OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

County Government Center 70 West Hedding Street East Wing, 9th Floor San José, California 95110-1770



Tony LoPresti COUNTY COUNSEL

Kavita Narayan
CHIEF ASSISTANT COUNTY COUNSEL

Robert M. Coelho Michaela L. Lewis Steve Mitra Elizabeth G. Pianca Douglas M. Press Relic Sun Gita C. Suraj

(408) 299-5900 (408) 292-7240 (FAX)

October 28, 2024

RECEIVEDOctober 28, 2024 **Commission on**

State Mandates

Heather Halsey Executive Director Commission on State Mandates 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300 Sacramento, CA 95814

And Parties, Interested Parties, and Interested Persons (See Mailing List)

Re: Comments on Draft Proposed Decision

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region, Order No. R2-2009-0074, 10-TC-02, 10-TC-03, and 10-TC-05 California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region, Order No. R2-2009-0074, Sections C.2.b, C.2.c, C.2.e, C.2.f, C.8.b., C.8.c., C.8.d.i, C.8.d.ii., C.8.d.iii., C.8.e.i., C.8.e.ii., C.8.e.iii., C.8.e.iii., C.8.e.iv., C.8.e.v., C.8.e.v., C.8.e.vi., C.8.g.ii. (first sentence only), C.8.g.ii., C.8.g.iii., C.8.g.vi., C.8.g.vii., C.8.h, C.10.a.i., C.10.a.ii., C.10.a.iii., C.10.b.ii., C.10.b.iii., C.10.b.iii., C.10.c., C.10.d.i., C.10.d.ii., C.11.f., and C.12.f., Adopted October 14, 2009 and Effective December 1, 2009

Cities of Dublin and San Jose, and County of Santa Clara, Claimants

Dear Ms. Halsey:

The County of Santa Clara ("County") files the following comments to the Commission on State Mandates ("Commission") in response to the Draft Proposed Decision ("DPD") on test claim 10-TC-03 ("Test Claim"), concerning California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Region ("Regional Board"), Order No. R2-2009-0074 ("Permit"). The Test Claim asserts that numerous provisions of the Permit

Re: Comments on Draft Proposed Decision, 10-TC-03

Date: October 28, 2024

Page 2 of 9

impose unfunded mandates on the County and thus require subvention pursuant to article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution ("Section 6").

The DPD proposes to partially approve the Test Claim, finding that many of the Permit provisions require reimbursement. The County agrees with the proposed approval determinations and urges the Commission on State Mandates to adopt these approvals. However, the County respectfully disagrees with the DPD's recommendations as to provisions C.8.b, C.8.c, C.8.d.i, and C.8.d.ii, for which the DPD found that no reimbursement is necessary. The following comments address these four proposed findings. In short, the County argues that each proposed finding is based on a misapplication of case law concerning the requirement that reimbursable mandates impose a "new program or higher level of service" in the meaning of Section 6.

DISCUSSION

Commission decisions must be supported by substantial evidence. (Gov. Code, § 17559, subd. (b).) Substantial evidence is "of ponderable legal significance, which is 'reasonable in nature, credible and of solid value.'" (County of Los Angeles v. Comm'n on State Mandates (1995) 32 Cal. App. 4th 805, 814, quoting Pennel v. Pond Union School Dist. (1973) 29 Cal. App.3d 832, 837, fn. 2.)

Commission regulations establish that "[a]ny relevant non-repetitive evidence shall be admitted if it is the sort of evidence on which responsible persons are accustomed to rely in the conduct of serious affairs." (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1187.5, subd. (a).) "Hearsay evidence may be used for the purpose of supplementing or explaining other evidence but shall not be sufficient in itself to support a finding unless it would be admissible over objection in civil actions." (*Ibid.*)

Section 6 requires reimbursement only for mandates that impose a "new program" or a "higher level of service." (*Dept. of Finance v. Commission on State Mandates* (2022) 85 Cal.App.5th 535, 555.) "To determine whether a program imposed by the permit is new, [courts] compare the legal requirements imposed by the new permit with those in effect before the new permit became effective." (*Id.* at p. 559.) "This is so even though the conditions were designed to satisfy the same standard of performance." (*Ibid.*)

¹ As to the County, the DPD determines that the following Permit provisions are reimbursable mandates: C.8.d.iii, C.8.e.vi, C.8.g.ii, C.8.g.vii, C.10.a.ii, C.10.a.ii, C.10.b.iii, Some of the requirements in C.10.b.iii, C.10.c, some of the requirements in C.10.d.i and C.10.d.ii, C.11.f, and C.12.f. (DPD, at pp. 6-13.)

Re: Comments on Draft Proposed Decision, 10-TC-03

Date: October 28, 2024

Page 3 of 9

A. By mandating financial contributions to the Regional Monitoring Program, Permit provision C.8.b imposes a new program or higher level of service.

The County sought subvention for Permit provision C.8.b, which mandated permittees to "participate in implementing an Estuary receiving water monitoring program, at a minimum equivalent to the San Francisco Estuary Regional Monitoring Program for Trace Substances (RMP), by contributing their fair-share financially on an annual basis." (Permit, at p. 65.) The Permit explains that "the intent of this provision is for Permittees to continue contributing financially and as stakeholders in such a program as the RMP." (*Id.* at n.20.)

Before the Permit, the permittees were subject to National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit No. CAS029718, issued by Regional Board Order No. 01-024 on April 21, 2001 ("Prior Permit"). The Prior Permit included no legal requirement to contribute financially to the RMP. Rather, permittees had a choice to either "continue to participate in the RMP," which comprises the "collection and analysis of data," or "submit and implement an acceptable alternative monitoring plan." (Prior Permit, at p. 9.) Mandating the provision of financial resources to the RMP is not synonymous with "collection and analysis of data" or the submission of "an acceptable alternative monitoring plan." The Permit's financial contribution requirement is new.

The DPD asserts that "the requirement to contribute a fair share to the RMP, or an equivalent program, is not new, but was required by prior orders and permits." (DPD, at p. 186.) The DPD refers first to a 1992 Regional Board resolution that required its Executive Officer to "work with the selected dischargers to prepare an implementation plan for the RMP" and "report to the Regional Board . . . on the status of the implementation of the RMP including cost sharing and institutional arrangements." (DPD, at p. 187, quoting Res. 92-043.) The DPD then cites a 1992 memorandum of understanding between the Regional Board and the entity that would later become the San Francisco Estuary Institute. (DPD, at p. 187.) This memorandum provided that the Regional Board would ensure the financial participation of individual permittees. (*Ibid.*) Last, the DPD cites the Regional Board's 2007 Basin Plan, which reported that permittees "fund the RMP as a requirement of their permits." (*Id.* at p. 188.) The DPD thus concludes that the financial contribution requirement is not a new program or higher level of service.

² Order No. 01-124 was subsequently amended by Order No. 01-119 on October 17, 2001, and Order No. R2-2005-0035 on July 20, 2005. Neither of these amendments is relevant to the Test Claim or DPD, as the amendments added requirements to provision C.3 (concerning new development and significant redevelopment), which was not raised in the Test Claim.

Re: Comments on Draft Proposed Decision, 10-TC-03

Date: October 28, 2024

Page 4 of 9

The County disagrees with the DPD's finding as to C.8.b for two reasons. First, the DPD does not "compare the legal requirements imposed by the new permit with those in effect before the new permit became effective." (*Dept. of Finance, supra*, 85 Cal.App.5th at p. 559.) The relevant legal requirements are those in effect immediately preceding the new requirements. (*Id.* at pp. 559-560 [noting "the challenged permit conditions . . . compared to the prior permit].) Neither a permittee's voluntary participation in a program, a Regional Board directive to its staff, nor an MOU between the Regional Board and a nonprofit constitutes the Prior Permit, which merely offered RMP participation as a possible option, and certainly did not require financial contributions to the RMP.

Moreover, no legal requirements other than those in the Prior Permit were "in effect before the new permit became effective." The Prior Permit superseded earlier permits that allegedly imposed requirements on the permittees. (Order No. 01-024 at p. 11.) Because the Prior Permit is the only relevant order as to legal requirements in effect immediately prior to the Permit, any other past legal requirements are irrelevant.

Second, the DPD does not cite any evidence to support its assertion that the permittees had any prior legal requirement to financially support the RMP. No source cited by the DPD imposes a legal requirement on the permittees to fund the RMP. The 1992 Regional Board resolution imposed no requirement on the permittees to fund the RMP. It only required the Executive Director to report on cost-sharing arrangements. Similarly, the Regional Board's 1992 MOU with the San Francisco Estuary Institute's predecessor did not impose any legal requirement on the permittees, and there is no mention of how the Regional Board would ensure the financial participation of permittees.

Nor did the language in the 2007 Basin Plan impose any legal requirement on the permittees. The 2007 Basin Plan's reference to a supposed legal requirement for funding the RMP is inadequate hearsay evidence. Commission regulations underscore that hearsay evidence may only supplement other evidence and "shall not be sufficient in itself to support a finding unless it would be admissible over objection in civil actions." (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1187.5, subd. (a).) Here, 2007 Basin Plan is hearsay "offered to prove the truth of the matter stated," or the existence of a prior legal requirement. (Evid. Code, § 1200, subd. (a).) It would not be admissible under even the official records exception, which requires that the "writing was made at or near the time of the act, condition, or event." (Evid. Code, § 1280, subd. (a).) The 2007 Basin Plan was adopted years after the Prior Permit, and still more years after the purported inception of the financial contribution requirement.

The need for primary evidence of a legal requirement is not an exercise in splitting hairs. Citation to authority is necessary to parse the meaning of a mandatory duty. (See *Hacala v. Bird Rides, Inc.* (2023) 90 Cal. App. 5th 292, 305 [explaining that

Re: Comments on Draft Proposed Decision, 10-TC-03

Date: October 28, 2024

Page 5 of 9

whether a permit: "creates a mandatory duty is a question of law" that courts decide via "statutory interpretation"].) Indeed, the actual legal language is the "most important guide" in determining whether "a particular statute is intended to impose a mandatory duty, rather than a mere obligation to perform a discretionary function." (*Id.* at p. 306.) This principle is foundational to Section 6, as courts routinely assess whether "executive action uses mandatory language that 'require[s]' or 'command[s]' a local entity to participate in a program or service." (*Coast Community College Dist. v. Commission on State Mandates* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 800, 815.) The Basin Plan did not.

The County urges the Commission to reject the draft analysis in the DPD as to provision C.8.b. because the Permit imposed a new legal requirement on the permittees to comply with a new program within the meaning of Section 6. Accordingly, the County is entitled to reimbursement for its mandated financial contributions to the RMP.

B. By expanding the number of monitoring sites and parameters, and by intensifying the level of monitoring needed, provision C.8.c imposes a new program or higher level of service.

Provision C.8.c requires permittees to conduct annual status monitoring in local receiving waters using sampling site frequencies and methods specified in the Permit. Compared to the workplans submitted under the Prior Permit, C.8.c expands the number of monitoring sites and parameters. The Permit added 20 additional sites per year to algal bioassessments, 23 additional sites per year for chlorine samples, 8 additional sites per year for temperature samples, 7 additional sites per year for other nutrients and similar parameters, and 6 additional sites per year to stream surveys. (Test Claim, at p. 13, comparing Permit, at pp. 65-71 with Prior Permit, at pp. 18-19.) In addition, C.8.c required permittees to use expanded Surface Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP) protocols. (Permit, at pp. 65-71.) Compared to the legal requirements in the Prior Permit, the expanded SWAMP protocols require, on average, nearly double the site monitoring activities and personnel. (Test Claim, at pp. 22-23.)

The DPD asserts that Permit provision C.8.c does not require subvention because it does not mandate a new program or higher level of service. (DPD, at p. 192.) Without responding to the new requirements related to additional sites and expanded protocols, the DPD notes that Santa Clara's 2004 Revised Multi-Year Monitoring Plan also includes screening level indicators for general water quality and rapid bioassessment, among others, as well as monitoring requirements for temperature and nutrients." (DPD, at pp. 205-206.) The DPD also asserts that the Prior Permit authorized the Regional Board to impose additional monitoring requirements if the permittees reported exceedances of water quality standards or water quality objectives. (*Id.* at p. 208.)

Re: Comments on Draft Proposed Decision, 10-TC-03

Date: October 28, 2024

Page 6 of 9

This type of reasoning was previously rejected in *Department of Finance*. The DPD analysis is tantamount to the conclusion that C.8.c does not impose a new program or higher level of service because the Prior Permit was designed to satisfy the same standard of performance and could have imposed the same duties as the Permit to meet the same high level permit requirement.

But "[t]o determine whether a program imposed by the permit is new, [courts] compare the legal requirements imposed by the new permit with those in effect before the new permit became effective. . . [citations omitted]. This is so even though the conditions were designed to satisfy the same standard of performance." (*Department of Finance*, *supra*, 85 Cal.App.5th at pp. 581-582.) In that case, which concerned the County of San Diego's 2007 NPDES permit, the State argued that the challenged provision was not new "because it does not increase permittees' underlying obligation to eliminate or reduce the discharge of pollutants from their municipal separate storm sewer systems ("MS4s") to the maximum extent practicable. Rather, the condition ensures compliance with the same standard that has applied since 1990 when permittees obtained their first permit." (*Id.* at p. 581.)

The court rejected this argument: "Section 6, however, does not turn on whether the underlying obligation to abate pollution remains the same." (*Id.* at pp. 581-582.) Instead, courts must evaluate the "specific conditions" that the State imposes using "its discretionary authority with each permit." (*Id.* at p. 582.) Even if those "specific conditions" are "designed to satisfy the same level of performance," they may still impose a new program or a higher level of service for the purposes of Section 6. (See *id.*)

The County's Test Claim and its subsequent rebuttal to the Regional Board's comments demonstrate the additional requirements and enhanced level of service that provision C.8.c imposes was in excess of the Prior Permit. (Test Claim, at pp. 13, 22-23.) Because the Permit's legal requirements in C.8.c impose a new program or higher level of service compared to the Prior Permit, the County is entitled to reimbursement and urges the Commission to reconsider the DPD's analysis of this provision.

C. By heightening monitoring requirements, provision C.8.d.i and C.8.d.ii impose new programs or higher levels of service.

Provision C.8.d.i requires permittees to conduct site-specific studies to study the stressor or source of stress to water bodies. (DPD, at pp. 71-72.) Those studies should either follow the guidance for Toxicity Reduction Evaluations (TRE) or Toxicity Identification Evaluations (TIE). (*Id.* at p. 71.) In short, if a TRE does not identify the stressor or source, permittees are to use TIE. (*Ibid.*) Where permittees identify such a source, the Permit requires implementing "one or more controls" and continued monitoring. (*Id.* at p. 71.)

Re: Comments on Draft Proposed Decision, 10-TC-03

Date: October 28, 2024

Page 7 of 9

The Prior Permit outlined the previous legal requirements regarding stressor/source identification in provision C.1, which required notification only when the permittee discovered that it was a source of the exceedance in water quality standards. (Prior Permit, at pp. 12-13.) In these circumstances, the Prior Permit required permittees to submit a report to the Regional Board describing best management practices (BMPs) that were being implemented and those planned for later implementation to prevent or reduce the pollutants tied to the exceedance. (*Id.* at p. 13.) Accordingly, the Permit imposes a new program or higher level of service because it requires investigating problems in receiving waters without regard to whether the permittee's MS4 caused or contributed to an exceedance.

Provision C.8.d.ii requires permittees to investigate the effectiveness of BMPs for "stormwater treatment or hydrograph modification control." (Permit, at p. 71.) Under the Prior Permit, permittees could evaluate the effectiveness of BMPs for *any* prevention or control measures, such as street sweeping or restrictions on plastic bags, which would have been sufficient under the old permit. (Prior Permit, at pp. 18-19.) The Permit therefore narrows Permittees' broad discretion to a choice between two options.

The DPD asserts that provisions C.8.d.i and C.8.d.ii do not impose new programs or higher levels of service. First, the DPD points to the 1995 Basin Plan and Santa Clara's 1997 Stormwater Management Plan, as proof that both required monitoring following exceedances, as well as TIE and TRE methods. (DPD, at pp. 209-210.) The DPD then asserts that "[a]II prior permits required the permittees to comply with the discharge prohibitions and receiving water limitations . . . through the timely implementation of BMPs and control measures designed to meet water quality standards." (DPD, at p. 216.) For this reason, the DPD concludes that "the requirement to investigate the effectiveness of BMPs, including stormwater treatment controls, is not new." (*Id.* at p. 217.) Lastly, the DPD states that "the requirement in Section C.8.d.ii of the test claim permit to investigate the effectiveness of just one BMP . . . does not increase the level of service, but limits the level of service compared to prior law." (*Id.* at p. 218.)

The County disagrees with the DPD's determinations regarding C.8.d.i and C.8.d.ii because, again, the DPD fails to apply the proper legal standard. The DPD erroneously argues that the Permit requirements are mere specifications of prior orders, meaning that C.8.d.i and C.8.d.ii satisfy the same standard. For the reasons described above, even if those "specific conditions" are "designed to satisfy the same level of performance," they still impose a new program or a higher level of service for the purposes of Section 6. (See *Dept. of Finance*, *supra*, 85 Cal.App.5th at p. 582.)

The Test Claim adequately demonstrates that the specifications imposed by the Permit increased the level of service to its monitoring efforts vis-à-vis the Prior Permit.

Re: Comments on Draft Proposed Decision, 10-TC-03

Date: October 28, 2024

Page 8 of 9

Where source/stressor monitoring under the Prior Permit was limited under provision C.1 (only notification was required, and then only when the permittee discovered it was causing a violation), the Permit requires investigating problems in receiving waters without regard to whether the permittee's MS4 caused or contributed to an exceedance of water quality standards. And where the Prior Permit allowed permittees to evaluate the effectiveness of BMPs for any prevention or control measures, such as street sweeping or restrictions on plastic bags, the Permit requires investigating the effectiveness of BMPs for "stormwater treatment or hydrograph modification control.". In addition to increasing the level of service by specifying new conditions to satisfy the same level of performance, the Test Claim established that these new conditions also increase costs for the County. (Test Claim, at pp. 14-15; Sommers Decl., Ex. A, at p. 1.)

Accordingly, the County requests that Commission not accept the DPD's determinations as to provisions C.8.d.i and C.8.d.ii, and instead find that both provisions constitute new programs or higher levels of service for which subvention is necessary.

CONCLUSION

Although the County respectfully disagrees with the DPD as to provisions C.8.b, C.8.C, C.8.d.i, and C.8.d.ii, it agrees with the DPD's analysis that other provisions that demonstrate the need for subvention under Section 6. Therefore, the County requests that the Commission direct the revision of the DPD to reverse the determinations under C.8.b, C.8.C, C.8.d.i, and C.8.d.ii.

Last, this proceeding illustrates the challenges facing the test claim process and the urgent need for the State to ensure the Commission has sufficient staff and additional resources needed to timely process test claims. Government Code section 17553, subdivision (a)(2), requires that the Commission adopt a statewide cost estimate "within 12 months after receipt of a test claim." The County filed its Test Claim on October 14, 2010, and revised it on July 18, 2017. On November 21, 2017, the Commission certified as complete the consolidated test claims 10-TC-02, 10-TC-03, and 10-TC-05, and set a hearing date for July 27, 2018. The Draft Proposed Decision was issued on July 9, 2024, and set for hearing on January 24, 2025. the Commission certified as complete the consolidated claims more than six years ago and received the County's Test Claim more than 14 years ago. The County has all the while been charged with implementing the new mandates in the Permit without compensation.

In addition to offending the statutory timeline, such a delay inevitably compromises the efficiency of the test claim process, as every institution involved faces either staff turnover or the need to recall nuances from more than a decade ago. Although the County is grateful to the hardworking staff of the Commission, these delays do not faithfully discharge the State's obligations under Section 6.

Re: Comments on Draft Proposed Decision, 10-TC-03

Date: October 28, 2024

Page 9 of 9

Certification

I certify by my signature below, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the statements made in this document are true and complete to the best of my own personal knowledge or based on information and belief and that I am authorized and competent to do so.

Very truly yours, TONY LOPRESTI County Counsel

ELIZABETH G. PIANCA Assistant County Counsel

RAJIV NARAYAN Deputy County Counsel

3143342

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY EMAIL

I, the undersigned, declare as follows:

I am a resident of the County of Sacramento and I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My place of employment is 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, California 95814.

On October 29, 2024, I served the:

- Current Mailing List dated September 26, 2024
- Claimant's (City of Dublin) and Alameda Countywide Clean Water Program's Comments on the Draft Proposed Decision filed October 28, 2024
- Claimant's (City of San Jose) Comments on the Draft Proposed Decision filed October 28, 2024
- Claimant's (County of Santa Clara) Comments on Draft Proposed Decision filed October 28, 2024
- Water Boards' Comments on Draft Proposed Decision filed October 28, 2024

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region, Order No. R2-2009-0074, 10-TC-02, 10-TC-03, and 10-TC-05
California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region, Order No. R2-2009-0074, Sections C.2.b, C.2.c, C.2.e, C.2.f, C.8.b., C.8.c., C.8.d.i, C.8.d.ii., C.8.d.iii., C.8.e.ii., C.8.e.ii., C.8.e.iii., C.8.e.iv., C.8.e.v., C.8.e.vi., C.8.f., C.8.g.i. (first sentence only), C.8.g.ii., C.8.g.iii., C.8.g.v., C.8.g.v., C.8.g.vi., C.8.g.vii., C.8.h, C.10.a.i., C.10.a.ii., C.10.a.iii., C.10.b.ii., C.10.b.ii., C.10.b.iii., C.10.c., C.10.d.i., C.10.d.ii., C.11.f., and C.12.f., Adopted October 14, 2009 and Effective December 1, 2009

Cities of Dublin and San Jose, and County of Santa Clara, Claimants

By making it available on the Commission's website and providing notice of how to locate it to the email addresses provided on the attached mailing list.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on October 29, 2024 at Sacramento, California.

David Chavez

Commission on State Mandates 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300 Sacramento, CA 95814

(916) 323-3562

COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

Mailing List

Last Updated: 9/26/24

Claim

10-TC-02, 10-TC-03, and 10-TC-05

Number:

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San

Francisco Bay Region, Order No. R2-2009-0074, Provisions

Matter: C.2.b, C.2.c, C.2.e, C.2.f, C.8.b, C.8.c, C.8.d, C.8.e.i, ii, and vi,

C.8.f, C.8.g, C.8.h, C.10.a, C.10.b, C.10.c, C.10.d, C.11.f, and

C.12.f

Claimants: City of Dublin

City of San Jose

County of Santa Clara

TO ALL PARTIES, INTERESTED PARTIES, AND INTERESTED PERSONS:

Each commission mailing list is continuously updated as requests are received to include or remove any party or person on the mailing list. A current mailing list is provided with commission correspondence, and a copy of the current mailing list is available upon request at any time. Except as provided otherwise by commission rule, when a party or interested party files any written material with the commission concerning a claim, it shall simultaneously serve a copy of the written material on the parties and interested parties to the claim identified on the mailing list provided by the commission. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.3.)

Adaoha Agu, County of San Diego Auditor & Controller Department Projects, Revenue and Grants Accounting, 5530 Overland Avenue, Ste. 410, MS:O-53, San Diego, CA 92123

Phone: (858) 694-2129

Adaoha.Agu@sdcounty.ca.gov

Daniel Akagi, Associate Civil Engineer, City of Berkeley

1947 Center Street, 4th Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Phone: (510) 981-6394 dakagi@ci.berkeley.ca.us

Mohamed Alaoui, Public Works Director, City of Emeryville

1333 Park Avenue, Emeryville, CA 94608

Phone: (510) 596-4330

Public Works@emeryville.org

Nicole Almaguer, Environmental Specialist, *City of Albany*

1000 San Pablo Avenue, Albany, CA 94706

Phone: (510) 528-5754 nalmaguer@albanyca.org

Mariles Alvarez, Accountant III, County of Santa Clara

Controller-Treasurer, 70 West Hedding Street, San Jose, CA 95110

Phone: (408) 299-6831

mariles.alvarez@fin.sccgov.org

Rachelle Anema, Division Chief, County of Los Angeles

Accounting Division, 500 W. Temple Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012

Phone: (213) 974-8321

RANEMA@auditor.lacounty.gov

Lili Apgar, Specialist, State Controller's Office

Local Reimbursements Section, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 324-0254

lapgar@sco.ca.gov

Socorro Aquino, State Controller's Office

Division of Audits, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 322-7522 SAquino@sco.ca.gov

Tim Au, Associate Engineer, *Town of Atherton*

80 Fair Oaks Lane, Atherton, CA 94027

Phone: (650) 752-0555

tau@ci.atherton.ca.us

Aaron Avery, Legislative Representative, California Special Districts

Association

1112 I Street Bridge, Suite 200, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 442-7887

Aarona@csda.net

John Bakker, Partner, Redwood Public Law

409 13th St., Suite 600, Oakland, CA 94612

Phone: (510) 877-5815

john.bakker@redwoodpubliclaw.com

Jim Barse, City of Alameda

950 West Mall Square, Room 110, Alameda, CA 94501

Phone: (510) 749-5857 jbarse@alamedaca.gov

Sam Bautista, Director of Engineering and Public Works, City of Millbrae

621 Magnolia Avenue, Millbrae, CA 94030

Phone: (650) 259-2339 sbautista@ci.millbrae.ca.us

Jeanette Bazar, County of Santa Clara

Controller-Treasurer Department, 70 W. Hedding Street, East Wing, 2nd Floor,

San Jose, CA 95112

Phone: (408) 299-5225

Jeanette.Bazar@fin.sccgov.org

Gerry Beaudin, City Manager, City of Pleasanton

123 Main Street, PO Box 520, Pleasanton, CA 94566

Phone: (925) 931-5002

gbeaudin@cityofpleasantonca.gov

Ginni Bella Navarre, Deputy Legislative Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office

925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 319-8342 Ginni.Bella@lao.ca.gov

David Benoun, City Attorney, City of Newark

37101 Newark Boulevard, Newark, CA 94560

Phone: (510) 578-4427

david.benoun@newark.org

Justin Bixby, Maintenance Worker II, Town of Portola Valley

765 Portola Road, Portola Valley, CA 94028

Phone: (650) 851-1700 jbixby@portolavalley.net

Cindy Black, City Clerk, City of St. Helena

1480 Main Street, St. Helena, CA 94574

Phone: (707) 968-2742

ctzafopoulos@cityofsthelena.org

Jonathan Borrego, City Manager, City of Oceanside

300 North Coast Highway, Oceanside, CA 92054

Phone: (760) 435-3065

citymanager@oceansideca.org

Randy Breault, Director of Public Works/City Engineer, City of Brisbane

50 Park Place, Brisbane, CA 94005

Phone: (415) 508-2131 rbreault@ci.brisbane.ca.us

Andrew Brozyna, Public Works Director/City Engineer, City of Foster City

Public Works Department Engineering Division, 610 Foster City Boulevard,

Foster City, CA 94404 Phone: (650) 286-3279 abrozyna@fostercity.org

Serena Bubenheim, Assistant Chief Financial Officer, City of Huntington

Beach

2000 Main Street, Huntington Beach, CA 92648

Phone: (714) 536-5630

serena.bubenheim@surfcity-hb.org

Guy Burdick, Consultant, MGT Consulting

2251 Harvard Street, Suite 134, Sacramento, CA 95815

Phone: (916) 833-7775

gburdick@mgtconsulting.com

Allan Burdick,

7525 Myrtle Vista Avenue, Sacramento, CA 95831

Phone: (916) 203-3608 allanburdick@gmail.com

Shelby Burguan, Budget Manager, City of Newport Beach

100 Civic Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 92660

Phone: (949) 644-3085

sburguan@newportbeachca.gov

Rica Mae Cabigas, Chief Accountant, Auditor-Controller

Accounting Division, 500 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012

Phone: (213) 974-8309

rcabigas@auditor.lacounty.gov

Evelyn Calderon-Yee, Bureau Chief, State Controller's Office

Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments,

3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 324-5919

ECalderonYee@sco.ca.gov

Joan Cassman, Hanson Bridgett LLP

425 Market Street, 26th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94105

Phone: (415) 995-5021

jcassman@hansonbridgett.com

Sheri Chapman, General Counsel, League of California Cities

1400 K Street, Suite 400, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 658-8267 schapman@calcities.org

Sean Charpentier, Executive Director, City/County Association of

Governments of San Mateo County

555 County Center, 5th Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063

Phone: (650) 599-1409 scharpentier@smcgov.org

Matthew Chidester, City Manager, City of Half Moon Bay

501 Main Street, Half Moon Bay, CA 94019

Phone: (650) 726-8272 MChidester@hmbcity.com

Annette Chinn, Cost Recovery Systems, Inc.

705-2 East Bidwell Street, #294, Folsom, CA 95630

Phone: (916) 939-7901 achinners@aol.com

Carolyn Chu, Senior Fiscal and Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office

925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 319-8326 Carolyn.Chu@lao.ca.gov

Michael Coleman, Coleman Advisory Services

2217 Isle Royale Lane, Davis, CA 95616

Phone: (530) 758-3952 coleman@muni1.com

Anthony Condotti, Atchison, Barisone, Condotti & Kovacevich

333 Church Street, Santa Curz, CA 95060

Phone: (831) 423-8383 tcondotti@abc-law.com

Terrance Davis, Public Works Director, City of Berkeley

1947 Center Street, 4th Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Phone: (510) 981-6300

PublicWorks@berkeleyca.gov

Thomas Deak, Senior Deputy, County of San Diego

Office of County Counsel, 1600 Pacific Highway, Room 355, San Diego, CA

92101

Phone: (619) 531-4810

Thomas.Deak@sdcounty.ca.gov

Margaret Demauro, Finance Director, Town of Apple Valley

14955 Dale Evans Parkway, Apple Valley, CA 92307

Phone: (760) 240-7000 mdemauro@applevalley.org

Brad Donohue, Deputy Public Works Director, *Town of Colma*

1188 El Camino Real, Colma, CA 94014

Phone: (650) 757-8895

brad.donohue@colma.ca.gov

Kai Duong, Senior Accountant, County of Santa Clara

Controller-Treasurer, 70 West Hedding Street, San Jose, CA 95110

Phone: (408) 299-5233 kai.duong@fin.sccgov.org

Matt Fabry, Director of Public Works, City of San Mateo

330 West 20th Avenue, San Mateo, CA 94403

Phone: (650) 522-7309

mfabry@cityofsanmateo.org

Soren Fajeau, Senior Civil Engineer, City of Newark

37101 Newark Boulevard, Newark, CA 94560

Phone: (510) 578-4286 soren.fajeau@newark.org

Donna Ferebee, Department of Finance

915 L Street, Suite 1280, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-8918 donna.ferebee@dof.ca.gov

Tim Flanagan, Office Coordinator, Solano County

Register of Voters, 678 Texas Street, Suite 2600, Fairfield, CA 94533

Phone: (707) 784-3359

Elections@solanocounty.com

Jennifer Fordyce, Assistant Chief Counsel, *State Water Resources Control Board*

Office of Chief Counsel, 1001 I Street, 22nd floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 324-6682

Jennifer. For dyce@waterboards. ca.gov

Craig Foster, Chief Operating Officer, *Building Industry Legal Defense Foundation*

Building Association of Southern California, 17744 Sky Park Circle, Suite

170, Irvine, Irvin 92614 Phone: (949) 553-9500 cfoster@biasc.org

Nora Frimann, City Attorney, City of San Jose

Claimant Representative

200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor, San Jose, CA 95113

Phone: (408) 535-1900 nora.frimann@sanjoseca.gov

Sylvia Gallegos, Deputy County Executive, County of Santa Clara

70 West Hedding Street, 11th Floor, San Jose, CA 95110-1770

Phone: (408) 299-5106

sylvia.gallegos@ceo.sccgov.org

Juliana Gmur, Commission on State Mandates

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562 juliana.gmur@csm.ca.gov

Sharon Gosselin, Associate Environmental Compliance Specialist, County of

Alameda, Alameda Co Flood Control & Wate

399 Elmhurst Street, Hayward, CA 94544

Phone: (510) 670-6547 sharon@acpwa.org

Gary Grimm, Law Office of Gary J. Grimm

2390 Vine Street, Berkeley, CA 94708

Phone: (510) 848-4140

ggrimm@garygrimmlaw.com

Kathy Guarnieri, Environmental Services Manager, City of Fremont

39550 Liberty Street, Fremont, CA 94537

Phone: (510) 494-4583 kcote@fremont.gov

Michael Guina, City Attorney, City of Burlingame

501 Primrose Road, Burlingame, CA 94010

Phone: (650) 558-7204 mguina@burlingame.org

Catherine George Hagan, Senior Staff Counsel, State Water Resources

Control Board

c/o San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board, 2375 Northside Drive,

Suite 100, San Diego, CA 92108

Phone: (619) 521-3012

catherine.hagan@waterboards.ca.gov

Heather Halsey, Executive Director, Commission on State Mandates

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562 heather.halsey@csm.ca.gov

Kristin Hathaway, Watershed and Stormwater Management Supervisor, *City of Oakland*

250 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Oakland, CA 94612-2034

Phone: (510) 238-7571 khathaway@oaklandca.gov

Chris Hill, Principal Program Budget Analyst, *Department of Finance* Local Government Unit, 915 L Street, 8th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274 Chris.Hill@dof.ca.gov

Tiffany Hoang, Associate Accounting Analyst, *State Controller's Office* Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 323-1127 THoang@sco.ca.gov

Heather Hong, Attorney, *Burke, Williams & Sorensen, LLP* 60 South Market Street, Suite 1000, San Jose, CA 95113

Phone: (408) 606-6300 hhong@bwslaw.com

Mary Eleonor Ignacio, Assistant City Attorney, Redwood City

400 County Ctr, Redwood City, CA 94063

Phone: (650) 780-7200 eignacio@redwoodcity.org

Irene Islas, Best Best & Krieger,LLP

2001 N Main St, Suite 390, Walnut Creek, CA 94596

Phone: (925) 977-3300 irene.islas@bbklaw.com

Jason Jennings, Director, Maximus Consulting

Financial Services, 808 Moorefield Park Drive, Suite 205, Richmond, VA 23236

Phone: (804) 323-3535 SB90@maximus.com

Angelo Joseph, Supervisor, State Controller's Office

Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 323-0706 AJoseph@sco.ca.gov

Michelle Kenyon, City Attorney, City of Pacifica

540 Crespi Drive, Pacifica, CA 94044

Phone: (650) 738-7409 cmoffice@pacifica.gov

Anita Kerezsi, AK & Company

2425 Golden Hill Road, Suite 106, Paso Robles, CA 93446

Phone: (805) 239-7994 akcompanysb90@gmail.com

Joanne Kessler, Fiscal Specialist, City of Newport Beach

Revenue Division, 100 Civic Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 90266

Phone: (949) 644-3199

jkessler@newportbeachca.gov

Robin Kim, Wastewater Superintendent, City of Redwood City

1400 Broadway Street, Redwood City, CA 94063

Phone: (650) 780-7477 rkim@redwoodcity.org

Kristopher Kokotaylo, Partner, Redwood Public Law

409 13th St., Suite 600, Oakland, CA 94612

Phone: (510) 877-5830

kristopher.kokotaylo@redwoodpubliclaw.com

Lisa Kurokawa, Bureau Chief for Audits, State Controller's Office

Compliance Audits Bureau, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 327-3138 lkurokawa@sco.ca.gov

Terence Kyaw, Public Works Service Director, City of Redwood City

1400 Broadway Street, Redwood City, CA 94063-2505

Phone: (650) 780-7466 tkyaw@redwoodcity.org

Justin Lai, City of Foster City

Public Works, 610 Foster City Boulevard, Foster City, CA 94404

Phone: (650) 286-3270

ilai@fostercity.org

Margo Laskowska, City of San Jose

Office of the City Attorney, 200 E Santa Clara St, 16th Floor, San Jose, CA 95113

Phone: (408) 535-1969

margo.laskowska@sanjoseca.gov

Michael Lauffer, Chief Counsel, State Water Resources Control Board

1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814-2828

Phone: (916) 341-5183

michael.lauffer@waterboards.ca.gov

Eric Lawyer, Legislative Advocate, *California State Association of Counties*

Government Finance and Administration, 1100 K Street, Suite 101,

Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 650-8112

elawyer@counties.org

John Le, City Attorney, City of East Palo Alto

2415 University Avenue, East Palo Alto, CA 94303

Phone: (650) 853-5921

ile@cityofepa.org

Kim-Anh Le, Deputy Controller, County of San Mateo

555 County Center, 4th Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063

Phone: (650) 599-1104

kle@smcgov.org

Matthew Lee, Public Works Director, City of San Bruno

567 El Camino Real, San Bruno, CA 94066

Phone: (650) 616-7065

mlee@sanbruno.ca.gov

Fernando Lemus, Principal Accountant - Auditor, County of Los Angeles

Auditor-Controller's Office, 500 West Temple Street, Room 603, Los Angeles, CA 90012

Phone: (213) 974-0324

flemus@auditor.lacounty.gov

Elliott Levitsky, Zone 7 Water Agency

100 North Canyons Parkway, Livermore, CA 94551

Phone: (925) 454-5033

elevitsky@zone7water.com

Erika Li, Chief Deputy Director, Department of Finance

915 L Street, 10th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274

erika.li@dof.ca.gov

Keith Lichten, Division Chief, San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board

Watershed Management, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400, Oakland, CA 94612

Phone: (510) 622-2380

klichten@waterboards.ca.gov

Diego Lopez, Consultant, Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee

1020 N Street, Room 502, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 651-4103 Diego.Lopez@sen.ca.gov

Selina Louie, Water Resource Control Engineer, San Francisco Bay Regional

Water Quality Control Board

1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400, Oakland, CA 94612

Phone: (510) 622-2383

SLouie@waterboards.ca.gov

Everett Luc, Accounting Administrator I, Specialist, State Controller's Office

3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 323-0766

ELuc@sco.ca.gov

Steven Machida, Director, City of San Carlos

Public Works, 600 Elm Street, San Carlos, CA 94070

Phone: (650) 802-4203

smachida@cityofsancarlos.org

Jill Magee, Program Analyst, Commission on State Mandates

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562 Jill.Magee@csm.ca.gov

Jennifer Maguire, City Manager, City of San Jose

Claimant Contact

200 East Santa Clara Street, San Jose, CA 95113

Phone: (408) 535-8111

Jennifer.Maguire@sanjoseca.gov

Darryl Mar, Manager, State Controller's Office

3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 323-0706 DMar@sco.ca.gov

Debra Margolis, City of Fremont

3300 Capitol Avenue, Building A, Fremont, CA 94538

Phone: (510) 284-4030 dmargolis@fremont.gov

Joseph Martinez, Acting Lead, San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality

Control Board

1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400, Oakland, CA 94612

Phone: (510) 622-2304

Joseph.Martinez@Waterboards.ca.gov

Steven Mattas, Partner, Redwood Public Law

409 13th St., Suite 600, Oakland, CA 94612

Phone: (510) 282-7033

steve.mattas@redwoodpubliclaw.com

Tina McKendell, County of Los Angeles

Auditor-Controller's Office, 500 West Temple Street, Room 603, Los Angeles,

CA 90012

Phone: (213) 974-0324

tmckendell@auditor.lacounty.gov

Michelle Mendoza, MAXIMUS

17310 Red Hill Avenue, Suite 340, Irvine, CA 95403

Phone: (949) 440-0845

michellemendoza@maximus.com

Azalea Mitch, Public Works Director, City of Menlo Park

701 Laurel Street, Menlo Park, CA 94025

Phone: (650) 330-6692 aamitch@menlopark.gov

Joseph Monical, Water Resource Control Engineer, San Francisco Bay

Regional Water Quality Control Board

1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400, Oakland, CA 94612

Phone: (510) 622-2304

Joseph.Monical@Waterboards.ca.gov

Jill Mova, Financial Services Director, City of Oceanside

300 North Coast Highway, Oceanside, CA 92054

Phone: (760) 435-3887 jmoya@oceansideca.org

Thomas Mumley, Assistant Executive Officer, San Francisco Bay Regional

Water Quality Control Board

1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400, Oakland, CA 94612

Phone: (510) 622-2395

thomas.mumley@waterboards.ca.gov

Marilyn Munoz, Senior Staff Counsel, Department of Finance

915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-8918

Marilyn.Munoz@dof.ca.gov

Justin Murphy, City Manager, City of Menlo Park

701 Laurel Street, Menlo Park, CA 94025

Phone: (650) 330-6725

jicmurphy@menlopark.gov

Kaleb Neufeld, Assistant Controller, City of Fresno

2600 Fresno Street, Fresno, CA 93721

Phone: (559) 621-2489

Kaleb.Neufeld@fresno.gov

Gregory Newmark, Meyers Nave

Claimant Representative

707 Wilshire Blvd., 24th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90017

Phone: (213) 626-2906

gnewmark@meyersnave.com

Andy Nichols, Nichols Consulting

1857 44th Street, Sacramento, CA 95819

Phone: (916) 455-3939

andy@nichols-consulting.com

Adriana Nunez, Staff Counsel, State Water Resources Control Board

Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor,

Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 322-3313

Adriana.Nunez@waterboards.ca.gov

Margaret Olaiya, Director of Finance, County of Santa Clara

Claimant Contact

70 West Hedding Street, East Wing, 2nd Floor, San Jose, CA 95110

Phone: (408) 299-5200

Margaret.Olaiya@fin.sccgov.org

Eric Oppenheimer, Executive Director, State Water Resources Control Board

1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814-2828

Phone: (916) 341-5615

eric.oppenheimer@waterboards.ca.gov

Celso Ortiz, City of Oakland

One Frank Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor, Oakland, CA 94612

Phone: (510) 238-6236

cortiz@oaklandcityattorney.org

Robert Ovadia, Public Works Director/City Engineer, Town of Atherton

80 Fair Oaks Lane, Atherton, CA 94027

Phone: (650) 752-0541 rovadia@ci.atherton.ca.us

Patricia Pacot, Accountant Auditor I, County of Colusa

Office of Auditor-Controller, 546 Jay Street, Suite #202, Colusa, CA 95932

Phone: (530) 458-0424 ppacot@countyofcolusa.org

Arthur Palkowitz, Law Offices of Arthur M. Palkowitz

12807 Calle de la Siena, San Diego, CA 92130

Phone: (858) 259-1055

law@artpalk.onmicrosoft.com

Kirsten Pangilinan, Specialist, State Controller's Office

Local Reimbursements Section, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 322-2446 KPangilinan@sco.ca.gov

Elizabeth Pianca, Deputy County Counsel, County of Santa Clara

Claimant Representative

70 West Hedding Street, East Wing, 9th Floor, San Jose, CA 95110-1770

Phone: (408) 299-5920

elizabeth.pianca@cco.sccgov.org

Thomas Piccolotti, City Manager, City of Daly City

333-90th Street, Daly City, CA 94015

Phone: (650) 991-8127 tpiccolotti@dalycity.org

Johnnie Pina, Legislative Policy Analyst, *League of Cities*

1400 K Street, Suite 400, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 658-8214 jpina@cacities.org

Richard Pio Roda, Partner, Redwood Public Law

409 13th St., Suite 600, Oakland, CA 94612

Phone: (510) 877-5845

richard@redwoodpubliclaw.com

Jai Prasad, County of San Bernardino

Office of Auditor-Controller, 222 West Hospitality Lane, 4th Floor, San

Bernardino, CA 92415-0018

Phone: (909) 386-8854

jai.prasad@sbcountyatc.gov

Valerie Pryor, General Manager, Alameda County Flood Control & Water

Conservation

District Zone 7, 100 North Canyons Parkway, Livermore, CA 94551

Phone: (925) 454-5000 vpryor@zone7water.com

Jonathan Quan, Associate Accountant, County of San Diego

Projects, Revenue, and Grants Accounting, 5530 Overland Ave, Suite 410, San

Diego, CA 92123 Phone: 6198768518

Jonathan.Quan@sdcounty.ca.gov

Veronica Ramirez, City of Redwood City

1017 Middlefield Road, Redwood City, CA 94063

Phone: (650) 780-7200 vramirez@redwoodcity.org

Roberta Raper, Director of Finance, City of West Sacramento

1110 West Capitol Ave, West Sacramento, CA 95691

Phone: (916) 617-4509

robertar@cityofwestsacramento.org

Prasanna Rasiah, City Attorney, City of San Mateo

330 W. 20th Avenue, San Mateo, CA 94403

Phone: (650) 522-7020

CityAttorneysOffice@cityofsanmateo.org

George Rodericks, City Manager, Town of Atherton

91 Ashfield Road, Atherton, CA 94027

Phone: (650) 752-0504

grodericks@ci.atherton.ca.us

Eren Romero, Business Manager, City of Menlo Park

Department of Public Works, 701 Laurel Street, Menlo Park, CA 94025-3483

Phone: (650) 330-6755 eromero@menlopark.gov

Sean Rose, Town Engineer, Town of Woodside

2955 Woodside Road, Woodside, CA 94062

Phone: (650) 851-6790 srose@woodsidetown.org

Michael Roush, Emergency Services-Marina Services-Public Works

50 Park Place, Brisbane, CA 94005

Phone: (415) 508-2136 mroush@ci.brisbane.ca.us

Teresita Sablan, State Water Resources Control Board

Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board and San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 341-5174

Teresita.Sablan@waterboards.ca.gov

Jessica Sankus, Senior Legislative Analyst, California State Association of Counties (CSAC)

Government Finance and Administration, 1100 K Street, Suite 101,

Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 327-7500

jsankus@counties.org

James Scanlin, Environmental Compliance Specialist, County of Alameda

Public Works, 399 Elmhurst Street, Hayward, CA 94544

Phone: (510) 670-6548

jims@acpwa.org

Cindy Sconce, Director, Government Consulting Partners

5016 Brower Court, Granite Bay, CA 95746

Phone: (916) 276-8807

cindysconcegcp@gmail.com

Tracy Scramaglia, Assistant Public Works Director, City of Belmont

One Twin Pines Lane, Belmont, CA 94002

Phone: (650) 595-7469 jmcneill@sandiego.gov

Camille Shelton, Chief Legal Counsel, Commission on State Mandates

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562

camille.shelton@csm.ca.gov

Carla Shelton, Senior Legal Analyst, Commission on State Mandates

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562 carla.shelton@csm.ca.gov

Natalie Sidarous, Chief, State Controller's Office

Local Government Programs and Services Division, 3301 C Street, Suite 740,

Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: 916-445-8717 NSidarous@sco.ca.gov

Anthony Smith, Water Resources Manager, City of Livermore

Water Resources, 1052 S. Livermore Avenue, Livermore, CA 94550

Phone: (925) 960-8100 awsmith@livermoreca.gov

Daniel Sodergren, City Attorney, City of Pleasanton

123 Main Street, Pleasanton, CA 94566

Phone: (925) 931-5018

dsodergren@cityofpleasantonca.gov

Paul Steenhausen, Principal Fiscal and Policy Analyst, *Legislative Analyst's Office*

925 L Street, Suite 1000, , Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 319-8303

Paul.Steenhausen@lao.ca.gov

Ann Stillman, Director of Public Works, County of San Mateo

555 County Center, 5th Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063

Phone: (650) 363-4100 astillman@smcgov.org

Patrick Sweetland, City of Daly City

153 Lake Merced Boulevard, Daly City, CA 94015

Phone: (650) 991-8201 psweetland@dalycity.org

Julie Testa, Vice Mayor, City of Pleasanton

123 Main Street PO Box520, Pleasanton, CA 94566

Phone: (925) 872-6517

Jtesta@cityofpleasantonca.gov

Jolene Tollenaar, MGT Consulting Group

2251 Harvard Street, Suite 134, Sacramento, CA 95815

Phone: (916) 243-8913 jolenetollenaar@gmail.com

Annie Tom, County of Santa Clara

Controller - Treasurer Department, 70 West Hedding Street, East Wing, San

Jose, CA 95110

Phone: (408) 299-5265 annie.tom@fin.sccgov.org

Colleen Tribby, Finance Director, City of Dublin

Claimant Contact

100 Civic Plaza, Dublin, CA 94568

Phone: (925) 833-6640

colleen.tribby@dublin.ca.gov

Catherina Tsang, Controller-Treasurer Division Manager, County of Santa Clara

Controller-Treasurer, 70 West Hedding Street, San Jose, CA 95110

Phone: (408) 299-5216

catherina.tsang@fin.sccgov.org

Brian Uhler, Principal Fiscal & Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office

925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 319-8328

Brian.Uhler@LAO.CA.GOV

Nawel Voelker, Acting Director of Finance (Management Analyst), City of Relmont

Finance Department, One Twin Pines Lane, Belmont, CA 94002

Phone: (650) 595-7433 nvoelker@belmont.gov

Victor Voong, Associate Engineer, City of Burlingame

501 Primrose Road, Burlingame, CA 94010

Phone: (650) 558-7242 vvoong@burlingame.org

Emel Wadhwani, Senior Staff Counsel, State Water Resources Control Board

Office of Chief Counsel, 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 322-3622

emel.wadhwani@waterboards.ca.gov

Ada Waelder, Legislative Analyst, Government Finance and Administration,

California State Association of Counties (CSAC)

1100 K Street, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 327-7500 awaelder@counties.org

Athena Watson, Zone 7 Water Agency

100 North Canyons Parkway, Livermore, CA 94551

Phone: (925) 454-5033 athena@zone7water.com

Renee Wellhouse, David Wellhouse & Associates, Inc.

3609 Bradshaw Road, H-382, Sacramento, CA 95927

> Phone: (916) 797-4883 dwa-renee@surewest.net

Adam Whelen, Director of Public Works, City of Anderson

1887 Howard St., Anderson, CA 96007

Phone: (530) 378-6640 awhelen@ci.anderson.ca.us

Eileen White, Executive Officer, San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality

Control Board

1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400, Oakland, CA 94612

Phone: (510) 622-2300

Eileen.White@waterboards.ca.gov

Scott Wikstrom, City Engineer, City of Alameda

950 West Mall Square, Alameda, CA 94501

Phone: (510) 747-7930 swikstrom@alamedaca.gov

Paul Willis, Director of Public Works, *Town of Hillsborough*

1600 Floribunda Avenue, Hillsborough, CA 94010

Phone: (650) 375-7444 pwillis@hillsborough.net

Colleen Winchester, Senior Deputy City Attorney, City of San Jose

200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor, San Jose, CA 95113

Phone: (408) 535-1987

Colleen. Winchester@sanjoseca.gov

Yuri Won, Attorney, Office of Chief Counsel, State Water Resources Control

Board

San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1001 I Street, 22nd

Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 327-4439

Yuri.Won@waterboards.ca.gov

Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez, Deputy Executive Director for Legislative

Affairs, California State Association of Counties (CSAC)

1100 K Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 650-8104

jwong-hernandez@counties.org

Elisa Wynne, Staff Director, Senate Budget & Fiscal Review Committee

California State Senate, State Capitol Room 5019, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 651-4103 elisa.wynne@sen.ca.gov

Kaily Yap, Budget Analyst, Department of Finance

Local Government Unit, 915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274 Kaily.Yap@dof.ca.gov

Helmholst Zinser-Watkins, Associate Governmental Program Analyst, State

Controller's Office

Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 324-7876

HZinser-watkins@sco.ca.gov