

September 29, 2021

Captain Jeffrey Jordon Ms. Natalie Sidarous City of San Diego State Controller's Office

San Diego Police Department Local Government Programs and

1401 Broadway Services Division

San Diego, CA 92101 3301 C Street, Suite 740 Sacramento, CA 95816

And Parties, Interested Parties, and Interested Persons (See Mailing List)

Re: Decision and Parameters and Guidelines

Sexual Assault Evidence Kits: Testing, 20-TC-01

Penal Code Section 680 as Amended by Statutes 2019, Chapter 588 (SB 22)

City of San Diego, Claimant

Dear Captain Jordon and Ms. Sidarous:

On September 24, 2021 the Commission on State Mandates adopted the Decision and Parameters and Guidelines on the above-captioned matter.

Please keep the Decision and Parameters and Guidelines together as one document, as it together constitutes the entire decision of the Commission and the "Decision" portion informs the interpretation of the "Parameters and Guidelines." It is hoped that by providing the entire Decision and Parameters and Guidelines with the claiming instructions that claimants will be better equipped to correctly claim reimbursement, resulting in fewer reductions upon audit and fewer incorrect reduction claims.

Sincerely,

Heather Halsey Executive Director

J:\MANDATES\2020\TC\20-TC-01 Sexual Assault Evidence Kits Testing\Correspondence\Decision and Ps and Gs trans.docx

BEFORE THE COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES

FOR:

Penal Code Section 680 as Amended by

Statutes 2019, Chapter 588 (SB 22)

The period of reimbursement begins January 1, 2020.

Case No.: 20-TC-01

Sexual Assault Evidence Kits: Testing

DECISION PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 17500 ET SEQ.; CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 2, DIVISION 2, CHAPTER 2.5,

ARTICLE 7.

(Adopted September 24, 2021)

(Served September 29, 2021)

PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES

The Commission on State Mandates adopted the attached Decision and Parameters and Guidelines on September 24, 2021.

Heather Halsey, Executive Director

BEFORE THE

COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES FOR:

Penal Code Section 680 as Amended by Statutes 2019, Chapter 588 (SB 22)

The period of reimbursement begins January 1, 2020.

Case No.: 20-TC-01

Sexual Assault Evidence Kits: Testing

DECISION PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 17500 ET SEQ.; CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 2, DIVISION 2, CHAPTER 2.5, ARTICLE 7.

(Adopted September 24, 2021)

(Served September 29, 2021)

DECISION

The Commission on State Mandates (Commission) heard and decided the Decision and Parameters and Guidelines during a regularly scheduled hearing on September 24, 2021. Captain Jeffrey Jordon appeared on behalf of the City of San Diego (claimant). Brittany Thompson appeared on behalf of the Department of Finance.

The law applicable to the Commission's determination of a reimbursable state-mandated program is article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution, Government Code sections 17500 et seq., and related case law.

The Commission adopted the Decision and Parameters and Guidelines by a vote of 6-0, as follows:

Member	Vote
Lee Adams, County Supervisor	Yes
Natalie Kuffel, Representative of the Director of the Office of Planning and Research	Yes
Gayle Miller, Representative of the Director of the Department of Finance, Chairperson	Yes
Sarah Olsen, Public Member	Yes
Yvette Stowers, Representative of the State Controller, Vice Chairperson	Yes
Spencer Walker, Representative of the State Treasurer	Yes

I. Summary of the Mandate

These Parameters and Guidelines address the state-mandated activities arising from Statutes 2019, chapter 588 (SB 22), which amended Penal Code section 680 to require law enforcement agencies to perform specified activities relating to DNA testing of sexual assault forensic evidence within specified time periods.

On July 23, 2021, the Commission on State Mandates (Commission) adopted the Test Claim Decision, finding that the test claim statute imposes a reimbursable state-mandated program within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution and Government Code section 17514 and requires city and county law enforcement agencies to perform the following mandated activities, beginning January 1, 2020:

- 1. A law enforcement agency in whose jurisdiction a sex offense specified in Penal Code sections 261, 261.5, 262, 286, 287, or 289 or former section 288a occurred shall do one of the following for any sexual assault forensic evidence received by the law enforcement agency on or after January 1, 2016:
 - a. Submit sexual assault forensic evidence to the crime lab within 20 days after booked into evidence; *or*
 - b. Ensure that a rapid turnaround DNA program is in place (with a written agreement between the law enforcement agency, the crime lab, and the medical facility pursuant to Penal Code section 680(c)(5)) to submit sexual assault forensic evidence directly from the medical facility examining the victim to the crime lab within five days. (Penal Code 680(c)(1), Stats. 2019, ch. 588.)
- 2. For any sexual assault forensic evidence received on or after January 1, 2016, the law enforcement's crime lab shall do one of the following:
 - a. Process sexual assault forensic evidence, creating DNA profiles when able, and upload qualifying DNA profiles into CODIS as soon as practically possible, but no later than 120 days after initial receipt; *or*
 - b. Transmit sexual assault forensic evidence to another crime lab for DNA processing as soon as practically possible, but no later than 30 days after initial receipt. The transmitting crime lab shall upload into CODIS any qualifying DNA profiles from sexual assault forensic evidence as soon as practically possible, but no longer than 30 days after being notified about the presence of DNA and no later than 120 days after the transmitting crime lab initially receives the evidence. (Penal Code 680(c)(2), Stats. 2019, ch. 588.)¹

The Commission further concluded that the test claim statute does not mandate city and county law enforcement agencies to conduct follow-up investigations on evidence tested pursuant to the test claim statute.²

¹ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted July 24, 2021.

² Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted July 24, 2021, page 28.

II. Procedural History

On July 23, 2021, the Commission adopted the Decision partially approving the Test Claim,³ and Commission staff issued the Test Claim Decision and Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines.⁴ No comments were filed on the Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines and therefore no draft proposed decision was prepared or issued for comment and the matter was set for the next regularly scheduled hearing, pursuant to section 1183.9(d) of the Commission's regulations.

III. Discussion

The Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines were issued in accordance with section 1183.9 of the Commission's regulations, based on the findings in the Test Claim Decision. No comments were filed on the Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines. Therefore, no "reasonably necessary activities" have been proposed by the parties.

The Commission finds that the Parameters and Guidelines for this program are supported by the findings adopted by the Commission in its Test Claim Decision with respect to the period of reimbursement, eligible claimants, and reimbursable activities.

The Parameters and Guidelines contain the following:

A. Eligible Claimants (Section II. of the Parameters and Guidelines)

The Test Claim Decision found that Penal Code section 680(c)(1) and (2), as amended by Statutes 2019, chapter 588, imposes a reimbursable state-mandated program under article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution, beginning January 1, 2020, on county and city law enforcement agencies, in whose jurisdiction specified sex offenses have occurred.⁵ Therefore, any city, county, or city and county that incurs increased costs as a result of this mandate is an eligible claimant.

B. Period of Reimbursement (Section III. of the Parameters and Guidelines)

Government Code section 17557(e) states that a test claim shall be submitted on or before June 30 following a given fiscal year to establish eligibility for reimbursement for that fiscal year. The claimant filed the Test Claim on December 31, 2020, establishing eligibility for reimbursement for the 2019-2020 fiscal year. Because the effective date of the test claim statute is January 1, 2020, the period of reimbursement begins January 1, 2020.

C. Reimbursable Activities (Section IV. of the Parameters and Guidelines)

The Commission approved the following reimbursable activities:

1. A law enforcement agency in whose jurisdiction a sex offense specified in Penal Code sections 261, 261.5, 262, 286, 287, or 289 or former section 288a occurred shall do one

³ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted July 23, 2021.

⁴ Exhibit B, Draft Expedited Parameters and Guidelines, issued July 23, 2021.

⁵ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted July 23, 2021, pages 22-27.

⁶ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted July 23, 2021, page 21.

of the following for any sexual assault forensic evidence received by the law enforcement agency on or after January 1, 2016:

- a. Submit sexual assault forensic evidence to the crime lab within 20 days after booked into evidence; *or*
- b. Ensure that a rapid turnaround DNA program is in place (with a written agreement between the law enforcement agency, the crime lab, and the medical facility pursuant to Penal Code section 680(c)(5)) to submit sexual assault forensic evidence directly from the medical facility examining the victim to the crime lab within five days. (Penal Code 680(c)(1), Stats. 2019, ch. 588.)
- 2. For any sexual assault forensic evidence received on or after January 1, 2016, the law enforcement's crime lab shall do one of the following:
 - a. Process sexual assault forensic evidence, creating DNA profiles when able, and upload qualifying DNA profiles into CODIS as soon as practically possible, but no later than 120 days after initial receipt; *or*
 - b. Transmit sexual assault forensic evidence to another crime lab for DNA processing as soon as practically possible, but no later than 30 days after initial receipt. The transmitting crime lab shall upload into CODIS any qualifying DNA profiles from sexual assault forensic evidence as soon as practically possible, but no longer than 30 days after being notified about the presence of DNA and no later than 120 days after the transmitting crime lab initially receives the evidence. (Penal Code 680(c)(2), Stats. 2019, ch. 588.)

The Test Claim Decision also found that the test claim statute does not mandate city and county law enforcement agencies to conduct follow-up investigations on evidence tested pursuant to the test claim statute. Neither the claimant nor any other interested parties or persons proposed additional reasonably necessary activities to comply with the mandate. Accordingly, only the activities approved in the Test Claim Decision are included in the Parameters and Guidelines.

D. Remaining Sections of the Parameters and Guidelines

Section V. of the Parameters and Guidelines (Claim Preparation and Submission) identifies the following direct costs that are eligible for reimbursement: salaries and benefits, materials and supplies, contracted services, and fixed assets. Travel and training costs have been deleted from the Proposed Parameters and Guidelines because those activities were not approved in the Test Claim Decision, and the claimant did not request these costs as reasonably necessary to perform the mandated activities nor submit any evidence to support such a request.⁸

The parameters and guidelines shall describe the claimable reimbursable costs and contain the following information: $[\P] \dots [\P]$

⁷ Exhibit A, Test Claim Decision, adopted July 23, 2021, page 28.

⁸ California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1183.7 states:

The remaining sections of the Parameters and Guidelines contain standard boilerplate language.

IV. Conclusion

Based on the foregoing, the Commission hereby adopts the Decision and Parameters and Guidelines.

PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES

Penal Code Section 680 as Amended by Statutes 2019, Chapter 588 (SB 22)

Sexual Assault Evidence Kits: Testing

20-TC-01

Reimbursement for this program begins January 1, 2020.

I. SUMMARY OF THE MANDATE

These Parameters and Guidelines address state-mandated activities arising from Statutes 2019, chapter 588 (SB 22), which amended Penal Code section 680 to require law enforcement agencies to perform specified activities relating to DNA testing of sexual assault forensic evidence within specified time periods.

On July 23, 2021, the Commission on State Mandates (Commission) adopted a Decision finding that the test claim statute imposes a reimbursable state-mandated program within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution and Government Code section 17514, and requires city and county law enforcement agencies to perform the following mandated activities beginning January 1, 2020:

- 1. A law enforcement agency in whose jurisdiction a sex offense specified in Penal Code sections 261, 261.5, 262, 286, 287, or 289 or former section 288a occurred shall do one of the following for any sexual assault forensic evidence received by the law enforcement agency on or after January 1, 2016:
 - a. Submit sexual assault forensic evidence to the crime lab within 20 days after booked into evidence; *or*

⁽d) Reimbursable Activities. A description of the specific costs and types of costs that are reimbursable, including one-time costs and on-going costs, and reasonably necessary activities required to comply with the mandate. "Reasonably necessary activities" are those activities necessary to comply with the statutes, regulations and other executive orders found to impose a state-mandated program. Activities required by statutes, regulations and other executive orders that were not pled in the test claim may only be used to define reasonably necessary activities to the extent that compliance with the approved state-mandated activities would not otherwise be possible. Whether an activity is reasonably necessary is a mixed question of law and fact. All representations of fact to support any proposed reasonably necessary activities shall be supported by documentary evidence in accordance with section 1187.5 of these regulations.

- b. Ensure that a rapid turnaround DNA program is in place (with a written agreement between the law enforcement agency, the crime lab, and the medical facility pursuant to Penal Code section 680(c)(5)) to submit sexual assault forensic evidence directly from the medical facility examining the victim to the crime lab within five days. (Penal Code 680(c)(1), Stats. 2019, ch. 588.)
- 2. For any sexual assault forensic evidence received on or after January 1, 2016, the law enforcement's crime lab shall do one of the following:
 - a. Process sexual assault forensic evidence, creating DNA profiles when able, and upload qualifying DNA profiles into CODIS as soon as practically possible, but no later than 120 days after initial receipt; *or*
 - b. Transmit sexual assault forensic evidence to another crime lab for DNA processing as soon as practically possible, but no later than 30 days after initial receipt. The transmitting crime lab shall upload into CODIS any qualifying DNA profiles from sexual assault forensic evidence as soon as practically possible, but no longer than 30 days after being notified about the presence of DNA and no later than 120 days after the transmitting crime lab initially receives the evidence. (Penal Code 680(c)(2), Stats. 2019, ch. 588.)

The Commission further concluded that the test claim statute does not mandate city and county law enforcement agencies to conduct follow-up investigations on evidence tested pursuant to the test claim statute.

II. ELIGIBLE CLAIMANTS

Any city, county, or city and county that incurs increased costs as a result of this mandate is eligible to claim reimbursement.

III. PERIOD OF REIMBURSEMENT

Government Code section 17557(e) states that a test claim shall be submitted on or before June 30 following a given fiscal year to establish eligibility for that fiscal year. The claimant filed the Test Claim on December 31, 2020, establishing eligibility for reimbursement for the 2019-2020 fiscal year. However, the effective date of the test claim statute is January 1, 2020. Therefore, costs incurred are reimbursable on or after January 1, 2020.

Reimbursement for state-mandated costs may be claimed as follows:

- 1. Actual costs for one fiscal year shall be included in each claim.
- 2. Pursuant to Government Code section 17561(d)(1)(A), all claims for reimbursement of initial fiscal year costs shall be submitted to the State Controller (Controller) within 120 days of the issuance date for the claiming instructions.
- 3. Pursuant to Government Code section 17560(a), a local agency may, by February 15 following the fiscal year in which costs were incurred, file an annual reimbursement claim that details the costs actually incurred for that fiscal year.

- 4. If revised claiming instructions are issued by the Controller pursuant to Government Code section 17558(c), between November 15 and February 15, a local agency filing an annual reimbursement claim shall have 120 days following the issuance date of the revised claiming instructions to file a claim. (Gov. Code §17560(b).)
- 5. If the total costs for a given fiscal year do not exceed \$1,000, no reimbursement shall be allowed except as otherwise allowed by Government Code section 17564(a).
- 6. There shall be no reimbursement for any period in which the Legislature has suspended the operation of a mandate pursuant to state law.

IV. REIMBURSABLE ACTIVITIES

To be eligible for mandated cost reimbursement for any fiscal year, only actual costs may be claimed. Actual costs are those costs actually incurred to implement the mandated activities. Actual costs must be traceable and supported by source documents that show the validity of such costs, when they were incurred, and their relationship to the reimbursable activities. A source document is a document created at or near the same time the actual cost was incurred for the event, or activity in question. Source documents may include, but are not limited to, employee time records or time logs, sign-in sheets, invoices, and receipts.

Evidence corroborating the source documents may include, but is not limited to, worksheets, cost allocation reports (system generated), purchase orders, contracts, agendas, training packets, and declarations. Declarations must include a certification or declaration stating, "I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct," and must further comply with the requirements of Code of Civil Procedure section 2015.5. Evidence corroborating the source documents may include data relevant to the reimbursable activities otherwise in compliance with local, state, and federal government requirements. However, corroborating documents cannot be substituted for source documents.

The claimant is only allowed to claim and be reimbursed for increased costs for reimbursable activities identified below. Increased cost is limited to the cost of an activity that the claimant is required to incur as a result of the mandate.

For each eligible claimant that incurs increased costs, the following activities are reimbursable:

- A law enforcement agency in whose jurisdiction a sex offense specified in Penal Code sections 261, 261.5, 262, 286, 287, or 289 or former section 288a occurred shall do one of the following for any sexual assault forensic evidence received by the law enforcement agency on or after January 1, 2016:
 - O Submit sexual assault forensic evidence to the crime lab within 20 days after booked into evidence; *or*
 - Ensure that a rapid turnaround DNA program is in place (with a written agreement between the law enforcement agency, the crime lab, and the medical facility pursuant to Penal Code section 680(c)(5)) to submit sexual assault forensic evidence directly from the medical facility examining the victim to the crime lab within five days. (Penal Code 680(c)(1), Stats. 2019, ch. 588.)

- For any sexual assault forensic evidence received on or after January 1, 2016, the law enforcement's crime lab shall do one of the following:
 - Process sexual assault forensic evidence, creating DNA profiles when able, and upload qualifying DNA profiles into CODIS as soon as practically possible, but no later than 120 days after initial receipt; or
 - O Transmit sexual assault forensic evidence to another crime lab for DNA processing as soon as practically possible, but no later than 30 days after initial receipt. The transmitting crime lab shall upload into CODIS any qualifying DNA profiles from sexual assault forensic evidence as soon as practically possible, but no longer than 30 days after being notified about the presence of DNA and no later than 120 days after the transmitting crime lab initially receives the evidence. (Penal Code 680(c)(2), Stats. 2019, ch. 588.)

V. CLAIM PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION

Each of the following cost elements must be identified for each reimbursable activity identified in Section IV., Reimbursable Activities, of this document. Each claimed reimbursable cost must be supported by source documentation as described in Section IV. Additionally, each reimbursement claim must be filed in a timely manner.

A. Direct Cost Reporting

Direct costs are those costs incurred specifically for the reimbursable activities. The following direct costs are eligible for reimbursement.

1. Salaries and Benefits

Report each employee implementing the reimbursable activities by name, job classification, and productive hourly rate (total wages and related benefits divided by productive hours). Describe the specific reimbursable activities performed and the hours devoted to each reimbursable activity performed.

2. Materials and Supplies

Report the cost of materials and supplies that have been consumed or expended for the purpose of the reimbursable activities. Purchases shall be claimed at the actual price after deducting discounts, rebates, and allowances received by the claimant. Supplies that are withdrawn from inventory shall be charged on an appropriate and recognized method of costing, consistently applied.

3. Contracted Services

Report the name of the contractor and services performed to implement the reimbursable activities. If the contractor bills for time and materials, report the number of hours spent on the activities and all costs charged. If the contract is a fixed price, report the services that were performed during the period covered by the reimbursement claim. If the contract services are also used for purposes other than the reimbursable activities, only the pro-rata portion of the services used to implement the reimbursable activities can be

claimed. Submit contract consultant and attorney invoices with the claim and a description of the contract scope of services.

4. Fixed Assets

Report the purchase price paid for fixed assets (including computers) necessary to implement the reimbursable activities. The purchase price includes taxes, delivery costs, and installation costs. If the fixed asset is also used for purposes other than the reimbursable activities, only the pro-rata portion of the purchase price used to implement the reimbursable activities can be claimed.

B. Indirect Cost Rates

Indirect costs are costs that are incurred for a common or joint purpose, benefiting more than one program, and are not directly assignable to a particular department or program without efforts disproportionate to the result achieved. Indirect costs may include both: (1) overhead costs of the unit performing the mandate; and (2) the costs of the central government services distributed to the other departments based on a systematic and rational basis through a cost allocation plan.

Compensation for indirect costs is eligible for reimbursement utilizing the procedure provided in 2 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 225 (Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-87). Claimants have the option of using 10 percent of direct labor, excluding fringe benefits, or preparing an Indirect Cost Rate Proposal (ICRP) if the indirect cost rate claimed exceeds 10 percent.

If the claimant chooses to prepare an ICRP, both the direct costs (as defined and described in 2 CFR part 225, appendices A and B (OMB Circular A-87 attachments A & B) and the indirect costs shall exclude capital expenditures and unallowable costs (as defined and described in 2 CFR part 225, appendices A and B (OMB Circular A-87 attachments A & B). However, unallowable costs must be included in the direct costs if they represent activities to which indirect costs are properly allocable.

The distribution base may be: (1) total direct costs (excluding capital expenditures and other distorting items, such as pass-through funds, major subcontracts, etc.); (2) direct salaries and wages; or (3) another base which results in an equitable distribution.

In calculating an ICRP, the claimant shall have the choice of one of the following methodologies:

- 1. The allocation of allowable indirect costs (as defined and described in OMB Circular A-87 attachments A & B) shall be accomplished by: (1) classifying a department's total costs for the base period as either direct or indirect; and (2) dividing the total allowable indirect costs (net of applicable credits) by an equitable distribution base. The result of this process is an indirect cost rate which is used to distribute indirect costs to mandates. The rate should be expressed as a percentage that the total amount of allowable indirect costs bears to the base selected; or
- 2. The allocation of allowable indirect costs (as defined and described in OMB Circular A-87 attachments A & B) shall be accomplished by: (1) separating a department into groups, such as divisions or sections, and then classifying the division's or section's total costs for the base period as either direct or indirect; and (2) dividing the total

allowable indirect costs (net of applicable credits) by an equitable distribution base. The result of this process is an indirect cost rate that is used to distribute indirect costs to mandates. The rate should be expressed as a percentage which the total amount of allowable indirect costs bears to the base selected.

VI. RECORD RETENTION

Pursuant to Government Code section 17558.5(a), a reimbursement claim for actual costs filed pursuant to this chapter⁹ is subject to the initiation of an audit by the Controller no later than three years after the date that the actual reimbursement claim is filed or last amended, whichever is later. However, if no funds are appropriated or no payment is made to a claimant for the program for the fiscal year for which the claim is filed, the time for the Controller to initiate an audit shall commence to run from the date of initial payment of the claim. In any case, an audit shall be completed not later than two years after the date that the audit is commenced. All documents used to support the reimbursable activities, as described in Section IV., must be retained during the period subject to audit. If an audit has been initiated by the Controller during the period subject to audit, the retention period is extended until the ultimate resolution of any audit findings.

VII. OFFSETTING REVENUES AND REIMBURSEMENTS

Any offsetting revenue the claimant experiences in the same program as a result of the same statutes or executive orders found to contain the mandate shall be deducted from the costs claimed. In addition, reimbursement for this mandate from any source, including but not limited to, service fees collected, federal funds, other state funds, and other funds that are not the claimant's proceeds of taxes shall be identified and deducted from this claim. This includes, but is not limited to, the following state and federal grant programs that may be used by a claimant to pay for the mandated activities in this program:

- Citizens Option for Public Safety Grant (COPS) (state)
- DNA Capacity Enhancement and Backlog Reduction Program (federal)
- DNA Identification Fund (state)
- Sexual Assault Evidence Submission Grant Program (state)

VIII. STATE CONTROLLER'S CLAIMING INSTRUCTIONS

Pursuant to Government Code section 17558(b), the Controller shall issue claiming instructions for each mandate that requires state reimbursement not later than 90 days after receiving the adopted parameters and guidelines from the Commission, to assist local governments in claiming costs to be reimbursed. The claiming instructions shall be derived from these parameters and guidelines and the decisions on the test claim and parameters and guidelines adopted by the Commission.

Pursuant to Government Code section 17561(d)(1), issuance of the claiming instructions shall constitute a notice of the right of the eligible claimants to file reimbursement claims, based upon parameters and guidelines adopted by the Commission.

⁹ This refers to title 2, division 4, part 7, chapter 4 of the Government Code.

IX. REMEDIES BEFORE THE COMMISSION

Upon request of an eligible claimant, the Commission shall review the claiming instructions issued by the Controller or any other authorized state agency for reimbursement of mandated costs pursuant to Government Code section 17571. If the Commission determines that the claiming instructions do not conform to the parameters and guidelines, the Commission shall direct the Controller to modify the claiming instructions and the Controller shall modify the claiming instructions to conform to the parameters and guidelines as directed by the Commission.

In addition, requests may be made to amend parameters and guidelines pursuant to Government Code section 17557(d), and California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1183.17.

X. LEGAL AND FACTUAL BASIS FOR THE PARAMETERS AND GUIDELINES

The decisions adopted for the Test Claim and Parameters and Guidelines are legally binding on all parties and interested parties and provide the legal and factual basis for the Parameters and Guidelines. The support for the legal and factual findings is found in the administrative record. The administrative record is on file with the Commission.

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY EMAIL

I, the undersigned, declare as follows:

I am a resident of the County of Sacramento and I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My place of employment is 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, California 95814.

On September 29, 2021, I served the:

• Decision and Parameters and Guidelines adopted September 24, 2021

Sexual Assault Evidence Kits: Testing, 20-TC-01 Penal Code Section 680 as Amended by Statutes 2019, Chapter 588 (SB 22) City of San Diego, Claimant

by making it available on the Commission's website and providing notice of how to locate it to the email addresses provided on the attached mailing list.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on September 29, 2021 at Sacramento, California.

Jill L. Magee

Commission on State Mandates 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300 Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 323-3562

COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

Mailing List

Last Updated: 9/15/21 Claim Number: 20-TC-01

Matter: Sexual Assault Evidence Kits: Testing

Claimant: City of San Diego

TO ALL PARTIES, INTERESTED PARTIES, AND INTERESTED PERSONS:

Each commission mailing list is continuously updated as requests are received to include or remove any party or person on the mailing list. A current mailing list is provided with commission correspondence, and a copy of the current mailing list is available upon request at any time. Except as provided otherwise by commission rule, when a party or interested party files any written material with the commission concerning a claim, it shall simultaneously serve a copy of the written material on the parties and interested parties to the claim identified on the mailing list provided by the commission. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.3.)

Manny Alvarez Jr., Executive Director, Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training 860 Stillwater Road, Suite 100, West Sacramento, CA 95605

Phone: (916) 227-3909

Manny. Alvarez@post.ca.gov

Lili Apgar, Specialist, State Controller's Office

Local Reimbursements Section, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 324-0254 lapgar@sco.ca.gov

Socorro Aquino, State Controller's Office

Division of Audits, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 322-7522 SAquino@sco.ca.gov

Cindy Black, City Clerk, City of St. Helena 1480 Main Street, St. Helena, CA 94574

Phone: (707) 968-2742

ctzafopoulos@cityofsthelena.org

Allan Burdick,

7525 Myrtle Vista Avenue, Sacramento, CA 95831

Phone: (916) 203-3608 allanburdick@gmail.com

Guy Burdick, Consultant, MGT Consulting

2251 Harvard Street, Suite 134, Sacramento, CA 95815

Phone: (916) 833-7775 gburdick@mgtconsulting.com

J. Bradley Burgess, MGT of America

895 La Sierra Drive, Sacramento, CA 95864

Phone: (916)595-2646 Bburgess@mgtamer.com

Evelyn Calderon-Yee, Bureau Chief, State Controller's Office

Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 740,

Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 324-5919 ECalderonYee@sco.ca.gov

Annette Chinn, Cost Recovery Systems, Inc.

705-2 East Bidwell Street, #294, Folsom, CA 95630

Phone: (916) 939-7901 achinners@aol.com

Carolyn Chu, Senior Fiscal and Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office

925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 319-8326 Carolyn.Chu@lao.ca.gov

Michael Coleman, Coleman Advisory Services

2217 Isle Royale Lane, Davis, CA 95616

Phone: (530) 758-3952 coleman@muni1.com

Kris Cook, Assistant Program Budget Manager, Department of Finance

915 L Street, 10th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274 Kris.Cook@dof.ca.gov

Donna Ferebee, Department of Finance

915 L Street, Suite 1280, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274 donna.ferebee@dof.ca.gov

Susan Geanacou, Department of Finance

915 L Street, Suite 1280, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274 susan.geanacou@dof.ca.gov

Dillon Gibbons, Legislative Representative, California Special Districts Association

1112 I Street Bridge, Suite 200, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 442-7887 dillong@csda.net

Jim Grottkau, Bureau Chief, Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training

Basic Training, 860 Stillwater Road, Suite 100, West Sacramento, CA 95605

Phone: (916) 227-3909 Jim.Grottkau@post.ca.gov

Heather Halsey, Executive Director, Commission on State Mandates

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562 heather.halsey@csm.ca.gov

Sunny Han, Project Manager, City of Huntington Beach

2000 Main Street, Huntington Beach, CA 92648

Phone: (714) 536-5907 Sunny.han@surfcity-hb.org

Chris Hill, Principal Program Budget Analyst, Department of Finance

Local Government Unit, 915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274 Chris.Hill@dof.ca.gov

Tiffany Hoang, Associate Accounting Analyst, State Controller's Office

Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 740,

Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 323-1127 THoang@sco.ca.gov

Jason Jennings, Director, Maximus Consulting

Financial Services, 808 Moorefield Park Drive, Suite 205, Richmond, VA 23236

Phone: (804) 323-3535 SB90@maximus.com

Jeffrey Jordon, Captain, City of San Diego

Claimant Representative

San Diego Police Department, 1401 Broadway, San Diego, CA 92101

Phone: (619) 756-5264 jjordon@pd.sandiego.gov

Angelo Joseph, Supervisor, State Controller's Office

Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 740,

Sacramento, CA 95816 Phone: (916) 323-0706 AJoseph@sco.ca.gov

Anita Kerezsi, AK & Company

2425 Golden Hill Road, Suite 106, Paso Robles, CA 93446

Phone: (805) 239-7994 akcompanysb90@gmail.com

Joanne Kessler, Fiscal Specialist, City of Newport Beach

Revenue Division, 100 Civic Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 90266

Phone: (949) 644-3199 jkessler@newportbeachca.gov

Lisa Kurokawa, Bureau Chief for Audits, State Controller's Office

Compliance Audits Bureau, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 327-3138 lkurokawa@sco.ca.gov

Alison Leary, Deputy General Counsel, League of California Cities

1400 K Street, Suite 400, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 658-8200 aleary@cacities.org

Fernando Lemus, Principal Accountant - Auditor, County of Los Angeles

Auditor-Controller's Office, 500 West Temple Street, Room 603, Los Angeles, CA 90012

Phone: (213) 974-0324 flemus@auditor.lacounty.gov

Erika Li, Chief Deputy Director, Department of Finance

915 L Street, 10th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274 erika.li@dof.ca.gov

Amber Lozano, Department of Justice

Child Protection Program, Room H122, 4949 Boradway, Sacramento, CA 95820

Phone: (916) 227-3263 amber.lozano@doj.ca.gov

Everett Luc, Accounting Administrator I, Specialist, State Controller's Office

3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 323-0766 ELuc@sco.ca.gov

Jill Magee, Program Analyst, Commission on State Mandates

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562 Jill.Magee@csm.ca.gov

Corrie Manning, Assistant General Counsel, League of California Cities

1400 K Street, Suite 400, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 658-8200 cmanning@cacities.org

Darryl Mar, Manager, State Controller's Office

3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 323-0706 DMar@sco.ca.gov

Brian Marvel, President, Peace Officers Research Association of California (PORAC)

4010 Truxel Road, Sacramento, CA 95834

Phone: (916) 928-3777 president@porac.org

Elizabeth McGinnis, Commission on State Mandates

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562

Elizabeth.McGinnis@csm.ca.gov

Jane McPherson, Financial Services Director, City of Oceanside

300 North Coast Highway, Oceanside, CA 92054

Phone: (760) 435-3055

JmcPherson@oceansideca.org

Michelle Mendoza, MAXIMUS

17310 Red Hill Avenue, Suite 340, Irvine, CA 95403

Phone: (949) 440-0845

michellemendoza@maximus.com

Lourdes Morales, Senior Fiscal and Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office

925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 319-8320

Lourdes.Morales@LAO.CA.GOV

Marilyn Munoz, Senior Staff Counsel, Department of Finance

915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 628-6028

Marilyn.Munoz@dof.ca.gov

Andy Nichols, Nichols Consulting

1857 44th Street, Sacramento, CA 95819

Phone: (916) 455-3939 andy@nichols-consulting.com

Arthur Palkowitz, Artiano Shinoff

2488 Historic Decatur Road, Suite 200, San Diego, CA 92106

Phone: (619) 232-3122 apalkowitz@as7law.com

Johnnie Pina, Legislative Policy Analyst, League of Cities

1400 K Street, Suite 400, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 658-8214 jpina@cacities.org

Jai Prasad, County of San Bernardino

Office of Auditor-Controller, 222 West Hospitality Lane, 4th Floor, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0018

Phone: (909) 386-8854 jai.prasad@atc.sbcounty.gov

Carla Shelton, Commission on State Mandates

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562 carla.shelton@csm.ca.gov

Camille Shelton, Chief Legal Counsel, Commission on State Mandates

980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 323-3562 camille.shelton@csm.ca.gov

Natalie Sidarous, Chief, State Controller's Office

Local Government Programs and Services Division, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA

95816

Phone: 916-445-8717 NSidarous@sco.ca.gov

Michelle Skaggs Lawrence, City Manager, City of Oceanside

300 North Coast Highway, Oceanside, CA 92054

Phone: (760) 435-3055 citymanager@oceansideca.org

Joe Stephenshaw, Director, Senate Budget & Fiscal Review Committee

California State Senate, State Capitol Room 5019, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 651-4103 Joe.Stephenshaw@sen.ca.gov

Brittany Thompson, Budget Analyst, Department of Finance

Local Government Unit, 915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274 Brittany.Thompson@dof.ca.gov

Jolene Tollenaar, MGT Consulting Group

2251 Harvard Street, Suite 134, Sacramento, CA 95815

Phone: (916) 243-8913 jolenetollenaar@gmail.com

Evelyn Tseng, City of Newport Beach

100 Civic Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 92660

Phone: (949) 644-3127 etseng@newportbeachca.gov

Brian Uhler, Principal Fiscal & Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office

925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 319-8328 Brian.Uhler@LAO.CA.GOV

Antonio Velasco, Revenue Auditor, City of Newport Beach

100 Civic Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 92660

Phone: (949) 644-3143 avelasco@newportbeachca.gov

Matthew Vespi, Chief Financial Officer, City of San Diego

Claimant Contact

202 C Street, 9th Floor, San Diego, CA 92101

Phone: (619) 236-6218 mvespi@sandiego.gov

Renee Wellhouse, David Wellhouse & Associates, Inc.

3609 Bradshaw Road, H-382, Sacramento, CA 95927

Phone: (916) 797-4883 dwa-renee@surewest.net

Jeannine Willie, California Department of Justice (D-01)

Missing Persons DNA Program, 4949 Broadway, Room A132, Sacramento, CA 95820

Phone: (916) 227-5997 jeannine.willie@doj.ca.gov

Jacqueline Wong-Hernandez, Deputy Executive Director for Legislative Affairs, California State

Association of Counties (CSAC)

1100 K Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 650-8104

jwong-hernandez@counties.org

Hasmik Yaghobyan, County of Los Angeles

Auditor-Controller's Office, 500 W. Temple Street, Room 603, Los Angeles, CA 90012

Phone: (213) 974-9653

hyaghobyan@auditor.lacounty.gov