislature adopt, reject, or modify the proposal. The report and recommendations shall be submitted annually to the Legislature by March 1 of the year subsequent to the year in which referrals are submitted to the Legislative Analyst. (3) The Department of Finance shall review all statutes enacted each year that contain provisions making inoperative Section 17561 or Section 17565 that have resulted in costs or revenue losses mandated by the state that were not identified when the statute was enacted. The review shall identify the costs or revenue losses involved in complying with the statutes. The Department of Finance shall also review all statutes enacted each year that may result in cost savings authorized by the state. The Department of Finance shall submit an annual report of the review required by this subdivision, together with the recommendations as it may deem appropriate, by December 1 of each year. (f) It is the intent of the Legislature that the Assembly Committee on Local Government and the Senate Committee on Local Government hold a joint hearing each year regarding the following: (1) The reports and recommendations submitted pursuant to subdivision (e). (2) The reports submitted pursuant to Sections 17570, 17600, and 17601. (3) Legislation to continue, eliminate, or modify any provision of law reviewed pursuant to this subdivision. The legislation may be by subject area or by year or years of enactment.

GC §17563: Use of Funds Received for Public Purpose

Any funds received by a local agency or school district pursuant to the provisions of this chapter may be used for any public purpose.

GC §17564: Filing of Claims: Threshold Amount

(a) No claim shall be made pursuant to Sections 17551 and 17561, nor shall any payment be made on claims submitted pursuant to Sections 17551 and 17561, unless these claims exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000), provided that a county superintendent of schools or county may submit a combined claim on behalf of school districts, direct service districts, or special districts within their county if the combined claim exceeds one thousand dollars (\$1,000) even if the individual school district's, direct service district's, or special district's claims do not each exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000). The county superintendent of schools or the county shall determine if the submission of the combined claim is economically feasible and shall be responsible for disbursing the funds to each school, direct service, or special district. These combined claims may be filed only when the county superintendent of schools or the county is the fiscal agent for the districts. All subsequent claims based upon the same mandate shall only be filed in the combined form unless a school district, direct service district, or special district provides to the county superintendent of schools or county and to the Controller, at least 180 days prior to the deadline for filing the claim, a written notice of its intent to file a separate claim. (b) Claims for direct and indirect costs filed pursuant to Section 17561 shall be filed in the manner prescribed in the parameters and guidelines and claiming instructions.

GC §17565: Reimbursement of Subsequently Mandated Costs

If a local agency or a school district, at its option, has been incurring costs which are subsequently mandated by the state, the state shall reimburse the local agency or school district for those costs incurred after the operative date of the mandate.

GC §17567: Insufficiency of Appropriation: Proration of Claims

In the event that the amount appropriated for reimbursement purposes pursuant to Section 17561 is not sufficient to pay all of the claims approved by the Controller, the Controller shall prorate claims in proportion to the dollar amount of approved claims timely filed and on hand at the time of proration. The Controller shall adjust prorated claims if supplementary funds are appropriated for this purpose. In the event that the Controller finds it necessary to prorate claims as provided by this section, the Controller shall immediately report this action to the Department of Finance, the Chairperson of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, and the Chairperson of the respective committee in each house of the Legislature which considers appropriations in order to assure appropriation of these funds in the Budget Act. If these funds cannot be appropriated on a timely basis in the Budget Act, the Controller shall transmit this information to the commission which shall include these amounts in its report to the Legislature pursuant to Section 17600 to assure that an appropriation sufficient to pay the claims is included in the local government claims bills or other appropriation bills. If the local government claims bills required by Section 17612 have been introduced in the Legislature, the Controller shall report directly to the chairperson of the respective committee in each house of the Legislature which considers appropriations to assure inclusion of a sufficient appropriation in the claims bills.

GC §17568: Payment of Claims Submitted After Deadline

If a local agency or school district submits an otherwise valid reimbursement claim to the Controller after the deadline specified in Section 17560, the Controller shall reduce the reimbursement claim in an amount equal to 10 percent of the amount which would have been allowed had the reimbursement claim been timely filed, provided that the amount of this reduction shall not exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000). In no case shall a reimbursement claim be paid which is submitted more than one year after the deadline specified in Section 17560. Estimated claims which were filed by the deadline specified in that section shall be paid in full before payments are made on estimated claims filed after the deadline. In the event the amount appropriated to the Controller for reimbursement purposes is not sufficient to pay the estimated claims approved by the Controller, the Controller shall prorate those claims in proportion to the dollar amount of approved claims filed after the deadline and shall report to the commission or the Legislature in the same manner as described in Section 17566 in order to assure appropriation of funds sufficient to pay those claims.

GC §17570: Annual Report to Legislature

The Legislative Analyst shall review each unfunded statutory or regulatory mandate for which claims have been approved by the Legislature pursuant to a claims bill during the preceding fiscal year. Any recommendations by the Legislative Analyst to eliminate or modify the mandates shall be contained in the annual analysis of the Budget Bill prepared by the Legislative Analyst.

GC §17571: Review and Modification of Claiming Instructions

The commission, upon request of a local agency or school district, shall review the claiming instructions issued by the Controller or any other authorized state agency for reimbursement of mandated costs. If the commission determines that the claiming instructions do not conform to the parameters and guidelines, the commission shall direct the Controller to modify the claiming instructions and the Controller shall modify the claiming instructions to conform to the parameters and guidelines as directed by the commission.

GC §17572: Amended Animal Adoption Parameters and Guidelines

(a) The commission shall amend the parameters and guidelines for the state-mandated local program contained in Chapter 752 of the Statutes of 1998, known as the Animal Adoption mandate (Case No. 98-TC-11), as specified below: (1) Amend the formula for determining the reimbursable portion of acquiring or building additional shelter space that is larger than needed to comply with the increased holding period to specify that costs incurred to address preexisting shelter overcrowding or animal population growth are not reimbursable. (2) Clarify how the costs for care and maintenance shall be calculated. (3) Detail the documentation necessary to support reimbursement claims under this mandate, in consultation with the Bureau of State Audits and the Controller's office. (b) The parameters and guidelines, as amended pursuant to this section, shall apply to claims for costs incurred in fiscal years commencing with the 2005-06 fiscal year in which Chapter 752 of the Statutes of 1998 is not suspended pursuant to Section 17581. (c) Before funds are appropriated to reimburse local agencies for claims related to costs incurred in fiscal years commencing with the 2005-06 fiscal year pursuant to Sections 1834 and 1846 of the Civil Code, and Sections 31108, 31752, 31752.5, 31753, 32001, and 32003 of the Food and Agricultural Code, known as the Animal Adoption mandate, local agencies shall file reimbursement claims pursuant to the parameters and guidelines amended pursuant to this section, and the Controller's revised claiming instructions.

GC §17575: Review of Bills

When a bill is introduced in the Legislature, and each time a bill is amended, on and after January 1, 1985, the Legislative Counsel shall determine whether the bill mandates a new program or higher level of service pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution. The Legislative Counsel shall make this determination known in the digest of the bill and shall describe in the digest the basis for this determination. The determination by the Legislative Counsel shall not be binding on the commission in making its determination pursuant to Section 17555.

GC §17576: Amendment of Bills on Floor: Notification by Legislative Counsel

Whenever the Legislative Counsel determines that a bill will mandate a new program or higher level of service pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution, the Department of Finance shall prepare an estimate of the amount of reimbursement which will be required. This estimate shall be prepared for the respective committees of each house of the Legislature which consider taxation measures and appropriation measures and shall be prepared prior to any hearing on the bill by any such committee.

GC §17577: Amount of Estimates

The estimate required by Section 17576 shall be the amount estimated to be required during the first fiscal year of a bill's operation in order to reimburse local agencies and school districts for costs mandated by the state by the bill.

GC §17578: Amendment of Bills on Floor: Notification by Legislative Counsel

In the event that a bill is amended on the floor of either house, whether by adoption of the report of a conference committee or otherwise, in such a manner as to mandate a new program or higher level of service pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution, the Legislative Counsel shall immediately inform, respectively, the Speaker of the Assembly and the President of the Senate of that fact. Notification from the Legislative Counsel shall be published in the journal of the respective houses of the Legislature.

GC §17579: Requirement for New Mandates to Specify Reimbursement Requirements: Appropriations

Any bill introduced or amended for which the Legislative Counsel has determined the bill will mandate a new program or higher level of service pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution shall contain a section specifying that reimbursement shall be made pursuant to this chapter or that the mandate is being disclaimed and the reason therefor.

GC §17581: Conditions for Exemption from Implementation of Statute or Executive Order

(a) No local agency shall be required to implement or give effect to any statute or executive order, or portion thereof, during any fiscal year and for the period immediately following that fiscal year for which the Budget Act has not been enacted for the subsequent fiscal year if all of the following apply: (1) The statute or executive order, or portion thereof, has been determined by the Legislature, the commission, or any court to mandate a new program or higher level of service requiring reimbursement of local agencies pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution. (2) The statute or executive order, or portion thereof, has been specifically identified by the Legislature in the Budget Act for the fiscal year as being one for which reimbursement is not provided for that fiscal year. For purposes of this paragraph, a mandate shall be considered to have been specifically identified by the Legislature only if it has been included within the schedule of reimbursable mandates shown in the Budget Act and it is specifically identified in the language of a provision of the item providing the appropriation for mandate reimbursements. (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, if a local agency elects to implement or give effect to a statute or executive order described in subdivision (a), the local agency may assess fees to persons or entities which benefit from the statute or executive order. Any fee assessed pursuant to this subdivision shall not exceed the costs reasonably borne by the local agency. (c) This section shall not apply to any state-mandated local program for the trial courts, as specified in Section 77203. (d) This section shall not apply to any state-mandated local program for which the reimbursement funding counts toward the minimum General Fund requirements of Section 8 of Article XVI of the Constitution.

GC §17581.5 Exemption from Provisions of School Bus Safety II Mandate and School Crimes Reporting II Mandate

(a) A school district may not be required to implement or give effect to the statutes, or portion thereof, identified in subdivision (b) during any fiscal year and for the period immediately following that fiscal year for which the Budget Act has not been enacted for the subsequent fiscal year if all of the following apply: (1) The statute or portion thereof, has been determined by the Legislature, the commission, or any court to mandate a new program or higher level of service requiring reimbursement of school districts pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution. (2) The statute, or portion thereof, has been specifically identified by the Legislature in the Budget Act for the fiscal year as being

one for which reimbursement is not provided for that fiscal year. For purposes of this paragraph, a mandate shall be considered to have been specifically identified by the Legislature only if it has been included within the schedule of reimbursable mandates shown in the Budget Act and it is specifically identified in the language of a provision of the item providing the appropriation for mandate reimbursements. (b) This section applies only to the following mandates: (1) The School Bus Safety I (CSM-4433) and II (97-TC-22) mandates (Chapter 642 of the Statutes of 1992; Chapter 831 of the Statutes of 1994; and Chapter 739 of the Statutes of 1997). (2) The School Crimes Reporting II mandate (97-TC-03; and Chapter 759 of the Statutes of 1992 and Chapter 410 of the Statutes of 1995). (3) Investment reports (96-358-02; and Chapter 783 of the Statutes of 1995 and Chapters 156 and 749 of the Statutes of 1996). (4) County treasury oversight committees (96-365-03; and Chapter 784 of the Statutes of 1995 and Chapter 156 of the Statutes of 1996).

GC §17600: Report on Number of Mandates and Their Costs

At least twice each calendar year the commission shall report to the Legislature on the number of mandates it has found pursuant to Article 1 (commencing with Section 17550) and the estimated statewide costs of these mandates. This report shall identify the statewide costs estimated for each mandate and the reasons for recommending reimbursement.

GC §17601: Report on Claims Denied

The commission shall report to the Legislature on January 15, 1986, and each January 15 thereafter, on the number of claims it denied during the preceding calendar year and the basis on which the particular claims were denied.

GC §17612: Local Government Claims Bills: Judicial Review of Funding Deletions

(a) Immediately upon receipt of the report submitted by the commission pursuant to Section 17600, a local government claims bill shall be introduced in the Legislature. The local government claims bill, at the time of its introduction, shall provide for an appropriation sufficient to pay the estimated costs of these mandates. (b) The Legislature may amend, modify, or supplement the parameters and guidelines for mandates contained in the local government claims bill. If the Legislature amends, modifies, or supplements the parameters and guidelines, it shall make a declaration in the local government claims bill specifying the basis for the amendment, modification, or supplement. (c) If the Legislature deletes from a local government claims bill funding for a mandate, the local agency or school district may file in the Superior Court of the County of Sacramento an action in declaratory relief to declare the mandate unenforceable and enjoin its enforcement.

GC §17613: Authorization of Augmentation for Mandated Costs

(a) The Director of Finance may, upon receipt of any report submitted pursuant to Section 17567, authorize the augmentation of the amount available for expenditure to reimburse costs mandated by the state, as defined in Section 17514, as follows: (1) For augmentation of (A) any schedule in any item to reimburse costs mandated by the state in any budget act, or (B) the amount appropriated in a local government claims bill for reimbursement of the claims of local agencies, as defined by Section 17518, from the unencumbered balance of any other item to reimburse costs mandated by the state in that budget act or another budget act or in an appropriation for reimbursement of the claims of local agencies in another local government claims bill. (2) For augmentation of (A) any schedule in any budget act item, or (B) any amount appropriated in a local government claims bill, when either of these augmentations is for reimbursement of mandated claims of school districts, as defined in Section 17519, when the source of this augmentation is (A) the unencumbered balance of any other scheduled amount in that budget act or another budget act, or (B) an appropriation in another local government claims bill, when either of these appropriations is for reimbursement of mandate claims of school districts. This paragraph applies only to appropriations that are made for the purpose of meeting the minimum funding guarantee for educational programs pursuant to Section 8 of Article XVI of the California Constitution. (b) No authorization for an augmentation pursuant to this section may be made

sooner than 30 days after the notification in writing of the necessity therefor to the chairperson of the committee in each house which considers appropriations and the chairperson of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, or not sooner than whatever lesser time as the chairperson of the joint committee, or his or her designee, may in each instance determine.

GC §17615: Legislative Findings and Intent

The Legislature finds and declares that the existing system for reimbursing local agencies and school districts for actual costs mandated by the state on an annual claim basis is time consuming, cumbersome, and expensive at both the local and state levels. The Controller must process voluminous claims with all claims subject to a desk audit and selected claims also subject to a field audit. Local agencies are required to maintain extensive documentation of all claims in anticipation of such an audit. The volume of these records is substantial and will continue to grow with no relief in sight as new programs are mandated. The cost to local agencies and school districts for filing claims, and for maintaining documentation and responding to the Controller's audits is substantial. The current administrative cost to both state and local governments represents a significant expenditure of public funds with no apparent benefit to the taxpayers. It is the intent of the Legislature to streamline the reimbursement process for costs mandated by the state by creating a system of state mandate apportionments to fund the costs of certain programs mandated by the state.

GC §17615.1: Review of Programs for Inclusion in System

The commission shall establish a procedure for reviewing, upon request, mandated cost programs for which appropriations have been made by the Legislature for the 1982-83, 1983-84, and 1984-85 fiscal years, or any three consecutive fiscal years thereafter. At the request of the Department of Finance, the Controller, or any local agency or school district receiving reimbursement for the mandated program, the commission shall review the mandated cost program to determine whether the program should be included in the State Mandates Apportionment System. If the commission determines that the State Mandates Apportionment System would accurately reflect the costs of the state-mandated program, the commission shall direct the Controller to include the program in the State Mandates Apportionment System.

GC §17615.2: Calculation of Disbursement Amounts

(a) Notwithstanding Section 17561, after November 30, 1985, for those programs included in the State Mandates Apportionment System, after approval by the commission, there shall be disbursed by the Controller to each local agency and school district which has submitted a reimbursement claim for costs mandated by the state in the 1982-83, 1983-84, and the 1984-85 fiscal years, or any three consecutive fiscal years thereafter, an amount computed by averaging the approved reimbursement claims for this three-year period. The amount shall first be adjusted according to any changes in the deflator. The deflator shall be applied separately to each year's costs for the three years which comprise the base period. Funds for these purposes shall be available to the extent they are provided for in the Budget Act of 1985 and the Budget Act for any subsequent fiscal year thereafter. For purposes of this article, "base period" means the three fiscal years immediately succeeding the commission's approval. (b) When the Controller has made payment on claims prior to commission approval of the program for inclusion in the State Mandates Apportionment System, the payment shall be adjusted in the next apportionment to the amount which would have been subvended to the local agency or school district for that fiscal year had the State Mandates Apportionment System been in effect at the time of the initial payment.

GC §17615.3: Annual Recalculation of Allocation

Notwithstanding Section 17561, by November 30, 1986, and by November 30 of each year thereafter, for those programs included in the State Mandates Apportionment System, the Controller shall recalculate each allocation for each local agency and school district for the 1985-86 fiscal year, by using the actual change in the deflator for that year. That recalculated allocation shall then be adjusted by the estimated change in the deflator for the 1986 -87 fiscal year, and each fiscal year thereafter, to

establish the allocation amount for the 1986-87 fiscal year, and each fiscal year thereafter. Additionally, for programs approved by the commission for inclusion in the State Mandates Apportionment System on or after January 1, 1988, the allocation for each year succeeding the three-year base period shall be adjusted according to any changes in both the deflator and workload. The Controller shall then subvene that amount after adjusting it by any amount of overpayment or underpayment in the 1985-86 fiscal year, and each fiscal year thereafter, due to a discrepancy between the actual change and the estimated change in the deflator or workload. Funds for these purposes shall be available to the extent they are provided for in the Budget Act of 1986 and the Budget Act for any subsequent fiscal year thereafter. For purposes of this article, "workload" means, for school districts and county offices of education, changes in the average daily attendance; for community colleges, changes in the number of full-time equivalent students; for cities and counties, changes in the population within their boundaries; and for special districts, changes in the population of the county in which the largest percentage of the district's population is located.

GC §17615.4: Procedure for Newly Mandated Program

(a) When a new mandate imposes costs that are funded either by legislation or in local government claims bills, local agencies and school districts may file reimbursement claims as required by Section 17561, for a minimum of three years after the initial funding of the new mandate. (b) After actual cost claims are submitted for three fiscal years against such a new mandate, the commission shall determine, upon request of the Controller or a local entity or school district receiving reimbursement for the program, whether the amount of the base year entitlement adjusted by changes in the deflator and workload accurately reflects the costs incurred by the local agency or school district. If the commission determines that the base year entitlement, as adjusted, does accurately reflect the costs of the program, the commission shall direct the Controller to include the program in the State Mandates Apportionment System. (c) The Controller shall make recommendations to the commission and the commission shall consider the Controller's recommendations for each new mandate submitted for inclusion in the State Mandates Apportionment System. All claims included in the State Mandates Apportionment System pursuant to this section are also subject to the audit provisions of Section 17616.

GC §17615.5: Procedure Where No Base Year Entitlement Has Been Established

(a) If any local agency or school district has an established base year entitlement which does not include costs for a particular mandate, that local agency or school district may submit reimbursement claims for a minimum of three consecutive years, adjusted pursuant to Section 17615.3 by changes in the deflator and workload, or entitlement claims covering a minimum of three consecutive years, after which time its base year entitlement may be adjusted by an amount necessary to fund the costs of that mandate. (b) If any local agency or school district has no base year entitlement, but wishes to begin claiming costs of one or more of the mandates included in the State Mandates Apportionment System, that local agency or school district may submit reimbursement claims for a minimum of three consecutive years, or entitlement claims covering the preceding three consecutive years, which shall be adjusted pursuant to Sections 17615.2 and 17615.3 by changes in the deflator and workload, after which time a base year entitlement may be established in an amount necessary to fund the costs of the mandate or mandates.

GC §17615.6: Procedure Where Program is No Longer Mandatory

If a local agency or school district realizes a decrease in the amount of costs incurred because a mandate is discontinued, or made permissive, the Controller shall determine the amount of the entitlement attributable to that mandate by determining the base year amount for that mandate for the local agency or school district plus the annual adjustments. This amount shall be subtracted from the annual subvention which would otherwise have been allocated to the local agency or school district.

GC §17615.7: Procedure Where Program is Modified

If a mandated program included in the State Mandates Apportionment System is modified or amended by the Legislature or by executive order, and the modification or amendment significantly affects the costs of the program, as determined by the commission, the program shall be removed from the State Mandate Apportionment System, and the payments reduced accordingly. Local entities or school districts may submit actual costs claims for a period of three years, after which the program may be considered for inclusion in the State Mandates Apportionment System, pursuant to the provisions of Section 17615.4.

GC §17615.8: Review of Base Year Entitlement

(a) The commission shall establish a procedure for reviewing, upon request, any apportionment or base year entitlement of a local agency or school district. (b) Local agencies and school districts which request such a review shall maintain and provide those records and documentation as the commission or its designee determines are necessary for the commission or its designee to make the required determinations. With the exception of records required to verify base year entitlements, the records may not be used to adjust current or prior apportionments, but may be used to adjust future apportionments. (c) If the commission determines that an apportionment or base year entitlement for funding costs mandated by the state does not accurately reflect the costs incurred by the local agency or school district for all mandates upon which that apportionment is based, the commission shall direct the Controller to adjust the apportionment accordingly. For the purposes of this section, an apportionment or a base year entitlement does not accurately reflect the costs incurred by a local agency or school district if it falls short of reimbursing, or overreimburses, that local agency's or school district's actual costs by 20 percent or by one thousand dollars (\$1,000), whichever is less. (d) If the commission determines that an apportionment or base year entitlement for funding costs mandated by the state accurately reflects the costs incurred by the local agency or school district for all mandates upon which that apportionment is based, the commission may, in its discretion, direct the Controller to withhold, and, if so directed, the Controller shall withhold the costs of the commission's review from the next apportionment to the local agency or school district, if the commission review was requested by the local agency or school district.

GC §17615.9: Review of Programs Under SMAS

The commission shall periodically review programs funded under the State Mandate Apportionments System to evaluate the effectiveness or continued statewide need for each such mandate.

GC §17616: Audits and Verification by Controller

The Controller shall have the authority to do either or both of the following: (a) Audit the fiscal years comprising the base year entitlement no later than three years after the year in which the base year entitlement is established. The results of such audits shall be used to adjust the base year entitlements and any subsequent apportionments based on that entitlement, in addition to adjusting actual cost payments made for the base years audited. (b) Verify that any local agency or school district receiving funds pursuant to this article is providing the reimbursed activities.

GC §17617: Local Agency Payment

The total amount due to each city, county, city and county, and special district, for which the state has determined, as of June 30, 2005, that reimbursement is required under Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution, shall be appropriated for payment to these entities over a period of not more than five years, commencing with the Budget Act for the 2006-07 fiscal year and concluding with the Budget Act for the 2011-12 fiscal year.

FILING A CLAIM

RECEIVED
December 16, 2013
*Commission on
State Mandates*

1. Introduction

The law in the State of California, (GC Sections 17500 through 17617), provides for the reimbursement of costs incurred by school districts for costs mandated by the State. Costs mandated by the State means any increased costs which a school district is required to incur after July 1, 1980, as a result of any statute enacted after January 1, 1975, or any executive order implementing such statute which mandates a new program or higher level of service of an existing program.

Estimated claims that show costs to be incurred in the current fiscal year and reimbursement claims that detail the costs actually incurred for the prior fiscal year may be filed with the State Controller's Office (SCO). Claims for on-going programs are filed annually by January 15. Claims for new programs are filed within 120 days from the date claiming instructions are issued for the program. A 10 percent penalty, up to \$1,000 for continuing claims, no limit for initial claims, is assessed for late claims. The SCO may audit the records of any school district to verify the actual amount of mandated costs and may reduce any claim that is excessive or unreasonable.

When a program has been reimbursed for three or more years, the Commission on State Mandates (COSM) may approve the program for inclusion in the State Mandates Apportionment System (SMAS). For programs included in SMAS, the SCO determines the amount of each claimant's entitlement based on an average of three consecutive fiscal years of actual costs adjusted by any changes in the Implicit Price Deflator (IPD). Claimants with an established entitlement receive an annual apportionment adjusted by any changes in the IPD and, under certain circumstances, by any changes in workload. Claimants with an established entitlement do not file further claims for the program.

The SCO is authorized to make payments for costs of mandated programs from amounts appropriated by the State Budget Act, by the State Mandates Claims Fund, or by specific legislation. In the event the appropriation is insufficient to pay claims in full, claimants will receive prorated payments in proportion to the dollar amount of approved claims for the program. Balances of prorated payments will be made when supplementary funds are made available.

The instructions contained in this manual are intended to provide general guidance for filing a mandated cost claim. Since each mandate is administered separately, it is important to refer to the specific program for information relating to established policies on eligible reimbursable costs.

2. Types of Claims

There are three types of claims: Reimbursement, estimated, and entitlement. A claimant may file a reimbursement claim for actual mandated costs incurred in the prior fiscal year or may file an estimated claim for mandated costs to be incurred during the current fiscal year. An entitlement claim may be filed for the purpose of establishing a base year entitlement amount for mandated programs included in SMAS. A claimant who has established a base year entitlement for a program would receive an automatic annual payment which is reflective of the current costs for the program.

All claims received by the SCO will be reviewed to verify actual costs. An adjustment of the claim will be made if the amount claimed is determined to be excessive, improper, or unreasonable. The claim must be filed with sufficient documentation to support the costs claimed. The types of documentation required to substantiate a claim are identified in the instructions for the program. The certification of claim, form FAM-27, must be signed and dated by the entity's authorized officer in order for the SCO to make payment on the claim.

A. Reimbursement Claim

A reimbursement claim is defined in GC Section 17522 as any claim filed with the SCO by a school district for reimbursement of costs incurred for which an appropriation is made for the purpose of paying the claim. The claim must include supporting documentation to substantiate the costs claimed.

Initial reimbursement claims are first-time claims for reimbursement of costs for one or more prior fiscal years of a program that was previously unfunded. Claims are due 120 days from the date of issuance of the claiming instructions for the program by the SCO. The first statute that appropriates funds for the mandated program will specify the fiscal years for which costs are eligible for reimbursement.

Annual reimbursement claims must be filed by January 15 following the fiscal year in which costs were incurred for the program. A reimbursement claim must detail the costs actually incurred in the prior fiscal year.

An actual claim for the 2005-06 fiscal year may be filed by January 15, 2007, without a late penalty. Claims filed after the deadline will be reduced by a late penalty of 10%, not to exceed \$1,000. However, initial reimbursement claims will be reduced by a late penalty of 10% with no limitation. In order for a claim to be considered properly filed, it must include any specific supporting documentation requested in the instructions. Claims filed more than one year after the deadline or without the requested supporting documentation will not be accepted.

B. Estimated Claim

An estimated claim is defined in GC Section 17522 as any claim filed with the SCO, during the fiscal year in which the mandated costs are to be incurred by the school districts and county superintendent of schools, against an appropriation made to the SCO for the purpose of paying those costs.

An estimated claim may be filed in conjunction with an initial reimbursement claim, annual reimbursement claim, or at other times for estimated costs to be incurred during the current fiscal year. Annual estimated claims are due January 15 of the fiscal year in which the costs are to be incurred. Initial estimated claims are due on the date specified in the claiming instructions. Timely filed estimated claims are paid before those filed after the deadline.

After receiving payment for an estimated claim, the claimant must file a reimbursement claim by January 15 following the fiscal year in which costs were incurred. If the claimant fails to file a reimbursement claim, monies received for the estimated claims must be returned to the State.

C. Entitlement Claim

An entitlement claim is defined in GC Section 17522 as any claim filed by a school district and county superintendent of schools with the SCO for the sole purpose of establishing or adjusting a base year entitlement for a mandated program that has been included in SMAS. An entitlement claim should not contain nonrecurring or initial start-up costs. There is no statutory deadline for the filing of entitlement claims. However, entitlement claims and supporting documents should be filed by January 15, following the third fiscal year used to develop the entitlement claim, to permit an orderly processing of claims. When the claims are approved and a base year entitlement amount is determined, the claimant will receive an apportionment reflective of the program's current year costs. School mandates included in SMAS are listed on page 5.

Once a mandate has been included in SMAS and the claimant has established a base year entitlement, the claimant will receive automatic payments from the SCO for the mandate. The automatic apportionment is determined by adjusting the claimant's base year entitlement for changes in the implicit price deflator of costs of goods and services to governmental agencies,

as determined by the State Department of Finance. For programs approved by the COSM for inclusion in SMAS on or after January 1, 1988, the payment for each year succeeding the three-year base period is adjusted according to any changes by both the deflator and average daily attendance. Annual apportionments for programs included in the system are paid on or before November 30 of each year.

A base year entitlement is determined by computing the average of the claimant's costs for any three consecutive years after the program has been approved for the SMAS process. The amount is first adjusted according to any changes in the deflator. The deflator is applied separately to each year's costs for the three years, which comprise the base year. The SCO will perform this computation for each claimant who has filed claims for three consecutive years. If a claimant has incurred costs for three consecutive years but has not filed a claim in each of those years, the claimant may file an entitlement claim, form FAM-43, to establish a base year entitlement. The form FAM-43 is included in the claiming instructions for SMAS programs. An entitlement claim does not result in the claimant being reimbursed for the costs incurred, but rather entitles the claimant to receive automatic payments from SMAS.

3. Minimum Claim Amount

GC Section 17564(a) provides that no claim shall be filed pursuant to Sections 17551 and 17561, unless such a claim exceeds one thousand dollars (\$1,000), provided that a county superintendent of schools may submit a combined claim on behalf of school districts within their county if the combined claim exceeds \$1,000, even if the individual school district's claim does not each exceed \$1,000. The county superintendent of schools shall determine if the submission of the combined claim is economically feasible and shall be responsible for disbursing the funds to each school district. These combined claims may be filed only when the county superintendent of schools is the fiscal agent for the districts. A combined claim must show the individual claim costs for each eligible district. All subsequent claims based upon the same mandate shall only be filed in the combined form unless a school district provides a written notice of its intent to file a separate claim to the county superintendent of schools and to the SCO at least 180 days prior to the deadline for filing the claim.

4. Filing Deadline for Claims

Initial reimbursement claims (first-time claims) for reimbursement of costs of a previously unfunded mandated program must be filed within 120 days from the date of issuance of the program's claiming instructions by the SCO. If the initial reimbursement claim is filed after the deadline, but within one year of the deadline, the approved claim must be reduced by a 10% penalty. A claim filed more than one year after the deadline cannot be accepted for reimbursement.

Annual reimbursement claims for costs incurred during the previous fiscal year and estimated claims for costs to be incurred during the current fiscal year must be filed with the SCO and postmarked on or before January 15. If the annual or estimated reimbursement claim is filed after the deadline, but within one year of the deadline, the approved claim must be reduced by a 10% late penalty, not to exceed \$1,000. Claims must include supporting data to show how the amount claimed was derived. Without this information, the claim cannot be accepted.

Entitlement claims do not have a filing deadline. However, entitlement claims and supporting documents should be filed by January 15 to permit an orderly processing of claims. Entitlement claims are used to establish a base year entitlement amount for calculating automatic annual payments. Entitlement does not result in the claimant being reimbursed for costs incurred, but rather entitles the claimant to receive automatic payments from SMAS.

5. Payment of Claims

In order for the SCO to authorize payment of a claim, the Certification of Claim, form FAM-27, must

be properly filled out, signed, and dated by the entity's authorized officer.

Reimbursement and estimated claims are paid within 60 days of the filing deadline for the claim, or 15 days after the date the appropriation for the claim is effective, whichever is later. A claimant is entitled to receive accrued interest at the pooled money investment account rate if the payment was made more than 60 days after the claim filing deadline or the actual date of claim receipt, whichever is later. For an initial claim, interest begins to accrue when the payment is made more than 365 days after the adoption of the program's statewide cost estimate. The SCO may withhold up to 20 percent of the amount of an initial claim until the claim is audited to verify the actual amount of the mandated costs. The 20 percent withheld is not subject to accrued interest.

In the event the amount appropriated by the Legislature is insufficient to pay the approved amount in full for a program, claimants will receive a prorated payment in proportion to the amount of approved claims timely filed and on hand at the time of proration.

The SCO reports the amounts of insufficient appropriations to the State Department of Finance, the Chairperson of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, and the Chairperson of the respective committee in each house of the Legislature, which considers appropriations in order to assure appropriation of these funds in the Budget Act. If these funds cannot be appropriated on a timely basis in the Budget Act, this information is transmitted to the COSM which will include these amounts in its report to assure that an appropriation sufficient to pay the claims is included in the next local government claims bill or other appropriation bills. When the supplementary funds are made available, the balance of the claims will be paid.

Unless specified in the statutes, regulations, or P's & G's, the determination of allowable and unallowable costs for mandates is based on the P's & G's adopted by the COSM. The determination of allowable reimbursable mandated costs for unfunded mandates is made by the COSM. The SCO determines allowable reimbursable costs, subject to amendment by the COSM, for mandates funded by special legislation. Unless specified, allowable costs are those direct and indirect costs, less applicable credits, considered to be eligible for reimbursement. In order for costs to be allowable and thus eligible for reimbursement, the costs must meet the following general criteria:

1. The cost is necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient administration of the mandate and not a general expense required to carry out the overall responsibilities of government.
2. The cost is allocable to a particular cost objective identified in the P's & G's.
3. The cost is net of any applicable credits that offset or reduce expenses of items allocable to the mandate.

The SCO has identified certain costs that should not be claimed as direct program costs unless specified as reimbursable under the program's P's & G's. These costs include, but are not limited to, subscriptions, depreciation, memberships, conferences, workshops general education, and travel costs.

6. State Mandates Apportionment System (SMAS)

Chapter 1534, Statutes of 1985, established SMAS, a method of paying certain mandated programs as apportionments. This method is utilized whenever a program has been approved for inclusion in SMAS by the COSM.

When a mandated program has been included in SMAS, the SCO will determine a base year entitlement amount for each school district that has submitted reimbursement claims (or entitlement claims) for three consecutive fiscal years. A base year entitlement amount is determined by averaging the approved reimbursement claims (or entitlement claims) for 1982-83, 1983-84, and 1984-85 years or any three consecutive fiscal years thereafter. The amounts are first adjusted by any change in the Implicit Price Deflator (IPD), which is applied separately to each year's costs for

the three years that comprise the base period. The base period means the three fiscal years immediately succeeding the COSM's approval.

Each school district with an established base year entitlement for the program will receive automatic annual payments from the SCO reflective of the program's current year costs. The amount of apportionment is adjusted annually for any change in the IPD. If the mandated program was included in SMAS after January 1, 1988, the annual apportionment is adjusted for any change in both the IPD and average daily attendance.

In the event a school district has incurred costs for three consecutive fiscal years but did not file a reimbursement claim in one or more of those fiscal years, the school district may file an entitlement claim for each of those missed years to establish a base year entitlement. An "entitlement claim" means any claim filed by a school district with the SCO for the sole purpose of establishing a base year entitlement. A base year entitlement shall not include any nonrecurring or initial start-up costs.

Initial apportionments are made on an individual program basis. After the initial year, all apportionments are made by November 30. The amount to be apportioned is the base year entitlement adjusted by annual changes in the IPD for the cost of goods and services to governmental agencies as determined by the State Department of Finance.

In the event the school district determines that the amount of apportionment does not accurately reflect costs incurred to comply with a mandate, the process of adjusting an established base year entitlement upon which the apportionment is based is set forth in GC Section 17615.8 and requires the approval of the COSM.

School Mandates Included in SMAS

Program Name	Chapter/Statute	Program Number
Immunization Records	Ch. 1176/77	32

Pupil Expulsion Transcripts, program #91, Chapter 1253/75 was removed from SMAS for the 2002-03 fiscal year. This program was consolidated with other mandate programs that are included in Pupil Suspension, Expulsions, and Expulsion Appeals, program #176.

7. Direct Costs

A direct cost is a cost that can be identified specifically with a particular program or activity. Each claimed reimbursable cost must be supported by documentation as described in Section 12. Costs that are typically classified as direct costs are:

(1) Employee Wages, Salaries, and Fringe Benefits

For each of the mandated activities performed, the claimant must list the names of the employees who worked on the mandate, their job classification, hours worked on the mandate, and rate of pay. The claimant may, in-lieu of reporting actual compensation and fringe benefits, use a productive hourly rate:

(a) Productive Hourly Rate Options

A school district may use one of the following methods to compute productive hourly rates:

- Actual annual productive hours for each employee
- The weighted-average annual productive hours for each job title, or
- 1,800* annual productive hours for all employees

If actual annual productive hours or weighted-average annual productive hours for each job title is chosen, the claim must include a computation of how these hours were computed.

* 1,800 annual productive hours excludes the following employee time:

- o Paid holidays
- o Vacation earned
- o Sick leave taken
- o Informal time off
- o Jury duty
- o Military leave taken.

(b) Compute a Productive Hourly Rate

1. Compute a productive hourly rate for salaried employees to include actual fringe benefit costs. The methodology for converting a salary to a productive hourly rate is to compute the employee's annual salary and fringe benefits and divide by the annual productive hours.

Table 1: Productive Hourly Rate, Annual Salary + Benefits Method

Formula:	Description:
$[(EAS + Benefits) \div APH] = PHR$	EAS = Employee's Annual Salary
	APH = Annual Productive Hours
$[(\$26,000 + \$8,099)] \div 1,800 \text{ hrs} = 18.94$	PHR = Productive Hourly Rate

- As illustrated in Table 1, if you assume an employee's compensation was \$26,000 and \$8,099 for annual salary and fringe benefits, respectively, using the "Salary + Benefits Method," the productive hourly rate would be \$18.94. To convert a biweekly salary to EAS, multiply the biweekly salary by 26. To convert a monthly salary to EAS, multiply the monthly salary by 12. Use the same methodology to convert other salary periods.
2. A claimant may also compute the productive hourly rate by using the "Percent of Salary Method."

Table 2: Productive Hourly Rate, Percent of Salary Method

Example:		
Step 1: Fringe Benefits as a Percent of Salary		Step 2: Productive Hourly Rate
Retirement	15.00 %	Formula: $[(EAS \times (1 + FBR)) \div APH] = PHR$ $[(\$26,000 \times (1.3115)) \div 1,800] = \18.94
Social Security & Medicare	7.65	
Health & Dental Insurance	5.25	
Workers Compensation	3.25	
Total	31.15 %	
Description:		
EAS = Employee's Annual Salary		APH = Annual Productive Hours
FBR = Fringe Benefit Rate		PHR = Productive Hourly Rate

- As illustrated in Table 3, both methods produce the same productive hourly rate.

Reimbursement for personnel services includes, but is not limited to, compensation paid for salaries, wages, and employee fringe benefits. Employee fringe benefits include employer's contributions for social security, pension plans, insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, and similar payments. These benefits are eligible for reimbursement as long as they are distributed equitably to all activities. Whether these costs are allowable is based on the following presumptions:

- The amount of compensation is reasonable for the service rendered.
- The compensation paid and benefits received are appropriately authorized by the governing board.
- Amounts charged for personnel services are based on payroll documents that are supported by time and attendance or equivalent records for individual employees.
- The methods used to distribute personnel services should produce an equitable distribution of direct and indirect allowable costs.

For each of the employees included in the claim, the claimant must use reasonable rates and hours in computing the wage cost. If a person of a higher-level job position performs an activity which normally would be performed by a lower-level position, reimbursement for time spent is allowable at the average salary range for the lower-level position. The salary rate of the person at the higher-level position may be claimed if it can be shown that it was more cost effective in comparison to the performance by a person at the lower-level position under normal circumstances and conditions. The number of hours charged to an activity should reflect the time expected to complete the activity under normal circumstances and conditions. The numbers of hours in excess of normal expected hours are not reimbursable.

(c) Calculating an Average Productive Hourly Rate

In those instances where the parameters and guidelines allow a unit as a basis of claiming costs, the direct labor component of the unit cost should be expressed as an average productive hourly rate and can be determined as follows:

Table 3: Calculating an Average Productive Hourly Rate

	<u>Time Spent</u>	<u>Productive Hourly Rate</u>	<u>Total Cost by Employee</u>
Employee A	1.25 hrs	\$6.00	\$7.50
Employee B	0.75 hrs	4.50	3.38
Employee C	3.50 hrs	10.00	35.00
Total	5.50 hrs		\$45.88
Average Productive Hourly Rate is $\$45.88 / 5.50 \text{ hrs.} = \8.34			

(d) Employer's Fringe Benefits Contribution

A school district has the option of claiming actual employer's fringe benefit contributions or may compute an average fringe benefit cost for the employee's job classification and claim it as a percentage of direct labor. The same time base should be used for both salary and fringe benefits when computing a percentage. For example, if health and dental insurance payments are made annually, use an annual salary. After the percentage of salary for each fringe benefit is computed, total them.

For example:

<u>Employer's Contribution</u>	<u>% of Salary</u>
Retirement	15.00%
Social Security	7.65%
Health and Dental	5.25%
Insurance	0.75%
Worker's Compensation	0.75%
Total	<u>28.65%</u>

(e) Materials and Supplies

Only actual expenses can be claimed for materials and supplies, which were acquired and consumed specifically for the purpose of a mandated program. The claimant must list the materials and supplies that were used to perform the mandated activity, the number of units consumed, the cost per unit, and the total dollar amount claimed. Materials and supplies purchased to perform a particular mandated activity are expected to be reasonable in quality, quantity, and cost. Purchases in excess of reasonable quality, quantity, and cost are not reimbursable. Materials and supplies withdrawn from inventory and charged to the mandated activity must be based on a recognized method of pricing, consistently applied. Purchases shall be claimed at the actual price after deducting discounts, rebates, and allowances received by local agencies.

(f) Calculating a Unit Cost for Materials and Supplies

In those instances where the parameters and guidelines suggest that a unit cost be developed for use as a basis of claiming costs mandated by the State, the materials and supplies component of the unit cost should be expressed as a unit cost of materials and supplies as shown in Table 1 or Table 2:

Table 1: Calculating A Unit Cost for Materials and Supplies

Supplies	<u>Cost Per Unit</u>	<u>Amount of Supplies Used Per Activity</u>	<u>Unit Cost of Supplies Per Activity</u>
Paper	0.02	4	\$0.08
Files	0.10	1	0.10
Envelopes	0.03	2	0.06
Photocopies	0.10	4	<u>0.40</u>
			<u>\$0.64</u>

Table 2: Calculating a Unit Cost for Materials and Supplies

Supplies	Supplies Used	Unit Cost of Supplies Per Activity
Paper (\$10.00 for 500 sheet ream)	250 Sheets	\$5.00
Files (\$2.50 for box of 25)	10 Folders	1.00
Envelopes (\$3.00 for box of 100)	50 Envelopes	1.50
Photocopies (\$0.05 per copy)	40 Copies	<u>2.00</u>
		<u><u>\$9.50</u></u>
<p>If the number of reimbursable instances is 25, then the unit cost of supplies is \$0.38 per reimbursable instance ($\\$9.50 / 25$).</p>		

(g) Contract Services

The cost of contract services is allowable if the school district lacks the staff resources or necessary expertise, or it is economically feasible to hire a contractor to perform the mandated activity. The claimant must give the name of the contractor, explain the reason for having to hire a contractor, describe the mandated activities performed, give the dates when the activities were performed, the number of hours spent performing the mandate, the hourly billing rate, and the total cost. The hourly billing rate shall not exceed the rate specified in the parameters and guidelines for the mandated program. The contractor's invoice, or statement, which includes an itemized list of costs for activities performed, must accompany the claim.

(h) Equipment Rental Costs

Equipment purchases and leases (with an option to purchase) are not reimbursable as a direct cost unless specifically allowed by the parameters and guidelines for the particular mandate. Equipment rentals used solely for the mandate is reimbursable to the extent such costs do not exceed the retail purchase price of the equipment plus a finance charge. The claimant must explain the purpose and use for the equipment, the time period for which the equipment was rented and the total cost of the rental. If the equipment is used for purposes other than reimbursable activities, only the pro rata portion of the rental costs can be claimed.

(i) Capital Outlay

Capital outlays for land, buildings, equipment, furniture and fixtures may be claimed if the parameters and guidelines specify them as allowable. If they are allowable, the parameters and guidelines for the program will specify a basis for the reimbursement. If the fixed asset or equipment is also used for purposes other than reimbursable activities for a specific mandate, only the pro rata portion of the purchase price used to implement the reimbursable activities can be claimed.

(j) Travel Expenses

Travel expenses are normally reimbursable in accordance with travel rules and regulations of the local jurisdiction. For some programs, however, the parameters and guidelines may specify certain limitations on expenses, or that expenses can only be reimbursed in accordance with the State Board of Control travel standards. When claiming travel expenses, the claimant must explain the purpose of the trip, identify the name and address of the persons incurring the expense, the date and time of departure

and return for the trip, description of each expense claimed, the cost of transportation, number of private auto miles traveled, and the cost of tolls and parking with receipts required for charges over \$10.00.

(k) Documentation

It is the responsibility of the claimant to make available to the SCO, upon request, documentation in the form of general and subsidiary ledgers, purchase orders, invoices, contracts, canceled warrants, equipment usage records, land deeds, receipts, employee time sheets, agency travel guidelines, inventory records, and other relevant documents to support claimed costs. The type of documentation necessary for each claim may differ with the type of mandate.

8. Indirect Costs

Indirect costs are: (a) Incurred for a common or joint purpose benefiting more than one cost objective, and (b) not readily assignable to the cost objectives specifically benefited, without effort disproportionate to the results achieved. Indirect costs can originate in the department performing the mandate or in departments that supply the department performing the mandate with goods, services and facilities. As noted previously, in order for a cost to be allowable, it must be allocable to a particular cost objective. With respect to indirect costs, this requires that the cost be distributed to benefiting cost objectives on bases, which produce an equitable result in relation to the benefits derived by the mandate.

School districts and county superintendents of schools may use the indirect cost rates approved by the California Department of Education based on J-380/580/780/SACS Expenditure Data whichever is applicable to the fiscal year of the claim.

The amount of indirect costs the claimant is eligible to claim is computed by multiplying the rate by direct costs. When applying the rate, multiply the rate by mandated direct costs not included in either (1) total support services, EDP No. 422 of the J-380 or J-580, or (2) indirect costs on Form ICR of the California Department of Education's SACS Financial Reporting Software. If there are any exceptions to this general rule for applying the indirect cost rate, they will be found in the individual mandate instructions.

9. Time Study Guidelines

Background

For costs incurred on or after January 1, 2005, a reasonable reimbursement methodology can be used as a formula for reimbursing school district costs mandated by the state that meet certain conditions specified in GC Section 17518.5(a). For costs incurred prior to January 1, 2005, a time study can only substitute for continuous records of actual time spent for a specific fiscal year if the program's P's & G's allow for the use of time studies.

Two methods are acceptable for documenting employee time charged to mandated cost programs: Actual Time Reporting and Time Study, which are described below. Application of time study results is restricted. As explained in Time Study Results below the results may be projected forward a maximum of two years provided the claimant meets certain criteria.

Actual Time Reporting

Parameters and Guidelines define reimbursable activities for each mandated cost program. (Some P's & G's refer to reimbursable activities as reimbursable components.) When employees work on multiple activities and/or programs, a distribution of their salaries or wages must be supported by personnel activity reports or equivalent documentation that meets the following standards (which

clarify documentation requirements discussed under the Reimbursable Activities section of recent P's & G's):

- They must reflect an after-the-fact (contemporaneous) distribution of the actual activity of each employee;
- They must account for the total activity for which each employee is compensated;
- They must be prepared at least monthly and must coincide with one or more pay periods; and
- They must be signed by the employee.

Budget estimates, or other distribution percentages determined before services are performed, do not qualify as support for time distribution.

Time Study

In certain cases, a time study may be used to substitute for continuous records of actual time spent on multiple activities and/or programs. An effective time study requires that an activity be a task that is repetitive in nature. Activities that require a varying level of effort are not appropriate for time studies.

Time Study Plan

A time study plan is necessary before conducting the time study. The claimant must retain the time study plan for audit purposes. The plan needs to identify the following:

- Time period(s) to be studied – The plan must show that all time periods selected are representative of the fiscal year and that the results can be reasonably projected to approximate actual costs.
- Activities and/or programs to be studied – For each mandated program included, the time study must separately identify each reimbursable activity defined in the mandated program's P's & G's, which are derived from the program's Statement of Decision. If a reimbursable activity in the P's & G's identifies separate and distinct sub-activities, they must also be treated as individual activities.

For example, sub-activities (a), (b), and (c) under reimbursable activity (B) (1) of the local agency's Domestic Violence Treatment Services: Authorization and Case Management program relate to information to be discussed during victim notification by the probation department and therefore are not separate and distinct activities. These sub-activities do not have to be separately studied.

- Process used to accomplish each reimbursable activity – Use flowcharts or similar analytical tools and/or written desk procedures to describe the process for each activity.
- Employee universe – The employee universe used in the time study must include all positions whose salaries and wages are to be allocated by means of the time study.
- Employee sample selection methodology – The plan must show that employees selected are representative of the employee universe, and the results can be reasonably projected to approximate actual costs. In addition, the employee sample size should be proportional to the variation in time spent to perform a task. The sample size should be larger for tasks with significant time variations.
- Time increments to be recorded – The time increments used should be sufficient to recognize the number of different activities performed and the dynamics of these responsibilities. Very

large increments (such as one hour or more) might be used for employees performing only a few functions that change very slowly over time. Very small increments (a number of minutes) may be needed for employees performing more short-term tasks.

Random moment sampling is not an acceptable alternative to continuous time records for mandated cost claims. Random moment sampling techniques are most applicable in situations where employees perform many different types of activities on a variety of programs with small time increments throughout the fiscal year.

Time Study Documentation

Time studies must:

- Be supported by time records that are completed contemporaneously;
- Report activity on a daily basis;
- Be sufficiently detailed to reflect all mandated activities and/or programs performed during a specific time period; and
- Coincide with one or more pay periods.

Time records must be signed by the employee (electronic signatures are acceptable) and be supported by corroborating evidence, which validates that the work was actually performed. As with actual time reporting, budget estimates, or other distribution percentages determined before services are performed do not qualify as valid time studies.

Time Study Results

Time study results must be summarized to show how the time study supports the costs claimed for each activity. Any variations from the procedures identified in the original time study plan must be documented and explained.

Current-year costs must be used to prepare a time study. Claimants may project time study results to no more than two subsequent fiscal years. A claimant may not apply time study results retroactively.

- Annual Reimbursement Claims – Claimants may use time studies to support costs incurred on or after January 1, 2005. Claimants may not use time studies for the period July 1, 2004, through December 31, 2004, unless (1) the program's P's & G's specifically allow time studies, and (2) the time study is prepared based on mandated activity occurring between July 1, 2004, and December 31, 2004.
- Initial Claims – When filing an initial claim for new mandated programs, claimants may only use time study results for costs incurred on or after January 1, 2005. Claimants may not use time studies to support costs incurred before January 1, 2005, unless (1) the program's P's & G's specifically allow time studies, and (2) the claimant prepares separate time studies for each fiscal year preceding January 1, 2005, based on mandated activity occurring during those years.

When projecting time study results, the claimant must certify that there have been no significant changes between years in either (1) the requirements of each mandated program activity or (2) the processes and procedures used to accomplish the activity. For all years, the claimant must maintain corroborating evidence that validates the mandated activity was actually performed. Time study results used to support subsequent years' claims are subject to the recordkeeping requirements for those claims.

10. Offset Against State Mandated Claims

As noted previously, allowable costs are defined as those direct and indirect costs, less applicable credits, considered to be eligible for reimbursement. When all or part of the costs of a mandated program are specifically reimbursable from local assistance revenue sources (e.g., state, federal, foundation, etc.), only that portion of any increased costs payable from school district funds is eligible for reimbursement under the provisions of GC Section 17561.

Example 1:

As illustrated in Table 5, this example shows how the "Offset against State Mandated Claims" is determined for school districts receiving block grant revenues not based on a formula allocation. Program costs for each of the situations equals \$100,000.

Table 5: Offset Against State Mandates, Example 1

	Program Costs	Actual Local Assistance Revenues	State Mandated Costs	Offset Against State Mandated Claims	Claimable Mandated Costs
1.	\$100,000	\$95,000	\$2,500	\$-0-	\$2,500
2.	100,000	97,000	2,500	-0-	2,500
3.	100,000	98,000	2,500	500	2,000
4.	100,000	100,000	2,500	2,500	-0-
5.	100,000 *	50,000	2,500	1,250	1,250
6.	100,000 *	49,000	2,500	250	2,250

* School district share is \$50,000 of the program cost.

Numbers (1) through (4), in Table 5, show intended funding at 100% from local assistance revenue sources. Numbers (5) and (6) show cost sharing on a 50/50 basis with the district. In numbers (1) through (6), included in the program costs of \$100,000 are state mandated costs of \$2,500. The offset against state mandated claims are the amount of actual local assistance revenues which exceeds the difference between program costs and state mandated costs. This offset cannot exceed the amount of state mandated costs.

In (1), local assistance revenues were less than expected. Local assistance funding was not in excess of the difference between program costs and state mandated costs. As a result, the offset against state mandated claims is zero and \$2,500 is claimable as mandated costs.

In (4), local assistance revenues were fully realized to cover the entire cost of the program, including the state mandate activity; therefore, the offset against state mandated claims is \$2,500, and claimable costs are \$0.

In (5), the district is sharing 50% of the project cost. Since local assistance revenues of \$50,000 were fully realized, the offset against state mandated claims is \$1,250.

In (6), local assistance revenues were less than the amount expended and the offset against state mandated claims is \$250. Therefore, the claimable mandated costs are \$2,250.

Example 2:

As illustrated in Table 6, this example shows how the offset against state mandated claims is determined for school districts receiving special project funds based on approved actual costs. Local assistance revenues for special projects must be applied proportionately to approved costs.

Table 6: Offset Against State Mandates, Example 2

	Program Costs	Actual Local Assistance Revenues	State Mandated Costs	Offset Against State Mandated Claims	Claimable Mandated Costs
1.	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$-0-
2.	100,000 **	75,000	2,500	1,875	625
3.	100,000 **	45,000	1,500	1,125	375

** School district share is \$25,000 of the program cost.

In (2), the entire program cost was approved. Since the local assistance revenue source covers 75% of the program cost, it also proportionately covered 75% of the \$2,500 state mandated costs, or \$1,875.

If in (3) local assistance revenues are less than the amount expected because only \$60,000 of the \$100,000 program costs were determined to be valid by the contracting agency, then a proportionate share of state mandated costs is likewise reduced to \$1,500. The offset against state mandated claims are \$1,125. Therefore, the claimable mandated costs are \$375.

Federal and State Funding Sources

State school fund apportionments and federal aid for education, which are based on average daily attendance and are part of the general system of financing public schools as well as block grants which do not provide for specific reimbursement of costs (i.e., allocation formulas not tied to expenditures), should not be included as reimbursements from local assistance revenue sources.

Governing Authority

The costs of salaries and expenses of the governing authority, such as the school superintendent and governing board, are not reimbursable. These are costs of general government as described in the Office of Management and Budget Circular (OMB) 2 CFR Part 225.

11. Notice of Claim Adjustment

All claims submitted to the SCO are reviewed to determine if the claim was prepared in accordance with the claiming instructions. If any adjustments are made to a claim, the claimant will receive a "Notice of Claim Adjustments" detailing adjustments made by the SCO.

12. Audit of Costs

All claims submitted to the State Controller's Office (SCO) are reviewed to determine if costs are related to the mandate, are reasonable and not excessive, and the claim was prepared in accordance with the SCO's claiming instructions and the P's & G's adopted by the COSM. If any adjustments are made to a claim, a "Notice of Claim Adjustment" specifying the claim component adjusted, the amount adjusted, and the reason for the adjustment will be mailed within 30 days after payment of the claim.

Pursuant to GC Section 17558.5, subdivision (a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a school district pursuant to this chapter is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. In any case, an audit shall

be completed no later than two years after the date that the audit is commenced. All documents used to support the reimbursable activities must be retained during the period subject to audit. If an audit has been initiated by the Controller during the period subject to audit, the retention period is extended until the ultimate resolution of any audit findings.

On-site audits will be conducted by the SCO as deemed necessary. Accordingly, all documentation to support actual costs claimed must be retained for a period of three years after the end of the calendar year in which the reimbursement claim was filed or amended regardless of the year of costs incurred. When no funds are appropriated for initial claims at the time the claim is filed, supporting documents must be retained for three years from the date of initial payment of the claim. Claim documentation shall be made available to the SCO on request.

13. Source Documents

To be eligible for mandated cost reimbursement for any fiscal year, only actual costs may be claimed. Actual costs are those costs actually incurred to implement the mandated activities. Actual costs must be traceable and supported by source documents that show the validity of such costs, when they were incurred, and their relationship to the reimbursable activities. A source document is a document created at or near the same time the actual cost was incurred for the event or activity in question. Source documents may include, but are not limited to, employee time records or time logs, sign-in sheets, invoices, and receipts.

Evidence corroborating the source documents may include, but is not limited to, worksheets, cost allocation reports (system generated), purchase orders, contracts, agendas, training packets, and declarations. Declarations must include a certification or declaration stating: "I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct based upon personal knowledge." Evidence corroborating the source documents may include data relevant to the reimbursable activities otherwise in compliance with local, state, and federal government requirements. However, corroborating documents cannot be substituted for source documents.

For costs incurred on or after January 1, 2005, a reasonable reimbursement methodology can be used as a "formula for reimbursing school district costs mandated by the State" that meets certain conditions specified in 17518.5(a). For costs incurred prior to January 1, 2005, time study can substitute for continuous records of actual time spent for a specific fiscal year only if the program's P's & G's allow for the use of time studies.

14. Claim Forms and Instructions

A claimant may submit a computer generated report in substitution for Form-1 and Form-2, provided the format of the report and data fields contained within the report are identical to the claim forms included with these instructions. The claim forms provided with these instructions should be duplicated and used by the claimant to file an estimated or reimbursement claim. The SCO will revise the manual and claim forms as necessary.

A. Form-2, Component/Activity Cost Detail

This form is used to segregate the detail costs by claim component. In some mandates, specific reimbursable activities have been identified for each component. The expenses reported on this form must be supported by the official financial records of the claimant and copies of supporting documentation, as specified in the claiming instructions, must be submitted with the claims. All supporting documents must be retained for a period of not less than three years after the reimbursement claim was filed or last amended.

B. Form-1, Claim Summary

This form is used to summarize direct costs by component and compute allowable indirect costs for the mandate. The direct costs summarized on this form are derived from Form-2 and are carried forward to form FAM-27.

School districts and county superintendents of schools may compute the amount of indirect costs using the indirect cost rates approved by the California Department of Education based on J-380/580/780/SACS Expenditure Data applicable to the fiscal year of the claim.

C. Form FAM-27, Claim for Payment

This form contains a certification that must be signed by an authorized officer of the school district. All applicable information from Form-1 must be carried forward onto this form in order for the SCO to process the claim for payment. An original and one copy of the FAM-27 is required.

Claims should be rounded to the nearest dollar. Submit a signed original and one copy of form FAM-27, Claim for Payment, and all other forms and supporting documents (**To expedite the payment process, please sign the form FAM-27 with blue ink, and attach a copy of the form FAM-27 to the top of the claim package.**) Use the following mailing addresses:

If delivered by
U.S. Postal Service:

Office of the State Controller
Attn: Local Reimbursements Section
Division of Accounting and Reporting
P.O. Box 942850
Sacramento, CA 94250

If delivered by
Other delivery services:

Office of the State Controller
Attn: Local Reimbursements Section
Division of Accounting and Reporting
3301 C Street, Suite 500
Sacramento, CA 95816

15. Retention of Claiming Instructions

For your convenience, the revised claiming instructions in this package have been arranged in alphabetical order by program name. These revisions should be inserted in the School Mandated Cost Manual and the old forms they replace should be removed. The instructions should then be retained permanently for future reference, and the forms should be duplicated to meet your filing requirements. Annually, updated forms and any other information or instructions claimants may need to file claims, as well as instructions and forms for all new programs released throughout the year will be placed on the SCO's web site at www.sco.ca.gov/ard/local/locreim/index.shtml.

If you have any questions concerning mandated cost reimbursements, please write to us at the address listed for filing claims, or send e-mail to lrsdar@sco.ca.gov, or call the Local Reimbursements Section at (916) 324-5729.

16. Retention of Claim Records and Supporting Documentation

All claims submitted to the SCO are reviewed to determine if costs are related to the mandate, are reasonable and not excessive, and that the claim was prepared in accordance with the SCO's claiming instructions and the COSM's P's and G's. If any adjustments are made to a claim, a "Notice of Claim Adjustments" specifying the claim component adjusted, the amount adjusted, and the reason for the adjustment, will be mailed within 30 days after payment of the claim.

On-site audits will be conducted by the SCO as deemed necessary. Pursuant to GC Section 17558.5, subdivision (a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a school district is subject to audit by the SCO no later than three years after the date the actual reimbursement claim was filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds were appropriated or no payment was made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim was filed, the time for the SCO to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. Therefore, all documentation to support actual costs claimed must be retained for the same period, and shall be made available to the SCO on request.

OFFICE OF THE STATE CONTROLLER

STATE MANDATED COSTS CLAIMING INSTRUCTIONS NO. 2006-29

ANNUAL REVISIONS - SCHOOL DISTRICTS

OCTOBER 24, 2006

Government Code (GC) section 17561 provides for the reimbursement of state mandated costs. Enclosed is information for updating the Mandated Cost Manual for Schools. The manual contains all forms and instructions that are necessary for school districts to file 2005-06 fiscal year annual claims with the State Controller's Office (SCO).

Estimated claims for costs to be incurred during the 2006-07 fiscal year and reimbursement claims detailing the costs actually incurred in the 2005-06 fiscal year must be filed with the SCO. **Claims must be delivered or postmarked on or before January 16, 2007.** If the reimbursement claim is filed after the deadline, but by January 15, 2008, the approved claim will be reduced by a late penalty of 10% for initially filed claims and for continuing programs, the late fee is 10% not to exceed \$1,000. In order for a claim to be considered properly filed, the claim must include supporting documentation as specified in the instructions to substantiate the costs claimed. In addition, the claimant must explain the functions performed by each employee for whom costs were claimed. Claims will not be accepted if filed more than one year after the deadline or without supporting documentation.

Amounts appropriated for payment of program costs are shown beginning on page five under "Appropriations for the 2006-07 Fiscal Year." The fiscal years for which costs can be claimed for a mandated cost program are shown beginning on page six under "Reimbursable State Mandated Cost Programs." To prepare 2006-07 estimated claims and 2005-06 reimbursement claims, forms in the manual should be duplicated to meet the district's filing requirements. Claim amounts should be rounded to the nearest dollar.

Submit a signed original and a copy of form FAM-27, Claim for Payment, and all other forms and supporting documents. **(To expedite the payment process, please sign the form in blue ink, and attach a copy of the form FAM-27 to the top of the claim package.)** Use the following mailing addresses:

If delivered by
U.S. Postal Service:

Office of the State Controller
Attn: Local Reimbursements Section
Division of Accounting and Reporting
P.O. Box 942850
Sacramento, CA 94250

If delivered by
Other delivery services:

Office of the State Controller
Attn: Local Reimbursements Section
Division of Accounting and Reporting
3301 C Street, Suite 500
Sacramento, CA 95816

MINIMUM CLAIM COST

GC section 17564(a) provides that no claim shall be filed pursuant to sections 17551 and 17561, unless such claim exceeds one thousand dollars (\$1,000), provided that a county superintendent of schools may submit a combined claim on behalf of school districts within their county if the combined claim exceeds \$1,000, even if the individual school district's claim does not each exceed \$1,000. The county superintendent of schools shall determine if the submission of the combined claim is economically feasible and shall be responsible for disbursing the funds to each school district. Combined claims may be filed only when the county superintendent of schools is the fiscal agent for the school districts. A combined claim must show the individual claim costs for each eligible school district. All subsequent claims based upon the same mandate shall only be filed in the combined form unless a school district provides a written notice of its intent to file a separate claim to the county superintendent of schools and to the SCO at least 180 days prior to the deadline for filing the claim.

ESTIMATED CLAIMS

Unless otherwise specified in the claiming instructions, claimants do not have to provide cost schedules and supporting documents with the estimated claim if the estimated amount does not exceed the prior fiscal year's actual costs by more than 10%. The claimant can simply enter the estimated amount on form FAM-27, line (07). However, if the estimated claim exceeds the prior fiscal year's actual costs by more than 10%, the claimant must complete claim forms as specified in the claiming instructions for the program and explain the reason for the increased costs. If the explanation to support the higher estimate is not provided, the claim will automatically be adjusted to 110% of the prior fiscal year's actual costs.

PROGRAM UPDATES FOR 2005-06 FISCAL YEAR

Updates of Rates and Factors

The following rates are to be used for filing 2005-06 reimbursement claims. These rates are computed by adjusting the 2004-05 rates by changes in the Implicit Price Deflator (IPD) as determined by the State Department of Finance's Report of August 30, 2006, *National Deflators, State and Local Purchases*. The estimated change in the IPD for 2005-06 is 6%. For preparing the 2006-07 estimated claims, districts may use the program's 2005-06 rate or increase the 2005-06 rate by the estimated 2006-07 IPD change of 3.7% to determine 2006-07 estimated claim amounts. In the subsequent fiscal year, the estimated amount must be adjusted to actual cost.

- *Ch. 448/75, Consolidation of Annual Parent Notification/Schoolsite Discipline Rules/Alternative Schools/Pupil Suspensions: Parent Classroom Visits (Program No. 272).*

The 2005-06 unit rate is \$0.0741 per page of printed notification material distributed to parents and guardians, and \$0.2947 per notice.

- *Ch. 961/75, Collective Bargaining (Program No. 11)*

The 2005-06 GNP Deflator factor for adjusting the 1974-75 Winton Act cost is \$3.879.

- *Ch. 498/83, Graduation Requirements (Program No. 26)*

The 2005-06 maximum reimbursement hourly rate for contract services is \$132.85. Staffing cost

reimbursement is limited to salary and other remuneration differentials, if any, of a science teacher, and the cost of lab assistants or special training aids required by a science class. The addition of science classes should have resulted in offsetting savings due to a corresponding reduction of non-science classes.

- *Ch. 1177/76, Immunization Records (Program No. 32)*

The 2005-06 unit rate is \$6.15 per new entrant (K-12). A new entrant does not include a student previously enrolled in a school within the State of California.

Payment of the cost of immunization records for 1992-93 and subsequent fiscal years are made pursuant to the State Mandates Apportionment System (SMAS) to those school districts with an established base year entitlement. An entitlement amount is determined by the SCO by averaging the district's actual costs (from reimbursement claims filed) for 1989-90, 1990-91, and 1991-92, or any three consecutive fiscal years thereafter, adjusted by changes in the IPD. The amount of apportionment the district receives for 1992-93 and subsequent fiscal years is the base year entitlement amount adjusted by annual changes in IPD and workload. "Workload" means change in the district's average daily attendance from the previous fiscal year.

Once the district has filed actual costs for 1989-90 through 1991-92, or any three consecutive fiscal years thereafter, no further filing of claims is necessary. The claimant will automatically receive an annual payment by November 30 of each fiscal year. A district without an established entitlement amount must continue to file reimbursement claims until three consecutive fiscal years of costs are available to compute a base year cost.

- *Ch. 325/78, Immunization Records: Hepatitis B (Program No. 230)*

The 2005-06 unit rate is \$7.50 per new entrant (K-12). A new entrant does not include a student previously enrolled in a school within the State of California. And \$3.93 per student in the seventh grade.

- *Ch. 1423/84, Juvenile Court Notices II (Program No. 155)*

The 2005-06 unit rates for the number of notices received from the juvenile court system and distributed to school district personnel is \$43.41 per notice received, and the number of written requests received from parents or guardian to review the record to ensure the record has been destroyed is \$30.86 per letter received.

- *Ch. 498/83, Notification of Truancy (Program No. 48)*

The 2005-06 unit cost reimbursement is \$15.54 per initial truancy notification. The unit cost covers all costs (direct and indirect), including, but not limited to, identifying the truant pupil, preparing and distributing by mail or other methods of notification to parents/guardians, and associated record keeping.

- *Ch. 668/78, Pupil Exclusions (Program No. 165)*

The 2005-06 unit cost reimbursement is \$0.22 per page for the cost of including specific information in the notice of pupil exclusion to the parents or guardian. The unit cost rate covers all costs (direct and indirect) of performing activities required by subparagraph (2), (3), and (4), of Education Code Section 48213.

- Ch. 1347/80, Scoliosis Screening (Program No. 58)

The 2005-06 unit cost rate is \$7.37 per student screened. This rate covers all costs (direct and indirect), incurred including activities for, but not limited to, parent notification, screening, re-screening, referral and follow-up, record keeping, and administration of the program.

- *Ch. 818/91, Aids Prevention Instruction II (Program No. 250)*

The 2005-06 uniform cost allowance is \$0.0743 per notice. This uniform allowance covers all of the direct and indirect costs incurred in compliance with this mandate.

- *Ch. 1208/76, Pupil Health Screenings (Program 261)*

The 2005-06 uniform cost allowance for: (a) Notification to Parents is \$0.0724; (b) Obtaining Parental Compliance is \$4.7304; (c) Exclusion of Pupils is \$12.44; (d) Statistical Reporting is not applicable since the reimbursement period expired 12/31/04.

- *Ch. 1136/93, Brown Act Reform (Schools) (Program 218)*

The 2005-06 uniform cost allowance is \$135.66 for the period **July 1, 2005, to July 18, 2005**, only because the order to set aside this program is effective July 19, 2005.

FINAL FILING DEADLINE FOR 2005-06 FISCAL YEAR CLAIMS

The final filing deadline for 2005-06 reimbursement claims is **January 16, 2007**. A late penalty of 10% of the approved claim will be applied to 2005-06 claims filed after January 16, 2007. **Claims filed after January 15, 2008, will not be accepted.**

APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE 2006-07 FISCAL YEAR

Item 6110-295-0001

272	(1) Ch.	36/77	Annual Parent Notification	\$1,000
172	(2) Ch.	98/94	Caregiver Affidavits	1,000
153	(3) Ch.	161/93	Intradistrict Attendance	1,000
42	(4) Ch.	486/75	Mandate Reimbursement Process	1,000 ¹
26	(5) Ch.	498/83	Graduation Requirements	1,000
48	(6) Ch.	498/83	Notification of Truancy	1,000
176	(7) Ch.	498/83	Pupil Suspensions, Expulsions/Expulsion Appeals	1,000
249	(8) Ch.	781/92	Charter Schools	1,000
N/A	(9) Ch.	799/80	PERS Death Benefits	1,000 ²
250	(10) Ch.	818/91	AIDS Prevention Instruction I and II	1,000
11	(11) Ch.	961/75	Collective Bargaining	1,000
139	(12) Ch.	1208/76	Pupil Health Screenings	1,000
173	(13) Ch.	975/95	Physical Performance Tests	1,000
155	(14) Ch.	1011/84	Juvenile Court Notices II	1,000
57	(15) Ch.	1107/84	Removal of Chemicals	1,000
157	(16) Ch.	1117/89	Law Enforcement Agency Notifications	1,000
32	(17) Ch.	1176/77	Immunization Records	1,000
166	(18) Ch.	1184/75	Habitual Truants	1,000
91	(19) Ch.	1253/75	Pupil Expulsion Transcripts	1,000
150	(20) Ch.	1306/89	Notification to Teachers of Public Expulsion	1,000
58	(21) Ch.	1347/80	Scoliosis Screening	1,000
N/A	(22) Ch.	1398/74	PERS Unused Sick Leave Credit	1,000 ²
182	(23) Ch.	309/95	Pupil Residency Verification and Appeals	1,000
183	(24) Ch.	588/97	Criminal Background Checks	1,000
184	(25) Ch.	624/92	School Bus Safety I and II	0 ³
186	(26) Ch.	465/76	Peace Officers Procedural Bill of Rights	1,000
192	(27) Ch.	36/77	Financial and Compliance Audits	1,000
195	(28) Ch.	640/97	Physical Education Reports	1,000
198	(29) Ch.	1120/96	Health Benefits for Survivors of Peace Officers & Firefighters	1,000
209	(30) Ch.	917/87	County Office of Education Fiscal Accountability Reporting	1,000
258	(31) Ch.	100/81	School District Fiscal Accountability Reporting	1,000
194	(32) Ch.	126/93	Law Enforcement Sexual Harassment Training	0 ³
207	(33) Ch.	784/95	County Treasury Withdrawals	0 ³
223	(34) Ch.	736/97	Comprehensive School Safety Plans	1,000
230	(35) Ch.	325/78	Immunization Records-Hepatitis B	1,000
228	(36) Ch.	1192/80	School District Reorganization	1,000
249	(37) Ch.	34/98	Charter Schools II	1,000
251	(38) Ch.	594/98	Criminal Background Checks II	1,000
226	(39) Ch.	1170/96	Grand Jury Proceedings	0 ³
244	(40) Ch.	100/81	Pupil Promotion and Retention	1,000

¹ This program was suspended per Budget Act Item 8885-295-001, Sch. (3)(y) for the 2006-07 fiscal year.

² Numbers (9) and (22) are for transfer to the Public Employees' Retirement Fund for reimbursement of costs incurred pursuant to 799/80 or 1398/74.

³ These programs have been suspended for the 2005-06 and 2006-07 fiscal years.

Item 6110-295-0001 (Cont'd.)

252 (41) Ch.	331/98	Teacher Incentive Program	1,000
253 (42) Ch.	30/98	Differential Pay and Reemployment	1,000
Total Appropriations, Item 6110-295-0001			\$38,000

REIMBURSABLE STATE MANDATED COST PROGRAMS

Claims for the following State mandated cost programs may be filed with the SCO. For your convenience, the programs are listed in alphabetical order by program name. An "X" indicates the fiscal year for which a claim may be filed.

2005-06 Reimburse- ment Claims	2006-07 Estimated Claims	Pgm #	School Districts and County Offices of Education
x	x	170 Ch. 77/78	Absentee Ballots
x	x	250 Ch. 818/91	AIDS Prevention Instruction II
x	x	272 Ch. 36/77	Annual Parent Notification III
x	x	172 Ch. 98/94	Caregiver Affidavits
x	x	249 Ch. 34/98	Charter Schools
x	x	209 Ch. 917/87	COE Fiscal Accountability Reporting
x	x	11 Ch. 961/75	Collective Bargaining
x	x	223 Ch. 736/97	Comprehensive School Safety Plans
x	x	183 Ch. 588/97	Criminal Background Checks I
x	x	251 Ch. 594/98	Criminal Background Checks II
x	x	253 Ch. 30/98	Differential Pay and Reemployment
x	x	210 Ch. 650/94	Employee Benefits Disclosure
x	x	91 Ch. 1253/75	Expulsion Transcripts
x	x	192 Ch. 36/77	Financial and Compliance Audits
x	x	26 Ch. 498/83	Graduation Requirements
x	x	166 Ch. 1184/75	Habitual Truant
x	x	198 Ch. 1120/96	Health Benefits for Survivors of Peace Officers & Firefighters
x ¹	x ¹	268 Ch. 1/99	High School Exit Exam
x	x	32 Ch. 1176/77	Immunization Records
x	x	230 Ch. 325/78	Immunization Records: Hepatitis B
x	x	153 Ch. 161/93	Intradistrict Attendance
x	x	155 Ch. 1011/84	Juvenile Court Notices II
x	x	157 Ch. 1117/89	Law Enforcement Agency Notification
x ¹	x ¹	265 Ch. 828/97	National Norm-Referenced Achievement Test
x	x	48 Ch. 498/83	Notification of Truancy

¹ These are new programs and funding is not yet available for the 2005-06 and 2006-07 fiscal years.

REIMBURSABLE STATE MANDATED COST PROGRAMS (continued)

2005-06	2006-07			School Districts and County Offices of Education
Reimburse-	Estimated			
ment Claims	Claims			
x	x	150Ch.	1306/89	Notification to Teachers: Pupils Subject to Suspension or Expulsion
x	N/A ⁴	218Ch.	641/86	Open Meetings Act/Brown Act Reform
x	x	154Ch.	1284/88	Parent Classroom Visits
x	x	186Ch.	465/76	Peace Officers Procedural Bill of Rights
x	x	214Ch.	875/85	Photographic Record of Evidence
x	x	195Ch.	640/97	Physical Education Reports
x	x	173Ch.	975/95	Physical Performance Tests
x	x	151Ch.	965/77	Pupil Classroom Suspension: Counseling
x	x	165Ch.	668/78	Pupil Exclusions
x	x	139Ch.	965/77	Pupil Health Screenings
x	x	244Ch.	100/81	Pupil Promotion and Retention
x	x	182Ch.	309/95	Pupil Residency Verification and Appeals
x	x	176Ch.	1253/75	Pupil Suspensions, Expulsions, and Expulsion Appeals
x	x	57Ch.	1107/84	Removal of Chemicals
x	x	171Ch.	1463/89	School Accountability Report Cards
x	x	258Ch.	100/81	School District Fiscal Accountability Reporting
x	x	228Ch.	1192/80	School District Reorganization
x	x	58Ch.	1347/80	Scoliosis Screening
x	x	208Ch.	828/97	Standardized Testing & Reporting
x	x	252Ch.	331/98	Teacher Incentive Program
x	x	162Ch.	1249/92	Threats Against Peace Officers

⁴ This program has been set aside pursuant to AB138 effective 07/19/05.

PROGRAMS SUSPENDED FOR THE 2006-07 FISCAL YEAR

Pursuant to GC §17581.5, the following education state mandated programs are identified in the 2006 State Budget Act, with a \$0 appropriation. Therefore, the following state mandated programs have been suspended for the 2006-07 fiscal year, and no claim for fiscal year 2006-07 shall be filed.

Pgm. #

206	Ch. 784/95	County Treasury Oversight Committee
226	Ch. 1170/96	Grand Jury Proceedings
169	Ch. 783/95	Investment Reports
194	Ch. 126/93	Law Enforcement Sexual Harassment Training
184	Ch. 624/92	School Bus Safety I & II
190	Ch. 759/92	School Crimes Reporting II (Ch. 410, Stats. 1995)

The following education state mandated programs have been determined to be optional, repealed, or overturned by the court:

Pgm. #

148	Ch. 172/86	Interdistrict Attendance Permits
149	Ch. 172/86	Interdistrict Transfer Requests: Parent's Employment
156	Ch. 160/93	School District of Choice: Transfers and Appeals
199	Ch. 1138/93	Schoolsite Councils and Brown Act Reform
146	Ch. 87/86	Schoolsite Discipline Rules

The Commission on State Mandates has set aside the Parameters and Guidelines for the following programs:

Pgm. #

179	Ch. 778/96	American Government Course Documentation Requirements
218	Ch. 641/86	Open Meetings/Brown Act Reform (Effective 07/19/05)

AUDIT OF COSTS

All claims submitted to the SCO are reviewed to determine if costs are related to the mandate, are reasonable and not excessive, and that the claim was prepared in accordance with the SCO's claiming instructions and the Commission on State Mandate's Parameters and Guidelines (P's and G's). If any adjustments are made to a claim, a "Notice of Claim Adjustment" specifying the claim component adjusted, the amount adjusted, and the reason for the adjustment will be mailed within 30 days after payment of the claim.

On-site audits will be conducted by the SCO as deemed necessary. Pursuant to GC §17558.5, subdivision (a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a school district is subject to audit by the State Controller no later than three years after the date the actual reimbursement claim was filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds were appropriated or no payment was made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim was filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. Therefore, all documentation to support actual costs claimed must be retained for the same period, and shall be made available to the SCO on request.

SOURCE DOCUMENTS

To be eligible for mandated cost reimbursement for any fiscal year, only actual costs may be claimed. Actual costs are those costs actually incurred to implement the mandated activities. Actual costs must be traceable and supported by source documents that show the validity of such costs, when they were incurred, and their relationship to the reimbursable activities. A source document is a document created at or near the same time the actual cost was incurred for the event or activity in question. Source documents may include, but are not limited to, employee time records or time logs, sign-in sheets, invoices, and receipts.

Evidence corroborating the source documents may include, but is not limited to, worksheets, cost allocation reports (system generated), purchase orders, contracts, agendas, training packets, and declarations. Declarations must include a certification stating: "I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct based upon personal knowledge." Evidence corroborating the source documents may include data relevant to the reimbursable activities otherwise in compliance with local, state, and federal government requirements. However, corroborating documents cannot be substituted for source documents.

RETENTION OF CLAIMING INSTRUCTIONS

For your convenience, the revised claiming instructions in this package have been arranged in alphabetical order by program name. These revisions should be inserted in the School Mandated Cost Manual to replace the old forms. The instructions should then be retained permanently for future reference, and the forms should be duplicated to meet your filing requirements. Annually, updated forms and any other information or instructions claimants may need to file claims, as well as instructions and forms for all new programs released throughout the year will be placed on the SCO's Web site at www.sco.ca.gov/ard/local/locreim/index.shtml.

If you have any questions concerning mandated cost reimbursements, please write to us at the address listed for filing claims, send e-mail to LRSDAR@sco.ca.gov, or call the Local Reimbursements Section at (916) 324-5729.

NOTIFICATION OF TRUANCY

1. Summary of Chapter 498/83

Education Code § 48260.5, as added by Chapter 498, Statutes of 1983, requires that school districts, upon a pupil's initial classification as a truant, notify the pupil's parent or guardian by first-class mail or other reasonable means, of the pupil's truancy, that the parent or guardian is obligated to compel the attendance of the pupil at school and that the parent or guardian who fails to meet this obligation may be guilty of an infraction and subject to prosecution pursuant to Article 6 (commencing with § 48290) of Chapter 2 of Part 27.

Additionally, the district must inform parents and guardians of alternative educational programs available in the district, and the right to meet with appropriate school personnel to discuss solutions to the pupil's truancy.

- (1) Truancy occurs when a student is absent from school without valid excuse more than three (3) days or is tardy in excess of thirty (30) minutes on each of more than three (3) days in one school year. (Definition from Education Code § 48260).
- (2) A student shall be classified as truant upon the fourth unexcused absence, and the school must at that time perform the requirements mandated in Education Code 48260.5 as enacted by Chapter 498, Statutes of 1983.

On November 29, 1984, the Commission on State Mandates determined that Chapter 498, Statutes of 1983, resulted in state mandated costs which are reimbursable pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Government Code § 17500) of Division 4 of Title 2.

2. Eligible Claimants

Any school district (K-12) or county office of education that incurs increased costs as a result of this mandate is eligible to claim reimbursement of these costs.

3. Appropriations

Claims may only be filed with the State Controller's Office for programs that have been funded in the state budget, the State Mandates Claims Fund, or in special legislation. To determine if this program is funded in subsequent fiscal years, refer to the schedule "Appropriation for State Mandated Cost Programs" in the "Annual Claiming Instructions for State Mandated Costs" issued in September of each year to county superintendents of schools and superintendents of schools.

4. Types of Claims

A. Reimbursement and Estimated Claims

A claimant may file a reimbursement and/or an estimated claim. A reimbursement claim details the costs actually incurred for a prior fiscal year. An estimated claim shows the costs to be incurred for the current fiscal year.

B. Minimum Claim

Government Code § 17564(a), provides that no claim shall be filed pursuant to Government Code § 17561 unless such a claim exceeds \$200 per program per fiscal year. However, any county superintendent of schools, as fiscal agent for the school district, may submit a combined claim in excess of \$200 on behalf of one or more districts within the county even if the individual district's claim does not exceed \$200. A combined claim must show the individual costs for each district.

Once a combined claim is filed, all subsequent years relating to the same mandate must be filed in a combined form. The county receives the reimbursement payment and is responsible for disbursing funds to each participating district. A district may withdraw from the combined claim form by providing a written notice to the county superintendent of schools and the State Controller's Office of its intent to file a separate claim at least 180 days prior to the deadline for

filing the claim.

5. Filing Deadline

Refer to the item, "Reimbursable State Mandated Cost Programs", contained in the annual cover letter for mandated cost programs issued annually in September, which identifies the fiscal years for which claims may be filed. If an "x" is shown for the program listed under "19__/_ Reimbursement Claim", and/or "19__/_ Estimated Claim", claims may be filed as follows:

- (1) An estimated claim must be filed with the State Controller's Office and postmarked by November 30 of the fiscal year in which costs are to be incurred. Timely filed estimated claims will be paid before late claims.

After having received payment for an estimated claim, the claimant must file a reimbursement claim by November 30 of the following fiscal year. If the district fails to file a reimbursement claim, monies received for the estimated claim must be returned to the State. If no estimated claim was filed, the agency may file a reimbursement claim detailing the actual costs incurred for the fiscal year, provided there was an appropriation for the program for that fiscal year. For information regarding appropriations for reimbursement claims, refer to the "Appropriation for State Mandated Cost Programs" in the previous fiscal year's annual claiming instructions.

- (2) A reimbursement claim detailing the actual costs must be filed with the State Controller's Office and postmarked by November 30 following the fiscal year in which costs were incurred. If the claim is filed after the deadline but by November 30 of the succeeding fiscal year, the approved claim must be reduced by a late penalty of 10%, not to exceed \$1,000. Claims filed more than one year after the deadline will not be accepted.

6. Reimbursable Components

Eligible claimants will be reimbursed on a unit cost basis for an initial notice to the parents or guardian regarding the pupil's truancy. For the 1995/96 fiscal year the unit rate is \$10.97 per initial notice. The unit rate is adjusted annually by the changes in the implicit price deflator and covers all direct and indirect costs of the following on-going activities:

- A. Identifying the Truant Pupil
- B. Notification to Parent or Guardian
- C. Printing Additional Forms
- D. Recordkeeping

7. Reimbursement Limitations

- A. This program does not provide reimbursement for activities related to resolving truancy problems (i.e., referrals to attendance review board, meetings with parent or guardian to discuss the pupil's truancy problems and/or discuss alternative educational programs, etc.).
- B. Any offsetting savings or reimbursement the claimant received from any source (e.g. service fees collected, federal funds, other state funds, etc.) as a result of this mandate shall be identified and deducted so only net local costs are claimed.

For audit purposes, all supporting documents must be retained for a period of two years after the end of the calendar year in which the reimbursement claim was filed or last amended, whichever is later. Such documents shall be made available to the State Controller's Office on request.

8. Form NOT-1, Claim Summary

This form is used to compute the amount of claimable costs based on the number of reports forwarded to the governing board with the recommendation not to expel the student. The claimant must give the number of truant notifications. The cost data on this form is carried forward to form FAM-27.

9. Form FAM-27, Claim for Payment

Form FAM-27 contains a certification that must be signed by an authorized representative of the district. All applicable information from form NOT-1 must be carried forward to this form for the State Controller's Office to process the claim for payment.

CLAIM FOR PAYMENT Pursuant to Government Code Section 17561 NOTIFICATION OF TRUANCY	For State Controller Use Only (19) Program Number 00048 (20) Date Filed ___/___/___ (21) LRS Input ___/___/___	Program 048
---	---	--

L A B E L H E R E	(01) Claimant Identification Number		Reimbursement Claim Data	
	(02) Claimant Name		(22) NOT-1, (03)	
	County of Location		(23)	
	Street Address or P.O. Box Suite		(24)	
	City State Zip Code		(25)	
	Type of Claim	Estimated Claim	Reimbursement Claim	(26)
	(03) Estimated <input type="checkbox"/>	(09) Reimbursement <input type="checkbox"/>	(27)	
	(04) Combined <input type="checkbox"/>	(10) Combined <input type="checkbox"/>	(28)	
	(05) Amended <input type="checkbox"/>	(11) Amended <input type="checkbox"/>	(29)	
Fiscal Year of Cost	(06) 20 ___/20 ___	(12) 20 ___/20 ___	(30)	
Total Claimed Amount	(07)	(13)	(31)	
Less: 10% Late Penalty, not to exceed \$1,000		(14)	(32)	
Less: Prior Claim Payment Received		(15)	(33)	
Net Claimed Amount		(16)	(34)	
Due from State	(08)	(17)	(35)	
Due to State		(18)	(36)	

(37) CERTIFICATION OF CLAIM

In accordance with the provisions of Government Code Section 17561, I certify that I am the officer authorized by the school district to file mandated cost claims with the State of California for this program, and certify under penalty of perjury that I have not violated any of the provisions of Government Code Sections 1090 to 1098, inclusive.

I further certify that there was no application other than from the claimant, nor any grant or payment received, for reimbursement of costs claimed herein, and such costs are for a new program or increased level of services of an existing program. All offsetting savings and reimbursements set forth in the Parameters and Guidelines are identified, and all costs claimed are supported by source documentation currently maintained by the claimant.

The amounts for this Estimated Claim and/or Reimbursement Claim are hereby claimed from the State for payment of estimated and/or actual costs set forth on the attached statements. I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signature of Authorized Officer _____ Date _____

 Type or Print Name _____ Title _____

(38) Name of Contact Person for Claim Telephone Number () - Ext. _____
 E-Mail Address _____

Program 048	NOTIFICATION OF TRUANCY Certification Claim Form Instructions	FORM FAM-27
------------------------------	--	------------------------------

- (01) Enter the payee number assigned by the State Controller's Office.
- (02) Enter your Official Name, County of Location, Street or P. O. Box address, City, State, and Zip Code.
- (03) If filing an estimated claim, enter an "X" in the box on line (03) Estimated.
- (04) If filing a combined estimated claim on behalf of districts within the county, enter an "X" in the box on line (04) Combined.
- (05) If filing an amended estimated claim, enter an "X" in the box on line (05) Amended.
- (06) Enter the fiscal year in which costs are to be incurred.
- (07) Enter the amount of the estimated claim. If the estimate exceeds the previous year's actual costs by more than 10%, complete form NOT-1 and enter the amount from line (08).
- (08) Enter the same amount as shown on line (07).
- (09) If filing a reimbursement claim, enter an "X" in the box on line (09) Reimbursement.
- (10) If filing a combined reimbursement claim on behalf of districts within the county, enter an "X" in the box on line (10) Combined.
- (11) If filing an amended reimbursement claim, enter an "X" in the box on line (11) Amended.
- (12) Enter the fiscal year for which actual costs are being claimed. If actual costs for more than one fiscal year are being claimed, complete a separate form FAM-27 for each fiscal year.
- (13) Enter the amount of the reimbursement claim from form NOT-1, line (08). The total claimed amount must exceed \$1,000.
- (14) Reimbursement claims must be filed by January 15 of the following fiscal year in which costs were incurred or the claims shall be reduced by a late penalty. Enter zero if the claim was timely filed, otherwise, enter the product of multiplying line (13) by the factor 0.10 (10% penalty), not to exceed \$1,000.
- (15) If filing a reimbursement claim or a claim was previously filed for the same fiscal year, enter the amount received for the claim. Otherwise, enter a zero.
- (16) Enter the result of subtracting line (14) and line (15) from line (13).
- (17) If line (16), Net Claimed Amount, is positive, enter that amount on line (17), Due from State.
- (18) If line (16), Net Claimed Amount, is negative, enter that amount on line (18), Due to State.
- (19) to (21) Leave blank.
- (22) to (36) Reimbursement Claim Data. Bring forward the cost information as specified on the left-hand column of lines (22) through (36) for the reimbursement claim, e.g., NOT-1, (03), means the information is located on form NOT-1, line (03). Enter the information on the same line but in the right-hand column. Cost information should be rounded to the nearest dollar, i.e., no cents. Indirect costs percentage should be shown as a whole number and without the percent symbol, i.e., 7.548% should be shown as 8. **Completion of this data block will expedite the payment process.**
- (37) Read the statement "Certification of Claim." If it is true, the claim must be dated, signed by the agency's authorized officer, and must include the person's name and title, typed or printed. **Claims cannot be paid unless accompanied by an original signed certification. (To expedite the payment process, please sign the form FAM-27 with blue ink, and attach a copy of the form FAM-27 to the top of the claim package.)**
- (38) Enter the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person to contact if additional information is required.

SUBMIT A SIGNED ORIGINAL, AND A COPY OF FORM FAM-27, WITH ALL OTHER FORMS AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS TO:

Address, if delivered by U.S. Postal Service:

**OFFICE OF THE STATE CONTROLLER
ATTN: Local Reimbursements Section
Division of Accounting and Reporting
P.O. Box 942850
Sacramento, CA 94250**

Address, if delivered by other delivery service:

**OFFICE OF THE STATE CONTROLLER
ATTN: Local Reimbursements Section
Division of Accounting and Reporting
3301 C Street, Suite 500
Sacramento, CA 95816**

Program 048	NOTIFICATION OF TRUANCY CLAIM SUMMARY Instructions	FORM 1
------------------------------	---	-------------------------

- (01) Enter the name of the claimant.
- (02) Type of Claim. Check a box, Reimbursement or Estimated, to identify the type of claim being filed. Enter the fiscal year of costs.

 Form 1 must be filed for a reimbursement claim. Do not complete form 1 if you are filing an estimated claim and the estimate does not exceed the previous fiscal year's actual costs by more than 10%. Simply enter the amount of the estimated claim on form FAM-27, line (07). However, if the estimated claim exceeds the previous fiscal year's actual costs by more than 10%, form 1 must be completed and a statement attached explaining the increased costs. Without this information the estimated claim will automatically be reduced to 110% of the previous fiscal year's actual costs.
- (03) Number of truant notifications. Enter the number of initial notifications sent upon the student's fourth unexcused absence to inform the parent or guardian of their child's absence from school without a valid excuse or is tardy in excess of thirty (30) minutes for more than three days in one school year.
- (04) Unit cost rate for the 2005-06 fiscal year is \$15.54 per initial notification. This cost rate will be updated early and listed in the annual updates to claiming instructions mailed to school districts in September.
- (05) Total Costs. Multiply line (03) by the unit cost rate, line (04).
- (06) Less: Offsetting Savings. If applicable, enter the total savings experienced by the claimant as a direct result of this mandate. Submit a detailed schedule of savings with the claim.
- (07) Less: Other Reimbursements. If applicable, enter the amount of other reimbursements received from any source (i.e., service fees collected, federal funds, other state funds etc.), which reimbursed any portion of the mandated program. Submit a detailed schedule of the reimbursement sources and amounts.
- (08) Total Claimed Amount. Subtract the sum of Offsetting Savings, line (06), and Other Reimbursements, line (07), from Total Costs, line (05). Enter the remainder of this line and carry the amount forward to form FAM-27, line (07) for the Estimated Claim or line (13) for the Reimbursement Claim.

A. STATE OF CALIFORNIA TRAVEL EXPENSE GUIDELINES

Travel Program Effective January 31, 2002

The travel reimbursement program continues to be subject to the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) requirements for an accountable plan. There are no flat rate reimbursements. All items are to be claimed for the actual amount of expense, up to the maximum allowed. If the provisions below do not require submission of a receipt for a given item of expense, it is the employee's responsibility to retain receipts and other records of the expense and have them available for audit.

Lodging and meals that are provided by the State, including hotel expenses, conference fees, or transportation costs such as airline tickets; or otherwise provided shall not be claimed for reimbursement.

Employees may be reimbursed for actual expenses for breakfast, lunch, dinner, and incidentals for each 24 hours of travel, as follows:

Breakfast	up to	\$6.00
Lunch	up to	10.00
Dinner	up to	18.00
Incidentals	up to	6.00

Incidental expenses include, but are not limited to, expenses for laundering and pressing of clothing and tips for services such as porters and baggage handlers. Incidentals do not include taxicab fares, lodging taxes, or the cost of telegrams or telephone calls.

Lodging

All lodging reimbursements require a receipt from a commercial lodging establishment such as a hotel, motel, bed and breakfast inn, or campground that caters to the general public. No lodging will be reimbursed without a valid receipt. Employees who stay with friends or relatives are not eligible for lodging reimbursement, but may claim their actual expenses for meals and incidentals.

Short-Term Travel

A. For continuous short-term travel of more than 24 hours but less than 31 days, the employee will be reimbursed for actual costs up to the maximum for each meal, incidental, and lodging expense for each completed 24 hours of travel, beginning with the traveler's time of departure and return as follows:

1. On the first day of travel at the beginning of a trip of more than 24 hours:
 - Trip begins at or before 6 a.m. - Breakfast may be claimed
 - Trip begins at or before 11 a.m. - Lunch may be claimed
 - Trip begins at or before 5 p.m. - Dinner may be claimed
2. On the fractional day of travel at the end of a trip of more than 24 hours:
 - Trip ends at or after 8 a.m. - Breakfast may be claimed
 - Trip ends at or after 2 p.m. - Lunch may be claimed
 - Trip ends at or after 7 p.m. - Dinner may be claimed

If the fractional day includes an overnight stay, receipted lodging may be claimed. No meal or lodging expenses may be claimed or reimbursed more than once on any given date or during any 24-hour period.

- B.** For continuous travel of less than 24 hours, the employee will be reimbursed for actual expenses, up to a maximum as follows:

Travel begins at or before 6 a.m. and ends at or after 9 a.m. - Breakfast may be claimed
Travel begins at or before 4 p.m. and ends at or after 7 p.m. - Dinner may be claimed

If the trip extends overnight, receipted lodging may be claimed. No lunch or incidentals may be claimed on a trip of less than 24 hours.

Short-Term Travel Maximum Lodging Reimbursement Rate

- A.** Statewide except as in (B) and (C) below, actual receipted lodging up to \$84 plus tax.
- B.** When required to conduct state business and obtain lodging in the counties of Los Angeles and San Diego, reimbursement will be for actual receipted lodging, to a maximum of \$110 plus tax.
- C.** When required to conduct state business and obtain lodging in the counties of Alameda, San Francisco, San Mateo, and Santa Clara, reimbursement will be for actual receipted lodging, to a maximum of \$140 plus tax.

Long-Term Travel

Actual expenses for long-term meals and receipted lodging will be reimbursed when the employee incurs expenses in one location comparable to those arising from the use of establishments catering to long-term visitors.

A. Full Long-Term Travel

To qualify for full long-term travel reimbursement, the employee on a long-term field assignment must meet the following criteria:

- a) The employee continues to maintain a permanent residence at the primary headquarters, and either,
- b) The permanent residence is occupied by the employee's dependents, or
- c) The permanent residence is maintained at a net expense to the employee exceeding \$200 per month.

The employee who is living at the long-term location may claim either:

1. Reimbursement for actual individual expense, substantiated by receipts for lodging, water, sewer, gas, and electricity, up to a maximum of \$1,130 per calendar month while on the long-term assignment, and actual expenses up to \$10 for meals and incidentals, for each period of 12 to 24 hours and up to \$5 for actual meals and incidentals for each period of less than 12 hours at the long-term location, or
2. Long-term subsistence rates of \$24 for actual meals and incidentals, \$24 for receipted lodging for travel of 12 hours up to 24 hours, and either \$24 for actual meals or \$24 for receipted lodging for travel less than 12 hours when the employee incurs expenses in one location comparable to those arising from the use of establishments catering to long-term visitors.

B. Partial Long-Term Travel

An employee on long-term field assignment who does not maintain a separate residence in the headquarters area may claim long-term subsistence rates of up to \$12 for actual meals and incidentals and \$12 for receipted lodging for travel of 12 hours up to 24 hours at the long-term location, and either \$12 for actual meals or \$12 for receipted lodging for travel less than 12 hours at the long-term location.

Receipts

Receipts or vouchers shall be submitted for every item of expense of \$25 or more.

- a) Receipts are required for every item of transportation and business expense incurred as a result of conducting state business except for actual expenses as follows:
 1. Railroad and bus fares of less than \$25, when travel is wholly within the State of California.
 2. Street car, ferry fares, bridge and road tolls, local rapid transit system, taxi, shuttle, or hotel bus fares, and parking fees of \$10 or less for each continuous period of parking or each separate transportation expense noted in this item.
 3. Telephone, telegraph, tax, or other business charges related to state business of \$5 or less.
 4. In the absence of a receipt, reimbursement will be limited to the non-receipted amount above.
- b) Reimbursement will be claimed only for the actual and necessary expenses noted above. Regardless of the above exceptions, the approving officer may require additional certification and/or explanation in order to determine that an expense was actually and reasonably incurred. In the absence of a satisfactory explanation, the expense shall not be allowed.

Mileage

Effective July 1, 2006, when an employee is authorized by his/her appointing authority or designee to operate a privately owned vehicle on state business, the employee will be allowed to claim and be reimbursed 44.5 cents per mile. Effective January 1, 2007, reimbursement rate is 48.5 cents per mile.

B. GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIONS 17500-17617

GC §17500: Legislative Findings and Declarations

The Legislature finds and declares that the existing system for reimbursing local agencies and school districts for the costs of state-mandated local programs has not provided for the effective determination of the state's responsibilities under Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution. The Legislature finds and declares that the failure of the existing process to adequately and consistently resolve the complex legal questions involved in the determination of state-mandated costs has led to an increasing reliance by local agencies and school districts on the judiciary and, therefore, in order to relieve unnecessary congestion of the judicial system, it is necessary to create a mechanism which is capable of rendering sound quasi-judicial decisions and providing an effective means of resolving disputes over the existence of state-mandated local programs. It is the intent of the Legislature in enacting this part to provide for the implementation of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution. Further, the Legislature intends that the Commission on State Mandates, as a quasi-judicial body, will act in a deliberative manner in accordance with the requirements of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution.

GC §17510: Construction of Part

Unless the context otherwise requires, the definitions contained in this chapter govern the construction of this part. The definition of a word applies to any variants thereof and the singular tense of a word includes the plural.

GC §17511: "City"

"City" means any city whether general law or charter, except a city and county.

GC §17512: "Commission"

"Commission" means the Commission on State Mandates.

GC §17513: "Cost Mandated by the Federal Government"

"Costs mandated by the federal government" means any increased costs incurred by a local agency or school district after January 1, 1973, in order to comply with the requirements of a federal statute or regulation. "Costs mandated by the federal government" includes costs resulting from enactment of a state law or regulation where failure to enact that law or regulation to meet specific federal program or service requirements imposed upon the state would result in substantial monetary penalties or loss of funds to public or private persons in the state whether the federal law was enacted before or after the enactment of the state law, regulation, or executive order. "Costs mandated by the federal government" does not include costs which are specifically reimbursed or funded by the federal or state government or programs or services which may be implemented at the option of the state, local agency, or school district.

GC §17514: "Costs Mandated by the State"

"Costs mandated by the state" means any increased costs which a local agency or school district is required to incur after July 1, 1980, as a result of any statute enacted on or after January 1, 1975, or any executive order implementing any statute enacted on or after January 1, 1975, which mandates a new program or higher level of service of an existing program within the meaning of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution.

GC §17515: "County"

"County" means any chartered or general law county. "County" includes a city and county.

GC §17516: "Executive Order"

"Executive order" means any order, plan, requirement, rule, or regulation issued by any of the following: (a) The Governor. (b) Any officer or official serving at the pleasure of the Governor. (c) Any agency, department, board, or commission of state government. "Executive order" does not include any order, plan, requirement, rule, or regulation issued by the State Water Resources Control Board or by any regional water quality control board pursuant to Division 7 (commencing with Section 13000) of the Water Code. It is the intent of the Legislature that the State Water Resources Control Board and regional water quality control boards will not adopt enforcement orders against publicly owned dischargers which mandate major waste water treatment facility construction costs unless federal financial assistance and state financial assistance pursuant to the Clean Water Bond Act of 1970 and 1974, is simultaneously made available. "Major" means either a new treatment facility or an addition to an existing facility, the cost of which is in excess of 20 percent of the cost of replacing the facility.

GC §17517.5: "Cost Savings authorized by the state"

"Cost savings authorized by the state" means any decreased costs that a local agency or school district realizes as a result of any statute enacted or any executive order adopted that permits or requires the discontinuance of or a reduction in the level of service of an existing program that was mandated before January 1, 1975.

GC §17518: "Local Agency"

"Local agency" means any city, county, special district, authority, or other political subdivision of the state.

GC §17518.5: "Reasonable Reimbursement Methodology"

(a) "Reasonable reimbursement methodology" means a formula for reimbursing local agency and school district costs mandated by the state that meets the following conditions: (1) The total amount to be reimbursed statewide is equivalent to total estimated local agency and school district costs to implement the mandate in a cost-efficient manner. (2) For 50 percent or more of eligible local agency and school district claimants, the amount reimbursed is estimated to fully offset their projected costs to implement the mandate in a cost-efficient manner. (b) Whenever possible, a reasonable reimbursement methodology shall be based on general allocation formulas, uniform cost allowances, and other approximations of local costs mandated by the state, rather than detailed documentation of actual local costs. In cases when local agencies and school districts are projected to incur costs to implement a mandate over a period of more than one fiscal year, the determination of a reasonable reimbursement methodology may consider local costs and state reimbursements over a period of greater than one fiscal year, but not exceeding 10 years. (c) A reasonable reimbursement methodology may be developed by any of the following: (1) The Department of Finance. (2) The Controller. (3) An affected state agency. (4) A claimant. (5) An interested party.

GC §17519: "School District"

"School district" means any school district, community college district, or county superintendent of schools.

GC §17520: "Special District"

"Special district" means any agency of the state that performs governmental or proprietary functions within limited boundaries. "Special district" includes a county service area, a maintenance district or

area, an improvement district or improvement zone, or any other zone or area. "Special district" does not include a city, a county, a school district, or a community college district. County free libraries established pursuant to Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 27151) of Division 20 of the Education Code, areas receiving county fire protection services pursuant to Section 25643 of the Government Code, and county road districts established pursuant to Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 1550) of Division 2 of the Streets and Highways Code shall be considered "special districts" for all purposes of this part.

GC §17521: "Test Claim"

"Test claim" means the first claim filed with the commission alleging that a particular statute or executive order imposes costs mandated by the state.

GC §17522: Definitions

(a) "Initial reimbursement claim" means a claim filed with the Controller by a local agency or school district for costs to be reimbursed for the fiscal years specified in the first claiming instructions issued by the Controller pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 17558. (b) "Annual reimbursement claim" means a claim for actual costs incurred in a prior fiscal year filed with the Controller by a local agency or school district for which appropriations are made to the Controller for this purpose. (c) "Estimated reimbursement claim" means a claim filed with the Controller by a local agency or school district in conjunction with an initial reimbursement claim, annual reimbursement claim, or at other times, for estimated costs to be reimbursed during the current or future fiscal years, for which appropriations are made to the Controller for this purpose. (d) "Entitlement claim" means a claim filed by a local agency or school district with the Controller for the purpose of establishing or adjusting a base year entitlement. All entitlement claims are subject to Section 17616.

GC §17523: "Deflator"

"Deflator" means the Implicit Price Deflator for the Costs of Goods and Services to Governmental Agencies, as determined by the Department of Finance.

GC §17524: "Base Year Entitlement"

"Base year entitlement" means that amount determined to be the average for the approved reimbursement claims of each local agency or school district for the three preceding fiscal years adjusted by the change in the deflator. A base year entitlement shall not include any nonrecurring or initial startup costs incurred by a local agency or school district in any of those three fiscal years. For those mandates which become operative on January 1 of any year, the amount of the "approved reimbursement claim" for the first of the three years may be computed by annualizing the amount claimed for the six-month period of January through June in that first year, excluding nonrecurring or startup costs.

GC §17525: Members: Term and Per Diem for Specified Members

(a) There is hereby created the Commission on State Mandates, which shall consist of seven members as follows: (1) The Controller. (2) The Treasurer. (3) The Director of Finance. (4) The Director of the Office of Planning and Research. (5) A public member with experience in public finance, appointed by the Governor and approved by the Senate. (6) Two members from the following three categories appointed by the Governor and approved by the Senate, provided that no more than one member shall come from the same category: (A) A city council member. (B) A member of a county or city and county board of supervisors. (C) A governing board member of a school district as defined in Section 17519. (b) Each member appointed pursuant to paragraph (5) or (6) of subdivision (a) shall be subject to both of the following: (1) The member shall serve for a term of four years subject to renewal. (2) The member shall receive per diem of one hundred dollars (\$100) for each day actually spent in the

discharge of official duties and shall be reimbursed for any actual and necessary expenses incurred in connection with the performance of duties as a member of the commission.

GC §17526: Open Meetings: Executive Sessions

(a) All meetings of the commission shall be open to the public, except that the commission may meet in executive session to consider the appointment or dismissal of officers or employees of the commission or to hear complaints or charges brought against a member, officer, or employee of the commission. (b) The commission shall meet at least once every two months. (c) The time and place of meetings may be set by resolution of the commission, by written petition of a majority of the members, or by written call of the chairperson. The chairperson may, for good cause, change the starting time or place, reschedule, or cancel any meeting.

GC §17527: Powers of Commission

In carrying out its duties and responsibilities, the commission shall have the following powers: (a) To examine any document, report, or data, including computer programs and data files, held by any local agency or school district. (b) To meet at times and places as it may deem proper. (c) As a body or, on the authorization of the commission, as a committee composed of one or more members, to hold hearings at any time and place it may deem proper. (d) Upon a majority vote of the commission, to issue subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, records, papers, accounts, reports, and documents. (e) To administer oaths. (f) To contract with other agencies or individuals, public or private, as it deems necessary, to provide or prepare services, facilities, studies, and reports to the commission as will assist it in carrying out its duties and responsibilities. (g) To adopt, promulgate, amend, and rescind rules and regulations, which shall not be subject to the review and approval of the Office of Administrative Law pursuant to the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act provided for in Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2. (h) To do any and all other actions necessary or convenient to enable it fully and adequately to perform its duties and to exercise the powers expressly granted to it.

GC §17528: Election of Officers

The members of the commission shall elect a chairperson and a vice chairperson of the commission.

GC §17529: Appointment of Attorney: Duties

The commission may appoint as attorney to the commission an attorney at law of this state, who shall hold office at the pleasure of the commission. The attorney shall represent and appear for the commission in all actions and proceedings involving any question under this part or under any order or act of the commission. The attorney shall advise the commission and each member of the commission, when so requested, in regard to all matters in connection with the powers and duties of the commission and the members thereof. The attorney shall generally perform all duties and services as attorney to the commission which the commission may require.

GC §17530: Appointment of Executive Director: Duties

The commission shall appoint an executive director, who shall be exempt from civil service and shall hold office at the pleasure of the commission. The executive director shall be responsible for the executive and administrative duties of the commission and shall organize, coordinate, supervise, and direct the operations and affairs of the commission and expedite all matters within the jurisdiction of the commission. The executive director shall keep a full and true record of all proceedings of the commission, issue all necessary process, writs, warrants, and notices, and perform other duties as the commission prescribes.

GC §17531: Authority of Executive Director to Employ Necessary Staff

The executive director may employ those officers, examiners, experts, statisticians, accountants, inspectors, clerks, and employees as the executive director deems necessary to carry out the provisions of this part or to perform the duties and exercise the powers conferred upon the commission by law.

GC §17532: Quorum: Investigations, Inquiries, and Hearing

A majority of the commissioners shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of any business, for the performance of any duty, or for the exercise of any power of the commission. Any investigation, inquiry, or hearing which the commission has power to undertake or to hold may be undertaken or held by or before any commissioner or commissioners designated for the purpose by the commission. The evidence in any investigation, inquiry, or hearing may be taken by the commissioner or commissioners to whom the investigation, inquiry, or hearing has been assigned or, in his or her or their behalf, by an examiner designated for that purpose. Every finding, opinion, and order made by the commissioner or commissioners so designated, pursuant to the investigation, inquiry, or hearing, when approved or confirmed by the commission and ordered filed in its office, shall be deemed to be the finding, opinion, and order of the commission.

GC §17533: Provisions not Applicable to Hearing by Commission

Notwithstanding Section 11425.10, Chapter 4.5 (commencing with Section 11400) of Part 1 of Division 3 does not apply to a hearing by the commission under this part.

GC §17550: Reimbursements of Local Agencies and Special Districts

Reimbursement of local agencies and school districts for costs mandated by the state shall be provided pursuant to this chapter.

GC §17551: Commission Hearing and Decision Upon Claims

(a) The commission, pursuant to the provisions of this chapter, shall hear and decide upon a claim by a local agency or school district that the local agency or school district is entitled to be reimbursed by the state for costs mandated by the state as required by Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution. (b) Commission review of claims may be had pursuant to subdivision (a) only if the test claim is filed within the time limits specified in this section. (c) Local agency and school district test claims shall be filed not later than 12 months following the effective date of a statute or executive order, or within 12 months of incurring increased costs as a result of a statute or executive order, whichever is later. (d) The commission, pursuant to the provisions of this chapter, shall hear and decide upon a claim by a local agency or school district filed on or after January 1, 1985, that the Controller has incorrectly reduced payments to the local agency or school district pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (d) of Section 17561.

GC §17552: Exclusivity of Procedure by Chapter

This chapter shall provide the sole and exclusive procedure by which a local agency or school district may claim reimbursement for costs mandated by the state as required by Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution.

GC §17553: Adoption of Procedure for Receiving Claims and Providing Hearings: Postponement of Hearings

(a) The commission shall adopt procedures for receiving claims pursuant to this article and for providing a hearing on those claims. The procedures shall do all of the following: (1) Provide for presentation of evidence by the claimant, the Department of Finance and any other affected department

or agency, and any other interested person. (2) Ensure that a statewide cost estimate is adopted within 12 months after receipt of a test claim, when a determination is made by the commission that a mandate exists. This deadline may be extended for up to six months upon the request of either the claimant or the commission. (3) Permit the hearing of a claim to be postponed at the request of the claimant, without prejudice, until the next scheduled hearing. (b) All test claims shall be filed on a form prescribed by the commission and shall contain at least the following elements and documents: (1) A written narrative that identifies the specific sections of statutes or executive orders alleged to contain a mandate and shall include all of the following: (A) A detailed description of the new activities and costs that arise from the mandate. (B) A detailed description of existing activities and costs that are modified by the mandate. (C) The actual increased costs incurred by the claimant during the fiscal year for which the claim was filed to implement the alleged mandate. (D) The actual or estimated annual costs that will be incurred by the claimant to implement the alleged mandate during the fiscal year immediately following the fiscal year for which the claim was filed. (E) A statewide cost estimate of increased costs that all local agencies or school districts will incur to implement the alleged mandate during the fiscal year immediately following the fiscal year for which the claim was filed. (F) Identification of all of the following: (i) Dedicated state funds appropriated for this program. (ii) Dedicated federal funds appropriated for this program. (iii) Other nonlocal agency funds dedicated for this program. (iv) The local agency's general purpose funds for this program. (v) Fee authority to offset the costs of this program. (G) Identification of prior mandate determinations made by the Board of Control or the Commission on State Mandates that may be related to the alleged mandate. (2) The written narrative shall be supported with declarations under penalty of perjury, based on the declarant's personal knowledge, information or belief, and signed by persons who are authorized and competent to do so, as follows: (A) Declarations of actual or estimated increased costs that will be incurred by the claimant to implement the alleged mandate. (B) Declarations identifying all local, state, or federal funds, or fee authority that may be used to offset the increased costs that will be incurred by the claimant to implement the alleged mandate, including direct and indirect costs. (C) Declarations describing new activities performed to implement specified provisions of the new statute or executive order alleged to impose a reimbursable state-mandated program. Specific references shall be made to chapters, articles, sections, or page numbers alleged to impose a reimbursable state-mandated program. (3) (A) The written narrative shall be supported with copies of all of the following: (i) The test claim statute that includes the bill number or executive order, alleged to impose or impact a mandate. (ii) Relevant portions of state constitutional provisions, federal statutes, and executive orders that may impact the alleged mandate. (iii) Administrative decisions and court decisions cited in the narrative. (B) State mandate determinations made by the Board of Control and the Commission on State Mandates and published court decisions on state mandate determinations made by the Commission on State Mandates are exempt from this requirement. (4) A test claim shall be signed at the end of the document, under penalty of perjury by the claimant or its authorized representative, with the declaration that the test claim is true and complete to the best of the declarant's personal knowledge or information or belief. The date of signing, the declarant's title, address, telephone number, facsimile machine telephone number, and electronic mail address shall be included. (c) If a completed test claim is not received by the commission within 30 calendar days from the date that an incomplete test claim was returned by the commission, the original test claim filing date may be disallowed, and a new test claim may be accepted on the same statute or executive order. (d) In addition, the commission shall determine whether an incorrect reduction claim is complete within 10 days after the date that the incorrect reduction claim is filed. If the commission determines that an incorrect reduction claim is not complete, the commission shall notify the local agency and school district that filed the claim stating the reasons that the claim is not complete. The local agency or school district shall have 30 days to complete the claim. The commission shall serve a copy of the complete incorrect reduction claim on the Controller. The Controller shall have no more than 90 days after the date the claim is delivered or mailed to file any rebuttal to an incorrect reduction claim. The failure of the Controller to file a rebuttal to an incorrect reduction claim shall not serve to delay the consideration of the claim by the commission.

GC §17554: Commission's Authority to Expedite Claim

With the agreement of all parties to the claim, the commission may waive the application of any procedural requirement imposed by this chapter or pursuant to Section 17553. The authority granted by this section includes the consolidation of claims and the shortening of time periods.

GC §17555: Date for Public Hearing: Test Claim Form and Procedure

(a) No later than 30 days after hearing and deciding upon a test claim pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 17551, the commission shall notify the appropriate Senate and Assembly policy and fiscal committees, the Legislative Analyst, the Department of Finance, and the Controller of that decision. (b) For purposes of this section, the "appropriate policy committee" means the policy committee that has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the statute, regulation, or executive order, and bills relating to that subject matter would have been heard.

GC §17556: Criteria for not Finding Costs Mandated by the State

The commission shall not find costs mandated by the state, as defined in Section 17514, in any claim submitted by a local agency or school district, if, after a hearing, the commission finds that: (a) The claim is submitted by a local agency or school district that requested legislative authority for that local agency or school district to implement the program specified in the statute, and that statute imposes costs upon that local agency or school district requesting the legislative authority. A resolution from the governing body or a letter from a delegated representative of the governing body of a local agency or school district that requests authorization for that local agency or school district to implement a given program shall constitute a request within the meaning of this paragraph. (b) The statute or executive order affirmed for the state a mandate that had been declared existing law or regulation by action of the courts. (c) The statute or executive order imposes a requirement that is mandated by a federal law or regulation and results in costs mandated by the federal government, unless the statute or executive order mandates costs that exceed the mandate in that federal law or regulation. This subdivision applies regardless of whether the federal law or regulation was enacted or adopted prior to or after the date on which the state statute or executive order was enacted or issued. (d) The local agency or school district has the authority to levy service charges, fees, or assessments sufficient to pay for the mandated program or increased level of service. (e) The statute, executive order, or an appropriation in a Budget Act or other bill provides for offsetting savings to local agencies or school districts that result in no net costs to the local agencies or school districts, or includes additional revenue that was specifically intended to fund the costs of the state mandate in an amount sufficient to fund the cost of the state mandate. (f) The statute or executive order imposed duties that were expressly included in a ballot measure approved by the voters in a statewide or local election. (g) The statute created a new crime or infraction, eliminated a crime or infraction, or changed the penalty for a crime or infraction, but only for that portion of the statute relating directly to the enforcement of the crime or infraction.

GC §17557: Determination of Amount to be Subvened for Reimbursement: Parameters and Guidelines

(a) If the commission determines there are costs mandated by the state pursuant to Section 17551, it shall determine the amount to be subvened to local agencies and school districts for reimbursement. In so doing it shall adopt parameters and guidelines for reimbursement of any claims relating to the statute or executive order. The successful test claimants shall submit proposed parameters and guidelines within 30 days of adoption of a statement of decision on a test claim. At the request of a successful test claimant, the commission may provide for one or more extensions of this 30-day period at any time prior to its adoption of the parameters and guidelines. If proposed parameters and guidelines are not submitted within the 30-day period and the commission has not granted an extension, then the commission shall notify the test claimant that the amount of reimbursement the test claimant is entitled to for the first 12 months of incurred costs will be reduced by 20 percent, unless the test claimant can demonstrate to the commission why an extension of the 30-day period is justified. (b) In adopting parameters and guidelines, the commission may adopt a reasonable reimbursement methodology. (c)

The parameters and guidelines adopted by the commission shall specify the fiscal years for which local agencies and school districts shall be reimbursed for costs incurred. However, the commission may not specify in the parameters and guidelines any fiscal year for which payment could be provided in the annual Budget Act. (d) A local agency, school district, or the state may file a written request with the commission to amend, modify, or supplement the parameters or guidelines. The commission may, after public notice and hearing, amend, modify, or supplement the parameters and guidelines. A parameters and guidelines amendment submitted within 90 days of the claiming deadline for initial claims, as specified in the claiming instructions pursuant to Section 17561, shall apply to all years eligible for reimbursement as defined in the original parameters and guidelines. A parameters and guidelines amendment filed more than 90 days after the claiming deadline for initial claims, as specified in the claiming instructions pursuant to Section 17561, and on or before January 15 following a fiscal year, shall establish reimbursement eligibility for that fiscal year. (e) A test claim shall be submitted on or before June 30 following a fiscal year in order to establish eligibility for reimbursement for that fiscal year. The claimant may thereafter amend the test claim at any time, but before the test claim is set for a hearing, without affecting the original filing date as long as the amendment substantially relates to the original test claim. (f) In adopting parameters and guidelines, the commission shall consult with the Department of Finance, the affected state agency, the Controller, the fiscal and policy committees of the Assembly and Senate, the Legislative Analyst, and the claimants to consider a reasonable reimbursement methodology that balances accuracy with simplicity.

GC §17558: Submission of Parameters and Guidelines to Controller: Transfer of Claims; Claiming Instructions

(a) The commission shall submit the adopted parameters and guidelines to the Controller. All claims relating to a statute or executive order that are filed after the adoption or amendment of parameters and guidelines pursuant to Section 17557 shall be transferred to the Controller who shall pay and audit the claims from funds made available for that purpose. (b) Not later than 60 days after receiving the adopted parameters and guidelines from the commission, the Controller shall issue claiming instructions for each mandate that requires state reimbursement, to assist local agencies and school districts in claiming costs to be reimbursed. In preparing claiming instructions, the Controller shall request assistance from the Department of Finance and may request the assistance of other state agencies. The claiming instructions shall be derived from the test claim decision and the parameters and guidelines adopted by the commission. (c) The Controller shall, within 60 days after receiving revised adopted parameters and guidelines from the commission or other information necessitating a revision of the claiming instructions, prepare and issue revised claiming instructions for mandates that require state reimbursement that have been established by commission action pursuant to Section 17557 or after any decision or order of the commission pursuant to Section 17551. In preparing revised claiming instructions, the Controller may request the assistance of other state agencies.

GC §17558.5: Reimbursement Claim: Audit; Remittance Advice and Other Notices of Payment

(a) A reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a local agency or school district pursuant to this chapter is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. In any case, an audit shall be completed not later than two years after the date that the audit is commenced. (b) The Controller may conduct a field review of any claim after the claim has been submitted, prior to the reimbursement of the claim. (c) The Controller shall notify the claimant in writing within 30 days after issuance of a remittance advice of any adjustment to a claim for reimbursement that results from an audit or review. The notification shall specify the claim components adjusted, the amounts adjusted, interest charges on claims adjusted to reduce the overall reimbursement to the local agency or school district, and the reason for the adjustment. Remittance advices and other notices of payment action shall not constitute notice of adjustment from an audit or review. (d) The interest rate charged by the Controller on reduced claims shall be set at the Pooled

Money Investment Account rate and shall be imposed on the dollar amount of the overpaid claim from the time the claim was paid until overpayment is satisfied. (e) Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the adjustment of payments when inaccuracies are determined to be the result of the intent to defraud, or when a delay in the completion of an audit is the result of willful acts by the claimant or inability to reach agreement on terms of final settlement.

GC §17558.6: Legislative Intent

It is the intent of the Legislature that the Commission on State Mandates review its process by which local agencies may appeal the reduction of reimbursement claims on the basis that the reduction is incorrect in order to provide for a more expeditious and less costly process.

GC §17559: Judicial Review

(a) The commission may order a reconsideration of all or part of a test claim or incorrect reduction claim on petition of any party. The power to order a reconsideration or amend a test claim decision shall expire 30 days after the statement of decision is delivered or mailed to the claimant. If additional time is needed to evaluate a petition for reconsideration filed prior to the expiration of the 30-day period, the commission may grant a stay of that expiration for no more than 30 days, solely for the purpose of considering the petition. If no action is taken on a petition within the time allowed for ordering reconsideration, the petition shall be deemed denied. (b) A claimant or the state may commence a proceeding in accordance with the provisions of Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure to set aside a decision of the commission on the ground that the commission's decision is not supported by substantial evidence. The court may order the commission to hold another hearing regarding the claim and may direct the commission on what basis the claim is to receive a rehearing.

GC §17560: Deadlines for Filing Reimbursement Claims

Reimbursement for state-mandated costs may be claimed as follows: (a) A local agency or school district may file an estimated reimbursement claim by January 15 of the fiscal year in which costs are to be incurred, and, by January 15 following that fiscal year shall file an annual reimbursement claim that details the costs actually incurred for that fiscal year; or it may comply with the provisions of subdivision (b). (b) A local agency or school district may, by January 15 following the fiscal year in which costs are incurred, file an annual reimbursement claim that details the costs actually incurred for that fiscal year. (c) In the event revised claiming instructions are issued by the Controller pursuant to subdivision (c) of Section 17558 between October 15 and January 15, a local agency or school district filing an annual reimbursement claim shall have 120 days following the issuance date of the revised claiming instructions to file a claim.

GC §17561: Reimbursement of Costs for State Mandated Programs

(a) The state shall reimburse each local agency and school district for all "costs mandated by the state," as defined in Section 17514. (b) (1) For the initial fiscal year during which these costs are incurred, reimbursement funds shall be provided as follows: (A) Any statute mandating these costs shall provide an appropriation therefor. (B) Any executive order mandating these costs shall be accompanied by a bill appropriating the funds therefor, or alternatively, an appropriation for these costs shall be included in the Budget Bill for the next succeeding fiscal year. The executive order shall cite that item of appropriation in the Budget Bill or that appropriation in any other bill which is intended to serve as the source from which the Controller may pay the claims of local agencies and school districts. (2) In subsequent fiscal years appropriations for these costs shall be included in the annual Governor's Budget and in the accompanying Budget Bill. In addition, appropriations to reimburse local agencies and school districts for continuing costs resulting from chaptered bills or executive orders for which claims have been awarded pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 17551 shall be included in the annual Governor's Budget and in the accompanying Budget Bill subsequent to the enactment of the local government claims bill pursuant to Section 17600 that includes the amounts awarded relating to these chaptered bills or executive orders. (c) The amount appropriated to reimburse local agencies and

school districts for costs mandated by the state shall be appropriated to the Controller for disbursement. (d) The Controller shall pay any eligible claim pursuant to this section within 60 days after the filing deadline for claims for reimbursement or 15 days after the date the appropriation for the claim is effective, whichever is later. The Controller shall disburse reimbursement funds to local agencies or school districts if the costs of these mandates are not payable to state agencies, or to state agencies that would otherwise collect the costs of these mandates from local agencies or school districts in the form of fees, premiums, or payments. When disbursing reimbursement funds to local agencies or school districts, the Controller shall disburse them as follows: (1) For initial reimbursement claims, the Controller shall issue claiming instructions to the relevant local agencies and school districts pursuant to Section 17558. Issuance of the claiming instructions shall constitute a notice of the right of the local agencies and school districts to file reimbursement claims, based upon parameters and guidelines adopted by the commission. (A) When claiming instructions are issued by the Controller pursuant to Section 17558 for each mandate determined pursuant to Section 17551 that requires state reimbursement, each local agency or school district to which the mandate is applicable shall submit claims for initial fiscal year costs to the Controller within 120 days of the issuance date for the claiming instructions. (B) When the commission is requested to review the claiming instructions pursuant to Section 17571, each local agency or school district to which the mandate is applicable shall submit a claim for reimbursement within 120 days after the commission reviews the claiming instructions for reimbursement issued by the Controller. (C) If the local agency or school district does not submit a claim for reimbursement within the 120-day period, or submits a claim pursuant to revised claiming instructions, it may submit its claim for reimbursement as specified in Section 17560. The Controller shall pay these claims from the funds appropriated therefor, provided that the Controller (i) may audit the records of any local agency or school district to verify the actual amount of the mandated costs, and (ii) may reduce any claim that the Controller determines is excessive or unreasonable. (2) In subsequent fiscal years each local agency or school district shall submit its claims as specified in Section 17560. The Controller shall pay these claims from funds appropriated therefor, provided that the Controller (A) may audit the records of any local agency or school district to verify the actual amount of the mandated costs, (B) may reduce any claim that the Controller determines is excessive or unreasonable, and (C) shall adjust the payment to correct for any underpayments or overpayments which occurred in previous fiscal years. (3) When paying a timely filed claim for initial reimbursement, the Controller shall withhold 20 percent of the amount of the claim until the claim is audited to verify the actual amount of the mandated costs. All initial reimbursement claims for all fiscal years required to be filed on their initial filing date for a state-mandated local program shall be considered as one claim for the purpose of computing any late claim penalty. Any claim for initial reimbursement filed after the filing deadline shall be reduced by 10 percent of the amount that would have been allowed had the claim been timely filed. The Controller may withhold payment of any late claim for initial reimbursement until the next deadline for funded claims unless sufficient funds are available to pay the claim after all timely filed claims have been paid. In no case may a reimbursement claim be paid if submitted more than one year after the filing deadline specified in the Controller's claiming instructions on funded mandates contained in a claims bill.

GC §17561.5: Payment of Claim with Interest

The payment of an initial reimbursement claim by the Controller shall include accrued interest at the Pooled Money Investment Account rate, if the payment is being made more than 365 days after adoption of the statewide cost estimate for an initial claim or, in the case of payment of a subsequent claim relating to that same statute or executive order, if payment is being made more than 60 days after the filing deadline for, or the actual date of receipt of, the subsequent claim, whichever is later. In those instances, interest shall begin to accrue as of the 366th day after adoption of the statewide cost estimate for an initial claim and as of the 61st day after the filing deadline for, or actual date of receipt of, the subsequent claim, whichever is later.

GC §17561.6: Payment

A budget act item or appropriation pursuant to this part for reimbursement of claims shall include an amount necessary to reimburse any interest due pursuant to Section 17561.5.

GC §17562: Review of Costs of State-Mandated Local Programs

(a) The Legislature hereby finds and declares that the increasing revenue constraints on state and local government and the increasing costs of financing state-mandated local programs make evaluation of state-mandated local programs imperative. Accordingly, it is the intent of the Legislature to increase information regarding state mandates and establish a method for regularly reviewing the costs and benefits of state-mandated local programs. (b) The Controller shall submit a report to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee and fiscal committees by January 1 of each year. This report shall summarize, by state mandate, the total amount of claims paid per fiscal year and the amount, if any, of mandate deficiencies or surpluses. This report shall be made available in an electronic spreadsheet format. The report shall compare the annual cost of each mandate to the statewide cost estimate adopted by the commission. (c) After the commission submits its second semiannual report to the Legislature pursuant to Section 17600, the Legislative Analyst shall submit a report to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee and legislative fiscal committees on the mandates included in the commission's reports. The report shall make recommendations as to whether the mandate should be repealed, funded, suspended, or modified. (d) In its annual analysis of the Budget Bill and based on information provided pursuant to subdivision (b), the Legislative Analyst shall identify mandates that significantly exceed the statewide cost estimate adopted by the commission. The Legislative Analyst shall make recommendations on whether the mandate should be repealed, funded, suspended, or modified. (e) (1) A statewide association of local agencies or school districts or a Member of the Legislature may submit a proposal to the Legislature recommending the elimination or modification of a state-mandated local program. To make such a proposal, the association or member shall submit a letter to the Chairs of the Assembly Committee on Education or the Assembly Committee on Local Government, as the case may be, and the Senate Committee on Education or the Senate Committee on Local Government, as the case may be, specifying the mandate and the concerns and recommendations regarding the mandate. The association or member shall include in the proposal all information relevant to the conclusions. If the chairs of the committees desire additional analysis of the submitted proposal, the chairs may refer the proposal to the Legislative Analyst for review and comment. The chairs of the committees may refer up to a total of 10 of these proposals to the Legislative Analyst for review in any year. Referrals shall be submitted to the Legislative Analyst by December 1 of each year. (2) The Legislative Analyst shall review and report to the Legislature with regard to each proposal that is referred to the office pursuant to paragraph (1). The Legislative Analyst shall recommend that the Legislature adopt, reject, or modify the proposal. The report and recommendations shall be submitted annually to the Legislature by March 1 of the year subsequent to the year in which referrals are submitted to the Legislative Analyst. (3) The Department of Finance shall review all statutes enacted each year that contain provisions making inoperative Section 17561 or Section 17565 that have resulted in costs or revenue losses mandated by the state that were not identified when the statute was enacted. The review shall identify the costs or revenue losses involved in complying with the statutes. The Department of Finance shall also review all statutes enacted each year that may result in cost savings authorized by the state. The Department of Finance shall submit an annual report of the review required by this subdivision, together with the recommendations as it may deem appropriate, by December 1 of each year. (f) It is the intent of the Legislature that the Assembly Committee on Local Government and the Senate Committee on Local Government hold a joint hearing each year regarding the following: (1) The reports and recommendations submitted pursuant to subdivision (e). (2) The reports submitted pursuant to Sections 17570, 17600, and 17601. (3) Legislation to continue, eliminate, or modify any provision of law reviewed pursuant to this subdivision. The legislation may be by subject area or by year or years of enactment.

GC §17563: Use of Funds Received for Public Purpose

Any funds received by a local agency or school district pursuant to the provisions of this chapter may be used for any public purpose.

GC §17564: Filing of Claims: Threshold Amount

(a) No claim shall be made pursuant to Sections 17551 and 17561, nor shall any payment be made on claims submitted pursuant to Sections 17551 and 17561, unless these claims exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000), provided that a county superintendent of schools or county may submit a combined claim on behalf of school districts, direct service districts, or special districts within their county if the combined claim exceeds one thousand dollars (\$1,000) even if the individual school district's, direct service district's, or special district's claims do not each exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000). The county superintendent of schools or the county shall determine if the submission of the combined claim is economically feasible and shall be responsible for disbursing the funds to each school, direct service, or special district. These combined claims may be filed only when the county superintendent of schools or the county is the fiscal agent for the districts. All subsequent claims based upon the same mandate shall only be filed in the combined form unless a school district, direct service district, or special district provides to the county superintendent of schools or county and to the Controller, at least 180 days prior to the deadline for filing the claim, a written notice of its intent to file a separate claim. (b) Claims for direct and indirect costs filed pursuant to Section 17561 shall be filed in the manner prescribed in the parameters and guidelines and claiming instructions.

GC §17565: Reimbursement of Subsequently Mandated Costs

If a local agency or a school district, at its option, has been incurring costs which are subsequently mandated by the state, the state shall reimburse the local agency or school district for those costs incurred after the operative date of the mandate.

GC §17567: Insufficiency of Appropriation: Proration of Claims

In the event that the amount appropriated for reimbursement purposes pursuant to Section 17561 is not sufficient to pay all of the claims approved by the Controller, the Controller shall prorate claims in proportion to the dollar amount of approved claims timely filed and on hand at the time of proration. The Controller shall adjust prorated claims if supplementary funds are appropriated for this purpose. In the event that the Controller finds it necessary to prorate claims as provided by this section, the Controller shall immediately report this action to the Department of Finance, the Chairperson of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, and the Chairperson of the respective committee in each house of the Legislature which considers appropriations in order to assure appropriation of these funds in the Budget Act. If these funds cannot be appropriated on a timely basis in the Budget Act, the Controller shall transmit this information to the commission which shall include these amounts in its report to the Legislature pursuant to Section 17600 to assure that an appropriation sufficient to pay the claims is included in the local government claims bills or other appropriation bills. If the local government claims bills required by Section 17612 have been introduced in the Legislature, the Controller shall report directly to the chairperson of the respective committee in each house of the Legislature which considers appropriations to assure inclusion of a sufficient appropriation in the claims bills.

GC §17568: Payment of Claims Submitted After Deadline

If a local agency or school district submits an otherwise valid reimbursement claim to the Controller after the deadline specified in Section 17560, the Controller shall reduce the reimbursement claim in an amount equal to 10 percent of the amount which would have been allowed had the reimbursement claim been timely filed, provided that the amount of this reduction shall not exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000). In no case shall a reimbursement claim be paid which is submitted more than one year after the deadline specified in Section 17560. Estimated claims which were filed by the deadline specified in that section shall be paid in full before payments are made on estimated claims filed after the deadline. In the event the amount appropriated to the Controller for reimbursement purposes is not sufficient to pay the estimated claims approved by the Controller, the Controller shall prorate those claims in proportion to the dollar amount of approved claims filed after the deadline and shall report to the commission or the Legislature in the same manner as described in Section 17566 in order to assure appropriation of funds sufficient to pay those claims.

GC §17570: Annual Report to Legislature

The Legislative Analyst shall review each unfunded statutory or regulatory mandate for which claims have been approved by the Legislature pursuant to a claims bill during the preceding fiscal year. Any recommendations by the Legislative Analyst to eliminate or modify the mandates shall be contained in the annual analysis of the Budget Bill prepared by the Legislative Analyst.

GC §17571: Review and Modification of Claiming Instructions

The commission, upon request of a local agency or school district, shall review the claiming instructions issued by the Controller or any other authorized state agency for reimbursement of mandated costs. If the commission determines that the claiming instructions do not conform to the parameters and guidelines, the commission shall direct the Controller to modify the claiming instructions and the Controller shall modify the claiming instructions to conform to the parameters and guidelines as directed by the commission.

GC §17572: Amended Animal Adoption Parameters and Guidelines

(a) The commission shall amend the parameters and guidelines for the state-mandated local program contained in Chapter 752 of the Statutes of 1998, known as the Animal Adoption mandate (Case No. 98-TC-11), as specified below: (1) Amend the formula for determining the reimbursable portion of acquiring or building additional shelter space that is larger than needed to comply with the increased holding period to specify that costs incurred to address preexisting shelter overcrowding or animal population growth are not reimbursable. (2) Clarify how the costs for care and maintenance shall be calculated. (3) Detail the documentation necessary to support reimbursement claims under this mandate, in consultation with the Bureau of State Audits and the Controller's office. (b) The parameters and guidelines, as amended pursuant to this section, shall apply to claims for costs incurred in fiscal years commencing with the 2005-06 fiscal year in which Chapter 752 of the Statutes of 1998 is not suspended pursuant to Section 17581. (c) Before funds are appropriated to reimburse local agencies for claims related to costs incurred in fiscal years commencing with the 2005-06 fiscal year pursuant to Sections 1834 and 1846 of the Civil Code, and Sections 31108, 31752, 31752.5, 31753, 32001, and 32003 of the Food and Agricultural Code, known as the Animal Adoption mandate, local agencies shall file reimbursement claims pursuant to the parameters and guidelines amended pursuant to this section, and the Controller's revised claiming instructions.

GC §17575: Review of Bills

When a bill is introduced in the Legislature, and each time a bill is amended, on and after January 1, 1985, the Legislative Counsel shall determine whether the bill mandates a new program or higher level of service pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution. The Legislative Counsel shall make this determination known in the digest of the bill and shall describe in the digest the basis for this determination. The determination by the Legislative Counsel shall not be binding on the commission in making its determination pursuant to Section 17555.

GC §17576: Amendment of Bills on Floor: Notification by Legislative Counsel

Whenever the Legislative Counsel determines that a bill will mandate a new program or higher level of service pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution, the Department of Finance shall prepare an estimate of the amount of reimbursement which will be required. This estimate shall be prepared for the respective committees of each house of the Legislature which consider taxation measures and appropriation measures and shall be prepared prior to any hearing on the bill by any such committee.

GC §17577: Amount of Estimates

The estimate required by Section 17576 shall be the amount estimated to be required during the first fiscal year of a bill's operation in order to reimburse local agencies and school districts for costs mandated by the state by the bill.

GC §17578: Amendment of Bills on Floor: Notification by Legislative Counsel

In the event that a bill is amended on the floor of either house, whether by adoption of the report of a conference committee or otherwise, in such a manner as to mandate a new program or higher level of service pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution, the Legislative Counsel shall immediately inform, respectively, the Speaker of the Assembly and the President of the Senate of that fact. Notification from the Legislative Counsel shall be published in the journal of the respective houses of the Legislature.

GC §17579: Requirement for New Mandates to Specify Reimbursement Requirements: Appropriations

Any bill introduced or amended for which the Legislative Counsel has determined the bill will mandate a new program or higher level of service pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution shall contain a section specifying that reimbursement shall be made pursuant to this chapter or that the mandate is being disclaimed and the reason therefor.

GC §17581: Conditions for Exemption from Implementation of Statute or Executive Order

(a) No local agency shall be required to implement or give effect to any statute or executive order, or portion thereof, during any fiscal year and for the period immediately following that fiscal year for which the Budget Act has not been enacted for the subsequent fiscal year if all of the following apply: (1) The statute or executive order, or portion thereof, has been determined by the Legislature, the commission, or any court to mandate a new program or higher level of service requiring reimbursement of local agencies pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution. (2) The statute or executive order, or portion thereof, has been specifically identified by the Legislature in the Budget Act for the fiscal year as being one for which reimbursement is not provided for that fiscal year. For purposes of this paragraph, a mandate shall be considered to have been specifically identified by the Legislature only if it has been included within the schedule of reimbursable mandates shown in the Budget Act and it is specifically identified in the language of a provision of the item providing the appropriation for mandate reimbursements. (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, if a local agency elects to implement or give effect to a statute or executive order described in subdivision (a), the local agency may assess fees to persons or entities which benefit from the statute or executive order. Any fee assessed pursuant to this subdivision shall not exceed the costs reasonably borne by the local agency. (c) This section shall not apply to any state-mandated local program for the trial courts, as specified in Section 77203. (d) This section shall not apply to any state-mandated local program for which the reimbursement funding counts toward the minimum General Fund requirements of Section 8 of Article XVI of the Constitution.

GC §17581.5 Exemption from Provisions of School Bus Safety II Mandate and School Crimes Reporting II Mandate

(a) A school district may not be required to implement or give effect to the statutes, or portion thereof, identified in subdivision (b) during any fiscal year and for the period immediately following that fiscal year for which the Budget Act has not been enacted for the subsequent fiscal year if all of the following apply: (1) The statute or portion thereof, has been determined by the Legislature, the commission, or any court to mandate a new program or higher level of service requiring reimbursement of school districts pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution. (2) The statute, or portion thereof, has been specifically identified by the Legislature in the Budget Act for the fiscal year as being

one for which reimbursement is not provided for that fiscal year. For purposes of this paragraph, a mandate shall be considered to have been specifically identified by the Legislature only if it has been included within the schedule of reimbursable mandates shown in the Budget Act and it is specifically identified in the language of a provision of the item providing the appropriation for mandate reimbursements. (b) This section applies only to the following mandates: (1) The School Bus Safety I (CSM-4433) and II (97-TC-22) mandates (Chapter 642 of the Statutes of 1992; Chapter 831 of the Statutes of 1994; and Chapter 739 of the Statutes of 1997). (2) The School Crimes Reporting II mandate (97-TC-03; and Chapter 759 of the Statutes of 1992 and Chapter 410 of the Statutes of 1995). (3) Investment reports (96-358-02; and Chapter 783 of the Statutes of 1995 and Chapters 156 and 749 of the Statutes of 1996). (4) County treasury oversight committees (96-365-03; and Chapter 784 of the Statutes of 1995 and Chapter 156 of the Statutes of 1996).

GC §17600: Report on Number of Mandates and Their Costs

At least twice each calendar year the commission shall report to the Legislature on the number of mandates it has found pursuant to Article 1 (commencing with Section 17550) and the estimated statewide costs of these mandates. This report shall identify the statewide costs estimated for each mandate and the reasons for recommending reimbursement.

GC §17601: Report on Claims Denied

The commission shall report to the Legislature on January 15, 1986, and each January 15 thereafter, on the number of claims it denied during the preceding calendar year and the basis on which the particular claims were denied.

GC §17612: Local Government Claims Bills: Judicial Review of Funding Deletions

(a) Immediately upon receipt of the report submitted by the commission pursuant to Section 17600, a local government claims bill shall be introduced in the Legislature. The local government claims bill, at the time of its introduction, shall provide for an appropriation sufficient to pay the estimated costs of these mandates. (b) The Legislature may amend, modify, or supplement the parameters and guidelines for mandates contained in the local government claims bill. If the Legislature amends, modifies, or supplements the parameters and guidelines, it shall make a declaration in the local government claims bill specifying the basis for the amendment, modification, or supplement. (c) If the Legislature deletes from a local government claims bill funding for a mandate, the local agency or school district may file in the Superior Court of the County of Sacramento an action in declaratory relief to declare the mandate unenforceable and enjoin its enforcement.

GC §17613: Authorization of Augmentation for Mandated Costs

(a) The Director of Finance may, upon receipt of any report submitted pursuant to Section 17567, authorize the augmentation of the amount available for expenditure to reimburse costs mandated by the state, as defined in Section 17514, as follows: (1) For augmentation of (A) any schedule in any item to reimburse costs mandated by the state in any budget act, or (B) the amount appropriated in a local government claims bill for reimbursement of the claims of local agencies, as defined by Section 17518, from the unencumbered balance of any other item to reimburse costs mandated by the state in that budget act or another budget act or in an appropriation for reimbursement of the claims of local agencies in another local government claims bill. (2) For augmentation of (A) any schedule in any budget act item, or (B) any amount appropriated in a local government claims bill, when either of these augmentations is for reimbursement of mandated claims of school districts, as defined in Section 17519, when the source of this augmentation is (A) the unencumbered balance of any other scheduled amount in that budget act or another budget act, or (B) an appropriation in another local government claims bill, when either of these appropriations is for reimbursement of mandate claims of school districts. This paragraph applies only to appropriations that are made for the purpose of meeting the minimum funding guarantee for educational programs pursuant to Section 8 of Article XVI of the California Constitution. (b) No authorization for an augmentation pursuant to this section may be made

sooner than 30 days after the notification in writing of the necessity therefor to the chairperson of the committee in each house which considers appropriations and the chairperson of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, or not sooner than whatever lesser time as the chairperson of the joint committee, or his or her designee, may in each instance determine.

GC §17615: Legislative Findings and Intent

The Legislature finds and declares that the existing system for reimbursing local agencies and school districts for actual costs mandated by the state on an annual claim basis is time consuming, cumbersome, and expensive at both the local and state levels. The Controller must process voluminous claims with all claims subject to a desk audit and selected claims also subject to a field audit. Local agencies are required to maintain extensive documentation of all claims in anticipation of such an audit. The volume of these records is substantial and will continue to grow with no relief in sight as new programs are mandated. The cost to local agencies and school districts for filing claims, and for maintaining documentation and responding to the Controller's audits is substantial. The current administrative cost to both state and local governments represents a significant expenditure of public funds with no apparent benefit to the taxpayers. It is the intent of the Legislature to streamline the reimbursement process for costs mandated by the state by creating a system of state mandate apportionments to fund the costs of certain programs mandated by the state.

GC §17615.1: Review of Programs for Inclusion in System

The commission shall establish a procedure for reviewing, upon request, mandated cost programs for which appropriations have been made by the Legislature for the 1982-83, 1983-84, and 1984-85 fiscal years, or any three consecutive fiscal years thereafter. At the request of the Department of Finance, the Controller, or any local agency or school district receiving reimbursement for the mandated program, the commission shall review the mandated cost program to determine whether the program should be included in the State Mandates Apportionment System. If the commission determines that the State Mandates Apportionment System would accurately reflect the costs of the state-mandated program, the commission shall direct the Controller to include the program in the State Mandates Apportionment System.

GC §17615.2: Calculation of Disbursement Amounts

(a) Notwithstanding Section 17561, after November 30, 1985, for those programs included in the State Mandates Apportionment System, after approval by the commission, there shall be disbursed by the Controller to each local agency and school district which has submitted a reimbursement claim for costs mandated by the state in the 1982-83, 1983-84, and the 1984-85 fiscal years, or any three consecutive fiscal years thereafter, an amount computed by averaging the approved reimbursement claims for this three-year period. The amount shall first be adjusted according to any changes in the deflator. The deflator shall be applied separately to each year's costs for the three years which comprise the base period. Funds for these purposes shall be available to the extent they are provided for in the Budget Act of 1985 and the Budget Act for any subsequent fiscal year thereafter. For purposes of this article, "base period" means the three fiscal years immediately succeeding the commission's approval. (b) When the Controller has made payment on claims prior to commission approval of the program for inclusion in the State Mandates Apportionment System, the payment shall be adjusted in the next apportionment to the amount which would have been subvended to the local agency or school district for that fiscal year had the State Mandates Apportionment System been in effect at the time of the initial payment.

GC §17615.3: Annual Recalculation of Allocation

Notwithstanding Section 17561, by November 30, 1986, and by November 30 of each year thereafter, for those programs included in the State Mandates Apportionment System, the Controller shall recalculate each allocation for each local agency and school district for the 1985-86 fiscal year, by using the actual change in the deflator for that year. That recalculated allocation shall then be adjusted by the estimated change in the deflator for the 1986 -87 fiscal year, and each fiscal year thereafter, to

establish the allocation amount for the 1986-87 fiscal year, and each fiscal year thereafter. Additionally, for programs approved by the commission for inclusion in the State Mandates Apportionment System on or after January 1, 1988, the allocation for each year succeeding the three-year base period shall be adjusted according to any changes in both the deflator and workload. The Controller shall then subvene that amount after adjusting it by any amount of overpayment or underpayment in the 1985-86 fiscal year, and each fiscal year thereafter, due to a discrepancy between the actual change and the estimated change in the deflator or workload. Funds for these purposes shall be available to the extent they are provided for in the Budget Act of 1986 and the Budget Act for any subsequent fiscal year thereafter. For purposes of this article, "workload" means, for school districts and county offices of education, changes in the average daily attendance; for community colleges, changes in the number of full-time equivalent students; for cities and counties, changes in the population within their boundaries; and for special districts, changes in the population of the county in which the largest percentage of the district's population is located.

GC §17615.4: Procedure for Newly Mandated Program

(a) When a new mandate imposes costs that are funded either by legislation or in local government claims bills, local agencies and school districts may file reimbursement claims as required by Section 17561, for a minimum of three years after the initial funding of the new mandate. (b) After actual cost claims are submitted for three fiscal years against such a new mandate, the commission shall determine, upon request of the Controller or a local entity or school district receiving reimbursement for the program, whether the amount of the base year entitlement adjusted by changes in the deflator and workload accurately reflects the costs incurred by the local agency or school district. If the commission determines that the base year entitlement, as adjusted, does accurately reflect the costs of the program, the commission shall direct the Controller to include the program in the State Mandates Apportionment System. (c) The Controller shall make recommendations to the commission and the commission shall consider the Controller's recommendations for each new mandate submitted for inclusion in the State Mandates Apportionment System. All claims included in the State Mandates Apportionment System pursuant to this section are also subject to the audit provisions of Section 17616.

GC §17615.5: Procedure Where No Base Year Entitlement Has Been Established

(a) If any local agency or school district has an established base year entitlement which does not include costs for a particular mandate, that local agency or school district may submit reimbursement claims for a minimum of three consecutive years, adjusted pursuant to Section 17615.3 by changes in the deflator and workload, or entitlement claims covering a minimum of three consecutive years, after which time its base year entitlement may be adjusted by an amount necessary to fund the costs of that mandate. (b) If any local agency or school district has no base year entitlement, but wishes to begin claiming costs of one or more of the mandates included in the State Mandates Apportionment System, that local agency or school district may submit reimbursement claims for a minimum of three consecutive years, or entitlement claims covering the preceding three consecutive years, which shall be adjusted pursuant to Sections 17615.2 and 17615.3 by changes in the deflator and workload, after which time a base year entitlement may be established in an amount necessary to fund the costs of the mandate or mandates.

GC §17615.6: Procedure Where Program is No Longer Mandatory

If a local agency or school district realizes a decrease in the amount of costs incurred because a mandate is discontinued, or made permissive, the Controller shall determine the amount of the entitlement attributable to that mandate by determining the base year amount for that mandate for the local agency or school district plus the annual adjustments. This amount shall be subtracted from the annual subvention which would otherwise have been allocated to the local agency or school district.

GC §17615.7: Procedure Where Program is Modified

If a mandated program included in the State Mandates Apportionment System is modified or amended by the Legislature or by executive order, and the modification or amendment significantly affects the costs of the program, as determined by the commission, the program shall be removed from the State Mandate Apportionment System, and the payments reduced accordingly. Local entities or school districts may submit actual costs claims for a period of three years, after which the program may be considered for inclusion in the State Mandates Apportionment System, pursuant to the provisions of Section 17615.4.

GC §17615.8: Review of Base Year Entitlement

(a) The commission shall establish a procedure for reviewing, upon request, any apportionment or base year entitlement of a local agency or school district. (b) Local agencies and school districts which request such a review shall maintain and provide those records and documentation as the commission or its designee determines are necessary for the commission or its designee to make the required determinations. With the exception of records required to verify base year entitlements, the records may not be used to adjust current or prior apportionments, but may be used to adjust future apportionments. (c) If the commission determines that an apportionment or base year entitlement for funding costs mandated by the state does not accurately reflect the costs incurred by the local agency or school district for all mandates upon which that apportionment is based, the commission shall direct the Controller to adjust the apportionment accordingly. For the purposes of this section, an apportionment or a base year entitlement does not accurately reflect the costs incurred by a local agency or school district if it falls short of reimbursing, or overreimburses, that local agency's or school district's actual costs by 20 percent or by one thousand dollars (\$1,000), whichever is less. (d) If the commission determines that an apportionment or base year entitlement for funding costs mandated by the state accurately reflects the costs incurred by the local agency or school district for all mandates upon which that apportionment is based, the commission may, in its discretion, direct the Controller to withhold, and, if so directed, the Controller shall withhold the costs of the commission's review from the next apportionment to the local agency or school district, if the commission review was requested by the local agency or school district.

GC §17615.9: Review of Programs Under SMAS

The commission shall periodically review programs funded under the State Mandate Apportionments System to evaluate the effectiveness or continued statewide need for each such mandate.

GC §17616: Audits and Verification by Controller

The Controller shall have the authority to do either or both of the following: (a) Audit the fiscal years comprising the base year entitlement no later than three years after the year in which the base year entitlement is established. The results of such audits shall be used to adjust the base year entitlements and any subsequent apportionments based on that entitlement, in addition to adjusting actual cost payments made for the base years audited. (b) Verify that any local agency or school district receiving funds pursuant to this article is providing the reimbursed activities.

GC §17617: Local Agency Payment

The total amount due to each city, county, city and county, and special district, for which the state has determined, as of June 30, 2005, that reimbursement is required under Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution, shall be appropriated for payment to these entities over a period of not more than five years, commencing with the Budget Act for the 2006-07 fiscal year and concluding with the Budget Act for the 2011-12 fiscal year.

RECEIVED
December 16, 2013
*Commission on
State Mandates*

FILING A CLAIM

1. Introduction

The law in the State of California, (GC Sections 17500 through 17617), provides for the reimbursement of costs incurred by school districts for costs mandated by the State. Costs mandated by the State means any increased costs which a school district is required to incur after July 1, 1980, as a result of any statute enacted after January 1, 1975, or any executive order implementing such statute which mandates a new program or higher level of service of an existing program.

Estimated claims that show costs to be incurred in the current fiscal year and reimbursement claims that detail the costs actually incurred for the prior fiscal year may be filed with the State Controller's Office (SCO). Claims for on-going programs are filed annually by February 15. Claims for new programs are filed within 120 days from the date claiming instructions are issued for the program. A 10 percent penalty, up to \$10,000 for continuing claims, no limit for initial claims, is assessed for late claims. The SCO may audit the records of any school district to verify the actual amount of mandated costs and may reduce any claim that is excessive or unreasonable.

When a program has been reimbursed for three or more years, the Commission on State Mandates (COSM) may approve the program for inclusion in the State Mandates Apportionment System (SMAS). For programs included in SMAS, the SCO determines the amount of each claimant's entitlement based on an average of three consecutive fiscal years of actual costs adjusted by any changes in the Implicit Price Deflator (IPD). Claimants with an established entitlement receive an annual apportionment adjusted by any changes in the IPD and, under certain circumstances, by any changes in workload. Claimants with an established entitlement do not file further claims for the program.

The SCO is authorized to make payments for costs of mandated programs from amounts appropriated by the State Budget Act, by the State Mandates Claims Fund, or by specific legislation. In the event the appropriation is insufficient to pay claims in full, claimants will receive prorated payments in proportion to the dollar amount of approved claims for the program. Balances of prorated payments will be made when supplementary funds are made available.

The instructions contained in this manual are intended to provide general guidance for filing a mandated cost claim. Since each mandate is administered separately, it is important to refer to the specific program for information relating to established policies on eligible reimbursable costs.

2. Types of Claims

There are three types of claims: Reimbursement, estimated, and entitlement. A claimant may file a reimbursement claim for actual mandated costs incurred in the prior fiscal year or may file an estimated claim for mandated costs to be incurred during the current fiscal year. An entitlement claim may be filed for the purpose of establishing a base year entitlement amount for mandated programs included in SMAS. A claimant who has established a base year entitlement for a program would receive an automatic annual payment which is reflective of the current costs for the program.

All claims received by the SCO will be reviewed to verify actual costs. An adjustment of the claim will be made if the amount claimed is determined to be excessive, improper, or unreasonable. The claim must be filed with sufficient documentation to support the costs claimed. The types of documentation required to substantiate a claim are identified in the instructions for the program. The certification of claim, form FAM-27, must be signed and dated by the entity's authorized officer in order for the SCO to make payment on the claim.

A. Reimbursement Claim

A reimbursement claim is defined in GC Section 17522 as any claim filed with the SCO by a school district for reimbursement of costs incurred for which an appropriation is made for the purpose of paying the claim. The claim must include supporting documentation to substantiate the costs claimed.

Initial reimbursement claims are first-time claims for reimbursement of costs for one or more prior fiscal years of a program that was previously unfunded. Claims are due 120 days from the date of issuance of the claiming instructions for the program by the SCO. The first statute that appropriates funds for the mandated program will specify the fiscal years for which costs are eligible for reimbursement.

Annual reimbursement claims must be filed by February 15 following the fiscal year in which costs were incurred for the program. A reimbursement claim must detail the costs actually incurred in the prior fiscal year.

An actual claim for the 2006-07 fiscal year may be filed by February 15, 2008, without a late penalty. Claims filed after the deadline will be reduced by a late penalty of 10%, not to exceed \$10,000. However, initial reimbursement claims will be reduced by a late penalty of 10% with no limitation. In order for a claim to be considered properly filed, it must include any specific supporting documentation requested in the instructions. Claims filed more than one year after the deadline or without the requested supporting documentation will not be accepted.

B. Estimated Claim

An estimated claim is defined in GC Section 17522 as any claim filed with the SCO, during the fiscal year in which the mandated costs are to be incurred by the school districts and county superintendent of schools, against an appropriation made to the SCO for the purpose of paying those costs.

An estimated claim may be filed in conjunction with an initial reimbursement claim, annual reimbursement claim, or at other times for estimated costs to be incurred during the current fiscal year. Annual estimated claims are due February 15 of the fiscal year in which the costs are to be incurred. Initial estimated claims are due on the date specified in the claiming instructions. Timely filed estimated claims are paid before those filed after the deadline.

After receiving payment for an estimated claim, the claimant must file a reimbursement claim by February 15 following the fiscal year in which costs were incurred. If the claimant fails to file a reimbursement claim, monies received for the estimated claims must be returned to the State.

C. Entitlement Claim

An entitlement claim is defined in GC Section 17522 as any claim filed by a school district and county superintendent of schools with the SCO for the sole purpose of establishing or adjusting a base year entitlement for a mandated program that has been included in SMAS. An entitlement claim should not contain nonrecurring or initial start-up costs. There is no statutory deadline for the filing of entitlement claims. However, entitlement claims and supporting documents should be filed by February 15, following the third fiscal year used to develop the entitlement claim, to permit an orderly processing of claims. When the claims are approved and a base year entitlement amount is determined, the claimant will receive an apportionment reflective of the program's current year costs. School mandates included in SMAS are listed on page 5.

Once a mandate has been included in SMAS and the claimant has established a base year entitlement, the claimant will receive automatic payments from the SCO for the mandate. The automatic apportionment is determined by adjusting the claimant's base year entitlement for changes in the implicit price deflator of costs of goods and services to governmental agencies,

as determined by the State Department of Finance. For programs approved by the COSM for inclusion in SMAS on or after January 1, 1988, the payment for each year succeeding the three-year base period is adjusted according to any changes by both the deflator and average daily attendance. Annual apportionments for programs included in the system are paid on or before November 30 of each year.

A base year entitlement is determined by computing the average of the claimant's costs for any three consecutive years after the program has been approved for the SMAS process. The amount is first adjusted according to any changes in the deflator. The deflator is applied separately to each year's costs for the three years, which comprise the base year. The SCO will perform this computation for each claimant who has filed claims for three consecutive years. If a claimant has incurred costs for three consecutive years but has not filed a claim in each of those years, the claimant may file an entitlement claim, form FAM-43, to establish a base year entitlement. The form FAM-43 is included in the claiming instructions for SMAS programs. An entitlement claim does not result in the claimant being reimbursed for the costs incurred, but rather entitles the claimant to receive automatic payments from SMAS.

3. Minimum Claim Amount

GC Section 17564(a) provides that no claim shall be filed pursuant to Sections 17551 and 17561, unless such a claim exceeds one thousand dollars (\$1,000), provided that a county superintendent of schools may submit a combined claim on behalf of school districts within their county if the combined claim exceeds \$1,000, even if the individual school district's claim does not each exceed \$1,000. The county superintendent of schools shall determine if the submission of the combined claim is economically feasible and shall be responsible for disbursing the funds to each school district. These combined claims may be filed only when the county superintendent of schools is the fiscal agent for the districts. A combined claim must show the individual claim costs for each eligible district. All subsequent claims based upon the same mandate shall only be filed in the combined form unless a school district provides a written notice of its intent to file a separate claim to the county superintendent of schools and to the SCO at least 180 days prior to the deadline for filing the claim.

4. Filing Deadline for Claims

Initial reimbursement claims (first-time claims) for reimbursement of costs of a previously unfunded mandated program must be filed within 120 days from the date of issuance of the program's claiming instructions by the SCO. If the initial reimbursement claim is filed after the deadline, but within one year of the deadline, the approved claim must be reduced by a 10% penalty. A claim filed more than one year after the deadline cannot be accepted for reimbursement.

Annual reimbursement claims for costs incurred during the previous fiscal year and estimated claims for costs to be incurred during the current fiscal year must be filed with the SCO and postmarked on or before February 15. If the annual or estimated reimbursement claim is filed after the deadline, but within one year of the deadline, the approved claim must be reduced by a 10% late penalty, not to exceed \$10,000. Claims must include supporting data to show how the amount claimed was derived. Without this information, the claim cannot be accepted.

Entitlement claims do not have a filing deadline. However, entitlement claims and supporting documents should be filed by February 15 to permit an orderly processing of claims. Entitlement claims are used to establish a base year entitlement amount for calculating automatic annual payments. Entitlement does not result in the claimant being reimbursed for costs incurred, but rather entitles the claimant to receive automatic payments from SMAS.

5. Payment of Claims

In order for the SCO to authorize payment of a claim, the Certification of Claim, form FAM-27, must

be properly filled out, signed, and dated by the entity's authorized officer.

A claimant is entitled to receive accrued interest at the pooled money investment account rate if the payment was made more than 60 days after the claim filing deadline or the actual date of claim receipt, whichever is later. For an initial claim, interest begins to accrue when the payment is made more than 365 days after the adoption of the program's statewide cost estimate. The SCO may withhold up to 20 percent of the amount of an initial claim until the claim is audited to verify the actual amount of the mandated costs. The 20 percent withheld is not subject to accrued interest.

Pursuant to GC section 17561(d), the Controller shall pay any eligible claim by August 15 or 45 days after the date the appropriation for the claim is effective, whichever is later. In the event the amount appropriated by the Legislature is insufficient to pay the approved amount in full for a program, claimants will receive a prorated payment in proportion to the amount of approved claims timely filed and on hand at the time of proration.

The SCO reports the amounts of insufficient appropriations to the State Department of Finance, the Chairperson of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, and the Chairperson of the respective committee in each house of the Legislature, which considers appropriations in order to assure appropriation of these funds in the Budget Act. If these funds cannot be appropriated on a timely basis in the Budget Act, this information is transmitted to the COSM which will include these amounts in its report to assure that an appropriation sufficient to pay the claims is included in the next local government claims bill or other appropriation bills. When the supplementary funds are made available, the balance of the claims will be paid.

Unless specified in the statutes, regulations, or P's & G's, the determination of allowable and unallowable costs for mandates is based on the P's & G's adopted by the COSM. The determination of allowable reimbursable mandated costs for unfunded mandates is made by the COSM. The SCO determines allowable reimbursable costs, subject to amendment by the COSM, for mandates funded by special legislation. Unless specified, allowable costs are those direct and indirect costs, less applicable credits, considered to be eligible for reimbursement. In order for costs to be allowable and thus eligible for reimbursement, the costs must meet the following general criteria:

1. The cost is necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient administration of the mandate and not a general expense required to carry out the overall responsibilities of government.
2. The cost is allocable to a particular cost objective identified in the P's & G's.
3. The cost is net of any applicable credits that offset or reduce expenses of items allocable to the mandate.

The SCO has identified certain costs that should not be claimed as direct program costs unless specified as reimbursable under the program's P's & G's. These costs include, but are not limited to, subscriptions, depreciation, memberships, conferences, workshops general education, and travel costs.

6. State Mandates Apportionment System (SMAS)

Chapter 1534, Statutes of 1985, established SMAS, a method of paying certain mandated programs as apportionments. This method is utilized whenever a program has been approved for inclusion in SMAS by the COSM.

When a mandated program has been included in SMAS, the SCO will determine a base year entitlement amount for each school district that has submitted reimbursement claims (or entitlement claims) for three consecutive fiscal years. A base year entitlement amount is determined by averaging the approved reimbursement claims (or entitlement claims) for 1982-83, 1983-84, and 1984-85 years or any three consecutive fiscal years thereafter. The amounts are first adjusted by any change in the Implicit Price Deflator (IPD), which is applied separately to each year's costs for

the three years that comprise the base period. The base period means the three fiscal years immediately succeeding the COSM's approval.

Each school district with an established base year entitlement for the program will receive automatic annual payments from the SCO reflective of the program's current year costs. The amount of apportionment is adjusted annually for any change in the IPD. If the mandated program was included in SMAS after January 1, 1988, the annual apportionment is adjusted for any change in both the IPD and average daily attendance.

In the event a school district has incurred costs for three consecutive fiscal years but did not file a reimbursement claim in one or more of those fiscal years, the school district may file an entitlement claim for each of those missed years to establish a base year entitlement. An "entitlement claim" means any claim filed by a school district with the SCO for the sole purpose of establishing a base year entitlement. A base year entitlement shall not include any nonrecurring or initial start-up costs.

Initial apportionments are made on an individual program basis. After the initial year, all apportionments are made by November 30. The amount to be apportioned is the base year entitlement adjusted by annual changes in the IPD for the cost of goods and services to governmental agencies as determined by the State Department of Finance.

In the event the school district determines that the amount of apportionment does not accurately reflect costs incurred to comply with a mandate, the process of adjusting an established base year entitlement upon which the apportionment is based is set forth in GC Section 17615.8 and requires the approval of the COSM.

School Mandates Included in SMAS

Program Name	Chapter/Statute	Program Number
Immunization Records	Ch. 1176/77	32

Pupil Expulsion Transcripts, program #91, Chapter 1253/75 was removed from SMAS for the 2002-03 fiscal year. This program was consolidated with other mandate programs that are included in Pupil Suspension, Expulsions, and Expulsion Appeals, program #176.

7. Direct Costs

A direct cost is a cost that can be identified specifically with a particular program or activity. Each claimed reimbursable cost must be supported by documentation as described in Section 12. Costs that are typically classified as direct costs are:

(1) Employee Wages, Salaries, and Fringe Benefits

For each of the mandated activities performed, the claimant must list the names of the employees who worked on the mandate, their job classification, hours worked on the mandate, and rate of pay. The claimant may, in-lieu of reporting actual compensation and fringe benefits, use a productive hourly rate:

(a) Productive Hourly Rate Options

A school district may use one of the following methods to compute productive hourly rates:

- Actual annual productive hours for each employee
- The weighted-average annual productive hours for each job title, or
- 1,800* annual productive hours for all employees

If actual annual productive hours or weighted-average annual productive hours for each job title is chosen, the claim must include a computation of how these hours were computed.

* 1,800 annual productive hours excludes the following employee time:

- o Paid holidays
- o Vacation earned
- o Sick leave taken
- o Informal time off
- o Jury duty
- o Military leave taken.

(b) Compute a Productive Hourly Rate

1. Compute a productive hourly rate for salaried employees to include actual fringe benefit costs. The methodology for converting a salary to a productive hourly rate is to compute the employee's annual salary and fringe benefits and divide by the annual productive hours.

Table 1: Productive Hourly Rate, Annual Salary + Benefits Method

Formula:	Description:
$[(EAS + Benefits) \div APH] = PHR$	EAS = Employee's Annual Salary
	APH = Annual Productive Hours
$[(\$26,000 + \$8,099)] \div 1,800 \text{ hrs} = 18.94$	PHR = Productive Hourly Rate

- As illustrated in Table 1, if you assume an employee's compensation was \$26,000 and \$8,099 for annual salary and fringe benefits, respectively, using the "Salary + Benefits Method," the productive hourly rate would be \$18.94. To convert a biweekly salary to EAS, multiply the biweekly salary by 26. To convert a monthly salary to EAS, multiply the monthly salary by 12. Use the same methodology to convert other salary periods.
2. A claimant may also compute the productive hourly rate by using the "Percent of Salary Method."

Table 2: Productive Hourly Rate, Percent of Salary Method

Example:		
Step 1: Fringe Benefits as a Percent of Salary		Step 2: Productive Hourly Rate
Retirement	15.00 %	Formula: $[(EAS \times (1 + FBR)) \div APH] = PHR$ $[(\$26,000 \times (1.3115)) \div 1,800] = \18.94
Social Security & Medicare	7.65	
Health & Dental Insurance	5.25	
Workers Compensation	3.25	
Total	31.15 %	
Description:		
EAS = Employee's Annual Salary		APH = Annual Productive Hours
FBR = Fringe Benefit Rate		PHR = Productive Hourly Rate

- As illustrated in Table 3, both methods produce the same productive hourly rate. Reimbursement for personnel services includes, but is not limited to, compensation paid

for salaries, wages, and employee fringe benefits. Employee fringe benefits include employer's contributions for social security, pension plans, insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, and similar payments. These benefits are eligible for reimbursement as long as they are distributed equitably to all activities. Whether these costs are allowable is based on the following presumptions:

- The amount of compensation is reasonable for the service rendered.
- The compensation paid and benefits received are appropriately authorized by the governing board.
- Amounts charged for personnel services are based on payroll documents that are supported by time and attendance or equivalent records for individual employees.
- The methods used to distribute personnel services should produce an equitable distribution of direct and indirect allowable costs.

For each of the employees included in the claim, the claimant must use reasonable rates and hours in computing the wage cost. If a person of a higher-level job position performs an activity which normally would be performed by a lower-level position, reimbursement for time spent is allowable at the average salary range for the lower-level position. The salary rate of the person at the higher-level position may be claimed if it can be shown that it was more cost effective in comparison to the performance by a person at the lower-level position under normal circumstances and conditions. The number of hours charged to an activity should reflect the time expected to complete the activity under normal circumstances and conditions. The numbers of hours in excess of normal expected hours are not reimbursable.

(c) Calculating an Average Productive Hourly Rate

In those instances where the parameters and guidelines allow a unit as a basis of claiming costs, the direct labor component of the unit cost should be expressed as an average productive hourly rate and can be determined as follows:

Table 3: Calculating an Average Productive Hourly Rate

	<u>Time Spent</u>	<u>Productive Hourly Rate</u>	<u>Total Cost by Employee</u>
Employee A	1.25 hrs	\$6.00	\$7.50
Employee B	0.75 hrs	4.50	3.38
Employee C	3.50 hrs	10.00	35.00
Total	5.50 hrs		\$45.88
Average Productive Hourly Rate is $\$45.88/5.50 \text{ hrs.} = \8.34			

(d) Employer's Fringe Benefits Contribution

A school district has the option of claiming actual employer's fringe benefit contributions or may compute an average fringe benefit cost for the employee's job classification and claim it as a percentage of direct labor. The same time base should be used for both salary and fringe benefits when computing a percentage. For example, if health and dental insurance payments are made annually, use an annual salary. After the percentage of salary for each fringe benefit is computed, total them.

For example:

<u>Employer's Contribution</u>	<u>% of Salary</u>
Retirement	15.00%
Social Security	7.65%
Health and Dental	
Insurance	5.25%
Worker's Compensation	0.75%
Total	<u>28.65%</u>

(e) Materials and Supplies

Only actual expenses can be claimed for materials and supplies, which were acquired and consumed specifically for the purpose of a mandated program. The claimant must list the materials and supplies that were used to perform the mandated activity, the number of units consumed, the cost per unit, and the total dollar amount claimed. Materials and supplies purchased to perform a particular mandated activity are expected to be reasonable in quality, quantity, and cost. Purchases in excess of reasonable quality, quantity, and cost are not reimbursable. Materials and supplies withdrawn from inventory and charged to the mandated activity must be based on a recognized method of pricing, consistently applied. Purchases shall be claimed at the actual price after deducting discounts, rebates, and allowances received by local agencies.

(f) Calculating a Unit Cost for Materials and Supplies

In those instances where the parameters and guidelines suggest that a unit cost be developed for use as a basis of claiming costs mandated by the State, the materials and supplies component of the unit cost should be expressed as a unit cost of materials and supplies as shown in Table 1 or Table 2:

Table 1: Calculating A Unit Cost for Materials and Supplies

Supplies	<u>Cost Per Unit</u>	<u>Amount of Supplies Used Per Activity</u>	<u>Unit Cost of Supplies Per Activity</u>
Paper	0.02	4	\$0.08
Files	0.10	1	0.10
Envelopes	0.03	2	0.06
Photocopies	0.10	4	<u>0.40</u>
			<u>\$0.64</u>

Table 2: Calculating a Unit Cost for Materials and Supplies

Supplies	Supplies Used	Unit Cost of Supplies Per Activity
Paper (\$10.00 for 500 sheet ream)	250 Sheets	\$5.00
Files (\$2.50 for box of 25)	10 Folders	1.00
Envelopes (\$3.00 for box of 100)	50 Envelopes	1.50
Photocopies (\$0.05 per copy)	40 Copies	<u>2.00</u>
		<u>\$9.50</u>
If the number of reimbursable instances is 25, then the unit cost of supplies is \$0.38 per reimbursable instance (\$9.50 / 25).		

(g) Contract Services

The cost of contract services is allowable if the school district lacks the staff resources or necessary expertise, or it is economically feasible to hire a contractor to perform the mandated activity. The claimant must give the name of the contractor, explain the reason for having to hire a contractor, describe the mandated activities performed, give the dates when the activities were performed, the number of hours spent performing the mandate, the hourly billing rate, and the total cost. The hourly billing rate shall not exceed the rate specified in the parameters and guidelines for the mandated program. The contractor's invoice, or statement, which includes an itemized list of costs for activities performed, must accompany the claim.

(h) Equipment Rental Costs

Equipment purchases and leases (with an option to purchase) are not reimbursable as a direct cost unless specifically allowed by the parameters and guidelines for the particular mandate. Equipment rentals used solely for the mandate is reimbursable to the extent such costs do not exceed the retail purchase price of the equipment plus a finance charge. The claimant must explain the purpose and use for the equipment, the time period for which the equipment was rented and the total cost of the rental. If the equipment is used for purposes other than reimbursable activities, only the pro rata portion of the rental costs can be claimed.

(i) Capital Outlay

Capital outlays for land, buildings, equipment, furniture and fixtures may be claimed if the parameters and guidelines specify them as allowable. If they are allowable, the parameters and guidelines for the program will specify a basis for the reimbursement. If the fixed asset or equipment is also used for purposes other than reimbursable activities for a specific mandate, only the pro rata portion of the purchase price used to implement the reimbursable activities can be claimed.

(j) Travel Expenses

Travel expenses are normally reimbursable in accordance with travel rules and regulations of the local jurisdiction. For some programs, however, the parameters and guidelines may specify certain limitations on expenses, or that expenses can only be reimbursed in accordance with the State Board of Control travel standards. When claiming travel expenses, the claimant must explain the purpose of the trip, identify the name and address of the persons incurring the expense, the date and time of departure

and return for the trip, description of each expense claimed, the cost of transportation, number of private auto miles traveled, and the cost of tolls and parking with receipts required for charges over \$10.00.

(k) Documentation

It is the responsibility of the claimant to make available to the SCO, upon request, documentation in the form of general and subsidiary ledgers, purchase orders, invoices, contracts, canceled warrants, equipment usage records, land deeds, receipts, employee time sheets, agency travel guidelines, inventory records, and other relevant documents to support claimed costs. The type of documentation necessary for each claim may differ with the type of mandate.

8. Indirect Costs

Indirect costs are: (a) Incurred for a common or joint purpose benefiting more than one cost objective, and (b) not readily assignable to the cost objectives specifically benefited, without effort disproportionate to the results achieved. Indirect costs can originate in the department performing the mandate or in departments that supply the department performing the mandate with goods, services and facilities. As noted previously, in order for a cost to be allowable, it must be allocable to a particular cost objective. With respect to indirect costs, this requires that the cost be distributed to benefiting cost objectives on bases, which produce an equitable result in relation to the benefits derived by the mandate.

School districts and county superintendents of schools may use the indirect cost rates approved by the California Department of Education based on J-380/580/780/SACS Expenditure Data whichever is applicable to the fiscal year of the claim.

The amount of indirect costs the claimant is eligible to claim is computed by multiplying the rate by direct costs. When applying the rate, multiply the rate by mandated direct costs not included in either (1) total support services, EDP No. 422 of the J-380 or J-580, or (2) indirect costs on Form ICR of the California Department of Education's SACS Financial Reporting Software. If there are any exceptions to this general rule for applying the indirect cost rate, they will be found in the individual mandate instructions.

9. Time Study Guidelines

Background

For costs incurred on or after January 1, 2005, a reasonable reimbursement methodology can be used as a formula for reimbursing school district costs mandated by the state that meet certain conditions specified in GC Section 17518.5(a). For costs incurred prior to January 1, 2005, a time study can only substitute for continuous records of actual time spent for a specific fiscal year if the program's P's & G's allow for the use of time studies.

Two methods are acceptable for documenting employee time charged to mandated cost programs: Actual Time Reporting and Time Study, which are described below. Application of time study results is restricted. As explained in Time Study Results below the results may be projected forward a maximum of two years provided the claimant meets certain criteria.

Actual Time Reporting

Parameters and Guidelines define reimbursable activities for each mandated cost program. (Some P's & G's refer to reimbursable activities as reimbursable components.) When employees work on multiple activities and/or programs, a distribution of their salaries or wages must be supported by personnel activity reports or equivalent documentation that meets the following standards (which

clarify documentation requirements discussed under the Reimbursable Activities section of recent P's & G's):

- They must reflect an after-the-fact (contemporaneous) distribution of the actual activity of each employee;
- They must account for the total activity for which each employee is compensated;
- They must be prepared at least monthly and must coincide with one or more pay periods; and
- They must be signed by the employee.

Budget estimates, or other distribution percentages determined before services are performed, do not qualify as support for time distribution.

Time Study

In certain cases, a time study may be used to substitute for continuous records of actual time spent on multiple activities and/or programs. An effective time study requires that an activity be a task that is repetitive in nature. Activities that require a varying level of effort are not appropriate for time studies.

Time Study Plan

A time study plan is necessary before conducting the time study. The claimant must retain the time study plan for audit purposes. The plan needs to identify the following:

- Time period(s) to be studied – The plan must show that all time periods selected are representative of the fiscal year and that the results can be reasonably projected to approximate actual costs.
- Activities and/or programs to be studied – For each mandated program included, the time study must separately identify each reimbursable activity defined in the mandated program's P's & G's, which are derived from the program's Statement of Decision. If a reimbursable activity in the P's & G's identifies separate and distinct sub-activities, they must also be treated as individual activities.

For example, sub-activities (a), (b), and (c) under reimbursable activity (B) (1) of the local agency's Domestic Violence Treatment Services: Authorization and Case Management program relate to information to be discussed during victim notification by the probation department and therefore are not separate and distinct activities. These sub-activities do not have to be separately studied.

- Process used to accomplish each reimbursable activity – Use flowcharts or similar analytical tools and/or written desk procedures to describe the process for each activity.
- Employee universe – The employee universe used in the time study must include all positions whose salaries and wages are to be allocated by means of the time study.
- Employee sample selection methodology – The plan must show that employees selected are representative of the employee universe, and the results can be reasonably projected to approximate actual costs. In addition, the employee sample size should be proportional to the variation in time spent to perform a task. The sample size should be larger for tasks with significant time variations.
- Time increments to be recorded – The time increments used should be sufficient to recognize the number of different activities performed and the dynamics of these responsibilities. Very

large increments (such as one hour or more) might be used for employees performing only a few functions that change very slowly over time. Very small increments (a number of minutes) may be needed for employees performing more short-term tasks.

Random moment sampling is not an acceptable alternative to continuous time records for mandated cost claims. Random moment sampling techniques are most applicable in situations where employees perform many different types of activities on a variety of programs with small time increments throughout the fiscal year.

Time Study Documentation

Time studies must:

- Be supported by time records that are completed contemporaneously;
- Report activity on a daily basis;
- Be sufficiently detailed to reflect all mandated activities and/or programs performed during a specific time period; and
- Coincide with one or more pay periods.

Time records must be signed by the employee (electronic signatures are acceptable) and be supported by corroborating evidence, which validates that the work was actually performed. As with actual time reporting, budget estimates, or other distribution percentages determined before services are performed do not qualify as valid time studies.

Time Study Results

Time study results must be summarized to show how the time study supports the costs claimed for each activity. Any variations from the procedures identified in the original time study plan must be documented and explained.

Current-year costs must be used to prepare a time study. Claimants may project time study results to no more than two subsequent fiscal years. A claimant may not apply time study results retroactively.

- Annual Reimbursement Claims – Claimants may use time studies to support costs incurred on or after January 1, 2005. Claimants may not use time studies for the period July 1, 2004, through December 31, 2004, unless (1) the program's P's & G's specifically allow time studies, and (2) the time study is prepared based on mandated activity occurring between July 1, 2004, and December 31, 2004.
- Initial Claims – When filing an initial claim for new mandated programs, claimants may only use time study results for costs incurred on or after January 1, 2005. Claimants may not use time studies to support costs incurred before January 1, 2005, unless (1) the program's P's & G's specifically allow time studies, and (2) the claimant prepares separate time studies for each fiscal year preceding January 1, 2005, based on mandated activity occurring during those years.

When projecting time study results, the claimant must certify that there have been no significant changes between years in either (1) the requirements of each mandated program activity or (2) the processes and procedures used to accomplish the activity. For all years, the claimant must maintain corroborating evidence that validates the mandated activity was actually performed. Time study results used to support subsequent years' claims are subject to the recordkeeping requirements for those claims.

10. Offset Against State Mandated Claims

As noted previously, allowable costs are defined as those direct and indirect costs, less applicable credits, considered to be eligible for reimbursement. When all or part of the costs of a mandated program are specifically reimbursable from local assistance revenue sources (e.g., state, federal, foundation, etc.), only that portion of any increased costs payable from school district funds is eligible for reimbursement under the provisions of GC Section 17561.

Example 1:

As illustrated in Table 5, this example shows how the "Offset against State Mandated Claims" is determined for school districts receiving block grant revenues not based on a formula allocation. Program costs for each of the situations equals \$100,000.

Table 5: Offset Against State Mandates, Example 1

	Program Costs	Actual Local Assistance Revenues	State Mandated Costs	Offset Against State Mandated Claims	Claimable Mandated Costs
1.	\$100,000	\$95,000	\$2,500	\$-0-	\$2,500
2.	100,000	97,000	2,500	-0-	2,500
3.	100,000	98,000	2,500	500	2,000
4.	100,000	100,000	2,500	2,500	-0-
5.	100,000 *	50,000	2,500	1,250	1,250
6.	100,000 *	49,000	2,500	250	2,250

* School district share is \$50,000 of the program cost.

Numbers (1) through (4), in Table 5, show intended funding at 100% from local assistance revenue sources. Numbers (5) and (6) show cost sharing on a 50/50 basis with the district. In numbers (1) through (6), included in the program costs of \$100,000 are state mandated costs of \$2,500. The offset against state mandated claims are the amount of actual local assistance revenues which exceeds the difference between program costs and state mandated costs. This offset cannot exceed the amount of state mandated costs.

In (1), local assistance revenues were less than expected. Local assistance funding was not in excess of the difference between program costs and state mandated costs. As a result, the offset against state mandated claims is zero and \$2,500 is claimable as mandated costs.

In (4), local assistance revenues were fully realized to cover the entire cost of the program, including the state mandate activity; therefore, the offset against state mandated claims is \$2,500, and claimable costs are \$0.

In (5), the district is sharing 50% of the project cost. Since local assistance revenues of \$50,000 were fully realized, the offset against state mandated claims is \$1,250.

In (6), local assistance revenues were less than the amount expended and the offset against state mandated claims is \$250. Therefore, the claimable mandated costs are \$2,250.

Example 2:

As illustrated in Table 6, this example shows how the offset against state mandated claims is determined for school districts receiving special project funds based on approved actual costs. Local assistance revenues for special projects must be applied proportionately to approved costs.

Table 6: Offset Against State Mandates, Example 2

	Program Costs	Actual Local Assistance Revenues	State Mandated Costs	Offset Against State Mandated Claims	Claimable Mandated Costs
1.	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$-0-
2.	100,000 **	75,000	2,500	1,875	625
3.	100,000 **	45,000	1,500	1,125	375

** School district share is \$25,000 of the program cost.

In (2), the entire program cost was approved. Since the local assistance revenue source covers 75% of the program cost, it also proportionately covered 75% of the \$2,500 state mandated costs, or \$1,875.

If in (3) local assistance revenues are less than the amount expected because only \$60,000 of the \$100,000 program costs were determined to be valid by the contracting agency, then a proportionate share of state mandated costs is likewise reduced to \$1,500. The offset against state mandated claims are \$1,125. Therefore, the claimable mandated costs are \$375.

Federal and State Funding Sources

State school fund apportionments and federal aid for education, which are based on average daily attendance and are part of the general system of financing public schools as well as block grants which do not provide for specific reimbursement of costs (i.e., allocation formulas not tied to expenditures), should not be included as reimbursements from local assistance revenue sources.

Governing Authority

The costs of salaries and expenses of the governing authority, such as the school superintendent and governing board, are not reimbursable. These are costs of general government as described in the Office of Management and Budget Circular (OMB) 2 CFR Part 225.

11. Notice of Claim Adjustment

All claims submitted to the SCO are reviewed to determine if the claim was prepared in accordance with the claiming instructions. If any adjustments are made to a claim, the claimant will receive a "Notice of Claim Adjustments" detailing adjustments made by the SCO.

12. Audit of Costs

All claims submitted to the State Controller's Office (SCO) are reviewed to determine if costs are related to the mandate, are reasonable and not excessive, and the claim was prepared in accordance with the SCO's claiming instructions and the P's & G's adopted by the COSM. If any adjustments are made to a claim, a "Notice of Claim Adjustment" specifying the claim component adjusted, the amount adjusted, and the reason for the adjustment will be mailed within 30 days after payment of the claim.

Pursuant to GC Section 17558.5, subdivision (a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a school district pursuant to this chapter is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. In any case, an audit shall

be completed no later than two years after the date that the audit is commenced. All documents used to support the reimbursable activities must be retained during the period subject to audit. If an audit has been initiated by the Controller during the period subject to audit, the retention period is extended until the ultimate resolution of any audit findings.

On-site audits will be conducted by the SCO as deemed necessary. Accordingly, all documentation to support actual costs claimed must be retained for a period of three years after the end of the calendar year in which the reimbursement claim was filed or amended regardless of the year of costs incurred. When no funds are appropriated for initial claims at the time the claim is filed, supporting documents must be retained for three years from the date of initial payment of the claim. Claim documentation shall be made available to the SCO on request.

13. Source Documents

To be eligible for mandated cost reimbursement for any fiscal year, only actual costs may be claimed. Actual costs are those costs actually incurred to implement the mandated activities. Actual costs must be traceable and supported by source documents that show the validity of such costs, when they were incurred, and their relationship to the reimbursable activities. A source document is a document created at or near the same time the actual cost was incurred for the event or activity in question. Source documents may include, but are not limited to, employee time records or time logs, sign-in sheets, invoices, and receipts.

Evidence corroborating the source documents may include, but is not limited to, worksheets, cost allocation reports (system generated), purchase orders, contracts, agendas, training packets, and declarations. Declarations must include a certification or declaration stating: "I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct based upon personal knowledge." Evidence corroborating the source documents may include data relevant to the reimbursable activities otherwise in compliance with local, state, and federal government requirements. However, corroborating documents cannot be substituted for source documents.

For costs incurred on or after January 1, 2005, a reasonable reimbursement methodology can be used as a "formula for reimbursing school district costs mandated by the State" that meets certain conditions specified in 17518.5(a). For costs incurred prior to January 1, 2005, time study can substitute for continuous records of actual time spent for a specific fiscal year only if the program's P's & G's allow for the use of time studies.

14. Claim Forms and Instructions

A claimant may submit a computer generated report in substitution for Form-1 and Form-2, provided the format of the report and data fields contained within the report are identical to the claim forms included with these instructions. The claim forms provided with these instructions should be duplicated and used by the claimant to file an estimated or reimbursement claim. The SCO will revise the manual and claim forms as necessary.

A. Form-2, Component/Activity Cost Detail

This form is used to segregate the detail costs by claim component. In some mandates, specific reimbursable activities have been identified for each component. The expenses reported on this form must be supported by the official financial records of the claimant and copies of supporting documentation, as specified in the claiming instructions, must be submitted with the claims. All supporting documents must be retained for a period of not less than three years after the reimbursement claim was filed or last amended.

B. Form-1, Claim Summary

This form is used to summarize direct costs by component and compute allowable indirect costs for the mandate. The direct costs summarized on this form are derived from Form-2 and are carried forward to form FAM-27.

School districts and county superintendents of schools may compute the amount of indirect costs using the indirect cost rates approved by the California Department of Education based on J-380/580/780/SACS Expenditure Data applicable to the fiscal year of the claim.

C. Form FAM-27, Claim for Payment

This form contains a certification that must be signed by an authorized officer of the school district. All applicable information from Form-1 must be carried forward onto this form in order for the SCO to process the claim for payment. An original and one copy of the FAM-27 is required.

Claims should be rounded to the nearest dollar. Submit a signed original and one copy of form FAM-27, Claim for Payment, and all other forms and supporting documents (**To expedite the payment process, please sign the form FAM-27 with blue ink, and attach a copy of the form FAM-27 to the top of the claim package.**) Use the following mailing addresses:

If delivered by
U.S. Postal Service:

Office of the State Controller
Attn: Local Reimbursements Section
Division of Accounting and Reporting
P.O. Box 942850
Sacramento, CA 94250

If delivered by
Other delivery services:

Office of the State Controller
Attn: Local Reimbursements Section
Division of Accounting and Reporting
3301 C Street, Suite 500
Sacramento, CA 95816

15. Retention of Claiming Instructions

For your convenience, the revised claiming instructions in this package have been arranged in alphabetical order by program name. These revisions should be inserted in the School Mandated Cost Manual and the old forms they replace should be removed. The instructions should then be retained permanently for future reference, and the forms should be duplicated to meet your filing requirements. Annually, updated forms and any other information or instructions claimants may need to file claims, as well as instructions and forms for all new programs released throughout the year will be placed on the SCO's web site at www.sco.ca.gov/ard/local/locreim/index.shtml.

If you have any questions concerning mandated cost reimbursements, please write to us at the address listed for filing claims, or send e-mail to lrsdar@sco.ca.gov, or call the Local Reimbursements Section at (916) 324-5729.

16. Retention of Claim Records and Supporting Documentation

All claims submitted to the SCO are reviewed to determine if costs are related to the mandate, are reasonable and not excessive, and that the claim was prepared in accordance with the SCO's claiming instructions and the COSM's P's and G's. If any adjustments are made to a claim, a "Notice of Claim Adjustments" specifying the claim component adjusted, the amount adjusted, and the reason for the adjustment, will be mailed within 30 days after payment of the claim.

On-site audits will be conducted by the SCO as deemed necessary. Pursuant to GC Section 17558.5, subdivision (a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a school district is subject to audit by the SCO no later than three years after the date the actual reimbursement claim was filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds were appropriated or no payment was made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim was filed, the time for the SCO to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. Therefore, all documentation to support actual costs claimed must be retained for the same period, and shall be made available to the SCO on request.

OFFICE OF THE STATE CONTROLLER

STATE MANDATED COST CLAIMING INSTRUCTIONS NO. 2007-19

ANNUAL REVISIONS - SCHOOL DISTRICTS

NOVEMBER 2, 2007

Government Code (GC) section 17561 provides for the reimbursement of state mandated costs. Enclosed is information for updating the Mandated Cost Manual for Schools. The manual contains all forms and instructions that are necessary for school districts to file mandated cost claims with the State Controller's Office (SCO).

Estimated claims for costs to be incurred during the 2007-08 fiscal year and reimbursement claims detailing the costs actually incurred in the 2006-07 fiscal year must be filed with the SCO. **Claims must be delivered or postmarked on or before February 15, 2008.** If the reimbursement claim is filed after the deadline, but by February 15, 2009, the approved claim will be reduced by a late penalty of 10% for initially filed claims and for continuing programs, the late fee is 10% not to exceed \$10,000. In order for a claim to be considered properly filed, the claim must include supporting documentation as specified in the instructions to substantiate the costs claimed. In addition, the claimant must explain the functions performed by each employee for whom costs were claimed. Claims will not be accepted if filed more than one year after the deadline.

Pursuant to GC section 17561(d), the Controller shall pay any eligible claim by August 15 or 45 days after the date the appropriation for the claim is effective, whichever is later.

Amounts appropriated for payment of program costs are shown beginning on page five under "Appropriations for the 2007-08 Fiscal Year." The fiscal years for which costs can be claimed for a program are shown beginning on page six under "Reimbursable State Mandated Cost Programs." To prepare 2007-08 estimated claims and 2006-07 reimbursement claims, forms in the manual should be duplicated to meet the district's filing requirements. Claim amounts should be rounded to the nearest dollar.

Submit a signed original and a copy of form FAM-27, Claim for Payment, and all other forms and supporting documents. **(To expedite the payment process, please sign the form in blue ink, and attach a copy of the form FAM-27 to the top of the claim package.)** Use the following mailing addresses:

If delivered by
U.S. Postal Service:

Office of the State Controller
Attn: Local Reimbursements Section
Division of Accounting and Reporting
P.O. Box 942850
Sacramento, CA 94250

If delivered by
Other delivery services:

Office of the State Controller
Attn: Local Reimbursements Section
Division of Accounting and Reporting
3301 C Street, Suite 500
Sacramento, CA 95816

MINIMUM CLAIM COST

GC section 17564(a) provides that no claim shall be filed pursuant to sections 17551 and 17561, unless such claim exceeds one thousand dollars (\$1,000), provided that a county superintendent of schools may submit a combined claim on behalf of school districts within their county if the combined claim exceeds \$1,000, even if the individual school district's claim does not each exceed \$1,000. The county superintendent of schools shall determine if the submission of the combined claim is economically feasible and shall be responsible for disbursing the funds to each school district. Combined claims may be filed only when the county superintendent of schools is the fiscal agent for the school districts. A combined claim must show the individual claim costs for each eligible school district. All subsequent claims based upon the same mandate shall only be filed in the combined form unless a school district provides a written notice of its intent to file a separate claim to the county superintendent of schools and to the SCO at least 180 days prior to the deadline for filing the claim.

ESTIMATED CLAIMS

Unless otherwise specified in the claiming instructions, claimants do not have to provide cost schedules and supporting documents with the estimated claim if the estimated amount does not exceed the prior fiscal year's actual costs by more than 10%. The claimant can simply enter the estimated amount on form FAM-27, line (07). However, if the estimated claim exceeds the prior fiscal year's actual costs by more than 10%, the claimant must complete claim forms as specified in the claiming instructions for the program and explain the reason for the increased costs. If the explanation to support the higher estimate is not provided, the claim will automatically be adjusted to 110% of the prior fiscal year's actual costs.

PROGRAM UPDATES FOR 2006-07 FISCAL YEAR

Updates of Rates and Factors

The following rates are to be used for filing 2006-07 reimbursement claims. These rates are computed by adjusting the 2005-06 rates by changes in the Implicit Price Deflator (IPD) as determined by the State Department of Finance's Report of April 30, 2007, *National Deflators, State and Local Purchases*. The estimated change in the IPD for 2006-07 is 3.9%. For preparing the 2007-08 estimated claims, districts may use the program's 2006-07 rate or increase the 2006-07 rate by the estimated 2007-08 IPD change of 2.6% to determine 2007-08 estimated claim amounts. In the subsequent fiscal year, the estimated amount must be adjusted to actual cost.

- *Ch. 448/75, Consolidation of Annual Parent Notification/Schoolsite Discipline Rules/ Alternative Schools/Pupil Suspensions: Parent Classroom Visits (Program No. 272).*

The 2006-07 unit rate is \$0.0817 per page of printed notification material distributed to parents and guardians, and \$0.3055 per notice.

- *Ch. 961/75, Collective Bargaining (Program No. 11)*

The 2006-07 GNP Deflator factor for adjusting the 1974-75 Winton Act cost is \$4.031.

- *Ch. 498/83, Graduation Requirements (Program No. 26)*

The 2006-07 maximum reimbursement hourly rate for contract services is \$138.05. Staffing cost reimbursement is limited to salary and other remuneration differentials, if any, of a science teacher, and the cost of lab assistants or special training aids required by a science class.

The addition of science classes should have resulted in offsetting savings due to a corresponding reduction of non-science classes.

- *Ch. 1177/76, Immunization Records (Program No. 32)*

The 2006-07 unit rate is \$6.39 per new entrant (K-12). A new entrant does not include a student previously enrolled in a school within the State of California.

Payment of the cost of immunization records for 1992-93 and subsequent fiscal years are made pursuant to the State Mandates Apportionment System (SMAS) to those school districts with an established base year entitlement. An entitlement amount is determined by the SCO by averaging the district's actual costs (from reimbursement claims filed) for 1989-90, 1990-91, and 1991-92, or any three consecutive fiscal years thereafter, adjusted by changes in the IPD. The amount of apportionment the district receives for 1992-93 and subsequent fiscal years is the base year entitlement amount adjusted by annual changes in IPD and workload. "Workload" means change in the district's average daily attendance (ADA) from the previous fiscal year.

Once the district has filed actual costs for 1989-90 through 1991-92, or any three consecutive fiscal years thereafter, no further filing of claims is necessary. The claimant will automatically receive an annual payment by November 30 of each fiscal year. A district without an established entitlement amount must continue to file reimbursement claims until three consecutive fiscal years of costs are available to compute a base year cost.

- *Ch. 325/78, Immunization Records: Hepatitis B (Program No. 230)*

The 2006-07 unit rate is \$7.79 per new entrant (K-12) and \$4.08 per student in the seventh grade. A new entrant does not include a student previously enrolled in a school within the State of California.

- *Ch. 1423/84, Juvenile Court Notices II (Program No. 155)*

The 2006-07 unit rates for the number of notices received from the juvenile court system and distributed to school district personnel is \$45.11 per notice received, and the number of written requests received from parents or guardian to review the record to ensure the record has been destroyed is \$32.07 per letter received.

- *Ch. 498/83, Notification of Truancy (Program No. 48)*

The 2006-07 unit cost reimbursement is \$16.15 per initial truancy notification. The unit cost covers all costs (direct and indirect), including, but not limited to, identifying the truant pupil, preparing and distributing by mail or other methods of notification to parents or guardians, and associated record keeping.

- *Ch. 668/78, Pupil Exclusions (Program No. 165)*

The 2006-07 unit cost reimbursement is \$0.23 per page for the cost of including specific information in the notice of pupil exclusion to the parents or guardians. The unit cost rate covers all costs (direct and indirect) of performing activities required by subparagraph (2), (3), and (4), of Education Code Section 48213.

- Ch. 1347/80, Scoliosis Screening (Program No. 58)

The 2006-07 unit cost rate is \$7.66 per student screened. This rate covers all costs (direct and indirect), incurred including activities for, but not limited to, parent notification, screening, re-screening, referral and follow-up, record keeping, and administration of the program.

- *Ch. 818/91, Aids Prevention Instruction II (Program No. 250)*

The 2006-07 uniform cost allowance is \$0.0773 per notice. This uniform allowance covers all of the direct and indirect costs incurred in compliance with this mandate.

- *Ch. 1208/76, Pupil Health Screenings (Program 261)*

The 2006-07 uniform cost allowance for: (a) Notification to Parents is \$0.0800; (b) Obtaining Parental Compliance is \$5.19; (c) Exclusion of Pupils is \$13.65; (d) Statistical Reporting is not applicable since the reimbursement period expired 12/31/04.

FINAL FILING DEADLINE FOR 2006-07 FISCAL YEAR CLAIMS

The filing deadline for 2006-07 reimbursement claims is **February 15, 2008**. A late penalty of 10% up to a maximum of \$10,000 of the approved claim will be applied to 2006-07 claims filed after February 15, 2008. **Claims filed after February 15, 2009, will not be accepted.**

APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE 2007-08 FISCAL YEAR

Item 6110-295-0001

272	(1)	Ch.	36/77	Annual Parent Notification	\$1,000
172	(2)	Ch.	98/94	Caregiver Affidavits	1,000
153	(3)	Ch.	161/93	Intradistrict Attendance	1,000
42	(4)	Ch.	486/75	Mandate Reimbursement Process	1,000 ¹
26	(5)	Ch.	498/83	Graduation Requirements	1,000
48	(6)	Ch.	498/83	Notification of Truancy	1,000
176	(7)	Ch.	498/83	Pupil Suspensions, Expulsions/Expulsion Appeals	1,000
277	(8)	Ch.	781/92	Charter Schools III	1,000
N/A	(9)	Ch.	799/80	PERS Death Benefits	1,000 ²
250	(10)	Ch.	818/91	AIDS Prevention Instruction I and II	1,000
11	(11)	Ch.	961/75	Collective Bargaining	1,000
139	(12)	Ch.	1208/76	Pupil Health Screenings	1,000
173	(13)	Ch.	975/95	Physical Performance Tests	1,000
155	(14)	Ch.	1011/84	Juvenile Court Notices II	1,000
57	(15)	Ch.	1107/84	Removal of Chemicals	1,000
157	(16)	Ch.	1117/89	Law Enforcement Agency Notifications	1,000
32	(17)	Ch.	1176/77	Immunization Records	1,000
166	(18)	Ch.	1184/75	Habitual Truants	1,000
176	(19)	Ch.	1253/75	Pupil Expulsion Transcripts	1,000
150	(20)	Ch.	1306/89	Notification to Teachers of Public Expulsion	1,000
58	(21)	Ch.	1347/80	Scoliosis Screening	1,000
N/A	(22)	Ch.	1398/74	PERS Unused Sick Leave Credit	1,000 ²
182	(23)	Ch.	309/95	Pupil Residency Verification and Appeals	1,000
251	(24)	Ch.	588/97	Criminal Background Checks II	1,000
184	(25)	Ch.	624/92	School Bus Safety I and II	0 ³
186	(26)	Ch.	465/76	Peace Officers Procedural Bill of Rights	1,000
192	(27)	Ch.	36/77	Financial and Compliance Audits	1,000
195	(28)	Ch.	640/97	Physical Education Reports	1,000
198	(29)	Ch.	1120/96	Health Benefits for Survivors of Peace Officers & Firefighters	1,000
209	(30)	Ch.	917/87	County Office of Education Fiscal Accountability Reporting	1,000
258	(31)	Ch.	100/81	School District Fiscal Accountability Reporting	1,000
194	(32)	Ch.	126/93	Law Enforcement Sexual Harassment Training	0 ³
206	(33)	Ch.	784/95	County Treasury Withdrawals	0 ³
223	(34)	Ch.	736/97	Comprehensive School Safety Plans	1,000
230	(35)	Ch.	325/78	Immunization Records-Hepatitis B	1,000
228	(36)	Ch.	1192/80	School District Reorganization	1,000
249	(37)	Ch.	34/98	Charter Schools II	1,000
251	(38)	Ch.	594/98	Criminal Background Checks II	1,000
226	(39)	Ch.	1170/96	Grand Jury Proceedings	0 ³
244	(40)	Ch.	100/81	Pupil Promotion and Retention	1,000

¹ This program was suspended per Budget Act Item 8885-295-001, Sch. (3)(y) for the 2006-07 and 2007-08 fiscal years per Ch. 47 & 48/06 and Ch. 171 & 172/07.

² Numbers (9) and (22) are for transfer to the Public Employees' Retirement Fund for reimbursement of costs incurred pursuant to 799/80 or 1398/74.

³ These programs have been suspended for 2006-07 and 2007-08

Item 6110-295-0001 (Cont'd.)

252	(41) Ch.	331/98	Teacher Incentive Program	1,000
253	(42) Ch.	30/98	Differential Pay and Reemployment	1,000
Total Appropriations, Item 6110-295-0001				<u>\$38,000</u>

REIMBURSABLE STATE MANDATED COST PROGRAMS

Claims for the following State mandated cost programs may be filed with the SCO. For your convenience, the programs are listed in alphabetical order by program name. An "X" indicates the fiscal year for which a claim may be filed.

2006-07 Reimburse- ment Claims	2007-08 Estimated Claims	Pgm. #	School Districts and County Offices of Education
x	x	170 Ch.	77/78 Absentee Ballots
x ²	x ¹	269 Ch.	893/00 Agency Fee Arrangements
x	x	250 Ch.	818/91 AIDS Prevention Instruction II
x	x	172 Ch.	98/94 Caregiver Affidavits
x	x	249 Ch.	34/98 Charter Schools II
x ¹	x ¹	277 Ch.	34/98 Charter Schools III
x	x	209 Ch.	917/87 COE Fiscal Accountability Reporting
x	x	11 Ch.	961/75 Collective Bargaining
x	x	223 Ch.	736/97 Comprehensive School Safety Plans
x ¹	x ¹	272 Ch.	448/75 Consolidation of Annual Parent Notification/Schoolsite Discipline Rules/Alternative Schools
x	x	251 Ch.	594/98 Criminal Background Checks II
x	x	253 Ch.	30/98 Differential Pay and Re-employment
x	x	210 Ch.	650/94 Employee Benefits Disclosure
x	x	192 Ch.	36/77 Financial and Compliance Audits
x	x	26 Ch.	498/83 Graduation Requirements
x	x	166 Ch.	1184/75 Habitual Truant
x	x	198 Ch.	1120/96 Health Benefits for Survivors of Peace Officers & Firefighters
x ¹	x ¹	268 Ch.	1/99 High School Exit Exam
x	x	32 Ch.	1176/77 Immunization Records
x	x	230 Ch.	325/78 Immunization Records: Hepatitis B
x	x	153 Ch.	161/93 Intradistrict Attendance

² These are new programs and funding is not yet available for the 2006-07 and 2007-08 fiscal years.

REIMBURSABLE STATE MANDATED COST PROGRAMS (Cont'd.)

2006-07 Reimburse- ment Claims	2007-08 Estimated Claims	Pgm #	School Districts and County Offices of Education
x	x	155 Ch.	1011/84 Juvenile Court Notices II
x	x	157 Ch.	1117/89 Law Enforcement Agency Notifications
x	x	275 Ch.	249/86 Missing Children Reports
x ³	x ¹	265 Ch.	828/97 National Norm-Referenced Achievement Test
x	x	48 Ch.	498/83 Notification of Truancy
x	x	150 Ch.	1306/89 Notification to Teachers: Pupils Subject to Suspension or Expulsion
x	x	186 Ch.	465/76 Peace Officers Procedural Bill of Rights
x	x	214 Ch.	875/85 Photographic Record of Evidence
x	x	195 Ch.	640/97 Physical Education Reports
x	x	173 Ch.	975/95 Physical Performance Tests
x	x	261 Ch.	965/77 Pupil Health Screenings
x	x	244 Ch.	100/81 Pupil Promotion and Retention
x	x	182 Ch.	309/95 Pupil Residency Verification and Appeals
x	x	176 Ch.	1253/75 Pupil Suspensions, Expulsions, and Expulsion Appeals
x	x	57 Ch.	1107/84 Removal of Chemicals
x	x	258 Ch.	100/81 School District Fiscal Accountability Reporting
x	x	228 Ch.	1192/80 School District Reorganization
x	x	58 Ch.	1347/80 Scoliosis Screening
x	x	208 Ch.	828/97 Standardized Testing & Reporting
x	x	252 Ch.	331/98 Teacher Incentive Program
x	x	162 Ch.	1249/92 Threats Against Peace Officers

³ These are new programs and funding is not yet available for the 2006-07 and 2007-08 fiscal years.

PROGRAMS SUSPENDED FOR THE 2007-08 FISCAL YEAR

Pursuant to GC §17581.5, the following education state mandated programs are identified in the 2007 State Budget Act, with a \$0 appropriation. Therefore, the following state mandated programs have been suspended for the 2007-08 fiscal year, and no claim for fiscal year 2007-08 shall be filed.

Pgm. #

206	Ch. 784/95	County Treasury Oversight Committee
226	Ch. 1170/96	Grand Jury Proceedings
194	Ch. 126/93	Law Enforcement Sexual Harassment Training
42	Ch. 486/75	Mandated Reimbursement Process
184	Ch. 624/92	School Bus Safety I & II

The following education state mandated programs have been determined to be optional, repealed, or overturned by the court:

Pgm. #

148	Ch. 172/86	Interdistrict Attendance Permits
149	Ch. 172/86	Interdistrict Transfer Requests: Parent's Employment
165	Ch. 668/78	Pupil Exclusions (AB 2855 and SB 512 eff. 1/1/05 and 10/7/05 resp.)
156	Ch. 160/93	School District of Choice: Transfers and Appeals
199	Ch. 1138/93	Schoolsite Councils and Brown Act Reform
146	Ch. 87/86	Schoolsite Discipline Rules

The Commission on State Mandates has set aside the Parameters and Guidelines for the following programs:

Pgm. #

179	Ch. 778/96	American Government Course Documentation Requirements
218	Ch. 641/86	Open Meetings/Brown Act Reform (AB 138 effective 07/19/05)
109 ⁴	Ch. 1607/84	School Crimes Statistic Reporting and Validation
151 ⁴	Ch. 965/77	Pupil Classroom Suspensions: Counseling
154 ⁴	Ch. 965/77	Pupil Suspension: Parent Classroom Visits
171	Ch. 1463/89	School Accountability Report Cards (AB 2855 and SB 512 eff. 1/1/05)
190 ⁴	Ch. 759/92	School Crime Reporting II

⁴ Pursuant to AB 2855, Ch. 895/04, effective 1/1/05, and AB 38, Ch. 72/05 effective 7/19/05.

AUDIT OF COSTS

All claims submitted to the SCO are reviewed to determine if costs are related to the mandate, are reasonable and not excessive, and that the claim was prepared in accordance with the SCO's claiming instructions and the Commission on State Mandate's Parameters and Guidelines (Ps and Gs). If any adjustments are made to a claim, a "Notice of Claim Adjustment" specifying the claim component adjusted, the amount adjusted, and the reason for the adjustment will be mailed within 30 days after payment of the claim.

On-site audits will be conducted by the SCO as deemed necessary. Pursuant to GC §17558.5, subdivision (a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a school district is subject to audit by the State Controller no later than three years after the date the actual reimbursement claim was filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds were appropriated or no payment was made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim was filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. Therefore, all documentation to support actual costs claimed must be retained for the same period, and shall be made available to the SCO on request.

SOURCE DOCUMENTS

To be eligible for mandated cost reimbursement for any fiscal year, only actual costs may be claimed. Actual costs are those costs actually incurred to implement the mandated activities. Actual costs must be traceable and supported by source documents that show the validity of such costs, when they were incurred, and their relationship to the reimbursable activities. A source document is a document created at or near the same time the actual cost was incurred for the event or activity in question. Source documents may include, but are not limited to, employee time records or time logs, sign-in sheets, invoices, and receipts.

Evidence corroborating the source documents may include, but is not limited to, worksheets, cost allocation reports (system generated), purchase orders, contracts, agendas, training packets, and declarations. Declarations must include a certification stating: "I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct based upon personal knowledge." Evidence corroborating the source documents may include data relevant to the reimbursable activities otherwise in compliance with local, state, and federal government requirements. However, corroborating documents cannot be substituted for source documents.

RETENTION OF CLAIMING INSTRUCTIONS

For your convenience, the revised claiming instructions in this package have been arranged in alphabetical order by program name. These revisions should be inserted in the School Mandated Cost Manual to replace the old forms. The instructions should then be retained permanently for future reference, and the forms should be duplicated to meet your filing requirements. Annually, updated forms and any other information or instructions claimants may need to file claims, as well as instructions and forms for all new programs released throughout the year will be placed on the SCO's Web site at www.sco.ca.gov/ard/local/locrim/mancost.shtml.

If you have any questions concerning mandated cost reimbursements, please write to us at the address listed for filing claims, send e-mail to LRS DAR@sco.ca.gov, or call the Local Reimbursements Section at (916) 324-5729.

NOTIFICATION OF TRUANCY

1. Summary of Chapter 498/83

Education Code § 48260.5, as added by Chapter 498, Statutes of 1983, requires that school districts, upon a pupil's initial classification as a truant, notify the pupil's parent or guardian by first-class mail or other reasonable means, of the pupil's truancy, that the parent or guardian is obligated to compel the attendance of the pupil at school and that the parent or guardian who fails to meet this obligation may be guilty of an infraction and subject to prosecution pursuant to Article 6 (commencing with § 48290) of Chapter 2 of Part 27.

Additionally, the district must inform parents and guardians of alternative educational programs available in the district, and the right to meet with appropriate school personnel to discuss solutions to the pupil's truancy.

- (1) Truancy occurs when a student is absent from school without valid excuse more than three (3) days or is tardy in excess of thirty (30) minutes on each of more than three (3) days in one school year. (Definition from Education Code § 48260).
- (2) A student shall be classified as truant upon the fourth unexcused absence, and the school must at that time perform the requirements mandated in Education Code 48260.5 as enacted by Chapter 498, Statutes of 1983.

On November 29, 1984, the Commission on State Mandates determined that Chapter 498, Statutes of 1983, resulted in state mandated costs which are reimbursable pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Government Code § 17500) of Division 4 of Title 2.

2. Eligible Claimants

Any school district (K-12) or county office of education that incurs increased costs as a result of this mandate is eligible to claim reimbursement of these costs.

3. Appropriations

Claims may only be filed with the State Controller's Office for programs that have been funded in the state budget, the State Mandates Claims Fund, or in special legislation. To determine if this program is funded in subsequent fiscal years, refer to the schedule "Appropriation for State Mandated Cost Programs" in the "Annual Claiming Instructions for State Mandated Costs" issued in September of each year to county superintendents of schools and superintendents of schools.

4. Types of Claims

A. Reimbursement and Estimated Claims

A claimant may file a reimbursement and/or an estimated claim. A reimbursement claim details the costs actually incurred for a prior fiscal year. An estimated claim shows the costs to be incurred for the current fiscal year.

B. Minimum Claim

Government Code § 17564(a), provides that no claim shall be filed pursuant to Government Code § 17561 unless such a claim exceeds \$200 per program per fiscal year. However, any county superintendent of schools, as fiscal agent for the school district, may submit a combined claim in excess of \$200 on behalf of one or more districts within the county even if the individual district's claim does not exceed \$200. A combined claim must show the individual costs for each district.

Once a combined claim is filed, all subsequent years relating to the same mandate must be filed in a combined form. The county receives the reimbursement payment and is responsible for disbursing funds to each participating district. A district may withdraw from the combined claim form by providing a written notice to the county superintendent of schools and the State Controller's Office of its intent to file a separate claim at least 180 days prior to the deadline for

filing the claim.

5. Filing Deadline

Refer to the item, "Reimbursable State Mandated Cost Programs", contained in the annual cover letter for mandated cost programs issued annually in September, which identifies the fiscal years for which claims may be filed. If an "x" is shown for the program listed under "19__/_ Reimbursement Claim", and/or "19__/_ Estimated Claim", claims may be filed as follows:

- (1) An estimated claim must be filed with the State Controller's Office and postmarked by November 30 of the fiscal year in which costs are to be incurred. Timely filed estimated claims will be paid before late claims.

After having received payment for an estimated claim, the claimant must file a reimbursement claim by November 30 of the following fiscal year. If the district fails to file a reimbursement claim, monies received for the estimated claim must be returned to the State. If no estimated claim was filed, the agency may file a reimbursement claim detailing the actual costs incurred for the fiscal year, provided there was an appropriation for the program for that fiscal year. For information regarding appropriations for reimbursement claims, refer to the "Appropriation for State Mandated Cost Programs" in the previous fiscal year's annual claiming instructions.

- (2) A reimbursement claim detailing the actual costs must be filed with the State Controller's Office and postmarked by November 30 following the fiscal year in which costs were incurred. If the claim is filed after the deadline but by November 30 of the succeeding fiscal year, the approved claim must be reduced by a late penalty of 10%, not to exceed \$1,000. Claims filed more than one year after the deadline will not be accepted.

6. Reimbursable Components

Eligible claimants will be reimbursed on a unit cost basis for an initial notice to the parents or guardian regarding the pupil's truancy. For the 1995/96 fiscal year the unit rate is \$10.97 per initial notice. The unit rate is adjusted annually by the changes in the implicit price deflator and covers all direct and indirect costs of the following on-going activities:

- A. Identifying the Truant Pupil
- B. Notification to Parent or Guardian
- C. Printing Additional Forms
- D. Recordkeeping

7. Reimbursement Limitations

- A. This program does not provide reimbursement for activities related to resolving truancy problems (i.e., referrals to attendance review board, meetings with parent or guardian to discuss the pupil's truancy problems and/or discuss alternative educational programs, etc.).
- B. Any offsetting savings or reimbursement the claimant received from any source (e.g. service fees collected, federal funds, other state funds, etc.) as a result of this mandate shall be identified and deducted so only net local costs are claimed.

For audit purposes, all supporting documents must be retained for a period of two years after the end of the calendar year in which the reimbursement claim was filed or last amended, whichever is later. Such documents shall be made available to the State Controller's Office on request.

8. Form NOT-1, Claim Summary

This form is used to compute the amount of claimable costs based on the number of reports forwarded to the governing board with the recommendation not to expel the student. The claimant must give the number of truant notifications. The cost data on this form is carried forward to form FAM-27.

9. Form FAM-27, Claim for Payment

Form FAM-27 contains a certification that must be signed by an authorized representative of the district. All applicable information from form NOT-1 must be carried forward to this form for the State Controller's Office to process the claim for payment.

CLAIM FOR PAYMENT Pursuant to Government Code Section 17561 NOTIFICATION OF TRUANCY	For State Controller Use Only (19) Program Number 00048 (20) Date Filed ___/___/___ (21) LRS Input ___/___/___	Program 048
---	---	--

L A B E L H E R E	(01) Claimant Identification Number		Reimbursement Claim Data	
	(02) Claimant Name		(22) NOT-1, (03)	
	County of Location		(23)	
	Street Address or P.O. Box Suite		(24)	
	City State Zip Code		(25)	
	Type of Claim	Estimated Claim	Reimbursement Claim	(26)
	(03) Estimated <input type="checkbox"/>	(09) Reimbursement <input type="checkbox"/>	(27)	
	(04) Combined <input type="checkbox"/>	(10) Combined <input type="checkbox"/>	(28)	
	(05) Amended <input type="checkbox"/>	(11) Amended <input type="checkbox"/>	(29)	
Fiscal Year of Cost	(06) 20 ___/___ 20 ___	(12) 20 ___/___ 20 ___	(30)	
Total Claimed Amount	(07)	(13)	(31)	
Less: 10% Late Penalty, not to exceed \$1,000		(14)	(32)	
Less: Prior Claim Payment Received		(15)	(33)	
Net Claimed Amount		(16)	(34)	
Due from State	(08)	(17)	(35)	
Due to State		(18)	(36)	

(37) CERTIFICATION OF CLAIM

In accordance with the provisions of Government Code Section 17561, I certify that I am the officer authorized by the school district to file mandated cost claims with the State of California for this program, and certify under penalty of perjury that I have not violated any of the provisions of Government Code Sections 1090 to 1098, inclusive.

I further certify that there was no application other than from the claimant, nor any grant or payment received, for reimbursement of costs claimed herein, and such costs are for a new program or increased level of services of an existing program. All offsetting savings and reimbursements set forth in the Parameters and Guidelines are identified, and all costs claimed are supported by source documentation currently maintained by the claimant.

The amounts for this Estimated Claim and/or Reimbursement Claim are hereby claimed from the State for payment of estimated and/or actual costs set forth on the attached statements. I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signature of Authorized Officer _____ Date _____

 Type or Print Name _____ Title _____

(38) Name of Contact Person for Claim Telephone Number () - Ext. _____
 E-Mail Address _____

Program 048	NOTIFICATION OF TRUANCY Certification Claim Form Instructions	FORM FAM-27
------------------------------	--	------------------------------

- (01) Enter the payee number assigned by the State Controller's Office.
- (02) Enter your Official Name, County of Location, Street or P. O. Box address, City, State, and Zip Code.
- (03) If filing an estimated claim, enter an "X" in the box on line (03) Estimated.
- (04) If filing a combined estimated claim on behalf of districts within the county, enter an "X" in the box on line (04) Combined.
- (05) If filing an amended estimated claim, enter an "X" in the box on line (05) Amended.
- (06) Enter the fiscal year in which costs are to be incurred.
- (07) Enter the amount of the estimated claim. If the estimate exceeds the previous year's actual costs by more than 10%, complete form NOT-1 and enter the amount from line (08).
- (08) Enter the same amount as shown on line (07).
- (09) If filing a reimbursement claim, enter an "X" in the box on line (09) Reimbursement.
- (10) If filing a combined reimbursement claim on behalf of districts within the county, enter an "X" in the box on line (10) Combined.
- (11) If filing an amended reimbursement claim, enter an "X" in the box on line (11) Amended.
- (12) Enter the fiscal year for which actual costs are being claimed. If actual costs for more than one fiscal year are being claimed, complete a separate form FAM-27 for each fiscal year.
- (13) Enter the amount of the reimbursement claim from form NOT-1, line (08). The total claimed amount must exceed \$1,000.
- (14) Reimbursement claims must be filed by January 15 of the following fiscal year in which costs were incurred or the claims shall be reduced by a late penalty. Enter zero if the claim was timely filed, otherwise, enter the product of multiplying line (13) by the factor 0.10 (10% penalty), not to exceed \$1,000.
- (15) If filing a reimbursement claim or a claim was previously filed for the same fiscal year, enter the amount received for the claim. Otherwise, enter a zero.
- (16) Enter the result of subtracting line (14) and line (15) from line (13).
- (17) If line (16), Net Claimed Amount, is positive, enter that amount on line (17), Due from State.
- (18) If line (16), Net Claimed Amount, is negative, enter that amount on line (18), Due to State.
- (19) to (21) Leave blank.
- (22) to (36) Reimbursement Claim Data. Bring forward the cost information as specified on the left-hand column of lines (22) through (36) for the reimbursement claim, e.g., NOT-1, (03), means the information is located on form NOT-1, line (03). Enter the information on the same line but in the right-hand column. Cost information should be rounded to the nearest dollar, i.e., no cents. Indirect costs percentage should be shown as a whole number and without the percent symbol, i.e., 7.548% should be shown as 8. **Completion of this data block will expedite the payment process.**
- (37) Read the statement "Certification of Claim." If it is true, the claim must be dated, signed by the agency's authorized officer, and must include the person's name and title, typed or printed. **Claims cannot be paid unless accompanied by an original signed certification. (To expedite the payment process, please sign the form FAM-27 with blue ink, and attach a copy of the form FAM-27 to the top of the claim package.)**
- (38) Enter the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person to contact if additional information is required.

SUBMIT A SIGNED ORIGINAL, AND A COPY OF FORM FAM-27, WITH ALL OTHER FORMS AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS TO:

Address, if delivered by U.S. Postal Service:

**OFFICE OF THE STATE CONTROLLER
ATTN: Local Reimbursements Section
Division of Accounting and Reporting
P.O. Box 942850
Sacramento, CA 94250**

Address, if delivered by other delivery service:

**OFFICE OF THE STATE CONTROLLER
ATTN: Local Reimbursements Section
Division of Accounting and Reporting
3301 C Street, Suite 500
Sacramento, CA 95816**

Program 048	NOTIFICATION OF TRUANCY CLAIM SUMMARY Instructions	FORM 1
------------------------------	---	-------------------------

- (01) Enter the name of the claimant.
- (02) Type of Claim. Check a box, Reimbursement or Estimated, to identify the type of claim being filed. Enter the fiscal year of costs.

 Form 1 must be filed for a reimbursement claim. Do not complete form 1 if you are filing an estimated claim and the estimate does not exceed the previous fiscal year's actual costs by more than 10%. Simply enter the amount of the estimated claim on form FAM-27, line (07). However, if the estimated claim exceeds the previous fiscal year's actual costs by more than 10%, form 1 must be completed and a statement attached explaining the increased costs. Without this information the estimated claim will automatically be reduced to 110% of the previous fiscal year's actual costs.
- (03) Number of truant notifications. Enter the number of initial notifications sent upon the student's fourth unexcused absence to inform the parent or guardian of their child's absence from school without a valid excuse or is tardy in excess of thirty (30) minutes for more than three days in one school year.
- (04) Unit cost rate for the 2006-07 fiscal year is \$16.15 per initial notification. This cost rate will be updated early and listed in the annual updates to claiming instructions mailed to school districts in September.
- (05) Total Costs. Multiply line (03) by the unit cost rate, line (04).
- (06) Less: Offsetting Savings. If applicable, enter the total savings experienced by the claimant as a direct result of this mandate. Submit a detailed schedule of savings with the claim.
- (07) Less: Other Reimbursements. If applicable, enter the amount of other reimbursements received from any source (i.e., service fees collected, federal funds, other state funds etc.), which reimbursed any portion of the mandated program. Submit a detailed schedule of the reimbursement sources and amounts.
- (08) Total Claimed Amount. Subtract the sum of Offsetting Savings, line (06), and Other Reimbursements, line (07), from Total Costs, line (05). Enter the remainder of this line and carry the amount forward to form FAM-27, line (07) for the Estimated Claim or line (13) for the Reimbursement Claim.

A. STATE OF CALIFORNIA TRAVEL EXPENSE GUIDELINES

Travel Program Effective January 31, 2002

The travel reimbursement program continues to be subject to the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) requirements for an accountable plan. There are no flat rate reimbursements. All items are to be claimed for the actual amount of expense, up to the maximum allowed. If the provisions below do not require submission of a receipt for a given item of expense, it is the employee's responsibility to retain receipts and other records of the expense and have them available for audit.

Lodging and meals that are provided by the State, including hotel expenses, conference fees, or transportation costs such as airline tickets; or otherwise provided shall not be claimed for reimbursement.

Employees may be reimbursed for actual expenses for breakfast, lunch, dinner, and incidentals for each 24 hours of travel, as follows:

Breakfast	up to	\$6.00
Lunch	up to	10.00
Dinner	up to	18.00
Incidentals	up to	6.00

Incidental expenses include, but are not limited to, expenses for laundering and pressing of clothing and tips for services such as porters and baggage handlers. Incidentals do not include taxicab fares, lodging taxes, or the cost of telegrams or telephone calls.

Lodging

All lodging reimbursements require a receipt from a commercial lodging establishment such as a hotel, motel, bed and breakfast inn, or campground that caters to the general public. No lodging will be reimbursed without a valid receipt. Employees who stay with friends or relatives are not eligible for lodging reimbursement, but may claim their actual expenses for meals and incidentals.

Short-Term Travel

A. For continuous short-term travel of more than 24 hours but less than 31 days, the employee will be reimbursed for actual costs up to the maximum for each meal, incidental, and lodging expense for each completed 24 hours of travel, beginning with the traveler's time of departure and return as follows:

1. On the first day of travel at the beginning of a trip of more than 24 hours:
 - Trip begins at or before 6 a.m. - Breakfast may be claimed
 - Trip begins at or before 11 a.m. - Lunch may be claimed
 - Trip begins at or before 5 p.m. - Dinner may be claimed
2. On the fractional day of travel at the end of a trip of more than 24 hours:
 - Trip ends at or after 8 a.m. - Breakfast may be claimed
 - Trip ends at or after 2 p.m. - Lunch may be claimed
 - Trip ends at or after 7 p.m. - Dinner may be claimed

If the fractional day includes an overnight stay, receipted lodging may be claimed. No meal or lodging expenses may be claimed or reimbursed more than once on any given date or during any 24-hour period.

- B.** For continuous travel of less than 24 hours, the employee will be reimbursed for actual expenses, up to a maximum as follows:

Travel begins at or before 6 a.m. and ends at or after 9 a.m. - Breakfast may be claimed
Travel begins at or before 4 p.m. and ends at or after 7 p.m. - Dinner may be claimed

If the trip extends overnight, receipted lodging may be claimed. No lunch or incidentals may be claimed on a trip of less than 24 hours.

Short-Term Travel Maximum Lodging Reimbursement Rate

- A.** Statewide except as in (B) and (C) below, actual receipted lodging up to \$84 plus tax.
- B.** When required to conduct state business and obtain lodging in the counties of Los Angeles and San Diego, reimbursement will be for actual receipted lodging, to a maximum of \$110 plus tax.
- C.** When required to conduct state business and obtain lodging in the counties of Alameda, San Francisco, San Mateo, and Santa Clara, reimbursement will be for actual receipted lodging, to a maximum of \$140 plus tax.

Long-Term Travel

Actual expenses for long-term meals and receipted lodging will be reimbursed when the employee incurs expenses in one location comparable to those arising from the use of establishments catering to long-term visitors.

A. Full Long-Term Travel

To qualify for full long-term travel reimbursement, the employee on a long-term field assignment must meet the following criteria:

- a) The employee continues to maintain a permanent residence at the primary headquarters, and either,
- b) The permanent residence is occupied by the employee's dependents, or
- c) The permanent residence is maintained at a net expense to the employee exceeding \$200 per month.

The employee who is living at the long-term location may claim either:

1. Reimbursement for actual individual expense, substantiated by receipts for lodging, water, sewer, gas, and electricity, up to a maximum of \$1,130 per calendar month while on the long-term assignment, and actual expenses up to \$10 for meals and incidentals, for each period of 12 to 24 hours and up to \$5 for actual meals and incidentals for each period of less than 12 hours at the long-term location, or
2. Long-term subsistence rates of \$24 for actual meals and incidentals, \$24 for receipted lodging for travel of 12 hours up to 24 hours, and either \$24 for actual meals or \$24 for receipted lodging for travel less than 12 hours when the employee incurs expenses in one location comparable to those arising from the use of establishments catering to long-term visitors.

B. Partial Long-Term Travel

An employee on long-term field assignment who does not maintain a separate residence in the headquarters area may claim long-term subsistence rates of up to \$12 for actual meals and incidentals and \$12 for receipted lodging for travel of 12 hours up to 24 hours at the long-term location, and either \$12 for actual meals or \$12 for receipted lodging for travel less than 12 hours at the long-term location.

Receipts

Receipts or vouchers shall be submitted for every item of expense of \$25 or more.

- a) Receipts are required for every item of transportation and business expense incurred as a result of conducting state business except for actual expenses as follows:
 1. Railroad and bus fares of less than \$25, when travel is wholly within the State of California.
 2. Street car, ferry fares, bridge and road tolls, local rapid transit system, taxi, shuttle, or hotel bus fares, and parking fees of \$10 or less for each continuous period of parking or each separate transportation expense noted in this item.
 3. Telephone, telegraph, tax, or other business charges related to state business of \$5 or less.
 4. In the absence of a receipt, reimbursement will be limited to the non-receipted amount above.
- b) Reimbursement will be claimed only for the actual and necessary expenses noted above. Regardless of the above exceptions, the approving officer may require additional certification and/or explanation in order to determine that an expense was actually and reasonably incurred. In the absence of a satisfactory explanation, the expense shall not be allowed.

Mileage

Effective July 1, 2006, when an employee is authorized by his/her appointing authority or designee to operate a privately owned vehicle on state business, the employee will be allowed to claim and be reimbursed 44.5 cents per mile. Effective January 1, 2007, reimbursement rate is 48.5 cents per mile.

B. GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIONS 17500-17617

GC §17500: Legislative Findings and Declarations

The Legislature finds and declares that the existing system for reimbursing local agencies and school districts for the costs of state-mandated local programs has not provided for the effective determination of the state's responsibilities under Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution. The Legislature finds and declares that the failure of the existing process to adequately and consistently resolve the complex legal questions involved in the determination of state-mandated costs has led to an increasing reliance by local agencies and school districts on the judiciary and, therefore, in order to relieve unnecessary congestion of the judicial system, it is necessary to create a mechanism which is capable of rendering sound quasi-judicial decisions and providing an effective means of resolving disputes over the existence of state-mandated local programs. It is the intent of the Legislature in enacting this part to provide for the implementation of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution. Further, the Legislature intends that the Commission on State Mandates, as a quasi-judicial body, will act in a deliberative manner in accordance with the requirements of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution.

GC §17510: Construction of Part

Unless the context otherwise requires, the definitions contained in this chapter govern the construction of this part. The definition of a word applies to any variants thereof and the singular tense of a word includes the plural.

GC §17511: "City"

"City" means any city whether general law or charter, except a city and county.

GC §17512: "Commission"

"Commission" means the Commission on State Mandates.

GC §17513: "Cost Mandated by the Federal Government"

"Costs mandated by the federal government" means any increased costs incurred by a local agency or school district after January 1, 1973, in order to comply with the requirements of a federal statute or regulation. "Costs mandated by the federal government" includes costs resulting from enactment of a state law or regulation where failure to enact that law or regulation to meet specific federal program or service requirements imposed upon the state would result in substantial monetary penalties or loss of funds to public or private persons in the state whether the federal law was enacted before or after the enactment of the state law, regulation, or executive order. "Costs mandated by the federal government" does not include costs which are specifically reimbursed or funded by the federal or state government or programs or services which may be implemented at the option of the state, local agency, or school district.

GC §17514: "Costs Mandated by the State"

"Costs mandated by the state" means any increased costs which a local agency or school district is required to incur after July 1, 1980, as a result of any statute enacted on or after January 1, 1975, or any executive order implementing any statute enacted on or after January 1, 1975, which mandates a new program or higher level of service of an existing program within the meaning of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution.

GC §17515: "County"

"County" means any chartered or general law county. "County" includes a city and county.

GC §17516: "Executive Order"

"Executive order" means any order, plan, requirement, rule, or regulation issued by any of the following: (a) The Governor. (b) Any officer or official serving at the pleasure of the Governor. (c) Any agency, department, board, or commission of state government. "Executive order" does not include any order, plan, requirement, rule, or regulation issued by the State Water Resources Control Board or by any regional water quality control board pursuant to Division 7 (commencing with Section 13000) of the Water Code. It is the intent of the Legislature that the State Water Resources Control Board and regional water quality control boards will not adopt enforcement orders against publicly owned dischargers which mandate major waste water treatment facility construction costs unless federal financial assistance and state financial assistance pursuant to the Clean Water Bond Act of 1970 and 1974, is simultaneously made available. "Major" means either a new treatment facility or an addition to an existing facility, the cost of which is in excess of 20 percent of the cost of replacing the facility.

GC §17517.5: "Cost Savings authorized by the state"

"Cost savings authorized by the state" means any decreased costs that a local agency or school district realizes as a result of any statute enacted or any executive order adopted that permits or requires the discontinuance of or a reduction in the level of service of an existing program that was mandated before January 1, 1975.

GC §17518: "Local Agency"

"Local agency" means any city, county, special district, authority, or other political subdivision of the state.

GC §17518.5: "Reasonable Reimbursement Methodology"

(a) "Reasonable reimbursement methodology" means a formula for reimbursing local agency and school district costs mandated by the state that meets the following conditions: (1) The total amount to be reimbursed statewide is equivalent to total estimated local agency and school district costs to implement the mandate in a cost-efficient manner. (2) For 50 percent or more of eligible local agency and school district claimants, the amount reimbursed is estimated to fully offset their projected costs to implement the mandate in a cost-efficient manner. (b) Whenever possible, a reasonable reimbursement methodology shall be based on general allocation formulas, uniform cost allowances, and other approximations of local costs mandated by the state, rather than detailed documentation of actual local costs. In cases when local agencies and school districts are projected to incur costs to implement a mandate over a period of more than one fiscal year, the determination of a reasonable reimbursement methodology may consider local costs and state reimbursements over a period of greater than one fiscal year, but not exceeding 10 years. (c) A reasonable reimbursement methodology may be developed by any of the following: (1) The Department of Finance. (2) The Controller. (3) An affected state agency. (4) A claimant. (5) An interested party.

GC §17519: "School District"

"School district" means any school district, community college district, or county superintendent of schools.

GC §17520: "Special District"

"Special district" means any agency of the state that performs governmental or proprietary functions within limited boundaries. "Special district" includes a county service area, a maintenance district or

area, an improvement district or improvement zone, or any other zone or area. "Special district" does not include a city, a county, a school district, or a community college district. County free libraries established pursuant to Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 27151) of Division 20 of the Education Code, areas receiving county fire protection services pursuant to Section 25643 of the Government Code, and county road districts established pursuant to Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 1550) of Division 2 of the Streets and Highways Code shall be considered "special districts" for all purposes of this part.

GC §17521: "Test Claim"

"Test claim" means the first claim filed with the commission alleging that a particular statute or executive order imposes costs mandated by the state.

GC §17522: Definitions

(a) "Initial reimbursement claim" means a claim filed with the Controller by a local agency or school district for costs to be reimbursed for the fiscal years specified in the first claiming instructions issued by the Controller pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 17558. (b) "Annual reimbursement claim" means a claim for actual costs incurred in a prior fiscal year filed with the Controller by a local agency or school district for which appropriations are made to the Controller for this purpose. (c) "Estimated reimbursement claim" means a claim filed with the Controller by a local agency or school district in conjunction with an initial reimbursement claim, annual reimbursement claim, or at other times, for estimated costs to be reimbursed during the current or future fiscal years, for which appropriations are made to the Controller for this purpose. (d) "Entitlement claim" means a claim filed by a local agency or school district with the Controller for the purpose of establishing or adjusting a base year entitlement. All entitlement claims are subject to Section 17616.

GC §17523: "Deflator"

"Deflator" means the Implicit Price Deflator for the Costs of Goods and Services to Governmental Agencies, as determined by the Department of Finance.

GC §17524: "Base Year Entitlement"

"Base year entitlement" means that amount determined to be the average for the approved reimbursement claims of each local agency or school district for the three preceding fiscal years adjusted by the change in the deflator. A base year entitlement shall not include any nonrecurring or initial startup costs incurred by a local agency or school district in any of those three fiscal years. For those mandates which become operative on January 1 of any year, the amount of the "approved reimbursement claim" for the first of the three years may be computed by annualizing the amount claimed for the six-month period of January through June in that first year, excluding nonrecurring or startup costs.

GC §17525: Members: Term and Per Diem for Specified Members

(a) There is hereby created the Commission on State Mandates, which shall consist of seven members as follows: (1) The Controller. (2) The Treasurer. (3) The Director of Finance. (4) The Director of the Office of Planning and Research. (5) A public member with experience in public finance, appointed by the Governor and approved by the Senate. (6) Two members from the following three categories appointed by the Governor and approved by the Senate, provided that no more than one member shall come from the same category: (A) A city council member. (B) A member of a county or city and county board of supervisors. (C) A governing board member of a school district as defined in Section 17519. (b) Each member appointed pursuant to paragraph (5) or (6) of subdivision (a) shall be subject to both of the following: (1) The member shall serve for a term of four years subject to renewal. (2) The member shall receive per diem of one hundred dollars (\$100) for each day actually spent in the

discharge of official duties and shall be reimbursed for any actual and necessary expenses incurred in connection with the performance of duties as a member of the commission.

GC §17526: Open Meetings: Executive Sessions

(a) All meetings of the commission shall be open to the public, except that the commission may meet in executive session to consider the appointment or dismissal of officers or employees of the commission or to hear complaints or charges brought against a member, officer, or employee of the commission. (b) The commission shall meet at least once every two months. (c) The time and place of meetings may be set by resolution of the commission, by written petition of a majority of the members, or by written call of the chairperson. The chairperson may, for good cause, change the starting time or place, reschedule, or cancel any meeting.

GC §17527: Powers of Commission

In carrying out its duties and responsibilities, the commission shall have the following powers: (a) To examine any document, report, or data, including computer programs and data files, held by any local agency or school district. (b) To meet at times and places as it may deem proper. (c) As a body or, on the authorization of the commission, as a committee composed of one or more members, to hold hearings at any time and place it may deem proper. (d) Upon a majority vote of the commission, to issue subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, records, papers, accounts, reports, and documents. (e) To administer oaths. (f) To contract with other agencies or individuals, public or private, as it deems necessary, to provide or prepare services, facilities, studies, and reports to the commission as will assist it in carrying out its duties and responsibilities. (g) To adopt, promulgate, amend, and rescind rules and regulations, which shall not be subject to the review and approval of the Office of Administrative Law pursuant to the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act provided for in Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2. (h) To do any and all other actions necessary or convenient to enable it fully and adequately to perform its duties and to exercise the powers expressly granted to it.

GC §17528: Election of Officers

The members of the commission shall elect a chairperson and a vice chairperson of the commission.

GC §17529: Appointment of Attorney: Duties

The commission may appoint as attorney to the commission an attorney at law of this state, who shall hold office at the pleasure of the commission. The attorney shall represent and appear for the commission in all actions and proceedings involving any question under this part or under any order or act of the commission. The attorney shall advise the commission and each member of the commission, when so requested, in regard to all matters in connection with the powers and duties of the commission and the members thereof. The attorney shall generally perform all duties and services as attorney to the commission which the commission may require.

GC §17530: Appointment of Executive Director: Duties

The commission shall appoint an executive director, who shall be exempt from civil service and shall hold office at the pleasure of the commission. The executive director shall be responsible for the executive and administrative duties of the commission and shall organize, coordinate, supervise, and direct the operations and affairs of the commission and expedite all matters within the jurisdiction of the commission. The executive director shall keep a full and true record of all proceedings of the commission, issue all necessary process, writs, warrants, and notices, and perform other duties as the commission prescribes.

GC §17531: Authority of Executive Director to Employ Necessary Staff

The executive director may employ those officers, examiners, experts, statisticians, accountants, inspectors, clerks, and employees as the executive director deems necessary to carry out the provisions of this part or to perform the duties and exercise the powers conferred upon the commission by law.

GC §17532: Quorum: Investigations, Inquiries, and Hearing

A majority of the commissioners shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of any business, for the performance of any duty, or for the exercise of any power of the commission. Any investigation, inquiry, or hearing which the commission has power to undertake or to hold may be undertaken or held by or before any commissioner or commissioners designated for the purpose by the commission. The evidence in any investigation, inquiry, or hearing may be taken by the commissioner or commissioners to whom the investigation, inquiry, or hearing has been assigned or, in his or her or their behalf, by an examiner designated for that purpose. Every finding, opinion, and order made by the commissioner or commissioners so designated, pursuant to the investigation, inquiry, or hearing, when approved or confirmed by the commission and ordered filed in its office, shall be deemed to be the finding, opinion, and order of the commission.

GC §17533: Provisions not Applicable to Hearing by Commission

Notwithstanding Section 11425.10, Chapter 4.5 (commencing with Section 11400) of Part 1 of Division 3 does not apply to a hearing by the commission under this part.

GC §17550: Reimbursements of Local Agencies and Special Districts

Reimbursement of local agencies and school districts for costs mandated by the state shall be provided pursuant to this chapter.

GC §17551: Commission Hearing and Decision Upon Claims

(a) The commission, pursuant to the provisions of this chapter, shall hear and decide upon a claim by a local agency or school district that the local agency or school district is entitled to be reimbursed by the state for costs mandated by the state as required by Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution. (b) Commission review of claims may be had pursuant to subdivision (a) only if the test claim is filed within the time limits specified in this section. (c) Local agency and school district test claims shall be filed not later than 12 months following the effective date of a statute or executive order, or within 12 months of incurring increased costs as a result of a statute or executive order, whichever is later. (d) The commission, pursuant to the provisions of this chapter, shall hear and decide upon a claim by a local agency or school district filed on or after January 1, 1985, that the Controller has incorrectly reduced payments to the local agency or school district pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (d) of Section 17561.

GC §17552: Exclusivity of Procedure by Chapter

This chapter shall provide the sole and exclusive procedure by which a local agency or school district may claim reimbursement for costs mandated by the state as required by Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution.

GC §17553: Adoption of Procedure for Receiving Claims and Providing Hearings: Postponement of Hearings

(a) The commission shall adopt procedures for receiving claims pursuant to this article and for providing a hearing on those claims. The procedures shall do all of the following: (1) Provide for presentation of evidence by the claimant, the Department of Finance and any other affected department

or agency, and any other interested person. (2) Ensure that a statewide cost estimate is adopted within 12 months after receipt of a test claim, when a determination is made by the commission that a mandate exists. This deadline may be extended for up to six months upon the request of either the claimant or the commission. (3) Permit the hearing of a claim to be postponed at the request of the claimant, without prejudice, until the next scheduled hearing. (b) All test claims shall be filed on a form prescribed by the commission and shall contain at least the following elements and documents: (1) A written narrative that identifies the specific sections of statutes or executive orders alleged to contain a mandate and shall include all of the following: (A) A detailed description of the new activities and costs that arise from the mandate. (B) A detailed description of existing activities and costs that are modified by the mandate. (C) The actual increased costs incurred by the claimant during the fiscal year for which the claim was filed to implement the alleged mandate. (D) The actual or estimated annual costs that will be incurred by the claimant to implement the alleged mandate during the fiscal year immediately following the fiscal year for which the claim was filed. (E) A statewide cost estimate of increased costs that all local agencies or school districts will incur to implement the alleged mandate during the fiscal year immediately following the fiscal year for which the claim was filed. (F) Identification of all of the following: (i) Dedicated state funds appropriated for this program. (ii) Dedicated federal funds appropriated for this program. (iii) Other nonlocal agency funds dedicated for this program. (iv) The local agency's general purpose funds for this program. (v) Fee authority to offset the costs of this program. (G) Identification of prior mandate determinations made by the Board of Control or the Commission on State Mandates that may be related to the alleged mandate. (2) The written narrative shall be supported with declarations under penalty of perjury, based on the declarant's personal knowledge, information or belief, and signed by persons who are authorized and competent to do so, as follows: (A) Declarations of actual or estimated increased costs that will be incurred by the claimant to implement the alleged mandate. (B) Declarations identifying all local, state, or federal funds, or fee authority that may be used to offset the increased costs that will be incurred by the claimant to implement the alleged mandate, including direct and indirect costs. (C) Declarations describing new activities performed to implement specified provisions of the new statute or executive order alleged to impose a reimbursable state-mandated program. Specific references shall be made to chapters, articles, sections, or page numbers alleged to impose a reimbursable state-mandated program. (3) (A) The written narrative shall be supported with copies of all of the following: (i) The test claim statute that includes the bill number or executive order, alleged to impose or impact a mandate. (ii) Relevant portions of state constitutional provisions, federal statutes, and executive orders that may impact the alleged mandate. (iii) Administrative decisions and court decisions cited in the narrative. (B) State mandate determinations made by the Board of Control and the Commission on State Mandates and published court decisions on state mandate determinations made by the Commission on State Mandates are exempt from this requirement. (4) A test claim shall be signed at the end of the document, under penalty of perjury by the claimant or its authorized representative, with the declaration that the test claim is true and complete to the best of the declarant's personal knowledge or information or belief. The date of signing, the declarant's title, address, telephone number, facsimile machine telephone number, and electronic mail address shall be included. (c) If a completed test claim is not received by the commission within 30 calendar days from the date that an incomplete test claim was returned by the commission, the original test claim filing date may be disallowed, and a new test claim may be accepted on the same statute or executive order. (d) In addition, the commission shall determine whether an incorrect reduction claim is complete within 10 days after the date that the incorrect reduction claim is filed. If the commission determines that an incorrect reduction claim is not complete, the commission shall notify the local agency and school district that filed the claim stating the reasons that the claim is not complete. The local agency or school district shall have 30 days to complete the claim. The commission shall serve a copy of the complete incorrect reduction claim on the Controller. The Controller shall have no more than 90 days after the date the claim is delivered or mailed to file any rebuttal to an incorrect reduction claim. The failure of the Controller to file a rebuttal to an incorrect reduction claim shall not serve to delay the consideration of the claim by the commission.

GC §17554: Commission's Authority to Expedite Claim

With the agreement of all parties to the claim, the commission may waive the application of any procedural requirement imposed by this chapter or pursuant to Section 17553. The authority granted by this section includes the consolidation of claims and the shortening of time periods.

GC §17555: Date for Public Hearing: Test Claim Form and Procedure

(a) No later than 30 days after hearing and deciding upon a test claim pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 17551, the commission shall notify the appropriate Senate and Assembly policy and fiscal committees, the Legislative Analyst, the Department of Finance, and the Controller of that decision. (b) For purposes of this section, the "appropriate policy committee" means the policy committee that has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the statute, regulation, or executive order, and bills relating to that subject matter would have been heard.

GC §17556: Criteria for not Finding Costs Mandated by the State

The commission shall not find costs mandated by the state, as defined in Section 17514, in any claim submitted by a local agency or school district, if, after a hearing, the commission finds that: (a) The claim is submitted by a local agency or school district that requested legislative authority for that local agency or school district to implement the program specified in the statute, and that statute imposes costs upon that local agency or school district requesting the legislative authority. A resolution from the governing body or a letter from a delegated representative of the governing body of a local agency or school district that requests authorization for that local agency or school district to implement a given program shall constitute a request within the meaning of this paragraph. (b) The statute or executive order affirmed for the state a mandate that had been declared existing law or regulation by action of the courts. (c) The statute or executive order imposes a requirement that is mandated by a federal law or regulation and results in costs mandated by the federal government, unless the statute or executive order mandates costs that exceed the mandate in that federal law or regulation. This subdivision applies regardless of whether the federal law or regulation was enacted or adopted prior to or after the date on which the state statute or executive order was enacted or issued. (d) The local agency or school district has the authority to levy service charges, fees, or assessments sufficient to pay for the mandated program or increased level of service. (e) The statute, executive order, or an appropriation in a Budget Act or other bill provides for offsetting savings to local agencies or school districts that result in no net costs to the local agencies or school districts, or includes additional revenue that was specifically intended to fund the costs of the state mandate in an amount sufficient to fund the cost of the state mandate. (f) The statute or executive order imposed duties that were expressly included in a ballot measure approved by the voters in a statewide or local election. (g) The statute created a new crime or infraction, eliminated a crime or infraction, or changed the penalty for a crime or infraction, but only for that portion of the statute relating directly to the enforcement of the crime or infraction.

GC §17557: Determination of Amount to be Subvened for Reimbursement: Parameters and Guidelines

(a) If the commission determines there are costs mandated by the state pursuant to Section 17551, it shall determine the amount to be subvened to local agencies and school districts for reimbursement. In so doing it shall adopt parameters and guidelines for reimbursement of any claims relating to the statute or executive order. The successful test claimants shall submit proposed parameters and guidelines within 30 days of adoption of a statement of decision on a test claim. At the request of a successful test claimant, the commission may provide for one or more extensions of this 30-day period at any time prior to its adoption of the parameters and guidelines. If proposed parameters and guidelines are not submitted within the 30-day period and the commission has not granted an extension, then the commission shall notify the test claimant that the amount of reimbursement the test claimant is entitled to for the first 12 months of incurred costs will be reduced by 20 percent, unless the test claimant can demonstrate to the commission why an extension of the 30-day period is justified. (b) In adopting parameters and guidelines, the commission may adopt a reasonable reimbursement methodology. (c)

The parameters and guidelines adopted by the commission shall specify the fiscal years for which local agencies and school districts shall be reimbursed for costs incurred. However, the commission may not specify in the parameters and guidelines any fiscal year for which payment could be provided in the annual Budget Act. (d) A local agency, school district, or the state may file a written request with the commission to amend, modify, or supplement the parameters or guidelines. The commission may, after public notice and hearing, amend, modify, or supplement the parameters and guidelines. A parameters and guidelines amendment submitted within 90 days of the claiming deadline for initial claims, as specified in the claiming instructions pursuant to Section 17561, shall apply to all years eligible for reimbursement as defined in the original parameters and guidelines. A parameters and guidelines amendment filed more than 90 days after the claiming deadline for initial claims, as specified in the claiming instructions pursuant to Section 17561, and on or before January 15 following a fiscal year, shall establish reimbursement eligibility for that fiscal year. (e) A test claim shall be submitted on or before June 30 following a fiscal year in order to establish eligibility for reimbursement for that fiscal year. The claimant may thereafter amend the test claim at any time, but before the test claim is set for a hearing, without affecting the original filing date as long as the amendment substantially relates to the original test claim. (f) In adopting parameters and guidelines, the commission shall consult with the Department of Finance, the affected state agency, the Controller, the fiscal and policy committees of the Assembly and Senate, the Legislative Analyst, and the claimants to consider a reasonable reimbursement methodology that balances accuracy with simplicity.

GC §17558: Submission of Parameters and Guidelines to Controller: Transfer of Claims; Claiming Instructions

(a) The commission shall submit the adopted parameters and guidelines to the Controller. All claims relating to a statute or executive order that are filed after the adoption or amendment of parameters and guidelines pursuant to Section 17557 shall be transferred to the Controller who shall pay and audit the claims from funds made available for that purpose. (b) Not later than 60 days after receiving the adopted parameters and guidelines from the commission, the Controller shall issue claiming instructions for each mandate that requires state reimbursement, to assist local agencies and school districts in claiming costs to be reimbursed. In preparing claiming instructions, the Controller shall request assistance from the Department of Finance and may request the assistance of other state agencies. The claiming instructions shall be derived from the test claim decision and the parameters and guidelines adopted by the commission. (c) The Controller shall, within 60 days after receiving revised adopted parameters and guidelines from the commission or other information necessitating a revision of the claiming instructions, prepare and issue revised claiming instructions for mandates that require state reimbursement that have been established by commission action pursuant to Section 17557 or after any decision or order of the commission pursuant to Section 17551. In preparing revised claiming instructions, the Controller may request the assistance of other state agencies.

GC §17558.5: Reimbursement Claim: Audit; Remittance Advice and Other Notices of Payment

(a) A reimbursement claim for actual costs filed by a local agency or school district pursuant to this chapter is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. In any case, an audit shall be completed not later than two years after the date that the audit is commenced. (b) The Controller may conduct a field review of any claim after the claim has been submitted, prior to the reimbursement of the claim. (c) The Controller shall notify the claimant in writing within 30 days after issuance of a remittance advice of any adjustment to a claim for reimbursement that results from an audit or review. The notification shall specify the claim components adjusted, the amounts adjusted, interest charges on claims adjusted to reduce the overall reimbursement to the local agency or school district, and the reason for the adjustment. Remittance advices and other notices of payment action shall not constitute notice of adjustment from an audit or review. (d) The interest rate charged by the Controller on reduced claims shall be set at the Pooled

Money Investment Account rate and shall be imposed on the dollar amount of the overpaid claim from the time the claim was paid until overpayment is satisfied. (e) Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the adjustment of payments when inaccuracies are determined to be the result of the intent to defraud, or when a delay in the completion of an audit is the result of willful acts by the claimant or inability to reach agreement on terms of final settlement.

GC §17558.6: Legislative Intent

It is the intent of the Legislature that the Commission on State Mandates review its process by which local agencies may appeal the reduction of reimbursement claims on the basis that the reduction is incorrect in order to provide for a more expeditious and less costly process.

GC §17559: Judicial Review

(a) The commission may order a reconsideration of all or part of a test claim or incorrect reduction claim on petition of any party. The power to order a reconsideration or amend a test claim decision shall expire 30 days after the statement of decision is delivered or mailed to the claimant. If additional time is needed to evaluate a petition for reconsideration filed prior to the expiration of the 30-day period, the commission may grant a stay of that expiration for no more than 30 days, solely for the purpose of considering the petition. If no action is taken on a petition within the time allowed for ordering reconsideration, the petition shall be deemed denied. (b) A claimant or the state may commence a proceeding in accordance with the provisions of Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure to set aside a decision of the commission on the ground that the commission's decision is not supported by substantial evidence. The court may order the commission to hold another hearing regarding the claim and may direct the commission on what basis the claim is to receive a rehearing.

GC §17560: Deadlines for Filing Reimbursement Claims

Reimbursement for state-mandated costs may be claimed as follows: (a) A local agency or school district may file an estimated reimbursement claim by January 15 of the fiscal year in which costs are to be incurred, and, by January 15 following that fiscal year shall file an annual reimbursement claim that details the costs actually incurred for that fiscal year; or it may comply with the provisions of subdivision (b). (b) A local agency or school district may, by January 15 following the fiscal year in which costs are incurred, file an annual reimbursement claim that details the costs actually incurred for that fiscal year. (c) In the event revised claiming instructions are issued by the Controller pursuant to subdivision (c) of Section 17558 between October 15 and January 15, a local agency or school district filing an annual reimbursement claim shall have 120 days following the issuance date of the revised claiming instructions to file a claim.

GC §17561: Reimbursement of Costs for State Mandated Programs

(a) The state shall reimburse each local agency and school district for all "costs mandated by the state," as defined in Section 17514. (b) (1) For the initial fiscal year during which these costs are incurred, reimbursement funds shall be provided as follows: (A) Any statute mandating these costs shall provide an appropriation therefor. (B) Any executive order mandating these costs shall be accompanied by a bill appropriating the funds therefor, or alternatively, an appropriation for these costs shall be included in the Budget Bill for the next succeeding fiscal year. The executive order shall cite that item of appropriation in the Budget Bill or that appropriation in any other bill which is intended to serve as the source from which the Controller may pay the claims of local agencies and school districts. (2) In subsequent fiscal years appropriations for these costs shall be included in the annual Governor's Budget and in the accompanying Budget Bill. In addition, appropriations to reimburse local agencies and school districts for continuing costs resulting from chaptered bills or executive orders for which claims have been awarded pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 17551 shall be included in the annual Governor's Budget and in the accompanying Budget Bill subsequent to the enactment of the local government claims bill pursuant to Section 17600 that includes the amounts awarded relating to these chaptered bills or executive orders. (c) The amount appropriated to reimburse local agencies and

school districts for costs mandated by the state shall be appropriated to the Controller for disbursement. (d) The Controller shall pay any eligible claim pursuant to this section within 60 days after the filing deadline for claims for reimbursement or 15 days after the date the appropriation for the claim is effective, whichever is later. The Controller shall disburse reimbursement funds to local agencies or school districts if the costs of these mandates are not payable to state agencies, or to state agencies that would otherwise collect the costs of these mandates from local agencies or school districts in the form of fees, premiums, or payments. When disbursing reimbursement funds to local agencies or school districts, the Controller shall disburse them as follows: (1) For initial reimbursement claims, the Controller shall issue claiming instructions to the relevant local agencies and school districts pursuant to Section 17558. Issuance of the claiming instructions shall constitute a notice of the right of the local agencies and school districts to file reimbursement claims, based upon parameters and guidelines adopted by the commission. (A) When claiming instructions are issued by the Controller pursuant to Section 17558 for each mandate determined pursuant to Section 17551 that requires state reimbursement, each local agency or school district to which the mandate is applicable shall submit claims for initial fiscal year costs to the Controller within 120 days of the issuance date for the claiming instructions. (B) When the commission is requested to review the claiming instructions pursuant to Section 17571, each local agency or school district to which the mandate is applicable shall submit a claim for reimbursement within 120 days after the commission reviews the claiming instructions for reimbursement issued by the Controller. (C) If the local agency or school district does not submit a claim for reimbursement within the 120-day period, or submits a claim pursuant to revised claiming instructions, it may submit its claim for reimbursement as specified in Section 17560. The Controller shall pay these claims from the funds appropriated therefor, provided that the Controller (i) may audit the records of any local agency or school district to verify the actual amount of the mandated costs, and (ii) may reduce any claim that the Controller determines is excessive or unreasonable. (2) In subsequent fiscal years each local agency or school district shall submit its claims as specified in Section 17560. The Controller shall pay these claims from funds appropriated therefor, provided that the Controller (A) may audit the records of any local agency or school district to verify the actual amount of the mandated costs, (B) may reduce any claim that the Controller determines is excessive or unreasonable, and (C) shall adjust the payment to correct for any underpayments or overpayments which occurred in previous fiscal years. (3) When paying a timely filed claim for initial reimbursement, the Controller shall withhold 20 percent of the amount of the claim until the claim is audited to verify the actual amount of the mandated costs. All initial reimbursement claims for all fiscal years required to be filed on their initial filing date for a state-mandated local program shall be considered as one claim for the purpose of computing any late claim penalty. Any claim for initial reimbursement filed after the filing deadline shall be reduced by 10 percent of the amount that would have been allowed had the claim been timely filed. The Controller may withhold payment of any late claim for initial reimbursement until the next deadline for funded claims unless sufficient funds are available to pay the claim after all timely filed claims have been paid. In no case may a reimbursement claim be paid if submitted more than one year after the filing deadline specified in the Controller's claiming instructions on funded mandates contained in a claims bill.

GC §17561.5: Payment of Claim with Interest

The payment of an initial reimbursement claim by the Controller shall include accrued interest at the Pooled Money Investment Account rate, if the payment is being made more than 365 days after adoption of the statewide cost estimate for an initial claim or, in the case of payment of a subsequent claim relating to that same statute or executive order, if payment is being made more than 60 days after the filing deadline for, or the actual date of receipt of, the subsequent claim, whichever is later. In those instances, interest shall begin to accrue as of the 366th day after adoption of the statewide cost estimate for an initial claim and as of the 61st day after the filing deadline for, or actual date of receipt of, the subsequent claim, whichever is later.

GC §17561.6: Payment

A budget act item or appropriation pursuant to this part for reimbursement of claims shall include an amount necessary to reimburse any interest due pursuant to Section 17561.5.

GC §17562: Review of Costs of State-Mandated Local Programs

(a) The Legislature hereby finds and declares that the increasing revenue constraints on state and local government and the increasing costs of financing state-mandated local programs make evaluation of state-mandated local programs imperative. Accordingly, it is the intent of the Legislature to increase information regarding state mandates and establish a method for regularly reviewing the costs and benefits of state-mandated local programs. (b) The Controller shall submit a report to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee and fiscal committees by January 1 of each year. This report shall summarize, by state mandate, the total amount of claims paid per fiscal year and the amount, if any, of mandate deficiencies or surpluses. This report shall be made available in an electronic spreadsheet format. The report shall compare the annual cost of each mandate to the statewide cost estimate adopted by the commission. (c) After the commission submits its second semiannual report to the Legislature pursuant to Section 17600, the Legislative Analyst shall submit a report to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee and legislative fiscal committees on the mandates included in the commission's reports. The report shall make recommendations as to whether the mandate should be repealed, funded, suspended, or modified. (d) In its annual analysis of the Budget Bill and based on information provided pursuant to subdivision (b), the Legislative Analyst shall identify mandates that significantly exceed the statewide cost estimate adopted by the commission. The Legislative Analyst shall make recommendations on whether the mandate should be repealed, funded, suspended, or modified. (e) (1) A statewide association of local agencies or school districts or a Member of the Legislature may submit a proposal to the Legislature recommending the elimination or modification of a state-mandated local program. To make such a proposal, the association or member shall submit a letter to the Chairs of the Assembly Committee on Education or the Assembly Committee on Local Government, as the case may be, and the Senate Committee on Education or the Senate Committee on Local Government, as the case may be, specifying the mandate and the concerns and recommendations regarding the mandate. The association or member shall include in the proposal all information relevant to the conclusions. If the chairs of the committees desire additional analysis of the submitted proposal, the chairs may refer the proposal to the Legislative Analyst for review and comment. The chairs of the committees may refer up to a total of 10 of these proposals to the Legislative Analyst for review in any year. Referrals shall be submitted to the Legislative Analyst by December 1 of each year. (2) The Legislative Analyst shall review and report to the Legislature with regard to each proposal that is referred to the office pursuant to paragraph (1). The Legislative Analyst shall recommend that the Legislature adopt, reject, or modify the proposal. The report and recommendations shall be submitted annually to the Legislature by March 1 of the year subsequent to the year in which referrals are submitted to the Legislative Analyst. (3) The Department of Finance shall review all statutes enacted each year that contain provisions making inoperative Section 17561 or Section 17565 that have resulted in costs or revenue losses mandated by the state that were not identified when the statute was enacted. The review shall identify the costs or revenue losses involved in complying with the statutes. The Department of Finance shall also review all statutes enacted each year that may result in cost savings authorized by the state. The Department of Finance shall submit an annual report of the review required by this subdivision, together with the recommendations as it may deem appropriate, by December 1 of each year. (f) It is the intent of the Legislature that the Assembly Committee on Local Government and the Senate Committee on Local Government hold a joint hearing each year regarding the following: (1) The reports and recommendations submitted pursuant to subdivision (e). (2) The reports submitted pursuant to Sections 17570, 17600, and 17601. (3) Legislation to continue, eliminate, or modify any provision of law reviewed pursuant to this subdivision. The legislation may be by subject area or by year or years of enactment.

GC §17563: Use of Funds Received for Public Purpose

Any funds received by a local agency or school district pursuant to the provisions of this chapter may be used for any public purpose.

GC §17564: Filing of Claims: Threshold Amount

(a) No claim shall be made pursuant to Sections 17551 and 17561, nor shall any payment be made on claims submitted pursuant to Sections 17551 and 17561, unless these claims exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000), provided that a county superintendent of schools or county may submit a combined claim on behalf of school districts, direct service districts, or special districts within their county if the combined claim exceeds one thousand dollars (\$1,000) even if the individual school district's, direct service district's, or special district's claims do not each exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000). The county superintendent of schools or the county shall determine if the submission of the combined claim is economically feasible and shall be responsible for disbursing the funds to each school, direct service, or special district. These combined claims may be filed only when the county superintendent of schools or the county is the fiscal agent for the districts. All subsequent claims based upon the same mandate shall only be filed in the combined form unless a school district, direct service district, or special district provides to the county superintendent of schools or county and to the Controller, at least 180 days prior to the deadline for filing the claim, a written notice of its intent to file a separate claim. (b) Claims for direct and indirect costs filed pursuant to Section 17561 shall be filed in the manner prescribed in the parameters and guidelines and claiming instructions.

GC §17565: Reimbursement of Subsequently Mandated Costs

If a local agency or a school district, at its option, has been incurring costs which are subsequently mandated by the state, the state shall reimburse the local agency or school district for those costs incurred after the operative date of the mandate.

GC §17567: Insufficiency of Appropriation: Proration of Claims

In the event that the amount appropriated for reimbursement purposes pursuant to Section 17561 is not sufficient to pay all of the claims approved by the Controller, the Controller shall prorate claims in proportion to the dollar amount of approved claims timely filed and on hand at the time of proration. The Controller shall adjust prorated claims if supplementary funds are appropriated for this purpose. In the event that the Controller finds it necessary to prorate claims as provided by this section, the Controller shall immediately report this action to the Department of Finance, the Chairperson of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, and the Chairperson of the respective committee in each house of the Legislature which considers appropriations in order to assure appropriation of these funds in the Budget Act. If these funds cannot be appropriated on a timely basis in the Budget Act, the Controller shall transmit this information to the commission which shall include these amounts in its report to the Legislature pursuant to Section 17600 to assure that an appropriation sufficient to pay the claims is included in the local government claims bills or other appropriation bills. If the local government claims bills required by Section 17612 have been introduced in the Legislature, the Controller shall report directly to the chairperson of the respective committee in each house of the Legislature which considers appropriations to assure inclusion of a sufficient appropriation in the claims bills.

GC §17568: Payment of Claims Submitted After Deadline

If a local agency or school district submits an otherwise valid reimbursement claim to the Controller after the deadline specified in Section 17560, the Controller shall reduce the reimbursement claim in an amount equal to 10 percent of the amount which would have been allowed had the reimbursement claim been timely filed, provided that the amount of this reduction shall not exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000). In no case shall a reimbursement claim be paid which is submitted more than one year after the deadline specified in Section 17560. Estimated claims which were filed by the deadline specified in that section shall be paid in full before payments are made on estimated claims filed after the deadline. In the event the amount appropriated to the Controller for reimbursement purposes is not sufficient to pay the estimated claims approved by the Controller, the Controller shall prorate those claims in proportion to the dollar amount of approved claims filed after the deadline and shall report to the commission or the Legislature in the same manner as described in Section 17566 in order to assure appropriation of funds sufficient to pay those claims.

GC §17570: Annual Report to Legislature

The Legislative Analyst shall review each unfunded statutory or regulatory mandate for which claims have been approved by the Legislature pursuant to a claims bill during the preceding fiscal year. Any recommendations by the Legislative Analyst to eliminate or modify the mandates shall be contained in the annual analysis of the Budget Bill prepared by the Legislative Analyst.

GC §17571: Review and Modification of Claiming Instructions

The commission, upon request of a local agency or school district, shall review the claiming instructions issued by the Controller or any other authorized state agency for reimbursement of mandated costs. If the commission determines that the claiming instructions do not conform to the parameters and guidelines, the commission shall direct the Controller to modify the claiming instructions and the Controller shall modify the claiming instructions to conform to the parameters and guidelines as directed by the commission.

GC §17572: Amended Animal Adoption Parameters and Guidelines

(a) The commission shall amend the parameters and guidelines for the state-mandated local program contained in Chapter 752 of the Statutes of 1998, known as the Animal Adoption mandate (Case No. 98-TC-11), as specified below: (1) Amend the formula for determining the reimbursable portion of acquiring or building additional shelter space that is larger than needed to comply with the increased holding period to specify that costs incurred to address preexisting shelter overcrowding or animal population growth are not reimbursable. (2) Clarify how the costs for care and maintenance shall be calculated. (3) Detail the documentation necessary to support reimbursement claims under this mandate, in consultation with the Bureau of State Audits and the Controller's office. (b) The parameters and guidelines, as amended pursuant to this section, shall apply to claims for costs incurred in fiscal years commencing with the 2005-06 fiscal year in which Chapter 752 of the Statutes of 1998 is not suspended pursuant to Section 17581. (c) Before funds are appropriated to reimburse local agencies for claims related to costs incurred in fiscal years commencing with the 2005-06 fiscal year pursuant to Sections 1834 and 1846 of the Civil Code, and Sections 31108, 31752, 31752.5, 31753, 32001, and 32003 of the Food and Agricultural Code, known as the Animal Adoption mandate, local agencies shall file reimbursement claims pursuant to the parameters and guidelines amended pursuant to this section, and the Controller's revised claiming instructions.

GC §17575: Review of Bills

When a bill is introduced in the Legislature, and each time a bill is amended, on and after January 1, 1985, the Legislative Counsel shall determine whether the bill mandates a new program or higher level of service pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution. The Legislative Counsel shall make this determination known in the digest of the bill and shall describe in the digest the basis for this determination. The determination by the Legislative Counsel shall not be binding on the commission in making its determination pursuant to Section 17555.

GC §17576: Amendment of Bills on Floor: Notification by Legislative Counsel

Whenever the Legislative Counsel determines that a bill will mandate a new program or higher level of service pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution, the Department of Finance shall prepare an estimate of the amount of reimbursement which will be required. This estimate shall be prepared for the respective committees of each house of the Legislature which consider taxation measures and appropriation measures and shall be prepared prior to any hearing on the bill by any such committee.

GC §17577: Amount of Estimates

The estimate required by Section 17576 shall be the amount estimated to be required during the first fiscal year of a bill's operation in order to reimburse local agencies and school districts for costs mandated by the state by the bill.

GC §17578: Amendment of Bills on Floor: Notification by Legislative Counsel

In the event that a bill is amended on the floor of either house, whether by adoption of the report of a conference committee or otherwise, in such a manner as to mandate a new program or higher level of service pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution, the Legislative Counsel shall immediately inform, respectively, the Speaker of the Assembly and the President of the Senate of that fact. Notification from the Legislative Counsel shall be published in the journal of the respective houses of the Legislature.

GC §17579: Requirement for New Mandates to Specify Reimbursement Requirements: Appropriations

Any bill introduced or amended for which the Legislative Counsel has determined the bill will mandate a new program or higher level of service pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution shall contain a section specifying that reimbursement shall be made pursuant to this chapter or that the mandate is being disclaimed and the reason therefor.

GC §17581: Conditions for Exemption from Implementation of Statute or Executive Order

(a) No local agency shall be required to implement or give effect to any statute or executive order, or portion thereof, during any fiscal year and for the period immediately following that fiscal year for which the Budget Act has not been enacted for the subsequent fiscal year if all of the following apply: (1) The statute or executive order, or portion thereof, has been determined by the Legislature, the commission, or any court to mandate a new program or higher level of service requiring reimbursement of local agencies pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution. (2) The statute or executive order, or portion thereof, has been specifically identified by the Legislature in the Budget Act for the fiscal year as being one for which reimbursement is not provided for that fiscal year. For purposes of this paragraph, a mandate shall be considered to have been specifically identified by the Legislature only if it has been included within the schedule of reimbursable mandates shown in the Budget Act and it is specifically identified in the language of a provision of the item providing the appropriation for mandate reimbursements. (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, if a local agency elects to implement or give effect to a statute or executive order described in subdivision (a), the local agency may assess fees to persons or entities which benefit from the statute or executive order. Any fee assessed pursuant to this subdivision shall not exceed the costs reasonably borne by the local agency. (c) This section shall not apply to any state-mandated local program for the trial courts, as specified in Section 77203. (d) This section shall not apply to any state-mandated local program for which the reimbursement funding counts toward the minimum General Fund requirements of Section 8 of Article XVI of the Constitution.

GC §17581.5 Exemption from Provisions of School Bus Safety II Mandate and School Crimes Reporting II Mandate

(a) A school district may not be required to implement or give effect to the statutes, or portion thereof, identified in subdivision (b) during any fiscal year and for the period immediately following that fiscal year for which the Budget Act has not been enacted for the subsequent fiscal year if all of the following apply: (1) The statute or portion thereof, has been determined by the Legislature, the commission, or any court to mandate a new program or higher level of service requiring reimbursement of school districts pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution. (2) The statute, or portion thereof, has been specifically identified by the Legislature in the Budget Act for the fiscal year as being

one for which reimbursement is not provided for that fiscal year. For purposes of this paragraph, a mandate shall be considered to have been specifically identified by the Legislature only if it has been included within the schedule of reimbursable mandates shown in the Budget Act and it is specifically identified in the language of a provision of the item providing the appropriation for mandate reimbursements. (b) This section applies only to the following mandates: (1) The School Bus Safety I (CSM-4433) and II (97-TC-22) mandates (Chapter 642 of the Statutes of 1992; Chapter 831 of the Statutes of 1994; and Chapter 739 of the Statutes of 1997). (2) The School Crimes Reporting II mandate (97-TC-03; and Chapter 759 of the Statutes of 1992 and Chapter 410 of the Statutes of 1995). (3) Investment reports (96-358-02; and Chapter 783 of the Statutes of 1995 and Chapters 156 and 749 of the Statutes of 1996). (4) County treasury oversight committees (96-365-03; and Chapter 784 of the Statutes of 1995 and Chapter 156 of the Statutes of 1996).

GC §17600: Report on Number of Mandates and Their Costs

At least twice each calendar year the commission shall report to the Legislature on the number of mandates it has found pursuant to Article 1 (commencing with Section 17550) and the estimated statewide costs of these mandates. This report shall identify the statewide costs estimated for each mandate and the reasons for recommending reimbursement.

GC §17601: Report on Claims Denied

The commission shall report to the Legislature on January 15, 1986, and each January 15 thereafter, on the number of claims it denied during the preceding calendar year and the basis on which the particular claims were denied.

GC §17612: Local Government Claims Bills: Judicial Review of Funding Deletions

(a) Immediately upon receipt of the report submitted by the commission pursuant to Section 17600, a local government claims bill shall be introduced in the Legislature. The local government claims bill, at the time of its introduction, shall provide for an appropriation sufficient to pay the estimated costs of these mandates. (b) The Legislature may amend, modify, or supplement the parameters and guidelines for mandates contained in the local government claims bill. If the Legislature amends, modifies, or supplements the parameters and guidelines, it shall make a declaration in the local government claims bill specifying the basis for the amendment, modification, or supplement. (c) If the Legislature deletes from a local government claims bill funding for a mandate, the local agency or school district may file in the Superior Court of the County of Sacramento an action in declaratory relief to declare the mandate unenforceable and enjoin its enforcement.

GC §17613: Authorization of Augmentation for Mandated Costs

(a) The Director of Finance may, upon receipt of any report submitted pursuant to Section 17567, authorize the augmentation of the amount available for expenditure to reimburse costs mandated by the state, as defined in Section 17514, as follows: (1) For augmentation of (A) any schedule in any item to reimburse costs mandated by the state in any budget act, or (B) the amount appropriated in a local government claims bill for reimbursement of the claims of local agencies, as defined by Section 17518, from the unencumbered balance of any other item to reimburse costs mandated by the state in that budget act or another budget act or in an appropriation for reimbursement of the claims of local agencies in another local government claims bill. (2) For augmentation of (A) any schedule in any budget act item, or (B) any amount appropriated in a local government claims bill, when either of these augmentations is for reimbursement of mandated claims of school districts, as defined in Section 17519, when the source of this augmentation is (A) the unencumbered balance of any other scheduled amount in that budget act or another budget act, or (B) an appropriation in another local government claims bill, when either of these appropriations is for reimbursement of mandate claims of school districts. This paragraph applies only to appropriations that are made for the purpose of meeting the minimum funding guarantee for educational programs pursuant to Section 8 of Article XVI of the California Constitution. (b) No authorization for an augmentation pursuant to this section may be made

sooner than 30 days after the notification in writing of the necessity therefor to the chairperson of the committee in each house which considers appropriations and the chairperson of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, or not sooner than whatever lesser time as the chairperson of the joint committee, or his or her designee, may in each instance determine.

GC §17615: Legislative Findings and Intent

The Legislature finds and declares that the existing system for reimbursing local agencies and school districts for actual costs mandated by the state on an annual claim basis is time consuming, cumbersome, and expensive at both the local and state levels. The Controller must process voluminous claims with all claims subject to a desk audit and selected claims also subject to a field audit. Local agencies are required to maintain extensive documentation of all claims in anticipation of such an audit. The volume of these records is substantial and will continue to grow with no relief in sight as new programs are mandated. The cost to local agencies and school districts for filing claims, and for maintaining documentation and responding to the Controller's audits is substantial. The current administrative cost to both state and local governments represents a significant expenditure of public funds with no apparent benefit to the taxpayers. It is the intent of the Legislature to streamline the reimbursement process for costs mandated by the state by creating a system of state mandate apportionments to fund the costs of certain programs mandated by the state.

GC §17615.1: Review of Programs for Inclusion in System

The commission shall establish a procedure for reviewing, upon request, mandated cost programs for which appropriations have been made by the Legislature for the 1982-83, 1983-84, and 1984-85 fiscal years, or any three consecutive fiscal years thereafter. At the request of the Department of Finance, the Controller, or any local agency or school district receiving reimbursement for the mandated program, the commission shall review the mandated cost program to determine whether the program should be included in the State Mandates Apportionment System. If the commission determines that the State Mandates Apportionment System would accurately reflect the costs of the state-mandated program, the commission shall direct the Controller to include the program in the State Mandates Apportionment System.

GC §17615.2: Calculation of Disbursement Amounts

(a) Notwithstanding Section 17561, after November 30, 1985, for those programs included in the State Mandates Apportionment System, after approval by the commission, there shall be disbursed by the Controller to each local agency and school district which has submitted a reimbursement claim for costs mandated by the state in the 1982-83, 1983-84, and the 1984-85 fiscal years, or any three consecutive fiscal years thereafter, an amount computed by averaging the approved reimbursement claims for this three-year period. The amount shall first be adjusted according to any changes in the deflator. The deflator shall be applied separately to each year's costs for the three years which comprise the base period. Funds for these purposes shall be available to the extent they are provided for in the Budget Act of 1985 and the Budget Act for any subsequent fiscal year thereafter. For purposes of this article, "base period" means the three fiscal years immediately succeeding the commission's approval. (b) When the Controller has made payment on claims prior to commission approval of the program for inclusion in the State Mandates Apportionment System, the payment shall be adjusted in the next apportionment to the amount which would have been subvended to the local agency or school district for that fiscal year had the State Mandates Apportionment System been in effect at the time of the initial payment.

GC §17615.3: Annual Recalculation of Allocation

Notwithstanding Section 17561, by November 30, 1986, and by November 30 of each year thereafter, for those programs included in the State Mandates Apportionment System, the Controller shall recalculate each allocation for each local agency and school district for the 1985-86 fiscal year, by using the actual change in the deflator for that year. That recalculated allocation shall then be adjusted by the estimated change in the deflator for the 1986 -87 fiscal year, and each fiscal year thereafter, to

establish the allocation amount for the 1986-87 fiscal year, and each fiscal year thereafter. Additionally, for programs approved by the commission for inclusion in the State Mandates Apportionment System on or after January 1, 1988, the allocation for each year succeeding the three-year base period shall be adjusted according to any changes in both the deflator and workload. The Controller shall then subvene that amount after adjusting it by any amount of overpayment or underpayment in the 1985-86 fiscal year, and each fiscal year thereafter, due to a discrepancy between the actual change and the estimated change in the deflator or workload. Funds for these purposes shall be available to the extent they are provided for in the Budget Act of 1986 and the Budget Act for any subsequent fiscal year thereafter. For purposes of this article, "workload" means, for school districts and county offices of education, changes in the average daily attendance; for community colleges, changes in the number of full-time equivalent students; for cities and counties, changes in the population within their boundaries; and for special districts, changes in the population of the county in which the largest percentage of the district's population is located.

GC §17615.4: Procedure for Newly Mandated Program

(a) When a new mandate imposes costs that are funded either by legislation or in local government claims bills, local agencies and school districts may file reimbursement claims as required by Section 17561, for a minimum of three years after the initial funding of the new mandate. (b) After actual cost claims are submitted for three fiscal years against such a new mandate, the commission shall determine, upon request of the Controller or a local entity or school district receiving reimbursement for the program, whether the amount of the base year entitlement adjusted by changes in the deflator and workload accurately reflects the costs incurred by the local agency or school district. If the commission determines that the base year entitlement, as adjusted, does accurately reflect the costs of the program, the commission shall direct the Controller to include the program in the State Mandates Apportionment System. (c) The Controller shall make recommendations to the commission and the commission shall consider the Controller's recommendations for each new mandate submitted for inclusion in the State Mandates Apportionment System. All claims included in the State Mandates Apportionment System pursuant to this section are also subject to the audit provisions of Section 17616.

GC §17615.5: Procedure Where No Base Year Entitlement Has Been Established

(a) If any local agency or school district has an established base year entitlement which does not include costs for a particular mandate, that local agency or school district may submit reimbursement claims for a minimum of three consecutive years, adjusted pursuant to Section 17615.3 by changes in the deflator and workload, or entitlement claims covering a minimum of three consecutive years, after which time its base year entitlement may be adjusted by an amount necessary to fund the costs of that mandate. (b) If any local agency or school district has no base year entitlement, but wishes to begin claiming costs of one or more of the mandates included in the State Mandates Apportionment System, that local agency or school district may submit reimbursement claims for a minimum of three consecutive years, or entitlement claims covering the preceding three consecutive years, which shall be adjusted pursuant to Sections 17615.2 and 17615.3 by changes in the deflator and workload, after which time a base year entitlement may be established in an amount necessary to fund the costs of the mandate or mandates.

GC §17615.6: Procedure Where Program is No Longer Mandatory

If a local agency or school district realizes a decrease in the amount of costs incurred because a mandate is discontinued, or made permissive, the Controller shall determine the amount of the entitlement attributable to that mandate by determining the base year amount for that mandate for the local agency or school district plus the annual adjustments. This amount shall be subtracted from the annual subvention which would otherwise have been allocated to the local agency or school district.

GC §17615.7: Procedure Where Program is Modified

If a mandated program included in the State Mandates Apportionment System is modified or amended by the Legislature or by executive order, and the modification or amendment significantly affects the costs of the program, as determined by the commission, the program shall be removed from the State Mandate Apportionment System, and the payments reduced accordingly. Local entities or school districts may submit actual costs claims for a period of three years, after which the program may be considered for inclusion in the State Mandates Apportionment System, pursuant to the provisions of Section 17615.4.

GC §17615.8: Review of Base Year Entitlement

(a) The commission shall establish a procedure for reviewing, upon request, any apportionment or base year entitlement of a local agency or school district. (b) Local agencies and school districts which request such a review shall maintain and provide those records and documentation as the commission or its designee determines are necessary for the commission or its designee to make the required determinations. With the exception of records required to verify base year entitlements, the records may not be used to adjust current or prior apportionments, but may be used to adjust future apportionments. (c) If the commission determines that an apportionment or base year entitlement for funding costs mandated by the state does not accurately reflect the costs incurred by the local agency or school district for all mandates upon which that apportionment is based, the commission shall direct the Controller to adjust the apportionment accordingly. For the purposes of this section, an apportionment or a base year entitlement does not accurately reflect the costs incurred by a local agency or school district if it falls short of reimbursing, or overreimburses, that local agency's or school district's actual costs by 20 percent or by one thousand dollars (\$1,000), whichever is less. (d) If the commission determines that an apportionment or base year entitlement for funding costs mandated by the state accurately reflects the costs incurred by the local agency or school district for all mandates upon which that apportionment is based, the commission may, in its discretion, direct the Controller to withhold, and, if so directed, the Controller shall withhold the costs of the commission's review from the next apportionment to the local agency or school district, if the commission review was requested by the local agency or school district.

GC §17615.9: Review of Programs Under SMAS

The commission shall periodically review programs funded under the State Mandate Apportionments System to evaluate the effectiveness or continued statewide need for each such mandate.

GC §17616: Audits and Verification by Controller

The Controller shall have the authority to do either or both of the following: (a) Audit the fiscal years comprising the base year entitlement no later than three years after the year in which the base year entitlement is established. The results of such audits shall be used to adjust the base year entitlements and any subsequent apportionments based on that entitlement, in addition to adjusting actual cost payments made for the base years audited. (b) Verify that any local agency or school district receiving funds pursuant to this article is providing the reimbursed activities.

GC §17617: Local Agency Payment

The total amount due to each city, county, city and county, and special district, for which the state has determined, as of June 30, 2005, that reimbursement is required under Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution, shall be appropriated for payment to these entities over a period of not more than five years, commencing with the Budget Act for the 2006-07 fiscal year and concluding with the Budget Act for the 2011-12 fiscal year.

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY EMAIL

I, the undersigned, declare as follows:

I am a resident of the County of Solano and I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My place of employment is 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, California 95814.

On December 18, 2013, I served the:

**Incorrect Reduction Claim; and
Notice of Complete Filing and Schedule for Comments**

Incorrect Reduction Claim

Notification of Truancy, 13-904133-I-12

Education Code Sections 48260 and 48260.5

Statutes 1983, Chapter 498

Fiscal Years: 2003-2004, 2004-2005, 2005-2006, and 2006-2007

Riverside Unified School District, Claimant

by making it available on the Commission's website and providing notice of how to locate it to the email addresses provided on the attached mailing list.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on December 18, 2013 at Sacramento, California.



Heidi J. Palchik
Commission on State Mandates
980 Ninth Street, Suite 300
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 323-3562