



September 19, 2025

Mr. Chris Hill  
Department of Finance  
915 L Street, 8th Floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Mr. Joshua Walden  
County of Santa Clara  
Office of County Counsel  
70 West Hedding Street, 9th Floor  
San Jose, CA 95110

*And Parties, Interested Parties, and Interested Persons (See Mailing List)*

**Re: Draft Proposed Decision, Schedule for Comments, and Notice of Hearing**  
*Internet Websites and Email Addresses, 24-TC-04*  
Statutes 2023, Chapter 586 (AB 1637); Government Code Section 50034(a)(1)-  
(2) and (b)  
County of Santa Clara, Claimant

Dear Mr. Hill and Mr. Walden:

The Draft Proposed Decision for the above-captioned matter is enclosed for your review and comment.

**Written Comments:** Written comments may be filed on the Draft Proposed Decision no later than **5:00 pm on October 10, 2025**. Please note that all representations of fact submitted to the Commission must be signed under penalty of perjury by persons who are authorized and competent to do so and must be based upon the declarant's personal knowledge, information, or belief. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1187.5.) Hearsay evidence may be used for the purpose of supplementing or explaining other evidence but shall not be sufficient in itself to support a finding unless it would be admissible over an objection in civil actions. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1187.5.) The Commission's ultimate findings of fact must be supported by substantial evidence in the record.<sup>1</sup>

You are advised that comments filed with the Commission are required to be electronically filed (e-filed) in an unlocked legible and searchable PDF file, using the Commission's Dropbox. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.3(c)(1).) Refer to <https://www.csm.ca.gov/dropbox.shtml> on the Commission's website for electronic filing instructions. If e-filing would cause the filer undue hardship or significant prejudice, filing may occur by first class mail, overnight delivery or personal service only upon approval of a written request to the executive director. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.3(c)(2).)

If you would like to request an extension of time to file comments, please refer to section 1187.9(a) of the Commission's regulations.

---

<sup>1</sup> Government Code section 17559(b), which provides that a claimant or the state may commence a proceeding in accordance with the provisions of section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure to set aside a decision of the Commission on the ground that the Commission's decision is not supported by substantial evidence in the record.

**Hearing:** This matter is set for hearing on **Friday, December 5, 2025** at 10:00 a.m. The Proposed Decision will be issued on or about November 21, 2025.

If you plan to address the Commission on this item, please notify the Commission Office not later than noon on the Tuesday prior to the hearing, **December 2, 2025**. Please also include the names of the people who will be speaking for inclusion on the witness list and the names and emails addresses of the people who will be speaking both in person and remotely to receive a hearing panelist link in Zoom. When calling or emailing, please identify the item you want to testify on and the entity you represent. The Commission Chairperson reserves the right to impose time limits on presentations as may be necessary to complete the agenda.

If you would like to request postponement of the hearing, please refer to section 1187.9(b) of the Commission's regulations.

Very truly yours,



Juliana F. Gmur  
Executive Director

**ITEM \_\_\_\_**  
**TEST CLAIM**

**DRAFT PROPOSED DECISION**

Government Code Sections 50034(a)(1)-(2) & (b)

Statutes 2023, Chapter 586 (AB 1637)

*Internet Websites and Email Addresses*

24-TC-04

County of Santa Clara, Claimant

---

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

**Overview**

The Test Claim alleges new state mandated activities and costs resulting from Government Code section 50034(a)(1)-(2) and (b), as added by Statutes 2023, chapter 586 (the test claim statute) effective January 1, 2024. The test claim statute requires that cities and counties ensure that their web pages and email addresses use either “.gov” or “.ca.gov” domain names by January 1, 2029.<sup>1</sup>

For reasons stated in the analysis, staff finds that the test claim statute imposes a reimbursable state-mandated program within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution and Government Code section 17514 and recommends the Commission approve this Test Claim.

**Procedural History**

The claimant filed the Test Claim on December 16, 2024.<sup>2</sup> The County of Inyo filed comments on the Test Claim on April 2, 2025.<sup>3</sup> County of Monterey filed comments on the Test Claim on April 8, 2025.<sup>4</sup> County of Santa Barbara and the Town of Truckee each filed comments on the Test Claim on April 10, 2025.<sup>5</sup> The Department of Finance

---

<sup>1</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 12 (Written Narrative).

<sup>2</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim.

<sup>3</sup> Exhibit B, County of Inyo’s Comments on the Test Claim.

<sup>4</sup> Exhibit C, County of Monterey’s Comments on the Test Claim.

<sup>5</sup> Exhibit D, County of Santa Barbara’s Comments on the Test Claim; Exhibit E, Town of Truckee’s Comments on the Test Claim.

(Finance) filed comments on the Test Claim on May 23, 2025.<sup>6</sup> The claimant filed rebuttal comments on June 13, 2025.<sup>7</sup>

Commission staff issued the Draft Proposed Decision on September 19, 2025.<sup>8</sup>

### **Commission Responsibilities**

Under article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution, local agencies and school districts are entitled to reimbursement for the costs of state-mandated new programs or higher levels of service. In order for local government to be eligible for reimbursement, one or more similarly situated local agencies or school districts must file a test claim with the Commission. “Test claim” means the first claim filed with the Commission alleging that a particular statute or executive order imposes costs mandated by the state. Test claims function similarly to class actions and all members of the class have the opportunity to participate in the test claim process and all are bound by the final decision of the Commission for purposes of that test claim.

The Commission is the quasi-judicial body vested with exclusive authority to adjudicate disputes over the existence of state-mandated programs within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution and not apply it as an “equitable remedy to cure the perceived unfairness resulting from political decisions on funding priorities.”<sup>9</sup>

### **Claims**

The following chart provides a brief summary of the claims and issues raised and staff’s recommendation.

<b>Issue</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Staff Recommendation</b>
Was the Test Claim timely filed?	Government Code section 17551(c) requires test claims “be filed not later than 12 months following the effective date of a statute or executive order, or within 12 months of incurring increased costs as a result of a statute or executive order, whichever is later.” Section 1183.1(c) of the Commission’s regulations	<i>Yes, timely filed –</i> The test claim statute became effective on January 1, 2024. The test claim was filed on December 16, 2024, within 365 days of the test claim statute’s effective date. <sup>10</sup> The test claim is therefore timely filed.

<sup>6</sup> Exhibit F, Finance’s Comments on the Test Claim.

<sup>7</sup> Exhibit G, Claimant’s Rebuttal Comments.

<sup>8</sup> Exhibit H, Draft Proposed Decision.

<sup>9</sup> *County of Sonoma v. Commission on State Mandates* (2000) 84 Cal.App.4th 1264, 1281, citing *City of San Jose v. State of California* (1996) 45 Cal.App.4th 1802, 1817.

<sup>10</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 1.

Issue	Description	Staff Recommendation
	<p>defines “12 months” as 365 days.</p> <p>Government Code section 17557(e) requires: “A test claim shall be submitted on or before June 30 following a fiscal year in order to establish eligibility for reimbursement for that year.”</p>	<p>Because the test claim was filed on December 16, 2024, it establishes reimbursement eligibility for the 2023-2024 fiscal year, however because the test claim statute became effective on January 1, 2024, the potential period of reimbursement begins on January 1, 2024.</p>
<p>Does Government Code section 50034(a)(1)-(2) and (b), as added by Statutes 2023, chapter 586, impose a reimbursable, state-mandated program?</p>	<p>Government Code section 50034, as added by the test claim statute, requires that a local agency - here defined to mean “a city, county, or city and county”<sup>11</sup> - that maintains an internet website for use by the public or provides public email addresses for its employees ensure that the public websites and employee email addresses utilize either a “.gov” top-level domain or a “.ca.gov” second-level domain by January 1, 2029<sup>12</sup>, and ensure that any non-compliant websites the city or county continues to maintain after January 1, 2029 redirects users to a compliant website.<sup>13</sup></p>	<p><i>Approve</i> – The test claim statute imposes a one-time reimbursable state-mandated program, beginning January 1, 2024. The program requires a city, county, or city and county, to ensure that their public websites and employee email addresses utilize a domain name that contains either the “.gov” top-level domain or the “.ca.gov” second-level domain.</p>

### **Staff Analysis**

This Test Claim alleges new state-mandated activities and costs arising from Government Code section 50034(a)(1)-(2) and (b), as added by the test claim statute

<sup>11</sup> Government Code section 50034(c).

<sup>12</sup> Government Code section 50034(a)(1) and (b)

<sup>13</sup> Government Code section 50034(a)(2).

which require that cities and counties ensure that their web pages and email addresses use either “.gov” or “.ca.gov” domain names by January 1, 2029.<sup>14</sup> The Legislature found that because “adding Section 50034 to the Government Code addresses a matter of statewide concern and is not a municipal affair as that term is used in Section 5 of article XI of the California Constitution,” this applies to all counties and cities, including charter cities.<sup>15</sup> The purpose of the test claim statute is to provide assurance to the public that cities’ and counties’ websites and email addresses belong to the government agencies they claim to belong to. Under prior law many cities and counties used more commonly available top-level domains such as “.com” or “.org” for their website and email domain names, which made it easy for malicious actors to create fake websites or email addresses pretending to belong to a government agency.<sup>16</sup> These would then be used to spread misinformation, or trick members of the public into making payments or disclosing personal information.<sup>17</sup> The “.gov” top-level domain is only available to government agencies within the United States, and the “.ca.gov” second-level domain is only available to government agencies within the state of California.<sup>18</sup> When members of the public visit a city or county’s website with an internet address ending with “.gov” or “.ca.gov,” or email a governmental employee at such an address, they can feel confident they are not falling victim to a scam or hacker.<sup>19</sup>

The claimant has over 79 websites with over 10,000 individual webpages, 40 web applications, and 32,690 employee email addresses that used its previous “.org” domain name, which must all be migrated over to a new compliant “.gov” domain name.<sup>20</sup> The claimant alleges this has so far cost \$20,017 in fiscal year 2023-2024 for 199 hours of employee and third-party professional labor to begin this migration process, which it estimates will cost approximately \$918,868 in total.<sup>21</sup>

---

<sup>14</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 12 (Written Narrative).

<sup>15</sup> See Statutes 2023, chapter 586, section 3. Government Code section 20 defines “city” to include incorporated towns.

<sup>16</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, pages 2-3.

<sup>17</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, page 3.

<sup>18</sup> Exhibit X (2), CISA, *Eligibility for .gov Domains*, <https://get.gov/domains/eligibility/> (accessed on July 23, 2025) page 2; Exhibit X (3), CDT, *Domain Name Requirements*, <https://domainnamerequest.cdt.ca.gov/Home/Requirements> (accessed on July 23, 2025), page 2.

<sup>19</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, page 4.

<sup>20</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 30 (Declaration of Matt Woo).

<sup>21</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 34 (Declaration of Matt Woo), page 38 (Exhibit 1, Estimated Actual and Anticipated Costs of New Activities to Migrate County Websites to the .gov Domain Name in Compliance with Sections 50034(a)(1) and (2)).

Staff finds that the Test Claim was timely filed, as it was filed within one year of the test claim statute's effective date.<sup>22</sup> The test claim statute became effective on January 1, 2024, and the test claim was filed on December 16, 2024, within 365 days following the effective date of the test claim statute.<sup>23</sup> The December 16, 2024 filing date establishes reimbursement eligibility for the 2023-2024 fiscal year, but because the test claim statute became effective on January 1, 2024, the potential period of reimbursement begins on January 1, 2024.<sup>24</sup>

In addition, staff finds that the test claim statute imposes state mandated requirements, under both legal and practical compulsion, requiring cities and counties ensure their internet websites for use by the public and public email address for their employees utilize either a ".gov" top-level domain name or a ".ca.gov" second-level domain name by January 1, 2029, and that any websites with a non-compliant domain name they continue to maintain after January 1, 2024 redirects users to a website with a compliant domain name. These requirements are new, and they constitute a new program or higher level of service, as these requirements are both unique to cities and counties and provide a service to the public. In addition, evidence supports that there are costs mandated by the state, as the claimant has submitted substantial evidence of costs incurred exceeding \$1,000 and there are no exceptions under Government Code section 17556 that would apply to this test claim statute.

Thus, staff finds that Government Code section 50034(a)(1)-(2) and (b), as added by the test claim statute, imposes a reimbursable state-mandated program within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution, beginning January 1, 2024, requiring a city, county, or city and county, that maintains an internet website used by the public or public email addresses for its employees to ensure that its websites used by the public and public email addresses provided to employee utilize either a ".gov" top-level domain name or a ".ca.gov" second-level domain name by January 1, 2029, and that any websites with a non-compliant domain name the city or county continues to maintain after January 1, 2029 redirects users to a website with a compliant domain name.

### **Conclusion**

Based on the forgoing analysis, staff concludes that Government Code section 50034(a)(1)-(2) and (b), as added by the test claim statute, imposes a reimbursable state-mandated program within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution, beginning January 1, 2024, requiring a city, county, or city and county which maintains an internet website used by the public or provides public email addresses for its employees perform the following new, one-time, state-mandated activities:

---

<sup>22</sup> Government Code 17551(c).

<sup>23</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 1.

<sup>24</sup> Government Code section 17557(e).

1. Ensure that the Internet website used by the public utilizes either a “.gov” top-level domain name or a “.ca.gov” second-level domain name by January 1, 2029.<sup>25</sup>
2. Ensure any websites with a non-compliant domain name the city or county continues to maintain after January 1, 2029 redirects users to a website with a compliant domain name.<sup>26</sup>
3. Ensure that each public email address provided for the city’s or county’s employees utilizes a “.gov” or “.ca.gov” domain name by January 1, 2029.<sup>27</sup>

**Staff Recommendation**

Staff recommends that the Commission adopt the Proposed Decision to approve the Test Claim and authorize staff to make any technical, non-substantive changes to the Proposed Decision following the hearing.

---

<sup>25</sup> Government Code section 50034(a)(1).

<sup>26</sup> Government Code section 50034(a)(2).

<sup>27</sup> Government Code section 50034(b).



BEFORE THE  
COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE TEST CLAIM

Government Code sections 50034(a)(1)-(2) & (b)

Statutes 2023, Chapter 586 (AB 1637)

Filed on December 16, 2024

County of Santa Clara, Claimant

Case No.: 24-TC-04

*Internet Websites and Email Addresses*

DECISION PURSUANT TO  
GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 17500  
ET SEQ.; CALIFORNIA CODE OF  
REGULATIONS, TITLE 2, DIVISION 2,  
CHAPTER 2.5, ARTICLE 7.

*(Adopted December 5, 2025)*

**DECISION**

The Commission on State Mandates (Commission) heard and decided this Test Claim during a regularly scheduled hearing December 5, 2025. [Witness list will be included in the adopted Decision.]

The law applicable to the Commission's determination of a reimbursable state-mandated program is article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution, Government Code sections 17500 et seq., and related case law.

The Commission adopted the Proposed Decision to approve the Test Claim by a vote of [vote will be included in the adopted Decision], as follows:

Member	Vote
Lee Adams, County Supervisor	
Deborah Gallegos, Representative of the State Controller, Vice Chairperson	
Karen Greene Ross, Public Member	
Renee Nash, School District Board Member	
William Pahland, Representative of the State Treasurer	
Michele Perrault, Representative of the Director of the Department of Finance, Chairperson	
Alexander Powell, Representative of the Director of the Governor's Office of Land Use and Climate Innovation	

**Summary of the Findings**

This Test Claim alleges new state-mandated activities and costs arising from Government Code section 50034(a)(1)-(2) and (b), as added by the test claim statute which require that cities and counties ensure that their web pages and email addresses

use either “.gov” or “.ca.gov” domain names by January 1, 2029.<sup>28</sup> The Legislature found that because “adding Section 50034 to the Government Code addresses a matter of statewide concern and is not a municipal affair as that term is used in Section 5 of article XI of the California Constitution,” this applies to all counties and cities, including charter cities.<sup>29</sup> The purpose of the test claim statute is to provide assurance to the public that cities’ and counties’ websites and email addresses belong to the government agencies they claim to belong to. Under prior law many cities and counties used more commonly available top-level domains such as “.com” or “.org” for their website and email domain names, which made it easy for malicious actors to create fake websites or email addresses pretending to belong to a government agency.<sup>30</sup> These would then be used to spread misinformation, or trick members of the public into making payments or disclosing personal information.<sup>31</sup> The “.gov” top-level domain is only available to government agencies within the United States, and the “.ca.gov” second-level domain is only available to government agencies within the state of California.<sup>32</sup> When members of the public visit a city or counties’ website with an internet address ending with “.gov” or “.ca.gov,” or email a governmental employee at such an address, they can feel confident they are not falling victim to a scam or hacker.<sup>33</sup>

The claimant has over 79 websites with over 10,000 individual webpages, 40 web applications, and 32,690 employee email addresses that used its previous “.org” domain name, which must all be migrated over to a new compliant “.gov” domain name.<sup>34</sup> The claimant alleges this has so far cost \$20,017 in fiscal year 2023-2024 for 199 hours of employee and third-party professional labor to begin this migration process, which it estimates will cost approximately \$918,868 in total.<sup>35</sup>

---

<sup>28</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 12 (Written Narrative).

<sup>29</sup> See Statutes 2023, chapter 586, section 3. Government Code section 20 defines “city” to include incorporated towns.

<sup>30</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, pages 2-3.

<sup>31</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, page 3.

<sup>32</sup> Exhibit X (2), CISA, *Eligibility for .gov Domains*, <https://get.gov/domains/eligibility/> (accessed on July 23, 2025) page 2; Exhibit X (3), CDT, *Domain Name Requirements*, <https://domainnamerequest.cdt.ca.gov/Home/Requirements> (accessed on July 23, 2025), page 2.

<sup>33</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, page 4.

<sup>34</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 30 (Declaration of Matt Woo).

<sup>35</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 34 (Declaration of Matt Woo), page 38 (Exhibit 1, Estimated Actual and Anticipated Costs of New Activities to Migrate County Websites to the .gov Domain Name in Compliance with Sections 50034(a)(1) and (2)).

The Commission finds that the Test Claim was timely filed, as it was filed within one year of the test claim statute's effective date.<sup>36</sup> The test claim statute became effective on January 1, 2024, and the test claim was filed on December 16, 2024, within 365 days following the effective date of the test claim statute.<sup>37</sup> The December 16, 2024 filing date establishes reimbursement eligibility for the 2023-2024 fiscal year, but because the test claim statute became effective on January 1, 2024, the potential period of reimbursement begins on January 1, 2024.<sup>38</sup>

In addition, the Commission finds that the test claim statute imposes state mandated requirements, under both legal and practical compulsion, requiring cities and counties ensure their internet websites for use by the public and public email address for their employees utilize either a ".gov" top-level domain name or a ".ca.gov" second-level domain name by January 1, 2029, and that any websites with a non-compliant domain name they continue to maintain after January 1, 2024 redirects users to a website with a compliant domain name. These requirements are new, and they constitute a new program or higher level of service, as these requirements are both unique to cities and counties and provide a service to the public. In addition, evidence supports that there are costs mandated by the state, as the claimant has submitted substantial evidence of costs incurred exceeding \$1,000 and there are no exceptions under Government Code section 17556 that would apply to this test claim statute.

Thus, the Commission finds that Government Code section 50034(a)(1)-(2) and (b), as added by the test claim statute, imposes a reimbursable state-mandated program within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution, beginning January 1, 2024, requiring a city, county, or city and county, that maintains an internet website for use by the public or public email addresses for its employees to perform the following new, one-time state mandated activities:

1. Ensure that the Internet website used by the public utilizes either a ".gov" top-level domain name or a ".ca.gov" second-level domain name by January 1, 2029.<sup>39</sup>
2. Ensure any websites with a non-compliant domain name the city or county continues to maintain after January 1, 2029 redirects users to a website with a compliant domain name.<sup>40</sup>
3. Ensure that each public email address provided for the city's or county's employees utilizes a ".gov" or ".ca.gov" domain name by January 1, 2029.<sup>41</sup>

---

<sup>36</sup> Government Code 17551(c).

<sup>37</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 1.

<sup>38</sup> Government Code section 17557(e).

<sup>39</sup> Government Code section 50034(a)(1).

<sup>40</sup> Government Code section 50034(a)(2).

<sup>41</sup> Government Code section 50034(b).

The Commission therefore approves this Test Claim.

## COMMISSION FINDINGS

### I. Chronology

01/01/2024	Government Code Sections 50034(a)(1)-(2) and (b) were added by Statutes 2023, chapter 586 (AB 1637)
12/16/2024	The claimant filed the Test Claim. <sup>42</sup>
04/02/2025	County of Inyo filed comments on the Test Claim. <sup>43</sup>
04/08/2025	County of Monterey filed comments on the Test Claim. <sup>44</sup>
04/10/2025	County of Santa Barbara and the Town of Truckee each filed comments on the Test Claim. <sup>45</sup>
05/23/2025	The Department of Finance (Finance) filed comments on the Test Claim. <sup>46</sup>
06/13/2025	The claimant filed rebuttal comments. <sup>47</sup>
09/19/2025	Commission staff issued the Draft Proposed Decision. <sup>48</sup>

### II. Background

#### A. The Domain Name System

Every computer connected to the Internet has a unique Internet Protocol (IP) address, which consists of a complicated string of seemingly random numbers. Navigating the Internet using these complicated strings would be difficult from a user perspective, so the Domain Name System (DNS) was created to help users find their way around the Internet. The DNS is like an address book where IP addresses are registered as associated with a unique (and often easier to read and remember) string of characters called a domain name.<sup>49</sup>

Domain names are read by breaking the domain name into sections which are each separated by a dot character. Each domain name contains at least two sections: the

---

<sup>42</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim.

<sup>43</sup> Exhibit B, County of Inyo's Comments on the Test Claim.

<sup>44</sup> Exhibit C, County of Monterey's Comments on the Test Claim.

<sup>45</sup> Exhibit D, County of Santa Barbara's Comments on the Test Claim; Exhibit E, Town of Truckee's Comments on the Test Claim.

<sup>46</sup> Exhibit F, Finance's Comments on the Test Claim.

<sup>47</sup> Exhibit G, Claimant's Rebuttal Comments.

<sup>48</sup> Exhibit H, Draft Proposed Decision.

<sup>49</sup> Exhibit X (4), ICANN, *The Domain Name System*, <https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/dns-2022-09-13-en> (accessed on July 22, 2025) page 1.

top-level domain and the second-level domain.<sup>50</sup> Some domain names also use an optional subdomain, or even multiple subdomains (sometimes also called third-level domain, fourth-level domain, etc.). The sections in a domain name are always arranged in a hierarchical order, starting with the lowest level (the second-level domain or the lowest subdomain if subdomains are used) and ending with the highest level (the top-level domain). No two domain names can use the exact same combination of subdomains, second-level domain, and top-level domain, though it is easy to create functionally infinite similar-looking domain names through variations on the different sections (i.e., “example.com,” “thisisanexample.com,” “example.org,” “doyouneedan.example.com,” “thisisan.example.com,” “example.thisisan.com,” and “thisisan.eggexample.com” would all be valid unique domain names using different combinations of subdomains, second-level domains, and top-level domains).

The Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN) is an international organization responsible for coordinating the maintenance and procedures of the servers and databases that allow the DNS to function, mostly by delegating authority over specific functions to other organizations. One such group is the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA), which maintains the database of all the top-level domains recognized by the DNS.<sup>51</sup> When the DNS was originally created, there were only a few available top-level domains, such as “.com,” “.org,” “.edu,” or “.gov.” Since then, ICANN has introduced procedures to allow additional top-level domains to be proposed and added to the system.<sup>52</sup> There are now over 1,250 top-level domains.<sup>53</sup>

Each top-level domain is managed in turn by an administrator that maintains a database listing all second-level domains registered under it. To create a second-level domain so they can register domain names under it, an applicant contacts the administrator, often through a registrar, with the proposed second-level domain. These administrators each have their own rules for registering a second-level domain under their top-level domain, such as restricting who may apply for a second-level domain or for what purposes the domain name may be used. Once a second-level domain has been approved, the applicant can then register the domain name created from that unique combination of top- and second-level domain in the DNS so that it directs users to the intended IP address, which is on a server maintained by either the domain name owner or a domain name hosting service. The owner of that second-level domain can also in turn create its own database of subdomains and register domain names using those subdomains.

---

<sup>50</sup> Exhibit X (5), ICANN, *Acronyms and Terms – D*, <https://www.icann.org/en/icann-acronyms-and-terms?nav-letter=d&page=1> (accessed on July 22, 2025), page 8.

<sup>51</sup> Exhibit X (6), IANA, *Root Zone Database*, <https://www.iana.org/domains/root/db> (accessed on July 22, 2025).

<sup>52</sup> Exhibit X (7), ICANN, *Briefing Note – Overall Summary of the Paris Meeting*, June 2008, <https://archive.icann.org/en/meetings/paris2008/briefing-note.html> (accessed on July 22, 2025) pages 1-2.

<sup>53</sup> Exhibit X (6), IANA, *Root Zone Database*, <https://www.iana.org/domains/root/db> (accessed on July 22, 2025).

The “.gov” top-level domain is one of the original top-level domains from when the DNS was first implemented. Originally it was intended exclusively for U.S. federal government agencies, however in 2003 it was made available to government entities from all levels of U.S. government, including states, territories, tribes, counties, cities, special districts, and school districts.<sup>54</sup> The administrator for the “.gov” top-level domain is the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA), an agency under the United States Department of Homeland Security. To apply for a second-level domain under the “.gov” top-level domain, the person applying for the domain on behalf of their organization must verify their identity by providing their state-issued ID, social security number, and phone number, and during the application process must provide information about the type of government organization they represent, the organization’s name and mailing address, the name and contact information of a senior official within the organization with authority to authorize the request, the organization’s current website, the preferred domain, the intended purpose of the domain, the applicant’s contact information, and the names and contact information of other employees from the organization; they must also annually reaffirm that they are still actively using the domain name, they are following CISA’s requirements, and to update contact information, as needed.<sup>55</sup> Although there is no fee for applying for a “.gov” domain, CISA does not provide DNS hosting services, or host “.gov” websites or email; and applicants are responsible for acquiring those services themselves.<sup>56</sup> Besides “.gov” domains reducing public uncertainty because only verified U.S. government organizations can register “.gov” domains, CISA ensures that “.gov” domains are secure by requiring multifactor authentication, requiring secure HTTPS connections, enforcing its rules for allowed use of the domain, publishing a complete list of all “.gov” domains, and making recommendations for security best practices.<sup>57</sup>

The California Department of Technology (CDT) administers the “.ca.gov” second-level domain for the state of California. The CDT allows California state entities, counties, cities, state-recognized tribal governments, Joint Powers Authorities, and independent local districts within the state of California to register subdomains under the “.ca.gov” second-level domain.<sup>58</sup> To apply for a proposed subdomain, the person submitting the application on behalf of their organization provides their name, email address and

---

<sup>54</sup> Exhibit X (2), CISA, *Eligibility for .gov Domains*, <https://get.gov/domains/eligibility/> (accessed on July 23, 2025) page 2.

<sup>55</sup> Exhibit X (8), CISA, *Before You Request a .gov Domain*, <https://get.gov/domains/before/> (accessed on July 23, 2025), pages 2-3.

<sup>56</sup> Exhibit X (8), CISA, *Before You Request a .gov Domain*, <https://get.gov/domains/before/> (accessed on July 23, 2025), page 6.

<sup>57</sup> Exhibit X (9) CISA, *Benefits of .gov Domains*, <https://get.gov/domains/benefits/> (accessed on July 23, 2025) page 2.

<sup>58</sup> Exhibit X (3), CDT, *Domain Name Requirements*, <https://domainnamerequest.cdt.ca.gov/Home/Requirements> (accessed on July 23, 2025), page 2.

phone number; the name of the organization they are applying for; the proposed domain name and its intended purpose; and the contact information for their CIO or CIO equivalent, Administrative, and Technical contacts. They must also annually file documents certifying the domain is still current and compliant with policies and guidelines.<sup>59</sup> There is no fee for applying for a subdomain, although CDT offers a DNS hosting service for state and other government entities for a fee.<sup>60</sup>

## **B. The Test Claim Statute**

In 2023, the Legislature passed the test claim statute, Statutes 2023, chapter 586 (AB 1637). The test claim statute added Government Code section 50034, which states the following:

- (a) (1) No later than January 1, 2029, a local agency that maintains an internet website for use by the public shall ensure that the internet website utilizes a “.gov” top-level domain or a “.ca.gov” second-level domain.
- (2) If a local agency that is subject to paragraph (1) maintains an internet website for use by the public that is noncompliant with paragraph (1) by January 1, 2029, that local agency shall redirect that internet website to a domain name that does comply with paragraph (1).
- (b) No later than January 1, 2029, a local agency that maintains public email addresses for its employees shall ensure that each email address provided to its employees utilizes a “.gov” domain name or a “.ca.gov” domain name.
- (c) For purposes of this section, “local agency” means a city, county, or city and county.<sup>61</sup>

The test claim statute noted the addition of Government Code section 50034 addresses a matter of statewide concern, and as such applies to all cities, including charter cities.<sup>62</sup> The Legislature made the following findings explaining its reasoning behind this statute:

- a) The Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA), within the Department of Homeland Security, sponsors the “.gov” top-level domain and makes it available solely to United States-based government organizations and publicly controlled entities, including California’s local agencies.
- b) California’s local agencies qualify for a “.gov” domain name without paying any fee.

---

<sup>59</sup> Exhibit X (10), CDT, *SIMM Section 40A – Internet Domain Name Taxonomy Instructions*, May 2023, pages 7-8.

<sup>60</sup> Exhibit X (11), CDT, *Website and Application Hosting – Windows IIS*, <https://cdt.ca.gov/services/website-hosting/> (accessed on July 23, 2025), pages 2, 17-20.

<sup>61</sup> Government Code section 50034, as added by statutes 2023, chapter 586, section 2.

<sup>62</sup> Statutes 2023, chapter 586 (AB 1637), section 3.



- c) Using “.gov” increases security by enforcing multifactor authentication on all accounts in the “.gov” registrar, requiring browsers to only use a Hypertext Transfer Protocol Secure (HTTPS) connection with “.gov” domains, and enabling the addition of a security contact, making it easier for the public to alert the agency about potential security issues with the agency’s online services.
- d) The Government Operations Agency oversees the “.ca.gov” second-level domain, the Department of Technology manages the registration, change, and renewal process for “.ca.gov” domain names. Agencies are not required to pay any fee for a “.ca.gov” domain.
- e) To administer the “.ca.gov” second-level domain, the Department of Technology has established policies and protocols consistent with federal policy, including, but not limited to, the federal Interagency Committee on Government Information’s Recommended Policies and Guidelines for Federal Public Websites and the federal .gov Registrar administered by CISA.
- f) Users of websites or other internet services with a “.ca.gov” domain can be assured they are accessing an official California governmental resource.<sup>63</sup>

Legislative analysis explained that a major concern this statute was intended to address was reducing the risk of members of the public being fooled by fake government websites, and ensuring the public’s confidence that a city’s or county’s websites are legitimate. The original top-level domains were intended to be exclusively used by particular groups, and while some such as “.edu,” and “.mil” have retained their exclusivity, others such as “.com,” and “.org” have not and can be easily obtained by just about anyone, not to mention the numerous other top-level domains that were added over the years.<sup>64</sup> Because cities and counties were not previously required to use “.gov” domain names, and because the application process for receiving a “.gov” domain name can be a bit time-consuming, many cities and counties chose to use easier to obtain top-level domains such as “.com,” “.net,” or “.org.”<sup>65</sup> Because so many cities and counties use domain names with these commonly available top-level domains, it is very easy for a malicious actor to register their own similar-looking domain name and create a fake website or email addresses pretending to belong to that government agency.<sup>66</sup> These fake websites can even be made convincing enough that search engines mistakenly present them as legitimate search results, and as the use of these top-level domains is so widespread among cities and counties, members of the public have no reason to be suspicious and there is no quick, convenient way to verify

---

<sup>63</sup> Statutes 2023, chapter 586 (AB 1637), section 1.

<sup>64</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, page 2.

<sup>65</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, pages 2-3.

<sup>66</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, page 3.



the authenticity of the website.<sup>67</sup> The fake websites can then be used to spread misinformation or trick members of the public into making payments or revealing private information to these malicious actors.<sup>68</sup> “The main benefit of this measure will be to ensure that members of the public know that when they access a California local governmental website with an internet address ending with “.gov,” or “.ca.gov,” or email a government employee at such an address, that they are not going to be the victim of a hacker’s fake website.”<sup>69</sup>

The Legislature acknowledged that there would be vast discrepancies in what would be required for local agencies to transition to a “.gov” or “.ca.gov” domain. On one end of the spectrum, Ventura County’s Chief Information Officer summarized the migration process in seven steps: 1) clone the site; 2) mass change all ventura.org references to the new “.gov” name; 3) internal testing for some weeks; 4) add domain to DNS (local and outside); 5) redirect “.org” to “.gov” while keeping both sides in synch and active; 6) press release; 7) decommission old “.org” site.<sup>70</sup> He estimated this could be done in a matter of days plus about a week of miscellaneous technical support, would largely be done by the county’s hosting service plus one full-time employee, and could be done within their existing budget.<sup>71</sup> In contrast, the County of Sacramento had recently gone through the process of voluntarily migrating to a “.gov” domain, which involved changing all websites, web applications, emails, and active directory accounts for over 12,000 employees and contractors, as well as updating applications and systems access rights to accommodate the change.<sup>72</sup> This took 15 full-time IT professionals over 14 months to complete.<sup>73</sup> One large urban local government asserted it would need to contract outside labor to complete the project because its current IT staff had a high number of other high-priority projects.<sup>74</sup> The Assembly Committee on Appropriations

---

<sup>67</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, page 3.

<sup>68</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, page 3.

<sup>69</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, page 4.

<sup>70</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, page 5.

<sup>71</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, page 5.

<sup>72</sup> Exhibit X (12), Assembly Committee on Appropriations, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended April 27, 2023, page 3.

<sup>73</sup> Exhibit X (12) Assembly Committee on Appropriations, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended April 27, 2023, page 3.

<sup>74</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis on AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, page 6. This statement was from when the

acknowledged that “Local agency costs to migrate their systems include IT costs, often for vendors, as well as labor costs and indirect costs, such as changes to outreach and promotional materials. Some agencies may also have costs for media campaigns to alert the public to the changes.”<sup>75</sup> A coalition of local agency organizations against the bill identified additional activities that would be required and would incur costs, stating that:

...While applying for and obtaining a .gov domain has no fees, there are significant costs that an agency must budget for to recode, establish corresponding e-mails, network login changes, single sign-on/multi-factors authentication, encryption keys, revising and redesign website/url links, updating social media and external entities. All of these costs are increased to co-exist both the previous site to auto-direct to the newly acquired .gov domain. Also, the cost and time it would take to migrate may disproportionately impact small-to-mid cities and counties adversely who may have insufficient IT staff or any dedicated IT staff, thus requiring them to contract out to outside vendors. Furthermore, the number and size of the departments will dramatically impact the costs as all fire, police, sheriff, libraries, utilities and municipal energy departments would be required, per AB 1637, to migrate to the new .gov domain.<sup>76</sup>

As originally proposed, AB 1637 defined “local agency” as used in the test claim statute to mean “a county, city, whether general law or chartered, city and county, town, school district, municipal corporation, district, political subdivision, or any board, commission, or agency thereof, or other local public agency.”<sup>77</sup> Local agencies objected to the time, effort, and expense that would be required to transition to a “.gov,” or “.ca.gov” domain. As originally proposed, the bill claimed that reimbursement pursuant to article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution would not be required because the local agencies have fee authority sufficient to pay for the mandated program within the meaning of Government Code Section 17556.<sup>78</sup> The Assembly Committee on Appropriations disagreed with this reasoning, noting “it is unclear on what basis a local agency may charge a fee or other assessment to recover the costs of migrating to a .gov or .ca.gov domain,” and that charging a fee for access to a local agency’s website

---

Legislature was considering a deadline for compliance of January 1, 2025, as opposed to January 1, 2029.

<sup>75</sup> Exhibit X (12), Assembly Committee on Appropriations, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended April 27, 2023, page 3

<sup>76</sup> Exhibit X (13), Assembly Floor Analysis of AB 1637, as amended June 29, 2023, page 3.

<sup>77</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis on AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, page 2. This is the same definition used in Government Code section 54951.

<sup>78</sup> Exhibit X (13), AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, section 4.

would likely conflict with Proposition 26.<sup>79</sup> This led to a closer examination of the fiscal costs of this potential mandate, which the Assembly Committee on Appropriations acknowledged would likely be in the millions of dollars statewide.<sup>80</sup> These costs would vary wildly between local agencies, with estimates for small or medium single-focused special districts ranging between \$6,000 to \$100,000, while larger special districts would have costs between \$500,000 and \$1,000,000.<sup>81</sup> Estimates for larger counties were also in the low millions of dollars.<sup>82</sup> One suburban local government estimated its costs would be between \$750,000 and \$1 million, while a large urban local government estimated its costs to be \$6.3 million.<sup>83</sup> A coalition of local agencies that opposed the test claim statute alleged the statewide costs were likely to be in the hundreds of millions of dollars.<sup>84</sup> The high anticipated costs to local agencies caused the Legislature to amend the test claim statute, limiting its definition of “local agency” to only mean “a city, county, or city and county,” and to remove the claim that cities and counties have sufficient fee authority and replace it with the generic affirmation that if the Commission found it to contain costs mandated by the state, reimbursement would be made pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with section 17500) of Division 4 of Title 2 of the Government Code.<sup>85</sup> Even with this change costs would still vary greatly, as they are largely dependent upon the size of the entity, the number of websites an entity manages, the number of employees, and the overall size and complexity of an entity’s internet presence.<sup>86</sup> Some cities and counties estimated their costs between \$900,000 and several million dollars.<sup>87</sup> With 58 counties and over 480 cities subject to the test claim statute, that could result in total statewide costs upwards of \$484 million.<sup>88</sup>

---

<sup>79</sup> Exhibit X (12), Assembly Committee on Appropriations, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended April 27, 2023, page 1.

<sup>80</sup> Exhibit X (12), Assembly Committee on Appropriations, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended April 27, 2023, page 1.

<sup>81</sup> Exhibit X (12), Assembly Committee on Appropriations, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended April 27, 2023, page 3.

<sup>82</sup> Exhibit X (12), Assembly Committee on Appropriations, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended April 27, 2023, page 3.

<sup>83</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis on AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, page 6.

<sup>84</sup> Exhibit X (12), Assembly Committee on Appropriations, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended April 27, 2023, page 4.

<sup>85</sup> See Statutes 2023, chapter 586 (AB 1637), sections 2 and 4.

<sup>86</sup> Exhibit X (15), Senate Committee on Appropriations, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended June 29, 2023, page 2.

<sup>87</sup> Exhibit X (16), Senate Committee on Governance and Finance, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended May 18, 2023, page 3.

<sup>88</sup> Exhibit X (16), Senate Committee on Governance and Finance, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended May 18, 2023, page 3.

Estimates included a broad range of potential financial impacts, ranging from minor for cities and counties that had already largely completed this transition, to the low hundreds of thousands of dollars for smaller cities and counties with relatively small internet presences, to millions of dollars for larger and more sophisticated cities and counties.<sup>89</sup> The Senate Appropriations Committee estimated aggregate costs somewhere in the tens of millions.<sup>90</sup>

The Legislature identified a federal grant that could potentially be used to at least partially fund the costs of the test claim statute. The State and Local Cybersecurity Grant Program allocated \$1 billion over four years to states for cybersecurity initiatives with a requirement that 80% pass through to local governments.<sup>91</sup> This grant program requires states to develop a cybersecurity plan which addresses 16 elements, two of which – following best cybersecurity practices and promoting recognizable online services – both call for transitioning to “.gov” domain names to achieve.<sup>92</sup> Applying this grant money towards the costs of the test claim statute would thus be an appropriate use of program funds. On December 27, 2022, the California Office of Emergency Services accepted \$8 million for the first year of funding from this grant.<sup>93</sup> Estimates show the state is likely to receive \$50 million in total over the four years of the grant program.<sup>94</sup> The Senate Committee on Appropriations noted however that this would likely only partially offset the statewide costs of the test claim statute.<sup>95</sup> As of the time of writing, there have been no statutes specifically allocating this funding towards costs incurred to implement the test claim statute.

### **III. Positions of the Parties and Interested Parties**

#### **A. County of Santa Clara**

The County of Santa Clara (claimant) filed the Test Claim on December 16, 2024, alleging that the test claim statute mandates cities and counties to ensure their web pages and email addresses use “.ca.gov” or “.gov” domain names by January 1, 2029,

---

<sup>89</sup> Exhibit X (15), Senate Committee on Appropriations, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended June 29, 2023, page 2.

<sup>90</sup> Exhibit X (15), Senate Committee on Appropriations, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended June 29, 2023, page 1.

<sup>91</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, page 6.

<sup>92</sup> Exhibit X (16), Senate Committee on Governance and Finance, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended May 18, 2023, page 2.

<sup>93</sup> Exhibit X (12), Assembly Committee on Appropriations, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended April 27, 2023, page 3.

<sup>94</sup> Exhibit X (12), Assembly Committee on Appropriations, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended April 27, 2023, page 3.

<sup>95</sup> Exhibit X (15), Senate Committee on Appropriations, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended June 29, 2023, page 2.

which is a new state-mandated program.<sup>96</sup> Compliance is a complex and costly endeavor for the claimant, as at the time the test claim statute took effect, the claimant had approximately 79 websites with over 10,000 individual web pages that cumulatively receive an average of approximately 59,000 views per day, as well as 40 public facing web applications that provide essential services to the public and 32,690 public email addresses, all of which were located on the claimant's "sccgov.org" domain name, which the claimant had been using since 2002.<sup>97</sup>

The claimant identifies the following activities it alleges it must undertake to comply with the test claim statute. To migrate 79 websites to its new "santaclaracounty.gov" domain name, staff and third-party professionals must do the following:

- Complete the application process to obtain permission to use a .gov domain name.
- Establish teams with expertise to undertake the tasks needed for the domain transition and develop change management processes and oversight.
- Configure the entry-point of the County's web system infrastructure that allows the public to access the County's websites to work with the .gov domain.
- Register the County's new websites in the Domain Name System, the system that translates web addresses (domain names) into the numerical strings (IP addresses) that allow computers to connect to each other. This ensures that users are directed to the County's websites from their web browsers and includes reconfiguring the County's web security layer and cloud software.
- Enable single sign-on -a security process that allows the County's web infrastructure to authenticate valid internal users attempting to log into the system- to accept employees' new .gov email usernames.
- Redesign and replace the logo showing the County's legacy .org address that appears on many County websites.
- Configure the County's cloud computing systems with domain name aliases -the likely variants of the new .gov domains that users might enter into browsers when attempting to access County services- to ensure that users are directed to the County's websites when typing in the legacy .org domains.
- Conduct comprehensive testing of the website system to ensure the functionality of all newly implemented processes.
- Update the County's website analytics and auditing software -the program used to analyze users' interactions with County web pages and check for potential security, accessibility, and other vulnerabilities- to work with the new websites.

---

<sup>96</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 1.

<sup>97</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 30 (Declaration of Matt Woo).

- Undertake search engine optimization, the processes that ensure that common internet search engines and web browsers direct users to the new .gov websites.
- Conduct security audits of the websites to locate and shore up potential vulnerabilities before they become publicly accessible.<sup>98</sup>

The claimant also asserts it is required to do the following activities to redirect its 40 public-facing web applications in compliance with the test claim statute:

- Reconfigure web applications hosted on-premises and on the cloud to work with the County's new .gov domain.
- Revise the source code underlying all on-premises and cloud-based web applications to use the new domain and conduct subsequent quality assurance and testing.
- Configure the Domain Name System to direct users attempting to access County services using web browsers to on-premises and cloud-based web applications using the new .gov domain.
- Conduct comprehensive testing of all on-premises and cloud-based applications to ensure they are operable and secure.
- Reconfigure the infrastructure entry-point allowing the public to access the County's web applications to use the new .gov domain.
- Update all email notification services built into the web applications to ensure emails sent automatically to users by the web applications are delivered from addresses using .gov.
- Redesign and replace the logo showing the County's legacy .org address that appears on many County web applications.<sup>99</sup>

Migrating the claimant's email addresses also requires the following:

- Assemble teams to undertake discovery and assess requirements, risks, and workflows, with consultation of third-party specialists.
- Review workflows, dependencies, and risks in the County's identity management software that stores identifying details about individuals who are provided access to the County's IT systems.
- Add mail exchange records to ensure emails sent to the new email addresses are delivered properly using the County's Domain Name System.
- Update the messaging hygiene infrastructure that scrubs external and internal emails for spam, malware, and other risks to accept the new .gov domain.

---

<sup>98</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, pages 31-32 (Declaration of Matt Woo).

<sup>99</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, pages 32-33 (Declaration of Matt Woo).

- Add the new email domain to the County's software to ensure emails sent from County and external users can be successfully received by County employees.
- Update the Domain Name System to enable it to process County and external emails using the new .gov domain.
- Update the County employee computing authentication system to permit employees to log into County computers and software systems using the new .gov addresses.
- Establish system processes to ensure that outgoing emails sent by County employees show the new .gov domain.
- Develop, test, and deploy new systems of identity management to ensure that existing employees' legacy email addresses are replaced with addresses using .gov and future employees are issued addresses using .gov.
- Conduct final testing of the County's IT infrastructure and applications to ensure they properly accept and process new email addresses using the .gov domain.<sup>100</sup>

Additionally, the claimant says that it is required to communicate the changes it made to its websites, web applications, and email systems internally to county employees and vendors and to the public, to ensure users know where to find essential services, how to communicate with county employees, and how to identify fraudulent information on other domains purporting to come from the county. It asserts this requires: internal communications informing county employees about the transition to the new email, website, and web application addresses; training employees on how to communicate these changes to the public and access web editing and management services to update and modify content; designing and initiating a public relations campaign; replacing references and links to legacy websites, web applications, and email addresses in the County's internet resources; and reprinting all paper documents containing the legacy websites and email addresses, including election materials, brochures, public signage, billing statements, business cards, and letterheads.<sup>101</sup>

The claimant estimates it will cost approximately \$918,868 in total for it to complete all of the required activities.<sup>102</sup> Thus far, it has incurred \$20,017 in fiscal year 2023-2024 to begin the transition of its websites to the new domain name, consisting of 199 hours of employee and third-party professional labor.<sup>103</sup> It estimates it will take an additional \$24,641 in fiscal year 2024-2025 to finish migrating its websites, taking approximately

---

<sup>100</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 33 (Declaration of Matt Woo).

<sup>101</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 34 (Declaration of Matt Woo).

<sup>102</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 34 (Declaration of Matt Woo).

<sup>103</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, pages 37-38 (Exhibit 1, Estimated Actual and Anticipated Costs of New Activities to Migrate County Websites to the .gov Domain Name in Compliance with Sections 50034(a)(1) and (2)).

245 hours of employee and third-party professional labor.<sup>104</sup> Migrating the claimants web applications will take 2,080 hours of labor from employees and third-party professionals, costing \$27,890.<sup>105</sup> Lastly it will take 2,772 hours of labor to migrate email addresses to the new domain name, costing \$656,320.<sup>106</sup>

The claimant's statewide cost estimate asserts the aggregate cost of implementing this test claim statute is \$90,900,000 in the 2024-2025 fiscal year.<sup>107</sup> This is based on taking the estimated average cost for a city or county to migrate to a new domain name that was given in the test claim statute's legislative analysis (\$900,000), multiplying it by the number of cities and counties believed to have noncompliant websites and email addresses that would need to perform new activities to comply with the test claim statute (49 counties and 456 cities), and dividing that by the number of years between when the test claim statute went into effect on January 1, 2024 and the deadline for compliance of January 1, 2029 (five years).<sup>108</sup>

In its response to comments on the test claim, the claimant agreed with Finance's comment that migrating websites and email addresses to a new domain name is a one-time activity that does not include ongoing costs, and asserted that all incurred costs found in the test claim narrative and supporting Declaration of Matt Woo are for one-time activities the claimant must undertake to comply with the test claim statute, and "[t]hese activities are not intended to incur ongoing costs for compliance after they have been completed."<sup>109</sup>

## **B. Department of Finance**

The Department of Finance (Finance) filed comments on the Test Claim on May 23, 2025.<sup>110</sup> In its comments, Finance acknowledged that the test claim statute "requires local agencies to complete a migration of their websites and email addresses to ".gov" or ".ca.gov" by January 1, 2029," which was not required prior to passing the test claim statute, and that the claimant has alleged increased costs to comply with this

---

<sup>104</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, pages 37-38 (Exhibit 1, Estimated Actual and Anticipated Costs of New Activities to Migrate County Websites to the .gov Domain Name in Compliance with Sections 50034(a)(1) and (2)).

<sup>105</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, pages 39-40 (Exhibit 2, Estimated Anticipated Costs of New Activities to Migrate County Web Applications to the .gov Domain Name in Compliance with Sections 50034(a)(1) and (2)).

<sup>106</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, pages 41-42 (Exhibit 3, Estimated Anticipated Costs of New Activities to Migrate County Email Systems to the .gov Domain Name in Compliance with Section 50034(b)).

<sup>107</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 35 (Declaration of Matt Woo).

<sup>108</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 35 (Declaration of Matt Woo).

<sup>109</sup> Exhibit G, Claimant's Rebuttal Comments, page 3.

<sup>110</sup> Exhibit F, Finance's Comments on the Test Claim.



requirement.<sup>111</sup> Finance's only critique of the Test Claim was that the act of migrating to the new websites and email addresses is a one-time activity, and therefore there should be no ongoing costs once migration is complete. Finance advised that should the Commission find the test claim statute imposes a reimbursable state-mandated program on local agencies, the Commission should examine the estimated costs the claimant alleged to ensure they only include one-time costs related to migrating websites and email addresses to ".gov" or ".ca.gov."<sup>112</sup>

### **C. Interested Parties**

Several cities and counties ("interested parties" according to the Commission's regulations<sup>113</sup>) filed comments on the Test Claim, expressing their support and making statements on the costs they have either incurred or anticipate will incur while complying with the test claim statute.

The County of Inyo reports spending \$2,794 so far for 40 hours of staff time and to purchase SSL certificates and DNS management for its new website, and anticipates an additional \$13,900 for staff time to migrate the current website and all email addresses and for additional SSL certificates.<sup>114</sup> County of Monterey asserts it needs to reprint public materials, signage, brochures, and letterheads, and that it will experience increased costs for staff time and labor for implementing, testing, and maintaining a new domain, a public awareness campaign, and email migration and IT system updates; but could not estimate experienced or anticipated costs.<sup>115</sup> County of Santa Barbara anticipates costs somewhere between \$750,000 and \$1,000,000 for changing official domain names, redesigning and reconfiguring county websites, obtaining new security certificates, and transferring its Microsoft 365 environment to align with the new email addresses.<sup>116</sup> Lastly, the Town of Truckee reported estimated costs of \$20,673.15, for several hundred hours of staff time, both that of IT staff and time spent by other members of its staff adjusting to the new website and email accounts.<sup>117</sup>

## **IV. Discussion**

Article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution provides in relevant part the following:

Whenever the Legislature or any state agency mandates a new program or higher level of service on any local government, the state shall provide

---

<sup>111</sup> Exhibit F, Finance's Comments on the Test Claim, page 1.

<sup>112</sup> Exhibit F, Finance's Comments on the Test Claim, page 2.

<sup>113</sup> See California Code of Regulations, Title 2, section 1181.2(i).

<sup>114</sup> Exhibit B, County of Inyo's Comments on the Test Claim, page 1.

<sup>115</sup> Exhibit C, County of Monterey's Comments on the Test Claim, page 1.

<sup>116</sup> Exhibit D, County of Santa Barbara's Comments on the Test Claim, page 1.

<sup>117</sup> Exhibit E, Town of Truckee's Comments on the Test Claim, pages 1-2.

a subvention of funds to reimburse such local government for the costs of such programs or increased level of service...

The purpose of article XIII B, section 6 is to “preclude the state from shifting financial responsibility for carrying out governmental functions to local agencies, which are ‘ill equipped’ to assume increased financial responsibilities because of the taxing and spending limitations that articles XIII A and XIII B impose.”<sup>118</sup> Thus, the subvention requirement of section 6 is “directed to state-mandated increases in the services provided by [local government] ...”<sup>119</sup>

Reimbursement under article XIII B, section 6 is required when the following elements are met:

1. A state statute or executive order requires or “mandates” local agencies or school districts to perform an activity.<sup>120</sup>
2. The mandated activity constitutes a “program” that either:
  - a. Carries out the governmental function of providing a service to the public; or
  - b. Imposes unique requirements on local agencies or school districts and does not apply generally to all residents and entities in the state.<sup>121</sup>
3. The mandated activity is new when compared with the legal requirements in effect immediately before the enactment of the test claim statute or executive order and it increases the level of service provided to the public.<sup>122</sup>
4. The mandated activity results in the local agency or school district incurring increased costs, within the meaning of section 17514. Increased costs, however, are not reimbursable if an exception identified in Government Code section 17556 applies to the activity.<sup>123</sup>

---

<sup>118</sup> *County of San Diego v. State of California* (1997) 15 Cal.4th 68, 81.

<sup>119</sup> *County of Los Angeles v. State of California* (1987) 43 Cal.3d 46, 56.

<sup>120</sup> *San Diego Unified School Dist. v. Commission on State Mandates* (2004) 33 Cal.4th 859, 874.

<sup>121</sup> *San Diego Unified School Dist. v. Commission on State Mandates* (2004) 33 Cal.4th 859, 874-875 (reaffirming the test set out in *County of Los Angeles* (1987) 43 Cal.3d 46, 56).

<sup>122</sup> *San Diego Unified School Dist. v. Commission on State Mandates* (2004) 33 Cal.4th 859, 874-875, 878; *Lucia Mar Unified School District v. Honig* (1988) 44 Cal.3d 830, 835.

<sup>123</sup> *County of Fresno v. State of California* (1991) 53 Cal.3d 482, 487; *County of Sonoma v. Commission on State Mandates* (2000) 84 Cal.App.4th 1265, 1284; Government Code sections 17514 and 17556.

The Commission is vested with the exclusive authority to adjudicate disputes over the existence of state-mandated programs within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution.<sup>124</sup> The determination whether a statute or executive order imposes a reimbursable state-mandated program is a question of law.<sup>125</sup> In making its decisions, the Commission must strictly construe article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution, and not apply it as an “equitable remedy to cure the perceived unfairness resulting from political decisions on funding priorities.”<sup>126</sup>

**A. The Test Claim Was Timely Filed, with a Period of Reimbursement Beginning January 1, 2024.**

Government Code section 17551(c) provides that test claims “shall be filed not later than 12 months following the effective date of a statute or executive order, or within 12 months of incurring increased costs as a result of a statute or executive order, whichever is later.”<sup>127</sup> Section 1183.1(c) of the Commission’s regulations, in turn, defines “12 months” as 365 days.<sup>128</sup> The test claim statute became effective on January 1, 2024, and the test claim was filed on December 16, 2024, within 365 days following the effective date of the test claim statute.<sup>129</sup> The test claim was therefore timely filed.

Government Code section 17557(e) requires a test claim to be submitted on or before June 30 following a given fiscal year to establish eligibility for that fiscal year.<sup>130</sup> The December 16, 2024 filing date establishes reimbursement eligibility for the 2023-2024 fiscal year, but because the test claim statute became effective on January 1, 2024, the potential period of reimbursement begins on January 1, 2024.

**B. The Test Claim Statute Imposes a Reimbursable State-Mandated Program on Cities, Counties, and Cities and Counties.**

As described below, the Commission finds that sections (a)(1), (a)(2), and (b) of Government Code section 50034, added by the test claim statute (Stats. 2023, ch. 586) imposes a reimbursable state-mandated program within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution.

---

<sup>124</sup> *Kinlaw v. State of California* (1991) 54 Cal.3d 326, 335.

<sup>125</sup> *County of San Diego v. State of California* (1997) 15 Cal.4th 68, 109.

<sup>126</sup> *County of Sonoma v. Commission on State Mandates* (2000) 84 Cal.App.4th 1265, 1280 citing *City of San Jose v. State of California* (1996) 45 Cal.App.4th 1802, 1817.

<sup>127</sup> Government Code section 17551(c).

<sup>128</sup> California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 1183.1(c).

<sup>129</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 1.

<sup>130</sup> Government Code section 17557(e)

**1. The Test Claim Statute Imposes State-Mandated Requirements That a City, County, or City and County’s Public Websites and Employee Email Addresses Use Either a “.gov” Top-Level Domain Name or “.ca.gov” Second-Level Domain Name.**

The plain language of Government Code section 50034 requires a local agency, meaning a “city, county, or city and county,” that maintains an internet website for use by the public or public email addresses for its employees, ensure that its internet website and each email address utilizes either a “.gov” top-level domain name or “.ca.gov” second-level domain name by January 1, 2029. In addition, the statute requires that if the city or county continues to maintain a noncompliant website for use by the public after the January 1, 2029 deadline, the noncompliant website shall redirect users to a website using a “.gov” or “.ca.gov” domain name. Section 50034 states the following:

- (a) (1) No later than January 1, 2029, a local agency that maintains an internet website for use by the public *shall ensure that the internet website utilizes a “.gov” top-level domain name or a “.ca.gov” second-level domain.*
- (2) If a local agency that is subject to paragraph (1) maintains an internet website for use by the public that is noncompliant with paragraph (1) by January 1, 2029, that local agency *shall redirect that internet website to a website that does comply with paragraph (1).*
- (b) No later than January 1, 2029, a local agency that maintains public email addresses for its employees *shall ensure that each email address provided to its employees utilizes a “.gov” domain name or a “.ca.gov” domain name.*
- (c) For purposes of this section, “local agency” means a city, county, or city and county.

Based on the plain language of the test claim statute, the activities of ensuring internet websites and email addresses utilize a “.gov” top-level domain name or “.ca.gov” second-level domain name, and ensuring that any noncompliant websites redirect to a website with a compliant domain name, by January 1, 2029, are required for a city, county, or city and county that maintains a public facing website or public email addresses for its employees.

State law does not have any codified definitions for “website,” “email address,” or “domain name;” thus we must follow the definitions generally accepted by the public.<sup>131</sup> The dictionary definition of “website” is “a group of World Wide Web pages, usually containing hyperlinks to each other and made available online by an individual, company, educational institution, government, or organization.”<sup>132</sup> “Email” is “a

---

<sup>131</sup> See *Turo Inc. v. City of San Francisco* (2022) 80 Cal.App.5th 517, 521 (Words in a statute are construed to give them “a plain and commonsense meaning,” unless a statute specifically defines the word to give it a special meaning).

<sup>132</sup> Merriam-Webster <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/website> (accessed on July 10, 2025).

communication exchanged between people by computer, through either a local area network or the internet,” and “address” is “the place where mail or other communication is sent;” the combination of the two for “email address” means the place to send email to communicate with a person.<sup>133</sup>

The Domain Name System allows users to navigate the Internet by associating complex IP addresses with easier to remember domain names.<sup>134</sup> Domain names are structured by breaking the domain name down into sections, arranged in hierarchal order from lowest to highest level, with dots separating the sections. Domain names contain at least two sections: a top-level domain and second-level domain.<sup>135</sup> Some domain names also include optional subdomains.<sup>136</sup> Each top-level domain maintains a database of all second-level domains registered under it, and the owner of a second-level domain can in turn maintain a database of subdomains registered under it. CISA maintains the “.gov” top-level domain, while CDT maintains the “.ca.gov” second-level domain.<sup>137</sup> The test claim statute therefore requires cities’ and counties’ websites and email addresses to use a domain name registered under the “.gov” top-level domain, with the explicit option this can be as subdomain under the “.ca.gov” second-level domain.

For the required activities in a statute or executive order to be mandated by the state, the claimant must be either legally or practically compelled to perform the activity.

Legal compulsion occurs when a statute or executive action uses mandatory language that “require[s]” or “command[s]” a local entity to participate in a program or service. [citations omitted] [construing the term “mandates” in art. XIII B, § 6 to mean “orders” or “commands”].) Stated differently, legal compulsion is present when the local entity has a mandatory, legally enforceable duty to obey.<sup>138</sup>

In *Coast Community College District v. Commission on State Mandates*, claimants alleged that several regulations imposed state mandated costs on community college districts. Although complying with the regulations entitled districts to state funding, the

---

<sup>133</sup> See Black’s Law Dictionary (12th ed. 2024).

<sup>134</sup> Exhibit X (4), ICANN, *The Domain Name System*, <https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/dns-2022-09-13-en> (accessed on July 22, 2025) page 1.

<sup>135</sup> Exhibit X (5), ICANN, *Acronyms and Terms – D*, <https://www.icann.org/en/icann-acronyms-and-terms?nav-letter=d&page=1> (accessed on July 22, 2025), page 8.

<sup>136</sup> Exhibit X (5), ICANN, *Acronyms and Terms – D*, <https://www.icann.org/en/icann-acronyms-and-terms?nav-letter=d&page=1> (accessed on July 22, 2025), page 8.

<sup>137</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, pages 3-4.

<sup>138</sup> *Coast Community College Dist. v. Commission on State Mandates* (2022) 13 Cal.5th. 800, 815. See also *San Diego Unified School Dist. v. Commission on State Mandates* (2004) 33 Cal.4th 859, 874.

Commission found they were not legally compelled to comply with the regulations, as they had the option of not complying with the regulations, and not complying only resulted in the Chancellor having the option to take several possible actions in response to encourage compliance, including withholding funding.<sup>139</sup> The Appellate Court found that these funding regulations were legally compelled because the regulations related to community colleges' core functions, however the State Supreme Court reversed this decision, finding that something is only legally compelled if there is a mandatory legal obligation that they obey, and the proper focus in a legal compulsion inquiry is upon the nature of the claimants' participation in the underlying programs themselves.<sup>140</sup> "If a community college district is willing to risk the possibility of losing some or all of its state aid, there does not appear to be any mechanism (or at least none the parties have identified) that would allow the Chancellor or any other state entity to compel compliance as a matter of law."<sup>141</sup>

The court also recognized there may be a state-mandated program with practical compulsion. Practical compulsion occurs when the consequences for not complying with a technically optional requirement are so onerous they result in "certain and severe penalties such as double taxation and other draconian consequences," such that a local government has no reasonable alternative but to comply.<sup>142</sup> For there to be no reasonable alternative, any alternative options that may exist must be "so far beyond the realm of practical reality" that it leaves the local government "without discretion" not to comply with the state's conditions, such that the alternative amounts to "no alternative at all."<sup>143</sup> In such cases, the state "does not command a local entity to engage in conduct, but rather induces compliance through the imposition of severe consequences that leave the local entity no reasonable alternative but to comply."<sup>144</sup>

The claimant argues that it is legally compelled to comply with the test claim statute because of the statute's use of the mandatory language "shall."<sup>145</sup> In the alternative, it also argues it is practically compelled because the only alternative available is not to

---

<sup>139</sup> *Coast Community College Dist. v. Commission on State Mandates* (2022) 13 Cal.5th. 800, 811.

<sup>140</sup> *Coast Community College Dist. v. Commission on State Mandates* (2022) 13 Cal.5th. 800, 815.

<sup>141</sup> *Coast Community College Dist. v. Commission on State Mandates* (2022) 13 Cal.5th. 800, 817.

<sup>142</sup> *Department of Finance v. Commission on State Mandates* (2009) 170 Cal.App.4th 1355, 1360, 1364.

<sup>143</sup> *Department of Finance v. Commission on State Mandates* (2022) 85 Cal.App.5th 535, 558 (finding that urbanized cities and counties were practically compelled to obtain a permit for their stormwater drainage systems).

<sup>144</sup> *Coast Community College Dist. v. Commission on State Mandates* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 800, 816.

<sup>145</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, pages 22-23 (Written Narrative).

have a public website, which amounts to “no alternative at all,” as use of the web is integral to local governments’ functioning.<sup>146</sup> The claimant contends cities and counties use their websites to disseminate important information and allow citizens to request public records, file essential paperwork, register to vote, and access public hearings. Providing these services via the internet is especially important for people with disabilities, or those who live far from their local government buildings or are reliant on public benefits. City and county websites provide all residents with a key tool for engaging with their elected government, by allowing them to access the agendas of the meetings of the Board of Supervisors, watch streaming or archived videos of these meetings, and participate by video conference to exercise their right to provide public comment.<sup>147</sup> Additionally, the claimant alleges there are multiple other State laws that mandate local governments create websites or dictate how they are used, specifically noting the requirements that special districts maintain a website (Government Code section 53087.8); that local governments post certain types of at-risk contracts on their websites for public inspection (Public Contracts Code section 20146(e)); that public agencies post notices on their websites under the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code sections 21092(b)(3), 21092.3, and 21152(c)); that health care districts and hospital districts maintain a website containing information about their budget, membership, and public meetings (Government Code section 7926.500 and Health and Safety Code section 32139(b)); and that local governments disclose all offers and counteroffers for certain high cost contracts on their websites (Public Contracts Code section 22178).<sup>148</sup>

As explained below, the Commission finds that the required activities in the test claim statute are mandated by the state, based on both legal and practical compulsion theories.

- a. Cities and counties are legally required to publish certain notices and documents on their websites, which requires maintaining a public internet website to publish this information on; thus cities and counties are legally compelled to maintain a public internet website, which makes the test claim statute’s requirements that they ensure their website uses a compliant domain name and any noncompliant websites they continue to maintain after the deadline redirect users to the compliant website, mandated by the state.

The claimant asserts the test claim statute’s use of the word “shall” makes the activities in the test claim statute mandatory requirements, and thus legally compelled state mandates. It is true that the use of the word “shall” means something is mandatory, while “may” is merely permissive.<sup>149</sup> However, as a general matter, a local entity’s voluntary or discretionary decision to undertake an activity cannot be said to be legally

---

<sup>146</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 23 (Written Narrative).

<sup>147</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 24 (Written Narrative).

<sup>148</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 24 (Written Narrative).

<sup>149</sup> Government Code section 14.

compelled, even if that decision results in certain mandatory actions.<sup>150</sup> The proper focus in a legal compulsion inquiry is upon the nature of the claimants' participation in the underlying programs themselves.<sup>151</sup> In other words, mandatory language like "shall" does not automatically mean something is a legally compelled state mandate, if it is in the context of imposing requirements on an activity the local agency chose to undertake voluntarily. In context, the requirement that cities and counties shall ensure their public websites use either a ".gov" top-level domain or a ".ca.gov" second-level domain is imposed on "a local agency that maintains an internet website for use by the public."<sup>152</sup> Thus, the question of whether this requirement is a legally compelled mandate depends on whether cities and counties are legally compelled to have a public website in the first place.

There are no statutes that explicitly say all cities and counties shall maintain a public internet website. The Legislature can and has in the past used its authority to legally compel government agencies to maintain a website. Government Code section 8548.9 says that the State Auditor shall "maintain a publicly accessible internet website that displays the status of recommendations the State Auditor made in its audits and investigations." The California Health Benefit Exchange Board shall "maintain an internet website through which enrollees and prospective enrollees of qualified health plans may obtain standardized comparative information on those plans."<sup>153</sup> State law requires independent special districts, health care districts, and hospital districts to each maintain a website.<sup>154</sup> However the test claim statute explicitly says that "local agency" as used here only means a "city, county, or city and county," purposefully limiting it from the definition used in earlier drafts which included a "county, city, whether general law or chartered, city and county, town, school district, municipal corporation, district, political subdivision, or any board, commission or agency thereof, or other local public agency."<sup>155</sup> These are examples of the Legislature creating a clear legal compulsion for other state or local agencies to maintain a public website. Because there is no statute that imposes a similar legal compulsion for cities and counties, we'll instead consider whether there are any instances of legal compulsion caused by the Legislature legally

---

<sup>150</sup> *Coast Community College District v. Commission on State Mandates* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 800, 815.

<sup>151</sup> *Coast Community College Dist. v. Commission on State Mandates* (2022) 13 Cal.5th. 800, 815.

<sup>152</sup> Government Code section 50034(a)(1).

<sup>153</sup> Government Code section 100502(c).

<sup>154</sup> Government Code sections 7926.500 and 53087.8; Health and Safety Code section 32139(b).

<sup>155</sup> Government Code section 50034(c); see also Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, page 2.



compelling cities and counties to make certain information available on a public website they maintain, thereby requiring them to maintain a website.

The claimant cited several State laws it alleges require local governments to post certain information on their public websites, and therefore to have a public website.<sup>156</sup> Many of the cited examples are themselves dependent on a local agency first choosing to take a discretionary action. The Public Contract Code requires that a county or public entity that “elects to...[use] a construction manager at-risk contract for a building project shall make a copy of the contract available for public inspection on its internet website,” and that any city, county, city and county, or special district that chooses to enter into a contract valued at \$250,000 or more disclose all offers and counteroffers to the public on its internet website.<sup>157</sup> It is a discretionary decision for a local agency to enter into these types of contracts, thus the state does not mandate them to have an internet website in order to perform the resulting mandatory actions.<sup>158</sup> Legislative analysis for the test claim statute also acknowledged several prior laws that require local agencies to post certain information on their internet websites *if they have one*.<sup>159</sup> This condition excuses any local agencies that do not have a website from performing the mandatory actions, thus the Legislature is not legally compelling local agencies to have a website here either. None of these examples establish a legal obligation or duty for a city or county to have a public website.

However, there are at least some laws that do impose a legal obligation to post certain information on a local agency’s website, thus requiring cities and counties to have a website. The California Environmental Quality Act requires lead agencies to provide notices during the process of preparing an environmental impact report or negative declaration for a project and after approving a project subject to these provisions.<sup>160</sup>

---

<sup>156</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 24 (Written Narrative).

<sup>157</sup> Public Contract Code sections 20146(e) and 22178(c)

<sup>158</sup> See *Department of Finance v. Commission on State Mandates* (2003) 30 Cal.4th 727, 743 (School districts’ choice to participate in voluntary state-funded programs did not create a legally compelled state mandate to comply with the programs’ requirements).

<sup>159</sup> Exhibit X (13) Assembly Floor Analysis of AB 1637, as amended June 29, 2023, page 2. See also Government Code sections 6270.5(a), as amended by Statute 2015, Chapter 795, section 2 (Local agencies shall post their catalog of enterprise systems on their internet website, if they have a website); 53908(a), as amended by Statutes 2014, Chapter 894, section 3 (If a local agency that is required to make certain annual reports to the Controller maintains an internet website, it shall post information about the annual compensation of its elected officials, officers, and employees in a conspicuous location on its website); 54954(a)(1), as amended by Statutes 2011, Chapter 692, section 8 (Meeting agendas for the legislative body of a local agency or its designee shall be posted at least 72 hours before the meeting on the local agency’s internet website, if it has one).

<sup>160</sup> Public Resources Code sections 21092, 21152.

These notices shall be posted on the lead agency's website as well as the website of the county clerk.<sup>161</sup> A "lead agency" is the public agency which has the principal responsibility for carrying out or approving a project which may have a significant impact on the environment.<sup>162</sup> Projects under the California Environmental Quality Act do not just mean those undertaken by or on behalf of a public agency, but also any other activities that require a public agency to issue a person a lease, permit, license, certificate or other entitlement for use.<sup>163</sup> A city or county that serves as the lead agency for a project often did not make a choice to take on that role, as many projects are instigated by members of the public seeking approval from the city or county. Regardless of whether the project is ultimately approved, the public agency with principal responsibility for approving a project is the project's lead agency, and is legally required to post notices on its website as part of its responsibilities as lead agency.

For another example, Government Code section 84616 requires local government agencies to post on their websites a copy of any statement, report or other documents candidates file with them that is required by campaign finance disclosure law.<sup>164</sup> Candidates are legally required to file these documents to be allowed to run for office, and local governments are obligated to hold elections for these positions as part of the democratic process. Unlike other disclosures required by the Government Code, the phrasing used to impose this requirement to post candidates' financial disclosure documents does not condition it as only being required if the local government has a website in the first place. Thus, cities and counties are legally required to post these documents on their websites, and in turn, have a public website.

Cities and counties are legally required to publish certain notices and documents on their public internet website, which necessarily requires them to maintain a public internet website. Because they are legally required to maintain a public internet website, they are in turn legally compelled to ensure that that website complies with the requirements in the test claim statute that their websites use a domain name with either a ".gov" top-level domain or a ".ca.gov" second-level domain.

Additionally, Government Code section 50034(a)(2) requires cities and counties to ensure that any websites they continue to maintain after the January 1, 2029 deadline with domain names that do not comply with the above requirement to use either the ".gov" top-level domain or ".ca.gov" second-level domain redirect users to a compliant website. Obviously, it would be irrational to claim cities and counties are legally compelled to maintain a website that does not comply with the law. However, the state mandate compelling compliance with Government Code section 50034(a)(1) itself creates a legal obligation to comply with Government Code section 50034(a)(2) for all noncompliant websites. Cities and counties are mandated by the state to maintain public websites, and thus they have a legal obligation to ensure their websites are

---

<sup>161</sup> Public Resources Code sections 21092(b)(3), 21092.3, and 21152(c)(1).

<sup>162</sup> Public Resources Code section 21067.

<sup>163</sup> Public Resources Code section 21065.

<sup>164</sup> Government Code section 84616.

compliant with all laws applicable to their websites, including this requirement that non-compliant websites redirect users to a website with a compliant domain. Cities and counties are therefore legally compelled to also ensure that any website with a non-compliant domain name they continue to maintain after January 1, 2029 redirects users to a website with a compliant domain name.

- b. For websites and webpages cities and counties are not legally compelled to maintain, there is substantial evidence that cities and counties are practically compelled to comply with the test claim statute by migrating their websites to compliant domain names.

Though cities and counties may be legally compelled to have a public internet website, that finding does not account for all of the websites and webpages a city or county may have elected to create voluntarily before the test claim statute went into effect. For example, the claimant certifies it has 79 websites with over 10,000 individual webpages, many of which presumably serve functions other than being the place where it publishes legally required information. However, there is substantial evidence that local agencies are practically compelled to comply with the test claim statute by migrating their websites to a domain name using either “.gov” or “.ca.gov” by January 1, 2029, even for websites they are not legally compelled to maintain.

As indicated earlier, practical compulsion occurs when the consequences for not complying with a technically optional requirement are so onerous they result in “certain and severe penalties such as double taxation and other draconian consequences,” such that a local government has no reasonable alternative but to comply.<sup>165</sup> For there to be no reasonable alternative, any alternative options that may exist must be “so far beyond the realm of practical reality” that it leaves the local government “without discretion” not to comply with the state’s conditions, such that the alternative amounts to “no alternative at all.”<sup>166</sup> In such cases, the state “does not command a local entity to engage in conduct, but rather induces compliance through the imposition of severe consequences that leave the local entity no reasonable alternative but to comply.”<sup>167</sup>

Prior law did not dictate what types of domain names cities and counties may use for their public websites, and many cities and counties chose to create websites with top-level domains such as “.com,” “.net,” or “.org.”<sup>168</sup> Now, the only options available to

---

<sup>165</sup> *Department of Finance v. Commission on State Mandates* (2009) 170 Cal.App.4th 1355, 1360, 1364.

<sup>166</sup> *Department of Finance v. Commission on State Mandates* (2022) 85 Cal.App.5th 535, 558 (finding that urbanized cities and counties were practically compelled to obtain a permit for their stormwater drainage systems).

<sup>167</sup> *Coast Community College Dist. v. Commission on State Mandates* (2022) 13 Cal.5th 800, 816.

<sup>168</sup> In the claimant’s case, it created its “sccgov.org” domain name in 2002, before local governments were allowed to use the “.gov” top-level domain. See Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 30 (Declaration of Matt Woo).

cities and counties whose public website domain names use one of these top-level domains that do not comply with the test claim statute is to either migrate their websites to a new compliant domain name in accordance with the test claim statute or stop having the website altogether. As the claimant points out, this would have severe consequences for the people who utilize the services provided through these websites. Cities and counties use their public websites to easily disseminate information and provide other government services, and members of the public have become reliant on using these websites. Examples of such services include accessing public information, corresponding with local officials, paying fines, registering to vote, filing taxes, obtaining up-to-date health and safety resources, requesting copies of vital records, accessing mass transit schedules, and many other tasks.<sup>169</sup> These are some of the most common and important ways in which members of the public interact with their local government. Having easy access to these services via the internet is especially important for people with disabilities.<sup>170</sup> Removing a city or county's public website, and with it, denying access to these services to anyone that detrimentally relied on these websites as a reliable means of accessing these services, would disenfranchise members of the public from their local government, a severe consequence cities and counties would feel practically compelled to avoid. The Legislature also understood the public benefit of allowing people to access this important information through a city or county's websites, as noted in its discussion of several laws which require local agencies to post certain information on their websites:

As technology advances, the Legislature often amends statutes to capture these advancements and take advantage of any potential public benefits. For example, the Brown Act requires all local agencies to post the agenda for any regular meeting 72 hours in advance in a location that is freely accessible to the public. The agenda must clearly specify the meeting's time, location, and the topics that will be deliberated. Despite this requirement, a local agency's constituents still have to know when the agency plans to meet, where the agenda is posted, and physically travel to the location where the agenda is posted or contact the agency directly to discover what topics the agency is planning to discuss. With the proliferation of Internet access and local agencies utilizing this tool to communicate with their constituents, AB 1344 (Feuer), Chapter 692, Statutes of 2011, required all local agencies that have a website to post their meeting agendas on the website 72 hours in advance, effectively

---

<sup>169</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 325 (Federal Register, Volume 89, Number 80, page 31320, April 24, 2024) (Citing these examples of government services provided via the internet while explaining the need for regulations requiring public entities' websites and mobile applications to be accessible).

<sup>170</sup> See generally, 28 CFR section 35.200 (requiring public entities ensure their web content and mobile applications are readily accessible and usable to people with disabilities, complying with WCAG 2.1 levels A and AA beginning April 24, 2026 for entities with populations greater than 50,000, and by April 26, 2026, for entities with populations less than 50,000).

making the agenda more accessible to the public by taking advantage of advancements in technology. Additionally, SB 272 (Hertzberg), Chapter 795, Statutes of 2015, and AB 2040 (Garcia), Chapter 894, Statutes of 2014, required local agencies to post on their websites a list of the agency's enterprise systems and the agency's employee compensation report, respectively.<sup>171</sup>

This discussion demonstrates how important it is to the Legislature that local agencies are able to use the Internet to provide information to their constituents. At this point, expecting a city or county to stop providing a public website through which the public can access government services to avoid the costs of complying with the test claim statute is an unreasonable alternative, and is similar to an expectation that an urban city or county stop providing its citizens with a stormwater drainage system to avoid complying with a stormwater discharge permit.<sup>172</sup>

It is worth noting the Legislature certainly seemed to intend compliance with the test claim statute to be the only option available to cities and counties and did not consider the possibility of a city or county removing its public website to be a reasonable alternative. The Legislature lamented this was something that all public agencies should have been legally required to do decades ago, implying it believed the test claim statute created a legally required mandate.<sup>173</sup> A city or county choosing the alternative of not having a public website may also result in the exact harm the Legislature was trying to prevent with the test claim statute; to prevent the public from falling for fake government websites, and ensure confidence in legitimate government sources.<sup>174</sup> The widespread usage of top-level domains other than ".gov" among local government agencies made it easy for malicious actors to create domain names for fake government websites that could be used to spread misinformation or trick members of the public into making payments or revealing personal information.<sup>175</sup> By requiring all cities and counties to use either the ".gov" top-level domain or ".ca.gov" second-level domain, which are only available to verified government agencies, it makes it easier on the public to discern a real domain name from a fake one and ensures confidence in a real government website's legitimacy. The idea of a city or county having no online presence whatsoever is so unthinkable to modern society that members of the public may conclude a fake website to be real, despite not using a ".gov" top-level domain.

---

<sup>171</sup> Exhibit X (13) Assembly Floor Analysis of AB 1637, as amended June 29, 2023, page 2.

<sup>172</sup> See *Department of Finance v. Commission on State Mandates* (2022) 85 Cal.App.5th 535, 558

<sup>173</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, page 1.

<sup>174</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, page 1.

<sup>175</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, page 3.

This is the exact harm the Legislature was trying to prevent, further demonstrating that choosing to stop having a public website to avoid complying with the test claim statute is an unreasonable alternative.

Cities and counties are thus practically compelled to comply with the test claim statute's requirement that their public websites use either the ".gov" top-level domain, or ".ca.gov" second level domain, as the only alternative would be to simply not have a website at all, which would result in denying citizens access to important government services and may cause people to be more likely to fall for fake websites pretending to belong to that city or county. The harm to citizens caused by these consequences is so severe that cities and counties have no reasonable alternative but to comply.

- c. Cities and counties are both legally and practically compelled to ensure their employees public email addresses utilize a ".gov" or ".ca.gov" domain name.

Although the claimant alleges that both section (a) of Government Code section 50034 (regarding public websites) and section (b) (regarding employee email addresses) impose state mandates through both legal and practical compulsion theories, the claimant's arguments only address Government Code section 50034(a). The claimant does not explain how they are legally or practically compelled to comply with the requirement to ensure the public email addresses provided to a city's or county's employees utilize a ".gov" or ".ca.gov" domain name by January 1, 2029. Nevertheless, the Commission finds that there is both legal and practical compulsion to comply with this requirement.

The reasoning for why cities and counties are compelled to have email addresses for their employees is fairly similar to the reasoning for public websites. Many laws require local agencies to communicate with the public via email, whether when sending out notices or other information to members of the public that request to be contacted that way or by allowing members of the public to file documents via email.<sup>176</sup> Under these circumstances, the requirement to ensure public email addresses utilize a ".gov" or ".ca.gov" domain name is legally compelled by state law.

---

<sup>176</sup> See Government Code sections 6103.3(a)(1) (abuse victims can request to be notified via email when an order or injunction has been served on their abuser), 26666.5(a) (marshals and sheriffs shall accept a standardized form used in civil actions or proceedings than can be served via email, fax, or in-person delivery), 65585(b)(1)(A) (a city or county shall email a link to any draft revisions of the housing element to all individuals and organizations that previously requested notifications regarding the housing element); 84616(a) (documents that must be filed for the creation of an organization can be submitted in paper format or by fax or email and shall be posted on the local government's website); Public Resources Code section 21091(d)(3)(A) (lead agencies shall accept comments on a draft environmental impact report, proposed negative declaration, proposed mitigated negative declaration, or notice under Section 21080.4 via email and treat email comments as equivalent to written comments).

Moreover, counties and cities are practically compelled to maintain email addresses. Email, text messaging, and other electronic platforms, permit writings to be prepared, exchanged, and stored more quickly and easily.<sup>177</sup> Email is an essential means of communication in our modern society, and most, if not all, employees would need a public facing email address in order to do business on behalf of the city or county. Under the California Public Records Act, the public has a right to access written public records regarding government business, including employee emails.<sup>178</sup> As discussed, the purpose of the test claim statute requiring cities and counties to transition to using a “.gov” or “.ca.gov” domain name for their employee email addresses is to instill confidence in the public that when they email government employees, they are conversing with a real government employee and not falling victim to a scam or hacker.<sup>179</sup> This supports that public employee email addresses serve as an official means for accessing public records. And like the issue with fake websites, a malicious actor could easily create a fake email address to pose as a city’s or county’s employees if there isn’t a real public address by which to contact them. The alternative of cities and counties not providing public email addresses for their employees is so far beyond the realm of practical reality that it amounts to no alternative at all, and they are left without discretion not to comply with the test claim statute. The claimant and other cities and counties are therefore both legally compelled to have public email addresses for their employees in order to make legally required communications, and practically compelled because employees need public email addresses in order to do business on behalf of the city or county.

As Finance pointed out, the act of migrating an existing website or email address to a new domain name is a one-time requirement and should not include ongoing costs once migration is complete.<sup>180</sup> The claimant agrees with this, asserting that all claimed costs were for one-time activities and it does not anticipate any ongoing costs after compliance is completed.<sup>181</sup>

Accordingly, the test claim statute imposes a state-mandated program requiring a city, county, or city and county that maintains an Internet website for use by the public, or public email addresses for its employees, to do the following one-time activities:

---

<sup>177</sup> *City of San Jose v. Superior Court* (2017) 2 Cal.5th 608, 618.

<sup>178</sup> Government Code section 7920 et seq. See also *City of San Jose v. Superior Court* (2017) 2 Cal.5th 608, 625 (finding that the CPRA’s definition of “public record” as “any writing containing information relating to the conduct of the public’s business prepared, owned, used, or retained by any state or local agency regardless of physical form or characteristics,” includes emails and text messages, regardless of whether it was sent or received on an employee’s personal account).

<sup>179</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, page 4.

<sup>180</sup> Exhibit F, Finance’s Comments on the Test Claim, page 2.

<sup>181</sup> Exhibit G, Claimant’s Rebuttal Comments, page 3.

- Ensure that the Internet website used by the public utilizes either a “.gov” top-level domain name or a “.ca.gov” second-level domain name by January 1, 2029.<sup>182</sup>
- Ensure any websites with a non-compliant domain name the city or county continues to maintain after January 1, 2029 redirects users to a website with a compliant domain name.<sup>183</sup>
- Ensure that each public email address provided for the city’s or county’s employees utilizes a “.gov” or “.ca.gov” domain name by January 1, 2029.<sup>184</sup>
  - d. The claimant requests reimbursement for several activities that are not required or mandated by the plain language of the test claim statute. These activities and costs may be proposed for inclusion in the Parameters and Guidelines if they are supported by evidence in the record showing they are “reasonably necessary for the performance of the state-mandated program” in accordance with the Government Code and Commission regulations.

The claimant is also requesting reimbursement to migrate its 40 web applications to comply with the test claim statute. The test claim statute’s plain language makes no mention of “web applications” being required to use the specified domain names. In addition, the claimant identifies a long list of tasks it alleges are required for its process to migrate its websites, web applications, and email addresses to the new domain name, such as search engine optimization or organizing the teams that will be assigned to the different tasks allegedly needed in the transition, which were not specifically identified in the plain language of the test claim statute.<sup>185</sup> The claimant also asserts it is required to communicate the changes it made to its websites, web applications, and email systems internally to county employees and vendors and to the public, which requires internal communications and training for employees, a public relations campaign, replacing all references and links to legacy websites, web applications, and email addresses on online resources, and reprinting all paper documents that include the legacy websites and email addresses.<sup>186</sup> The Assembly Committee on Appropriations noted that the costs to migrate a local agency’s systems included “indirect costs, such as changes to outreach and promotional materials, business cards, letter heads, and election materials. Some agencies may also have costs for media campaigns to alert the public to the change.”<sup>187</sup> Although the legislative history of the

---

<sup>182</sup> Government Code section 50034(a)(1)

<sup>183</sup> Government Code section 50034(a)(2)

<sup>184</sup> Government Code section 50034(b).

<sup>185</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, pages 31-33 (Declaration of Matt Woo).

<sup>186</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 34 (Declaration of Matt Woo).

<sup>187</sup> Exhibit X (12), Assembly Committee on Appropriations, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended April 27, 2023, page 3.



test claim statute acknowledged that the mandate would result in some local agencies incurring costs for printing new materials and for a public relations campaign, these activities are not required or mandated by the plain language of the test claim statute. Nevertheless, all of these activities and costs may be proposed for inclusion in the Parameters and Guidelines if they are supported by evidence in the record showing they are “reasonably necessary for the performance of the state-mandated program” in accordance with Government Code section 17557(a), and California Code of Regulations, title 2, sections 1183.7(d) and 1187.5.

**2. The State-Mandated Requirements Are New and Impose a New Program or Higher Level of Service, Which Is Both Uniquely Imposed on Local Governments and Provides a Governmental Service to the Public.**

Article XIII B, section 6 requires reimbursement when “the Legislature or any state agency mandates a new program or higher level of service on any local government.” A new program or higher level of service has been defined as those “that carry out the governmental function of providing services to the public, *or* laws which, to implement a state policy, impose unique requirements on local governments and do not apply generally to all residents and entities in the state.”<sup>188</sup> Just one of these conditions need be met.<sup>189</sup> The purpose of article XIII B, section 6 is to prevent the state from forcing extra programs on local government each year in a manner that negates their careful budgeting of increased expenditures counted against the local government’s annual spending limit and thus, article XIII B, section 6 requires a showing that the test claim statute mandates new activities compared to prior law.<sup>190</sup>

The Commission finds that the activities mandated by the test claim statute are new compared to prior law. Prior law did not impose any requirements that cities and counties use the “.gov” top-level domain or “.ca.gov” second-level domain, or any other restrictions on the domain names available to them. The Legislature lamented this fact in its analysis of the test claim statute, noting that “[i]t would have been helpful for internet cybersecurity if government entities had been legally required to take this step

---

<sup>188</sup> *Carmel Valley Fire Protection District v. State of California* (1987) 190 Cal. App. 3d 521, 537, citing *County of Los Angeles v. State of California* (1987) 43 Cal. 3d 46, 56, emphasis in original.

<sup>189</sup> *Carmel Valley Fire Protection District v. State of California* (1987) 190 Cal. App. 3d 521, 537; *Department of Finance v. Commission on State Mandates* (2021) 59 Cal. App. 5th 546, 557.

<sup>190</sup> California Constitution, articles XIII B, sections 1, 8(a) and (b); *County of Los Angeles v. State of California* (1987) 43 Cal.3d 46, 56; *Lucia Mar Unified School Dist. v. Honig* (1988) 44 Cal.3d 830, 835; *Hayes v. Commission on State Mandates* (1992) 11 Cal.App.4th 1564, 1595; *County of Sonoma v. Commission on State Mandates* (2000) 84 Cal.App.4th 1264, 1283; *Department of Finance v. Commission on State Mandates* (2016) 1 Cal.5th 749, 763.

decades ago.”<sup>191</sup> Government Code section 50034 is a new law added by the test claim statute, imposing what the Legislature acknowledged to be completely new requirements. Thus the requirements that cities and counties use either the “.gov” top-level domain or “.ca.gov” second-level domain in the domain name for their public facing internet websites and employee email addresses, and that any non-compliant website they continue to maintain after the January 1, 2029 deadline redirect users to a compliant website, are new compared to prior law.

The Commission also finds that the requirements are uniquely imposed on cities and counties and provide a service to the public.<sup>192</sup> The test claim statute provides a beneficial public service by providing assurance to the public that when they access a website or other internet resource that uses a “.gov” or “.ca.gov” domain name, it belongs to a legitimate government agency. The test claim statute was created to ensure that “when Californians look for government information or services, they can know with confidence they are receiving official information.”<sup>193</sup> “The main benefit of this measure will be to ensure that members of the public know that when they access a California local governmental website with an internet address ending with “.gov” or “.ca.gov,” or email a government employee at such an address, that they are not going to be the victim of a hacker’s fake website.”<sup>194</sup> Providing information and access to governmental services are key governmental functions, and ensuring members of the public can feel confident in the safety and reliability of the method in which they access these services and information provides a service to the public. The test claim statute therefore meets the first definition for a new program or higher level of service.

The test claim statute also implements state policy by imposing unique requirements on local governments that do not apply generally to all residents in the state. The test claim statute imposes its requirements on “a city, county, or city and county.”<sup>195</sup> This is a specific subset of local government, and it does not apply to all residents of the state. The “.gov” top-level domain is only available for government agencies within the United

---

<sup>191</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, page 1.

<sup>192</sup> See *Department of Finance v. Commission on State Mandates* (2022) 85 Cal.App.5th 535, 555 (a stormwater permit requiring local governments to perform pollution abatement services in addition to stormwater drainage services); *Lucia Mar Unified School District v. Honig* (1988) 44 Cal.3d 830, 835 (“the education of handicapped children is clearly a governmental function providing a service to the public”); *San Diego Unified School District v. Commission on State Mandates* (2004) 33 Cal.4th 859, 879 (Providing public school constitutes a governmental function and enhancing the safety of public schools constitutes a service to the public).

<sup>193</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, page 3.

<sup>194</sup> Exhibit X (1), Assembly Committee on Privacy and Consumer Protection, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended March 16, 2023, page 4.

<sup>195</sup> Government Code section 50034(c).

States at either the federal, state, county, city, tribal, territorial, special district, or school district levels.<sup>196</sup> Likewise, the “.ca.gov” second-level domain is only available to state entities, counties, cities, State recognized tribal governments, Joint Power Authorities, and independent special districts within the state of California.<sup>197</sup> An individual who is not authorized to request a “.gov” or “.ca.gov” domain on behalf of one of these government agencies would be denied if they tried to obtain a domain name that complies with the test claim statute, and would thus be unable to ensure their public websites or email addresses comply with the test claim statute. The test claim statute therefore imposes unique requirements on local governments that do not apply to all residents of the state, further demonstrating the test claim statute’s requirements to be a new program or higher level of service.

### **3. The Test Claim Statute Results in Costs Mandated by the State Within the Meaning of Government Code Section 17514 and Exceptions in Government Code 17556 Do Not Apply.**

Finally, Government Code section 17514 defines “costs mandated by the state” as any increased costs which a local agency or school district is required to incur as a result of any statute or executive order that mandates a new program or higher level of service. Government Code section 17564(a) specifically requires that no claim or payment shall be made unless the claim exceeds \$1,000. A finding of such costs mandated by the state also means that no exception in Government Code section 17556 applies.

The claimant has filed declarations signed under penalty of perjury identifying the following increased costs exceeding \$1,000 to comply with the test claim statute:

- \$20,017 in FY 2023-2024 to begin migrating its existing websites to a new “.gov” domain name in accordance with Government Code section 50034(a)(1)-(2), consisting of 199 hours of staff and third-party professional labor.<sup>198</sup>
- The claimant estimates it will require an additional \$24,641 to finish the activities required to migrate its websites in accordance with Government Code section 50034(a)(1)-(2), consisting of an additional 245 hours of staff and third-party professional labor.<sup>199</sup>
- Estimated anticipated costs of \$217,890 in some future fiscal year to migrate its web applications in accordance with Government Code section 50034(a)(1)-(2), consisting of 2040 hours of staff and third-party professional labor.<sup>200</sup>

---

<sup>196</sup> Exhibit X (2), CISA, *Eligibility for .gov Domains*, <https://get.gov/domains/eligibility/> (accessed on July 23, 2025) page 2.

<sup>197</sup> Exhibit X (3), CDT, *Domain Name Requirements*, <https://domainnamerequest.cdt.ca.gov/Home/Requirements> (accessed on July 23, 2025), page 2.

<sup>198</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 34 (Declaration of Matt Woo).

<sup>199</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 35 (Declaration of Matt Woo).

<sup>200</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 34 (Declaration of Matt Woo).

- Estimated anticipated costs of \$656,320 in some future fiscal year to migrate employee email addresses in accordance with Government Code section 50034(b) consisting of approximately 2,772 hours of staff and third-party professional labor.<sup>201</sup>
- Estimated statewide costs consisting of \$90,900,000 in FY 2024-2025 and for each year until the compliance deadline of January 1, 2029.<sup>202</sup>

No evidence rebuts these declarations.

Furthermore, none of the exceptions to costs mandated by the state in Government Code section 17556 apply to this test claim statute. As noted, the original draft of the test claim statute included a declaration that claimants had fee authority sufficient as a matter of law, however the Assembly Committee on Appropriations dismissed this as incorrect, stating that “it is unclear on what basis a local agency may charge a fee or other assessment to recover the costs of migrating to a .gov or .ca.gov domain,” and that charging a fee for access to a local agency’s website would likely conflict with Proposition 26.<sup>203</sup> There are no statutes that grant cities and counties authority to charge a fee that could be used to cover the costs of the mandated activities.

Government Code section 17556(d) therefore does not apply.

Although the legislative analysis identifies a federal grant that could potentially be used to fund the test claim statute’s required activities, there is no law declaring that this grant shall first be used to offset the costs this test claim statute, or evidence that the grant is sufficient to completely offset the costs. Government Code section 17556(e) thus also does not apply. No other exceptions under Government Code section 17556 are applicable, as the test claim statute is not the result of the claimant requesting authority to implement this program; was not declared existing law by the courts; was not imposed by federal law; is not the result of a ballot measure approved by voters; and does not create, eliminate, or change the definition of a crime.

Therefore, the Commission finds that the test claim statute imposes increased costs mandated by the state under article XIII B, section 6 and Government Code section 17514.

## **V. Conclusion**

Based on the foregoing analysis, the Commission approves this Test Claim and finds that Government Code section 50034, as added by Statutes 2023, chapter 586 imposes a reimbursable state-mandated program within the meaning of article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution on a city, county, or city and county to comply with following one-time activities beginning January 1, 2024:

---

<sup>201</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 34 (Declaration of Matt Woo).

<sup>202</sup> Exhibit A, Test Claim, page 35 (Declaration of Matt Woo).

<sup>203</sup> Exhibit X (12), Assembly Committee on Appropriations, Analysis of AB 1637, as amended April 27, 2023, page 1.

1. Ensure that the Internet website used by the public utilizes either a “.gov” top-level domain name or a “.ca.gov” second-level domain name by January 1, 2029.<sup>204</sup>
2. Ensure that any public internet websites with a non-compliant domain name that the city or county continues to maintain after January 1, 2029 redirects users to a compliant website.<sup>205</sup>
3. Ensure that each public email address provided for the city’s or county’s employees utilizes a “.gov” or “.ca.gov” domain name by January 1, 2029.<sup>206</sup>

---

<sup>204</sup> Government Code section 50034(a)(1).

<sup>205</sup> Government Code section 50034(a)(2).

<sup>206</sup> Government Code section 50034(b).

## **DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY EMAIL**

I, the undersigned, declare as follows:

I am a resident of the County of Sacramento and I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My place of employment is 980 Ninth Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, California 95814.

On September 19, 2025, I served the:

- **Current Mailing List dated September 19, 2025**
- **Draft Proposed Decision, Schedule for Comments, and Notice of Hearing issued September 19, 2025**

*Internet Websites and Email Addresses, 24-TC-04*

Statutes 2023, Chapter 586 (AB 1637); Government Code Section 50034(a)(1)-(2) and (b)

County of Santa Clara, Claimant

by making it available on the Commission's website and providing notice of how to locate it to the email addresses provided on the attached mailing list.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on September 19, 2025 at Sacramento, California.



---

Jill Magee  
Commission on State Mandates  
980 Ninth Street, Suite 300  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
(916) 323-3562

# COMMISSION ON STATE MANDATES

## Mailing List

**Last Updated:** 9/19/25

**Claim Number:** 24-TC-04

**Matter:** Internet Websites and Email Addresses

**Claimant:** County of Santa Clara

### TO ALL PARTIES, INTERESTED PARTIES, AND INTERESTED PERSONS:

Each commission mailing list is continuously updated as requests are received to include or remove any party or person on the mailing list. A current mailing list is provided with commission correspondence, and a copy of the current mailing list is available upon request at any time. Except as provided otherwise by commission rule, when a party or interested party files any written material with the commission concerning a claim, it shall simultaneously serve a copy of the written material on the parties and interested parties to the claim identified on the mailing list provided by the commission. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 1181.3.)

, Finance Director, *City of Citrus Heights*  
Finance Department, 6237 Fountain Square Dr, Citrus Heights , CA 95621  
Phone: (916) 725-2448  
Finance@citrusheights.net

**Heather Abrams**, Town Manager, *Town of Fairfax*  
142 Bolinas Road, Fairfax, CA 94930  
Phone: (415) 453-1584  
habrams@townoffairfax.org

**Jackie Acosta**, Finance Director, *City of Union City*  
34009 Alvarado-Niles Road, Union City, CA 94587  
Phone: (510) 675-5338  
JackieA@unioncity.org

**Jose Acosta**, Utility Director, *City of Solvang*  
1644 Oak Street, Solvang, CA 93463  
Phone: (805) 688-5575  
jacosta@cityofsolvang.com

**Steven Adams**, City Manager, *City of King City*  
212 South Vanderhurst Avenue, King City, CA 93930  
Phone: (831) 386-5925  
sadams@kingcity.com

**Aaron Adams**, City Manager, *City of Temecula*  
41000 Main Street, Temecula, CA 92590  
Phone: (951) 506-5100  
aaron.adams@temeculaca.gov

**Trevor Agrelius**, Finance Director, *City of Laguna Niguel*

30111 Crown Valley Parkway, Laguna Niguel, CA 92677

Phone: (949) 362-4358

TAgrelius@cityoflagunaniguel.org

**Adaoha Agu**, *County of San Diego Auditor & Controller Department*

Projects, Revenue and Grants Accounting, 5530 Overland Avenue, Ste. 410 , MS:O-53, San Diego, CA 92123

Phone: (858) 694-2129

Adaoha.Agu@sdcounty.ca.gov

**Ron Ahlers**, Chief Financial Officer, *City of Calabasas*

Finance Department, 100 Civic Center Way, Calabasas, CA 91302

Phone: (805) 517-6249

RAhlers@cityofcalabasas.com

**Jason Al-Imam**, Director of Finance, *City of Newport Beach*

3300 Newport Blvd, Newport Beach, CA 92663

Phone: (949) 644-3123

jalimam@newportbeachca.gov

**Emily Aldrich**, Finance Director, *City of Yreka*

701 Fourth Street, Yreka, CA 96097

Phone: (530) 842-4836

ealdrich@yrekaca.gov

**Douglas Alessio**, Administrative Services Director, *City of Livermore*

Finance Department, 1052 South Livermore Avenue, Livermore, CA 94550

Phone: (925) 960-4300

finance@cityoflivermore.net

**Mark Alvarado**, *City of Monrovia*

415 S. Ivy Avenue, Monrovia, CA 91016

Phone: N/A

malvarado@ci.monrovia.ca.us

**Karina Alvarez**, Auditor-Controller, *County of Imperial*

940 W. Main Street, Suite 108, El Centro, CA 92243

Phone: (442) 265-1299

karinabalvarez@co.imperial.ca.us

**Josefina Alvarez**, Interim Finance Director, *City of Kerman*

850 South Madera Avenue, Kerman, CA 93630

Phone: (559) 846-4682

j Alvarez@cityofkerman.org

**Rachelle Anema**, Assistant Auditor-Controller, *County of Los Angeles*

Accounting Division, 500 W. Temple Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012

Phone: (213) 974-8321

RANEMA@auditor.lacounty.gov

**Michael Antwine II**, City Manager, *City of Bell*

6330 Pine Avenue, Bell, CA 90201

Phone: (323) 588-6211

mantwine@cityofbell.org

**Donna Apar**, Finance Director, *City of San Marcos*

1 Civic Center Drive, San Marcos, CA 92069



Phone: (760) 744-1050  
dapar@san-marcos.net

**Lili Apgar**, Specialist, *State Controller's Office*  
Local Reimbursements Section, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816  
Phone: (916) 324-0254  
lapgar@sco.ca.gov

**Socorro Aquino**, *State Controller's Office*  
Division of Audits, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816  
Phone: (916) 322-7522  
SAquino@sco.ca.gov

**Samantha Argabrite**, City Manager, *City of Simi Valley*  
2929 Tapo Canyon Road, Simi Valley, CA 93063  
Phone: (805) 583-6701  
citymgr@simivalley.org

**Damien Arrula**, City Administrator, *City of Placentia*  
401 E. Chapman Avenue, Placentia, CA 92870  
Phone: (714) 993-8171  
darrula@placentia.org

**Elisa Arteaga**, City Administrator, *City of Gridley*  
685 Kentucky Street, Gridley, CA 95948  
Phone: (530) 846-3631  
earteaga@gridley.ca.us

**Louis Atwell**, City Manager, *City of Inglewood*  
1 Manchester Boulevard, Inglewood, CA 90301  
Phone: (310) 412-5301  
latwell@cityofinglewood.org

**Carol Augustine**, *City of Burlingame*  
501 Primrose Road, Burlingame, CA 94010  
Phone: (650) 558-7210  
caugustine@burlingame.org

**Abel Avalos**, City Manager, *City of Artesia*  
18747 Clarkdale Avenue, Artesia, CA 90701  
Phone: (562) 865-6262  
aavalos@cityofartesia.us

**Aaron Avery**, Legislative Representative, *California Special Districts Association*  
1112 I Street Bridge, Suite 200, Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone: (916) 442-7887  
Aarona@csda.net

**Ana Aviles Avila**, City Manager, *City of Pinole*  
2131 Pear Street, Pinole, CA 94564  
Phone: (510) 724-9837  
aavilesavila@pinole.gov

**Sahana Ayer**, Chief Counsel, *California Department of Technology*  
1325 J Street, #1600, Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone: (916) 767-2096  
sahana.ayer@state.ca.gov

**Bill Ayub**, City Manager, *City of Ventura*  
501 Poli Street, Ventura, CA 93001  
Phone: (805) 654-7740  
bayub@cityofventura.ca.gov

**Karina Bañales**, City Manager, *City of Rolling Hills*  
2 Portuguese Bend Road, Rolling Hills, CA 90274  
Phone: (310) 377-1521  
KBanales@CityofRH.net

**Van Bach**, Accounting Manager, *City of San Rafael*  
1400 Fifth Avenue, San Rafael, CA 94901  
Phone: (415) 458-5001  
van.bach@cityofsanrafael.org

**Happy Bains**, Interim Finance Director, *City of Livingston*  
Administrative Services, 1416 C Street, City of Livingston , CA 95334  
Phone: (209) 394-8041  
hbains@livingstonca.gov

**Michelle Bannigan**, Finance Director, *City of Stanton*  
7800 Katella Ave, Stanton, CA 90680  
Phone: (714) 890-4226  
MBannigan@StantonCA.Gov

**Anna Barich**, Attorney, *Commission on State Mandates*  
980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone: (916) 323-3562  
Anna.Barich@csm.ca.gov

**Valerie Barone**, City Manager, *City of Concord*  
1950 Parkside Drive, Concord, CA 94519  
Phone: (925) 671-3150  
valerie.barone@cityofconcord.org

**Robert Barron III**, Finance Director, *City of Atherton*  
Finance Department, 91 Ashfield Rd, Atherton, CA 94027  
Phone: (650) 752-0552  
rbarron@ci.atherton.ca.us

**Dan Barros**, City Manager, *City of Colma*  
1198 El Camino Real, Colma, CA 94014  
Phone: (650) 997-8300  
dbarros@colma.ca.gov

**David Bass**, Vice Mayor, *City of Rocklin*  
3970 Rocklin Road, Rocklin, CA 95677  
Phone: (916) 663-8504  
David.Bass@rocklin.ca.us

**Deborah Bautista**, *County of Tuolumne*  
El Dorado Hills Community Services District, 2 South Green St. , Sonora, CA 95370  
Phone: (209) 533-5551  
dbautista@co.tuolumne.ca.us

**Gerry Beaudin**, City Manager, *City of Pleasanton*  
123 Main Street, PO Box 520, Pleasanton, CA 94566

Phone: (925) 931-5002  
gbeaudin@cityofpleasantonca.gov

**Jennifer Becker**, Financial Services Director, *City of Burbank*  
275 East Olive Avenue, Burbank, CA 91502  
Phone: (818) 238-5500  
jbecker@burbankca.gov

**Mary Bedard**, Auditor-Controller, *County of Kern*  
1115 Truxtun Avenue, 2nd Floor, Bakersfield, CA 93301  
Phone: (805) 868-3599  
bedardm@co.kern.ca.us

**Ray Beeman**, Chief Fiscal Officer, *City of Gardena*  
1700 West 162nd Street, Gardena, CA 90247  
Phone: (310) 217-9516  
rbeeman@cityofgardena.org

**Jason Behrmann**, Interim City Manager, *City of Elk Grove*  
8401 Laguna Palms Way, Elk Grove, CA 95758  
Phone: (916) 478-2201  
jbehrmann@elkgrovecity.org

**Aimee Belev**, Finance Director/Town Treasurer, *Town of Paradise*  
5555 Skyway, Paradise, CA 95969  
Phone: (530) 872-6291  
abelev@townofparadise.com

**Ginni Bella Navarre**, Deputy Legislative Analyst, *Legislative Analyst's Office*  
925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone: (916) 319-8342  
Ginni.Bella@lao.ca.gov

**Paul Benoit**, City Administrator, *City of Piedmont*  
120 Vista Avenue, Piedmont, CA 94611  
Phone: (510) 420-3042  
pbenoit@ci.piedmont.ca.us

**Ben Benoit**, Auditor-Controller, *County of Riverside*  
4080 Lemon Street, 11th Floor, Riverside, CA 92502  
Phone: (951) 955-3800  
bbenoit@rivco.org

**Wendy Berry**, Administrative Services Director, *City of Solvang*  
Finance, 1644 Oak Street, Solvang, CA 93463  
Phone: (805) 688-5575  
wendyb@cityofsolvang.com

**Angela Bickle**, Interim Auditor-Controller, *County of Trinity*  
11 Court Street, P.O. Box 1230, Weaverville, CA 96093  
Phone: (530) 623-1317  
abickle@trinitycounty.org

**Kevin Biersack**, Financial Services Director, *City of Cathedral City*  
Administrative Services, 68700 Avenida Lalo Guerrero, Cathedral City, CA 92234  
Phone: (760) 770-0378  
kbiersack@cathedralcity.gov

**Christy Billings**, Interim Finance Director, *City of Ojai*  
401 S. Ventura Street, Ojai, CA 93023  
Phone: (805) 646-5581  
christy.billings@ojai.ca.gov

**Teresa Binkley**, Director of Finance, *City of Taft*  
Finance Department, 209 E. Kern St. , Taft, CA 93268  
Phone: (661) 763-1350  
tbinkley@cityoftaft.org

**Benjamin Bitter**, City Manager, *City of Maricopa*  
400 California Street, Maricopa, CA 93252  
Phone: (520) 316-6811  
eziegler@bak.rr.com

**Nathan Black**, Auditor-Controller, *County of Sutter*  
1160 Civic Center Blvd., Suite D, Yuba City, CA 95993  
Phone: (530) 822-7127  
nblack@co.sutter.ca.us

**Lowell Black**, Director of Finance, *County of Alpine*  
P.O. Box 266, Markleeville, CA 96120  
Phone: (530) 694-2284  
nwilliamson@alpinecountyca.gov

**Dalacie Blankenship**, Finance Manager, *City of Jackson*  
Administration / Finance, 33 Broadway, Jackson, CA 95642  
Phone: (209) 223-1646  
dblankenship@ci.jackson.ca.us

**Michael Blay**, City Manager, *City of Upland*  
460 N. Euclid Avenue, Upland, CA 91786-4732  
Phone: (909) 931-4106  
CityManager@UplandCA.gov

**Todd Bodem**, City Administrator, *City of Guadalupe*  
918 Obispo Street, P.O. Box 908, Guadalupe, CA 93434  
Phone: (805) 356-3891  
todd.bodem@cityofguadalupe.org

**Lincoln Bogard**, Administrative Services Director, *City of Banning*  
99 East Ramsey Street, Banning, CA 92220  
Phone: (951) 922-3118  
lbogard@banningca.gov

**Konrad Bolowich**, City Manager, *City of Grand Terrace*  
22795 Barton Road, Grand Terrace, CA 92313-5295  
Phone: (909) 954-5175  
kbolowich@grandterrace-ca.gov

**Ryan Bonk**, City Manager, *City Of Portola*  
P.O. Box 1225, Portola, CA 96122  
Phone: (530) 832-6800  
citymanager@cityofportola.com

**Jonathan Borrego**, City Manager, *City of Oceanside*  
300 North Coast Highway, Oceanside, CA 92054

Phone: (760) 435-3065  
citymanager@oceansideca.org

**Jaime Boscarino**, Finance Director, *City of Thousand Oaks*  
2100 Thousand Oaks Boulevard, Thousand Oaks, CA 91362  
Phone: (805) 449-2220  
jboscarino@toaks.gov

**Laura Bowers**, Interim Auditor-Controller/Treasurer-Tax Collector, *County of Santa Cruz*  
Auditor-Controller's Office, 701 Ocean Street, Room 100, Santa Cruz, CA 95060-4073  
Phone: (831) 454-2500  
laura.bowers@santacruzcounty.us

**Jason Bradford**, Finance Director, *City of Glendale*  
141 N. Glendale Ave, Room 346, Glendale, CA 91206  
Phone: (818) 548-2085  
jbradford@glendaleca.gov

**Roger Bradley**, City Manager, *City of Downey*  
11111 Brookshire, Downey, CA 90241-7016  
Phone: (562) 904-7284  
citymanager@downeyca.org

**David Brandt**, City Manager, *City of Cupertino*  
10300 Torre Avenue, Cupertino, CA 95014-3202  
Phone: 408.777.3212  
manager@cupertino.org

**Molly Brennan**, Director of Finance, *City of National City*  
1243 National City Blvd., National City, CA 91950  
Phone: (619) 336-4330  
finance@nationalcityca.gov

**Sean Brewer**, Interim City Manager, *City of Coalinga*  
155 West Durian, Coalinga, CA 93210  
Phone: (559) 935-1533  
sbrewer@coalinga.com

**Roel Briones**, Interim Finance Director, *City of Farmersville*  
909 W Visalia Road, Farmersville, CA 93223  
Phone: (559) 747-0458  
fdtemp@cityoffarmersville-ca.gov

**Matthew Bronson**, City Manager, *City of Grover Beach*  
154 South 8th Street, Grover Beach, CA 93433  
Phone: (805) 473-4567  
mbronson@groverbeach.org

**Jessica Brown**, Chief Financial Officer, *City of Fontana*  
8353 Sierra Avenue, Fontana, CA 92335  
Phone: (909) 350-7679  
jbrown@fontana.org

**Ken Brown**, Acting Director of Administrative Services, *City of Irvine*  
One Civic Center Plaza, Irvine, CA 92606  
Phone: (949) 724-6255  
Kbrown@cityofirvine.org

**Troy Brown**, City Manager, *City of Moorpark*  
323 Science Drive, Moorpark, CA 93021  
Phone: (805) 517-6212  
citymanager@moorparkca.gov

**Dan Buckshi**, City Manager, *City of Walnut Creek*  
1666 North Main Street, Walnut Creek, CA 94596  
Phone: (925) 943-5812  
Buckshi@walnut-creek.org

**Christa Buhagiar**, Director of Finance/Treasurer, *City of Chino Hills*  
14000 City Center Drive, Chino Hills, CA 91709  
Phone: (909) 364-2460  
cbuhagiar@chinohills.org

**Allan Burdick**,  
7525 Myrtle Vista Avenue, Sacramento, CA 95831  
Phone: (916) 203-3608  
allanburdick@gmail.com

**Guy Burdick**, Consultant, *MGT Consulting*  
2251 Harvard Street, Suite 134, Sacramento, CA 95815  
Phone: (916) 833-7775  
gburdick@mgtconsulting.com

**Jeffrey Burgh**, Auditor Controller, *County of Ventura*  
Ventura County Watershed Protection District, 800 S. Victoria Avenue, Ventura, CA 93009-1540  
Phone: (805) 654-3151  
jeff.burgh@ventura.org

**Rob Burns**, *City of Chino*  
13220 Central Avenue, Chino, CA 91710  
Phone: N/A  
rburns@cityofchino.org

**Rod Butler**, City Manager, *City of Jurupa Valley*  
8930 Limonite Avenue, Jurupa Valley, CA 92509  
Phone: (951) 332-6464  
rbutler@jurupavalley.org

**Stephanie Butters**, Assistant Director of Finance, Auditor-Controller, *County of Mono*  
25 Bryant Street, PO Box 556, Bridgeport, CA 93517  
Phone: (760) 932-5496  
sbutters@mono.ca.gov

**Rica Mae Cabigas**, Chief Accountant, *Auditor-Controller*  
Accounting Division, 500 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012  
Phone: (213) 974-8309  
rcabigas@auditor.lacounty.gov

**Elizabeth Cabrera**, City Manager, *City of San Joaquin*  
21900 Colorado Avenue, San Joaquin, CA 93660  
Phone: (559) 693-4311  
elizabethc@cityofsanjoaquin.org

**Evelyn Calderon-Yee**, Bureau Chief, *State Controller's Office*  
Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 740,  
Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 324-5919  
ECalderonYee@sco.ca.gov

**Daniel Calleros**, Interim City Administrator, *City of Vernon*  
4305 Santa Fe Avenue, Vernon, CA 90058  
Phone: (323) 583-8811  
Dcalleros@cityofvernonCA.gov

**Rebecca Campbell**, Finance Director, *City of Santa Maria*  
110 E. Cook Street, Santa Maria, CA 93454  
Phone: (805) 925-0951  
rcampbell@cityofsantamaria.org

**Lisa Cardella-Presto**, *County of Merced*  
2222 M Street, Merced, CA 95340  
Phone: (209) 385-7511  
LCardella-presto@co.merced.ca.us

**Nancy Cardenas**, Auditor-Controller, Treasurer, Tax Collector, *County of Lassen*  
221 South Roop Street, Ste. 1, Susanville, CA 96130  
Phone: (530) 251-8220  
ncardenas@co.lassen.ca.us

**Steve Carmona**, City Manager, *City of Pico Rivera*  
6615 Passons Boulevard, Pico Rivera, CA 90660  
Phone: (562) 801-4371  
scarmona@pico-rivera.org

**Scott Carney**, City Manager, *City of Lodi*  
221 W Pine Street, Lodi, CA 95240  
Phone: (209) 333-6700  
citymanager@lodi.gov

**Pamela Caronongan**, City Administrator, *City of Angels Camp*  
200 Monte Verda Street, Ste. B, PO Box 667 Angels Camp, Angels Camp, CA 95222  
Phone: (209) 736-2181  
pamelacaronongan@angelscamp.gov

**Pete Carr**, City Manager/Finance Director, *City of Orland*  
PO Box 547, Orland, CA 95963  
Phone: (530) 865-1602  
CityManager@cityoforland.com

**Manuel Carrillo**, Director of Finance and Administrative Services, *City of Bell Gardens*  
7100 Garfield Ave, Bell Gardens, CA 90201  
Phone: (562) 806-7700  
MCarrillo@bellgardens.org

**Roger Carroll**, Finance Director/Treasurer, *Town of Loomis*  
Finance Department, 3665 Taylor Road, Loomis, CA 95650  
Phone: (916) 652-1840  
rcarroll@loomis.ca.gov

**Nicole Casey**, Administrative Services Director, *Town of Truckee*  
10183 Truckee Airport Road, Truckee, CA 96161  
Phone: (530) 582-2935  
ncasey@townoftruckee.com

**Arturo Castillo**, Administrative Services Director, *City of San Pablo*  
1000 Gateway Avenue, San Pablo, CA 94806  
Phone: (510) 215-3021  
AECastillo@sanpabloca.gov

**Leslie Caviglia**, City Manager, *City of Visalia*  
707 West Acequia Avenue, Visalia, CA 93291  
Phone: (559) 713-4332  
leslie.caviglia@visalia.city

**Julissa Ceja Cardenas**, *California State Association of Counties*  
1100 K Street, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone: (916) 327-7500  
jcejacardenas@counties.org

**David Cerda**, Acting City Manager, *City of Parlier*  
1100 East Parlier Avenue, Parlier, CA 93648  
Phone: (559) 646-3545  
dcerda@parlier.ca.us

**Javier Chagoyen-Lazaro**, Chief Financial Officer, *City of Oxnard*  
300 West Third Street, Third Floor, Oxnard, CA 93030  
Phone: (805) 200-5400  
javier.chagoyenlazaro@oxnard.org

**Karen Chang**, Finance Director, *City of South San Francisco*  
400 Grand Ave, South San Francisco, CA 94080  
Phone: (650) 877-8505  
Karen.Chang@ssf.net

**Ellis Chang**, Director of Administrative Services, *City of Mission Viejo*  
200 Civic Center, Mission Viejo, CA 92691  
Phone: (949) 470-3059  
admins-services@cityofmissionviejo.org

**Ashley Chaparro**, Deputy Finance Director, *City of Port Hueneme*  
250 North Ventura Road, Port Hueneme, CA 93041  
Phone: (805) 986-6524  
achaparro@ci.port-hueneme.ca.us

**Sheri Chapman**, General Counsel, *League of California Cities*  
1400 K Street, Suite 400, Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone: (916) 658-8267  
schapman@calcities.org

**Stacie Charlebois**, Senior Accountant, *Town of Corte Madera*  
300 Tamalpais Drive, Corte Madera, CA 94925  
Phone: (415) 927-5050  
scharlebois@cortemadera.gov

**Veronica Chavez**, Director of Finance, *City of Palm Desert*  
73510 Fred Waring Drive, Palm Desert, CA 92260  
Phone: (760) 776-6320  
vchavez@palmdesert.gov

**Diego Chavez**, Administrative Services Director, *City of Murrieta*  
1 Town Square, Murrieta, CA 92562



Phone: (951) 461-6437  
dchavez@murrietaca.gov

**Henry Chen**, Acting Financial Services Manager, *City of Arcadia*  
240 West Huntington Drive, Arcadia, CA 91007  
Phone: (626) 574-5427  
hchen@ArcadiaCA.gov

**Misty Cheng**, Finance Director, *City of Adelanto*  
11600 Air Expressway, Adelanto, CA 92301  
Phone: (760) 246-2300  
mcheng@ci.adelanto.ca.us

**Erick Cheung**, Finance Manager, *City of Pleasant Hill*  
100 Gregory Lane, Pleasant Hill, CA 94523  
Phone: (925) 671-5231  
echeung@pleasanthillca.org

**Oliver Chi**, City Manager, *City of Irvine*  
One Civic Center Plaza, Irvine, CA 92623-9575  
Phone: (949) 724-6246  
OChi@cityofirvine.org

**Matthew Chidester**, City Manager, *City of Half Moon Bay*  
501 Main Street, Half Moon Bay, CA 94019  
Phone: (650) 726-8272  
MChidester@hmbcity.com

**Annette Chinn**, *Cost Recovery Systems, Inc.*  
705-2 East Bidwell Street, #294, Folsom, CA 95630  
Phone: (916) 939-7901  
achinnrcrs@aol.com

**Lawrence Chiu**, Finance Director, *City of Emeryville*  
1333 Park Ave, Emeryville, CA 94608  
Phone: (510) 596-4352  
Lawrence.Chiu@emeryville.org

**David Chiu**, City Attorney, *City and County of San Francisco*  
Office of the City Attorney, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102  
Phone: (415) 554-4700  
cityattorney@sfcityatty.org

**DeAnna Christensen**, Director of Finance, *City of Modesto*  
1010 10th Street, Suite 5200, Modesto, CA 95354  
Phone: (209) 577-5371  
dachristensen@modestogov.com

**Carmen Chu**, Assessor-Recorder, *City and County of San Francisco*  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 190, San Francisco, CA 94102-4698  
Phone: (415) 554-5596  
assessor@sfgov.org

**Carolyn Chu**, Senior Fiscal and Policy Analyst, *Legislative Analyst's Office*  
925 L Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone: (916) 319-8326  
Carolyn.Chu@lao.ca.gov

**Paul Chung**, Director of Finance, *City of El Segundo*  
350 Main Street, El Segundo, CA 90245-3813  
Phone: (310) 524-2315  
pchung@elsegundo.org

**City Clerk**, City Clerk, *City of Amador City*  
14531 East School Street, P.O. Box 200, Amador City, CA 95601  
Phone: (209) 267-0682  
city.clerk@amador-city.com

**Justin Clifton**, City Manager, *City of Murrieta*  
1 Town Square, Murrieta, CA 92562  
Phone: (951) 461-6010  
jclifton@murrietaca.gov

**Nicole Coburn**, Assistant County Executive Officer, *City of Santa Cruz*  
809 Center Street, Rm 101, Santa Cruz, CA 95060  
Phone: (831) 454-2100  
nicole.coburn@santacruzcountycalifornia.gov

**Luv Cofresi**, Finance Director, *City of Milpitas*  
455 East Calaveras Boulevard, Milpitas, CA 95035  
Phone: (408) 586-3111  
lcofresi-howe@milpitas.gov

**Steve Colangelo**, Interim City Manager, *City of Stockton*  
425 North El Dorado Street, Stockton, CA 95202  
Phone: (209) 937-8212  
city.manager@stocktoncalifornia.gov

**Michael Coleman**, *Coleman Advisory Services*  
2217 Isle Royale Lane, Davis, CA 95616  
Phone: (530) 758-3952  
coleman@munil.com

**Ashley Collick**, City Manager, *City of San Juan Bautista*  
311 Second Street P.O. Box 1420, San Juan Bautista, CA 95045  
Phone: (831) 623-4661  
citymanager@san-juan-bautista.ca.us

**Stephen Conway**, *City of Los Gatos*  
110 E. Main Street, Los Gatos, CA 95031  
Phone: N/A  
sconway@losgatoscalifornia.gov

**Steve Conway**, Interim Assistant City Manager/Admin Services Director, *City of Morro Bay*  
595 Harbor Street, Morro Bay, CA 93442  
Phone: (805) 772-6217  
sconway@morrobaycalifornia.gov

**Cass Cook**, Auditor-Controller/Treasurer-Tax Collector, *County of Tulare*  
221 South Mooney Blvd, Room 101 E, Visalia, CA 93291  
Phone: (559) 636-5200  
tulareauditor@co.tulare.ca.us

**Bryan Cook**, City Manager, *City of Temple City*  
9701 Las Tunas Drive, Temple City, CA 91780

Phone: (626) 285-2171  
bcCook@templecity.us

**Julia Cooper**, *City of San Jose*  
Finance, 200 East Santa Clara Street, San Jose, CA 95113  
Phone: (408) 535-7000  
Finance@sanjoseca.gov

**Christine Cordon**, *City Manager, City of Westminster*  
8200 Westminster Blvd, Westminster, CA 92683  
Phone: (714) 548-3178  
CCordon@westminster-ca.gov

**Erika Cortez**, *Administrative Services Director, City of Imperial Beach*  
825 Imperial Beach Boulevard, Imperial Beach, CA 91932  
Phone: (619) 423-8303  
ecortez@imperialbeachca.gov

**Jennifer Crawford**, *Acting City Manager, City of Yucaipa*  
34272 Yucaipa Blvd., Yucaipa, CA 92399  
Phone: (909) 797-2489  
jrcrawford@yucaipa.org

**Mallory Crecelius**, *Interim City Manager, City of Blythe*  
235 N. Broadway, Blythe, CA 92225  
Phone: (760) 922-6161  
msutterfield@cityofblythe.ca.gov

**Adam Cripps**, *Interim Finance Manager, Town of Apple Valley*  
14955 Dale Evans Parkway, Apple Valley, CA 92307  
Phone: (760) 240-7000  
acripps@applevalley.org

**Kuyler Crocker**, *Interim City Manager, City of Lindsay*  
251 E. Honolulu St., Lindsay, CA 93247  
Phone: (559) 562-7102  
kcrocker@lindsay.ca.us

**Robert Cross**, *Financial Services Manager, City of Lompoc*  
100 Civic Center Plaza, Lompoc, CA 93438-8001  
Phone: (805) 736-1261  
r\_cross@ci.lompoc.ca.us

**Nate Cruz**, *Finance Director, City of Foster City*  
610 Foster City Blvd., Foster City, CA 94404  
Phone: (650) 286-3204  
ncruz@fostercity.org

**Chamise Cubbison**, *Auditor-Controller-Tax Collector, County of Mendocino*  
501 Low Gap Road, Rm 1080, Ukiah, CA 95482  
Phone: (707) 234-6860  
cubbisonc@mendocinocounty.gov

**Amy Cunningham**, *Administrative Services Director, City of Novato*  
922 Machin Avenue, Novato, CA 94945  
Phone: (415) 899-8918  
ACunningham@novato.org

**Gavin Curran**, Acting City Manager, *City of Laguna Beach*  
505 Forest Avenue, Laguna Beach, CA 92651  
Phone: (949) 497-0754  
gcurran@lagunabeachcity.net

**Cindy Czerwin**, Director of Administrative Services, *City of Watsonville*  
250 Main Street, Watsonville, CA 95076  
Phone: (831) 768-3450  
cindy.czerwin@cityofwatsonville.org

**Santino Danisi**, Finance Director / City Controller, *City of Fresno*  
2600 Fresno St. Rm. 2157, Fresno, CA 93721  
Phone: (559) 621-2489  
Santino.Danisi@fresno.gov

**Chuck Dantuono**, Director of Administrative Services, *City of Highland*  
Administrative Services , 27215 Base Line , Highland, CA 92346  
Phone: (909) 864-6861  
cdantuono@cityofhighland.org

**Fran David**, City Manager, *City of Hayward*  
Finance Department, 777 B Street, Hayward, CA 94541  
Phone: (510) 583-4000  
citymanager@hayward-ca.gov

**Jon Davis**, Town Manager, *Town of Windsor*  
9291 Old Redwood Hwy, Bldg 400, Windsor, CA 95492  
Phone: (707) 838-5335  
jdavis@townofwindsor.ca.gov

**Doug Davis**, City Manager, *Town of Hillsborough*  
1600 Floribunda Ave, Hillsborough, CA 94010  
Phone: (650) 375-7400  
citymanager@hillsborough.net

**Rob de Geus**, City Manager, *City of Westlake Village*  
31200 Oakcrest Drive, Westlake Village, CA 91361  
Phone: (808) 706-1613  
rob@wlv.org

**Thomas Deak**, Senior Deputy, *County of San Diego*  
Office of County Counsel, 1600 Pacific Highway, Room 355, San Diego, CA 92101  
Phone: (619) 531-4810  
Thomas.Deak@sdcounty.ca.gov

**Dilu DeAlwis**, *City of Colton*  
650 North La Cadena Drive, Colton, CA 92324  
Phone: (909) 370-5036  
financedept@coltonca.gov

**Gigi Decavalles-Hughes**, Director of Finance, *City of Santa Monica*  
Finance, 1717 4th Street, Suite 250, Santa Monica, CA 90401  
Phone: (310) 458-8281  
gigi.decavalles@smgov.net

**Shannon DeLong**, Assistant City Manager, *City of Whittier*  
13230 Penn Street, Whittier, CA 90602

Phone: (562) 567-9301  
admin@cityofwhittier.org

**Keith DeMartini**, Director of Finance, *City of Santa Barbara*  
P.O. Box 1990, Santa Barbara, CA 93102-1990  
Phone: (805) 564-5336  
KDemartini@SantaBarbaraCA.gov

**Jeremy Dennis**, City Manager, *City of Brisbane*  
50 Park Place, Brisbane, CA 94005  
Phone: (415) 508-2110  
jdennis@brisbaneca.org

**Finance Department**, *City of Milpitas*  
455 E. Calaveras Blvd., Milpitas, CA 95035  
Phone: (408) 586-3111  
finance@milpitas.gov

**Mandip Dhillon**, Auditor Controller, *County of Stanislaus*  
1010 10th Street, Modesto, CA 95354  
Phone: (209) 525-6398  
auditor@stancounty.com

**Leticia Dias**, Finance Director, *City of Ceres*  
2220 Magnolia Street, Ceres, CA 95307  
Phone: (209) 538-5757  
leticia.dias@ci.ceres.ca.us

**Lana Dich**, Director of Fiance and Administrative Services, *City of Santa Fe Springs*  
11710 East Telegraph Road, Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670  
Phone: (562) 409-7520  
lanadich@santafesprings.org

**Cheryl Dillingham**, Auditor-Controller, *County of Humboldt*  
825 Fifth Street, Room 126, Eureka, CA 95501  
Phone: (707) 476-2452  
ctyauditor@co.humboldt.ca.us

**Deston Dishion**, City Administrator, *City of Bishop*  
377 West Line Street, Bishop, CA 93514  
Phone: (760) 873-5863  
ddishion@cityofbishop.ca.gov

**Steven Dobrenen**, Finance Director, *City of Cudahy*  
5220 Santa Ana Street, Cudahy, CA 90201  
Phone: (831) 386-5925  
sdobrenen@cityofcudahyca.gov

**Ken Domer**, City Manager, *City of La Verne*  
3660 "D" Street, La Verne, CA 91750  
Phone: (909) 596-8726  
kdomer@cityoflaverne.org

**Dario Dominguez**, Interim City Manager, *City of Orange Cove*  
633 Sixth Street, Orange Cove, CA 93646  
Phone: (559) 626-4488  
ddominguez@cityoforangecove.com

**Tracy Drager**, Auditor and Controller, *County of San Diego*  
1600 Pacific Highway, Room 166, San Diego, CA 92101  
Phone: (619) 531-5413  
tracy.drager@sdcountry.ca.gov

**June Du**, Finance Director, *City of Los Altos*  
1 North San Antonio Road, Los Altos, CA 94022  
Phone: (650) 947-2700  
jdu@losaltosca.gov

**Tom DuBois**, City Manager, *City of Sutter Creek*  
18 Main Street, Sutter Creek, CA 95685  
Phone: (209) 215-4890  
tdubois@cityofsuttercreek.org

**David Dunn**, City Administrator, *City of Montague*  
230 South 13th Street, Montague, CA 96064  
Phone: (530) 459-3030  
clerk@cityofmontagueca.com

**Randall L. Dunn**, City Manager, *City of Colusa*  
Finance Department, 425 Webster St. , Colusa, CA 95932  
Phone: (530) 458-4740  
citymanager@cityofcolusa.com

**Jimmy Duran**, Interim City Manager, *City of Brawley*  
383 Main Street, Brawley, CA 92227  
Phone: (760) 351-3048  
jduran@brawley-ca.gov

**Janet Dutcher**, Finance Director, *County of Mono*  
25 Bryant Street, PO Box 556, Bridgeport, CA 93517  
Phone: (760) 932-5496  
jdutcher@mono.ca.gov

**Melissa Eads**, City Administrator, *City of Sonora*  
94 N. Washington Street, Sonora, CA 95370  
Phone: (209) 532-4541  
meads@sonoraca.com

**Richard Eberle**, Auditor-Controller, *County of Yuba*  
915 8th Street, Suite 105, Marysville, CA 95901  
Phone: (530) 749-7810  
reberle@co.yuba.ca.us

**Michael Egan**, City Manager, *City of La Palma*  
7822 Walker Street, La Palma, CA 90623  
Phone: (714) 690-3300  
citymanager@lapalmaca.gov

**Pamela Ehler**, *City of Brentwood*  
150 City Park Way, Brentwood, CA 94513  
Phone: N/A  
pehler@brentwoodca.gov

**Ann Eifert**, Director of Financial Services/City Treasurer, *City of Aliso Viejo*  
12 Journey, Suite 100, Aliso Viejo, CA 92656-5335

Phone: (949) 425-2520  
aeifert@avcity.org

**Adam Ennis**, City Administrator, *City of Exeter*  
100 North C Street, P.O. Box 237, Exeter, CA 93221  
Phone: (559) 592-4539  
adam@exetercityhall.com

**Edward Enriquez**, Interim Assistant City Manager/CFO Treasurer, *City of Riverside*  
3900 Main Street, Riverside, CA 92501  
Phone: N/A  
EEnriquez@riversideca.gov

**Kelly Ent**, Director of Government Services, *City of Big Bear Lake*  
Finance Department, 39707 Big Bear Blvd, Big Bear Lake, CA 92315  
Phone: (909) 866-5831  
kent@citybigbearlake.com

**Tina Envia**, Finance Manager, *City of Waterford*  
Finance Department, 101 E Street, Waterford, CA 95386  
Phone: (209) 874-2328  
finance@cityofwaterford.org

**Chris Eras**, Interim City Manager, *City of Galt*  
380 Civic Drive, Galt, CA 95632  
Phone: (209) 366-7100  
cerias@cityofgalt.org

**Vic Erganian**, Deputy Finance Director, *City of Pasadena*  
Finance Department, 100 N. Garfield Ave, Room S348, Pasadena, CA 91109-7215  
Phone: (626) 744-4355  
verganian@cityofpasadena.net

**Eric Erickson**, Director of Finance and Human Resources , *City of Mill Valley*  
Department of Finance and Human Resources , 26 Corte Madera Avenue , Mill Valley, CA 94941  
Phone: (415) 388-4033  
finance@cityofmillvalley.org

**Paul Espinoza**, Interim Finance Director, *City of Paramount*  
16400 Colorado Avenue, Paramount, CA 90723  
Phone: (562) 220-2200  
pespinoza@paramountcity.com

**Nadia Feeser**, Administrative Services Director, *City of Pismo Beach*  
Finance Department, 760 Mattie Road, Pismo Beach, CA 93449  
Phone: (805) 773-7010  
nfeeser@pismobeach.org

**Matthew Fertal**, City Manager, *City of Garden Grove*  
Finance Department, 11222 Acacia Parkway, Garden Grove, CA 92840  
Phone: (714) 741-5000  
CityManager@ci.garden-grove.ca.us

**Laura Fischer**, City Manager , *City of Westmorland*  
355 S.Center Street, Westmorland, CA 92281  
Phone: (760) 344-3411  
lfischer@cityofwestmorland.net

**Kevin Fisher**, Assistant City Attorney, *City of San Jose*  
Environmental Services, 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor, San Jose, CA 95113  
Phone: (408) 535-1987  
kevin.fisher@sanjoseca.gov

**Tim Flanagan**, Office Coordinator, *Solano County*  
Register of Voters, 678 Texas Street, Suite 2600, Fairfield, CA 94533  
Phone: (707) 784-3359  
Elections@solanocounty.com

**Alan Flora**, Finance Director, *City of Clearlake*  
14050 Olympic Drive, Clearlake, CA 95422  
Phone: (707) 994-8201  
aflora@clearlake.ca.us

**Sandy Fonseca**, Interim Finance Director, *City of Calexico*  
608 Heber Ave, Calexico, CA 92231  
Phone: (760) 768-2123  
sfonseca@calexico.ca.gov

**Anthony Forestiere**, Acting Finance Director, *City of Madera*  
205 West Fourth Street, Madera, CA 93637  
Phone: (559) 661-5454  
aforestiere1@madera.gov

**Dan Fox**, City Manager, *City of Diamond Bar*  
21810 Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765  
Phone: (909) 839-7010  
dfox@diamondbarca.gov

**Aaron France**, City Manager, *City of Buena Park*  
6650 Beach Boulevard, Second Floor, Buena Park, CA 90621  
Phone: (714) 562-3550  
afrance@buenapark.com

**Steve Franks**, City Manager, *City of Villa Park*  
17855 Santiago Blvd, Villa Park, CA 92861  
Phone: (714) 998-1500  
sfranks@villapark.org

**Cheri Freese**, Finance Director, *City of Ridgecrest*  
100 West California Avenue, Ridgecrest, CA 93555  
Phone: (760) 499-5026  
cfreese@ridgecrest-ca.gov

**Jaylen French**, Interim City Manager, *City of Escalon*  
2060 McHenry Avenue, Escalon, CA 95320  
Phone: (209) 691-7400  
jfrench@cityofescalon.org

**Nora Frimann**, City Attorney, *City of San Jose*  
200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor, San Jose, CA 95113  
Phone: (408) 535-1900  
nora.frimann@sanjoseca.gov

**Elizabeth Fuchen**, Interim Finance Director, *City of El Centro*  
1275 Main Street, El Centro, CA 92243



Phone: (760) 337-4573  
efuchen@cityofelcentro.org

**Melanie Gaboardi**, Assistant Finance Director, *City of Tulare*  
411 East Kern Ave., Tulare, CA 93274  
Phone: (559) 685-2300  
mgaboardi@tulare.ca.gov

**Patrick Gallegos**, Interim City Manager, *City of Seal Beach*  
211 8th Street, Seal Beach, CA 90740  
Phone: (562) 431-2527  
pgallegos@sealbeachca.gov

**Rose Gallo-Vasquez**, County Clerk and Recorder, *County of Colusa*  
546 Jay Street, Ste. 200, Colusa, CA 95932  
Phone: (530) 458-0500  
clerkinfo@countyofcolusa.org

**Marlene Galvan**, Deputy Finance Officer, *City of Fontana*  
8353 Sierra Ave, Fontana, CA 92335  
Phone: (909) 350-7671  
Mgalvan@fontana.org

**Danielle Garcia**, Director of Finance, *City of Redlands*  
PO Box 3005, Redlands, CA 92373  
Phone: (909) 798-7510  
dgarcia@cityofredlands.org

**Rebecca Garcia**, *City of San Bernardino*  
300 North , San Bernardino, CA 92418-0001  
Phone: (909) 384-7272  
garcia\_re@sbcity.org

**Martha Garcia**, Director of Management Services, *City of Monterey Park*  
320 West Newmark Ave, Monterey Park, CA 91754  
Phone: (626) 307-1349  
magarcia@montereypark.ca.gov

**Jorge Garcia**, Interim City Manager, *City of Pismo Beach*  
760 Mattie Road, Pismo Beach, CA 93449  
Phone: (805) 773-7007  
finance@pismobeach.org

**Oscar Garcia**, Auditor-Controller/Treasurer-Tax Collector, *County of Fresno*  
2281 Tulare Street, Room 105, Fresno, CA 93721  
Phone: (559) 600-3496  
ogarcia@fresnocountyca.gov

**Marisela Garcia**, Finance Director, *City of Riverbank*  
Finance Department, 6707 Third Street , Riverbank, CA 95367  
Phone: (209) 863-7109  
mhgarcia@riverbank.org

**Justin Garrett**, Acting Chief Policy Officer, *California State Association of Counties (CSAC)*  
1100 K Street, Ste 101, Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone: (916) 327-7500  
jgarrett@counties.org

**David Gassaway**, City Manager, *City of Fairfield*  
1000 Webster Street, Fairfield,  
Phone: (707) 428-7398  
dgassaway@fairfield.ca.gov

**Greg Gatzka**, City Manager, *City of Corcoran*  
832 Whitley Avenue, Corcoran, CA 93212  
Phone: (559) 992-2151  
greg.gatzka@cityofcorcoran.com

**Elizabeth Gibbs**, City Manager, *City of Beaumont*  
550 E. 6th Street, Beaumont, CA 92223  
Phone: (951) 769-8520  
egibbs@beaumontca.gov

**Carmen Gil**, City Manager, *City of Gonzales*  
147 FOURTH ST, P.O. BOX 647, Gonzales, CA 93926  
Phone: (831) 675-5000  
cgil@ci.gonzales.ca.us

**John Gillison**, City Manager, *City of Rancho Cucamonga*  
10500 Civic Center Drive, Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730  
Phone: (909) 477-2700  
john.gillison@cityofrc.us

**Juliana Gmur**, Executive Director, *Commission on State Mandates*  
980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone: (916) 323-3562  
juliana.gmur@csm.ca.gov

**Kathy Gomes**, Auditor Controller, *County of Calaveras*  
891 Mountain Ranch Road, San Andreas, CA 95249  
Phone: (209) 754-6343  
kgomes@calaverascounty.gov

**Jose Gomez**, Director of Finance and Administrative Services, *City of Lakewood*  
5050 Clark Avenue, Lakewood, CA 90712  
Phone: (562) 866-9771  
jgomez@lakewoodcity.org

**Ana Gonzalez**, City Clerk, *City of Woodland*  
300 First Street, Woodland, CA 95695  
Phone: (530) 661-5830  
ana.gonzalez@cityofwoodland.org

**Cristian Gonzalez**, City Manager/Planning Director, *City of Mendota*  
643 Quince St., Mendota, CA 93640  
Phone: (559) 655-4298  
cristian@cityofmendota.com

**Joe Gonzalez**, *County of San Benito*  
440 Fifth Street Room 206, Hollister, CA 95023  
Phone: (831) 636-4090  
jgonzalez@auditor.co.san-benito.ca.us

**Sergio Gonzalez**, City Manager, *City of Azusa*  
213 E Foothill Boulevard, Azusa, CA 91702

Phone: (626) 812-5239  
Sergio.Gonzalez@AzusaCa.Gov

**Gabe Gonzalez**, City Administrator, *City of Gilroy*  
7351 Rosanna Street, Gilroy, CA 95020  
Phone: (408) 846-0202  
Denise.King@cityofgilroy.org

**Grizelle Gonzalez**, Administrative Services Director, *City of Hollister*  
375 Fifth Street, Hollister, CA 95023  
Phone: (831) 636-4301  
Grizelle.Gonzalez@Hollister.CA.Gov

**Jim Goodwin**, City Manager, *City of Live Oak*  
9955 Live Oak Blvd., Live Oak, CA 95953  
Phone: (530) 695-2112  
liveoak@liveoakcity.org

**Greg Grammar**, City Manager, *City of Rolling Hills Estates*  
4045 Rolling Hills Estates, Rolling Hills Estates, CA 90274  
Phone: (310) 377-1577  
GregG@rollinghillsestates.gov

**Peter Grant**, City Manager, *City of Cypress*  
5275 Cypress Ave, Cypress, CA 90630  
Phone: (714) 229-6700  
pgrant@cypressca.org

**Sean Grayson**, City Manager, *City of Nevada City*  
317 Broad Street, Nevada City, CA 95959  
Phone: (530) 265-2496  
Sean.Grayson@nevadacityca.gov

**Kristin Griffith**, City Manager, *City of Brea*  
1 Civic Center Circle, Brea, CA 92821  
Phone: (714) 990-7710  
kristing@cityofbrea.gov

**John Gross**, Director of Finance, *City of Long Beach*  
333 W. Ocean Blvd., 6th Floor, Long Beach, CA 90802  
Phone: N/A  
john.gross@longbeach.gov

**Troy Grunklee**, Director of Administrative Services, *City of La Puente*  
15900 East Main Street, La Puente, CA 91744  
Phone: (626) 855-1500  
tgrunklee@lapuente.org

**John Guertin**, City Manager, *City of Del Rey Oaks*  
650 Canyon Del Rey Road, Del Rey Oaks, CA 93940  
Phone: (831) 394-8511  
JGuertin@DelReyOaks.org

**David Guhin**, City Manager, *City of Sonoma*  
1 The Plaza, Sonoma, CA 95476  
Phone: (707) 933-2213  
dguhin@sonomacity.org

**Hillary Guirola-Leon**, Finance Director, *City of San Marino*  
2200 Huntington Drive, San Marino, CA 91108  
Phone: (626) 300-0708  
hguirola-leon@sanmarinoca.gov

**Shelly Gunby**, Director of Financial Management, *City of Winters*  
Finance, 318 First Street, Winters, CA 95694  
Phone: (530) 795-4910  
shelly.gunby@cityofwinters.org

**Laura Gutierrez**, City Manager, *City of Calipatria*  
125 North Park Avenue, Calipatria, CA 92233  
Phone: (760) 348-4141  
l\_gutierrez@calipatria.com

**Graciela Gutierrez**, Auditor-Controller, *County of Butte*  
25 County Center Drive, Suite 120, Oroville, CA 95965  
Phone: (530) 552-3599  
GGutierrez@ButteCounty.net

**Anna Guzman**, Director of Finance, *City of Weed*  
550 Main Street, PO Box 470, Weed, CA 96094  
Phone: (530) 938-5020  
guzman@ci.weed.ca.us

**Lani Ha**, Finance Manager/Treasurer, *City of Danville*  
510 La Gonda Way, Danville, CA 94526  
Phone: (925) 314-3311  
lha@danville.ca.gov

**Isaiah Hagerman**, City Manager, *City of Rancho Mirage*  
69825 Highway 111, Rancho Mirage, CA 92270  
Phone: (760) 324-4511  
isaiahh@ranchomirageca.gov

**Andy Hall**, City Manager, *City of San Clemente*  
910 Calle Negocio, San Clemente, CA 92673  
Phone: (949) 361-8341  
HallA@san-clemente.org

**Dante Hall**, City Manager, *City of Hercules*  
111 Civic Drive, Hercules, CA 94547  
Phone: (510) 799-8200  
dhall@herculesca.gov

**Nathan Hamburger**, City Manager, *City of Agoura Hills*  
30001 Ladyface Court, Agoura Hills, CA 91301  
Phone: (818) 597-7300  
nhamburger@ci.agoura-hills.ca.us

**Andrew Hamilton**, Auditor-Controller, *County of Orange*  
1770 North Broadway, Santa Ana, CA 92706  
Phone: (714) 834-2450  
Andrew.Hamilton@ac.ocgov.com

**James Hamilton**, Auditor-Controller/Treasurer-Tax Collector/Public Administrator, *County of San Luis Obispo*  
1055 Monterey Street, San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

Phone: (805) 781-5040  
jhamilton@co.slo.ca.us

**Sunny Han**, Director of Finance, *City of Yorba Linda*  
4845 Casa Loma Avenue, Yorba Linda, CA 92886  
Phone: (714) 961-7140  
shan@yorbalindaca.gov

**Toni Hannah**, Director of Finance, *City of Pacific Grove*  
300 Forest Avenue, Pacific Grove, CA 93950  
Phone: (831) 648-3100  
thannah@cityofpacificgrove.org

**Anne Haraksin**, *City of La Mirada*  
13700 La Mirada Blvd., La Mirada, CA 90638  
Phone: N/A  
aharaksin@cityoflamirada.org

**Joe Harn**, *County of El Dorado*  
360 Fair Lane, Placerville, CA 95667  
Phone: (530) 621-5633  
joe.harn@edcgov.us

**George Harris**, Finance Director, *City of Lancaster*  
44933 Fern Avenue, Lancaster, CA 93534  
Phone: (661) 723-5988  
gharris@cityoflancasterca.org

**Sydney Harris**, Finance Director, *City of Barstow*  
220 East Mountain View Street, Suite A, Barstow, CA 92311  
Phone: (760) 255-5125  
sharris@barstowca.org

**Tom Haynes**, Chief Financial Officer, *County of Yolo*  
Financial Services, 625 Court Street, Room 102, Woodland, CA 95695  
Phone: (530) 666-8190  
Tom.Haynes@yolocounty.gov

**Viviana Heger**, Special Counsel, *Duane Morris LLP*  
865 Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, CA 90017-5450  
Phone: (213) 689-7400  
VHeger@duanemorris.com

**Jim Heller**, City Treasurer, *City of Atwater*  
Finance Department, 750 Bellevue Rd, Atwater, CA 95301  
Phone: (209) 357-6310  
finance@atwater.org

**Alexander Henderson**, City Manager, *City of Kingsburg*  
1401 Draper Street, Kingsburg, CA 93631  
Phone: (559) 897-5821  
ahenderson@cityofkingsburg-ca.gov

**Eric Hendrickson**, Finance Director, *City of Laguna Hills*  
24035 El Toro Road, Laguna Hills, CA 92653  
Phone: (949) 707-2623  
ehendrickson@lagunahillsca.gov

**Jennifer Hennessy**, *City of Temecula*

41000 Main St., Temecula, CA 92590

Phone: N/A

Jennifer.Hennessy@cityoftemecula.org

**Ernie Hernandez**, *City Manager, City of Commerce*

2535 Commerce Way, Commerce, CA 90040

Phone: (323) 722-4805

ehernandez@ci.commerce.ca.us

**Erika Herrera-Terriquez**, *Interim City Manager, City of Fillmore*

250 Central Avenue, Fillmore, CA 93015

Phone: (805) 524-1500

eherrera@fillmoreca.gov

**Jenavive Herrington**, *Auditor-Controller/County Clerk, County of Lake*

255 N. Forbes Street, Lakeport, CA 95453

Phone: (707) 263-2311

jenavive.herrington@lakecountycalifornia.gov

**Robert Hicks**, *City of Berkeley*

2180 Milvia Street, Berkeley, CA 94704

Phone: N/A

finance@ci.berkeley.ca.us

**Chris Hill**, *Principal Program Budget Analyst, Department of Finance*

Local Government Unit, 915 L Street, 8th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 445-3274

Chris.Hill@dof.ca.gov

**Ryan Hinchman**, *Administrative Services Director, City of Saratoga*

13777 Fruitvale Avenue, Saratoga, CA 94025

Phone: N/A

rhinchman@saratoga.ca.us

**Tiffany Hoang**, *Associate Accounting Analyst, State Controller's Office*

Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816

Phone: (916) 323-1127

THoang@sco.ca.gov

**Jason Holley**, *City Manager, City of American Canyon*

4381 Broadway Street, Suite 201, American Canyon, CA 94503

Phone: (707) 647-5323

jholley@cityofamericancanyon.org

**Linda Hollinsworth**, *Finance Director, City of Hawaiian Gardens*

21815 Pioneer Blvd., Hawaiian Gardens, CA 90716

Phone: (562) 420-2641

lindah@hgcity.org

**Susie Holmes**, *Finance Director, City of Cloverdale*

124 N Cloverdale Blvd, Cloverdale, CA 95425

Phone: (707) 894-2521

sholmes@ci.cloverdale.ca.us

**Christina Holmes**, *Director of Finance, City of Escondido*

201 North Broadway, Escondido, CA 92025

Phone: (760) 839-4676  
cholmes@escondido.org

**Willie Hopkins**, City Manager, *City of Compton*  
205 S Willowbrook Ave, Compton, CA 90220  
Phone: (310) 605-5500  
contactcm@comptontcity.org

**Mike Howard**, Director of Finance, *City of Soledad*  
248 Main Street, Soledad, CA 93960  
Phone: (831) 674-5562  
mhoward@cityofsoledad.com

**Ken Howell**, Senior Management Auditor, *State Controller's Office*  
Audits, Compliance Audits Bureau, 3301 C Street, Suite 725A, Sacramento, CA 95816  
Phone: (916) 323-2368  
KHowell@sco.ca.gov

**Betsy Howze**, Finance Director, *City of Rohnert Park*  
130 Avram Avenue, Rohnert Park, CA 94928-1180  
Phone: (707) 585-6717  
bhowze@rpcity.org

**Karen Huang**, Finance Director, *City of San Mateo*  
330 West 20th Avenue, San Mateo, CA 94403  
Phone: (650) 522-7102  
khuang@cityofsanmateo.org

**Lewis Humphries**, Finance Director, *City of Newman*  
Finance Department, 938 Fresno Street, Newman, CA 95360  
Phone: (209) 862-3725  
lhumphries@cityofnewman.com

**Chris Huot**, Interim City Manager, *City of Paso Robles*  
1000 Spring Street, Paso Robles, CA 93446  
Phone: (805) 227-7276  
citymanager@prcity.com

**Scott Hurlbert**, City Manager, *City of Wasco*  
746 8th Street, Wasco, CA 93280  
Phone: (661) 758-7214  
schurlbert@cityofwasco.org

**Kevin Ingram**, City Manager, *City of Lakeport*  
225 Park Street, Lakeport, CA 95453  
Phone: (707) 263-5615  
kingram@cityoflakeport.com

**Joe Irvin**, City Manager, *City of South Lake Tahoe*  
1901 Lisa Maloff Way, South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150  
Phone: (530) 542-6000  
jirvin@cityofslt.us

**Emily Jackson**, Finance Director, *City of San Luis Obispo*  
Finance & Information Technology Department, 990 Palm Street, San Luis Obispo, CA 93401  
Phone: (805) 781-7125  
ejackson@slocity.org

**Rachel Jacobs**, Finance Director/Treasurer, *City of Solana Beach*  
635 South Highway 101, Solana Beach, CA 92075-2215  
Phone: (858) 720-2463  
rjacobs@cosb.org

**Stone James**, City Manager, *City of Twentynine Palms*  
6136 Adobe Road, Twentynine Palms, CA 92277  
Phone: (760) 367-6799  
sjames@29palms.org

**Chris Jeffers**, Interim City Manager, *City of South Gate*  
8650 California Ave, South Gate, CA 90280  
Phone: (323) 563-9503  
cjeffers@sogate.org

**Brooke Jenkins**, District Attorney, *City and County of San Francisco*  
350 Rhode Island Street, North Building, Suite 400N, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Phone: (628) 652-4000  
districtattorney@sfgov.org

**Jason Jennings**, Director, *Maximus Consulting*  
Financial Services, 808 Moorefield Park Drive, Suite 205, Richmond, VA 23236  
Phone: (804) 323-3535  
SB90@maximus.com

**Heather Jennings**, Director of Finance, *City of Santee*  
10601 Magnolia Avenue, Building #3, Santee, CA 92071  
Phone: (619) 258-4100  
hjennings@cityofsantee.ca.gov

**Jestin Johnson**, City Administrator, *City of Oakland*  
1 Frank H Ogawa Plaza, Oakland, CA 94612  
Phone: (510) 238-3301  
cityadministratoroffice@oaklandca.gov

**Talika Johnson**, Director of Administrative Services, *City of Azusa*  
213 E Foothill Blvd, Azusa, CA 91702  
Phone: (626) 812-5203  
finance@azusaca.gov

**Christa Johnson**, Town Manager, *Town of Ross*  
31 Sir Francis Drake Boulevard, PO Box 320, Ross, CA 94957  
Phone: (415) 453-1453  
cjohnson@townofross.org

**Jeff Jones**, City Manager, *City of Arvin*  
200 Campus Drive, Arvin, CA 93203  
Phone: (661) 854-3134  
jeffjones@arvin.org

**Dewayne Jones**, City Manager, *City of Dos Palos*  
2174 Blossom Street, Dos Palos, CA 93620  
Phone: (209) 392-2174  
djones@cityofdp.com

**Hamed Jones**, Finance Director, *City of Tehachapi*  
Finance Department, 115 S. Robinson St., Tehachapi, CA 93561



Phone: (661) 822-2200  
hjones@tehachapicityhall.com

**Daniel Jordan**, City Manager, *City of La Cañada Flintridge*  
One Civic Center Drive, La Cañada Flintridge, CA 91011  
Phone: (808) 706-1613  
Dan@wlv.org

**Angelo Joseph**, Supervisor, *State Controller's Office*  
Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 740,  
Sacramento, CA 95816  
Phone: (916) 323-0706  
AJoseph@sco.ca.gov

**Todd Juhasz**, City Manager, *City of Mount Shasta*  
305 N. Mt. Shasta Boulevard, Mount Shasta, CA 96067  
Phone: (530) 926-7510  
tjuhasz@mtshastaca.gov

**Kim Juran Karageorgiou**, Administrative Services Director, *City of Rancho Cordova*  
2729 Prospect Park Drive , Rancho Cordova, CA 95670  
Phone: (916) 851-8731  
kjuran@cityofranchocordova.org

**Will Kaholokula**, Finance Director, *City of San Gabriel*  
425 South Mission Drive, San Gabriel, CA 91776  
Phone: (626) 308-2812  
wkaholokula@sgch.org

**Kerry Kallman**, City Manager, *City of Palos Verdes Estates*  
340 Palos Verdes Dr West, Palos Verdes Estates, CA 90274  
Phone: (310) 378-0383  
kkallman@pvestates.org

**Harshil Kanakia**, Administrative Services Manager, *County of San Mateo*  
Controller's Office, 555 County Center, 4th Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063  
Phone: (650) 599-1080  
hkanakia@smcgov.org

**Anne Kato**, Acting Chief, *State Controller's Office*  
Local Government Programs and Services Division, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA  
95816  
Phone: (916) 322-9891  
akato@sco.ca.gov

**Dennis Kauffman**, Finance Director, *City of Roseville*  
311 Vernon Street, Roseville, CA 95678  
Phone: (916) 774-5313  
dkauffman@roseville.ca.us

**Jeff Kay**, City Manager, *City of Healdsburg*  
401 Grove Street, Healdsburg, CA 95448  
Phone: (707) 431-3396  
jkay@ci.healdsburg.ca.us

**Kevin Kearney**, City Manager, *City of Bradbury*  
600 Winston Ave, Bradbury, CA 91008

Phone: (626) 358-3218  
k Kearney@cityofbradbury.org

**Mandy Kellogg**, Administrative Services Director, *City of St. Helena*  
1088 College Avenue, City Hall, St. Helena, CA 94574  
Phone: (707) 968-2649  
mkellogg@cityofstheleena.gov

**Naomi Kelly**, City Administrator, *City and County of San Francisco*  
City Hall, Room 362, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102  
Phone: (415) 554-4851  
city.administrator@sfgov.org

**Jon Kennedy**, Interim City Manager, *City of Isleton*  
101 2nd Street, PO Box 716, Isleton, CA 95641  
Phone: (916) 777-7770  
jon@civassist.com

**Anita Kerezsi**, *AK & Company*  
2425 Golden Hill Road, Suite 106, Paso Robles, CA 93446  
Phone: (805) 239-7994  
akcompanysb90@gmail.com

**Joanne Kessler**, Fiscal Specialist, *City of Newport Beach*  
Revenue Division, 100 Civic Center Drive , Newport Beach, CA 90266  
Phone: (949) 644-3199  
jkessler@newportbeachca.gov

**Mike Killebrew**, City Manager, *City of Dana Point*  
33282 Golden Lantern, Dana Point, CA 92629-1805  
Phone: (949) 248-3554  
mkillebrew@danapoint.org

**Ben Kim**, City Manager, *City of Rosemead*  
8838 East Valley Boulevard, Rosemead, CA 91770  
Phone: (626) 569-2169  
bkim@cityofrosemead.org

**Rafaela King**, Finance Director, *City of Monterey*  
735 Pacific Street, Suite A, Monterey, CA 93940  
Phone: (831) 646-3940  
King@monterey.org

**Jennifer King**, Acting Finance Director, *City of Tustin*  
300 Centennial Way, Tustin, CA 92780  
Phone: (714) 573-3079  
jking@tustinca.org

**Tim Kirby**, City Manager, *City of Sunnyvale*  
456 West Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA 94086  
Phone: (408) 730-7911  
citymgr@sunnyvale.ca.gov

**Tim Kiser**, City Manager, *City of Grass Valley*  
125 East Main Street, Grass Valley, CA 95945  
Phone: (530) 274-4312  
timk@cityofgrassvalley.com

**Kyle Knopp**, City Manager, *City of Rio Dell*  
675 Wildwood Ave, Rio Dell, CA 95562  
Phone: (707) 764-3532  
knoppk@cityofriodell.ca.gov

**Rob Knudson**, Assistant Director of Finance, *County of Kings*  
1400 W. Lacey Blvd, Hanford, CA 93230  
Phone: (559) 852-2712  
Robert.Knudson@co.kings.ca.us

**Will Kolbow**, City Manager, *City of Calimesa*  
908 Park Ave, Calimesa, CA 92320  
Phone: (909) 795-9801  
wkolbow@calimesa.gov

**Zach Korach**, Finance Director, *City of Carlsbad*  
1635 Faraday Ave., Carlsbad, CA 92008  
Phone: (442) 339-2127  
zach.korach@carlsbadca.gov

**James Krueger**, Director of Administrative Services, *City of Coronado*  
1825 Strand Way, Coronado, CA 92118  
Phone: (619) 522-7309  
jkrueger@coronado.ca.us

**Janet Kulbeck**, Finance Supervisor, *City of Montclair*  
5111 Benito Street, Montclair, CA 91763  
Phone: (909) 626-8571  
jkulbeck@cityofmontclair.org

**Lisa Kurokawa**, Bureau Chief for Audits, *State Controller's Office*  
Compliance Audits Bureau, 3301 C Street, Suite 700, Sacramento, CA 95816  
Phone: (916) 327-3138  
lkurokawa@sco.ca.gov

**Mali LaGoe**, City Manager, *City of Scotts Valley*  
1 Civic Center Drive, Scotts Valley, CA 95066  
Phone: (831) 440-5600  
mlagoe@scottsvally.gov

**Edward Lamb**, Director of Finance, *County of Glenn*  
516 West Sycamore Street, Willows, CA 95988  
Phone: (530) 934-6421  
tte@countyofglenn.net

**Ramon Lara**, City Administrator, *City of Woodlake*  
350 N. Valencia Blvd., Woodlake, CA 93286  
Phone: (559) 564-8055  
rlara@ci.woodlake.ca.us

**Nancy Lassey**, Finance Administrator, *City of Lake Elsinore*  
130 South Main Street, Lake Elsinore, CA 92530  
Phone: N/A  
nlassey@lake-elsinore.org

**Deborah Lauchner**, Chief Financial Officer, *City of Santa Rosa*  
90 Santa Rosa Avenue, City Hall Annex, 2nd Floor, Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Phone: (707) 543-3140  
finance@srcity.org

**Government Law Intake, Department of Justice**

Attorney General's Office, 1300 I Street, Suite 125, PO Box 944255, Sacramento, CA 94244-2550

Phone: (916) 210-6046  
governmentlawintake@doj.ca.gov

**Lucy Lawrence, City Treasurer, City of Los Banos**

520 J Street, Los Banos, CA 93635

Phone: (209) 827-7000  
finance@losbanos.org

**Eric Lawyer, Legislative Advocate, California State Association of Counties (CSAC)**

Government Finance and Administration, 1100 K Street, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 650-8112  
elawyer@counties.org

**Kim-Anh Le, Deputy Controller, County of San Mateo**

555 County Center, 4th Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063

Phone: (650) 599-1104  
kle@smcgov.org

**Linda Leaver, Finance Director, City of Crescent City**

377 J Street, Crescent City, CA 95531

Phone: (707) 464-7483  
lleaver@crescentcity.org

**Kathy LeBlanc, City Clerk, City of Loyalton**

605 School Street, P.O. Box 128, Loyalton, CA 96118

Phone: (530) 993-6750  
ofclerk-cityofloyalton@psln.com

**Jason Ledbetter, Town Manager, Town of Woodside**

2955 Woodside Road, Woodside, CA 94062

Phone: (650) 851-6790  
jledbetter@woodsideca.gov

**Krysten Lee, Finance Director, City of Newark**

37101 Newark Blvd, Newark, CA 94560

Phone: (510) 578-4288  
krysten.lee@newark.org

**Fernando Lemus, Principal Accountant - Auditor, County of Los Angeles**

Auditor-Controller's Office, 500 West Temple Street, Room 603, Los Angeles, CA 90012

Phone: (213) 974-0324  
flemus@auditor.lacounty.gov

**Grace Leung, City Manager, City of Newport Beach**

100 Civic Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 92660

Phone: (949) 644-3001  
gleung@newportbeachca.gov

**Jim Lewis, City Manager, City of Atascadero**

Finance Department, 6500 Palma Ave, Atascadero, CA 93422

Phone: (805) 461-7612  
jlewis@atascadero.org

**Erika Li**, Chief Deputy Director, *Department of Finance*  
915 L Street, 10th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone: (916) 445-3274  
erika.li@dof.ca.gov

**Midori Lichtwardt**, City Manager, *City of Tracy*  
333 Civic Center Plaza, Tracy, CA 95376  
Phone: (209) 831-6115  
cm@cityoftracy.org

**Pearl Lieu**, Director of Finance, *City of Alhambra*  
111 South First Street, Alhambra, CA 91801  
Phone: (626) 570-5020  
plieu@cityofalhambra.org

**Mark Linder**, Interim Town Manager, *Town of Portola Valley*  
765 Portola Road, Portola Valley, CA 94028  
Phone: (650) 851-1700  
pvtownmanager@portolavalley.net

**Jim Lindley**, City Manager, *City of Dixon*  
600 East A Street, Dixon, CA 95620  
Phone: (707) 678-7000  
jlindley@cityofdixonca.gov

**Lance Lippincott**, City Manager, *City of Shafter*  
336 Pacific Ave. , Shafter, CA 93263  
Phone: (661) 746-5000  
LLippincott@Shafter.com

**Dorothy Long**, City Treasurer, *City of Alturas*  
200 W. North Street, Alturas, CA 96101  
Phone: (530) 233-2512  
dlong@cityofalturas.us

**Christopher Lopez**, City Manager, *City of California City*  
21000 Hacienda Blvd, California City, CA 93505  
Phone: (760) 373-7170  
clopez@californiacity-ca.gov

**Robert Lopez**, City Manager, *City of Cerritos*  
18125 Bloomfield Ave, Cerritos, CA 90703  
Phone: (562) 916-1310  
ralopez@cerritos.us

**Antony Lopez**, City Manager, *City of Avenal*  
919 Skyline Boulevard, Avenal, CA 93204  
Phone: (559) 401-9837  
alopez@cityofavenal.us

**Kenneth Louie**, Chief Counsel , *Department of Finance*  
1021 O. Street, Suite 3110, Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone: (916) 322-0971  
Kenny.Louie@dof.ca.gov

**Brian Loventhal**, City Manager, *City of Campbell*  
70 North First Street, Campbell, CA 95008

Phone: (408) 866-2100  
dianaj@cityofcampbell.com

**Everett Luc**, Accounting Administrator I, Specialist, *State Controller's Office*  
3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816  
Phone: (916) 323-0766  
ELuc@sco.ca.gov

**Jessaca Lugo**, City Manager, *City of Shasta Lake*  
4477 Main Street, Shasta Lake, CA 96019  
Phone: (530) 275-7400  
jlugo@cityofshastalake.org

**Elizabeth Luna**, Accounting Services Manager, *City of Suisun City*  
701 Civic Center Blvd, Suisun City, CA 94585  
Phone: (707) 421-7320  
eluna@suisun.com

**Janet Luzzi**, Finance Director, *City of Arcata*  
Finance Department, 736 F Street, Arcata, CA 95521  
Phone: (707) 822-5951  
finance@cityofarcata.org

**Christopher Macon**, City Manager, *City of Laguna Woods*  
24264 El Toro Road, Laguna Woods, CA 92637  
Phone: (714) 639-0500  
cmacon@cityoflagunawoods.org

**Van Maddox**, Auditor/Treasurer/Tax Collector, *County of Sierra*  
211 Nevada Street, 2nd Floor, P.O. Box 425, Downieville, CA 95936  
Phone: (530) 289-3273  
auttc@sierracounty.ca.gov

**Carmen Magana**, Director of Administrative Services, *City of Santa Clarita*  
23920 Valencia Blvd, Santa Clarita, CA 91355  
Phone: (661) 255-4997  
cmagana@santa-clarita.com

**Martin Magana**, City Manager/Finance Director, *City of Desert Hot Springs*  
Finance Department, 65-950 Pierson Blvd, Desert Hot Springs, CA 92240  
Phone: (760) 329-6411, Ext.  
CityManager@cityofdhs.org

**Jill Magee**, Program Analyst, *Commission on State Mandates*  
980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone: (916) 323-3562  
Jill.Magee@csm.ca.gov

**Kathy Magenheimer**, Acting Accounting/Grants Manager, *City of Marysville*  
Administration and Finance Department, 526 C Street, Marysville, CA 95901  
Phone: (530) 749-3903  
kmagenheimer@marysville.ca.us

**Amanda Mager**, City Manager, *City of Blue Lake*  
111 Greenwood Rd, Blue Lake, CA 95525-0458  
Phone: (707) 668-5655  
citymanager@bluelake.ca.gov

**Jennifer Maguire**, City Manager, *City of San Jose*  
200 East Santa Clara Street, San Jose, CA 95113  
Phone: (408) 535-8111  
Jennifer.Maguire@sanjoseca.gov

**Licette Maldonado**, Administrative Services Director, *City of Carpinteria*  
5775 Carpinteria Avenue, Carpinteria, CA 93013  
Phone: (805) 755-4448  
licettem@carpinteriaca.gov

**Lisa Malek-Zadeh**, Interim Finance Director, *City of Piedmont*  
120 Vista Avenue, Piedmont, CA 94611  
Phone: (510) 420-3045  
lmalekzadeh@Piedmont.ca.gov

**Hrant Manuelian**, Director of Finance/City Treasurer, *City of Lawndale*  
14717 Burin Avenue, Lawndale, CA 90260  
Phone: (310) 973-3200  
hmanuelian@lawndalecity.org

**Darryl Mar**, Manager, *State Controller's Office*  
3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816  
Phone: (916) 323-0706  
DMar@sco.ca.gov

**Terri Marsh**, Finance Director, *City of Signal Hill*  
Finance, 2175 Cherry Ave., Signal Hill, CA 90755  
Phone: (562) 989-7319  
Financel@cityofsignalhill.org

**Cyndie Martel**, Town Clerk and Administrative Manager, *Town of Ross*  
31 Sir Francis Drake Blvd, PO Box 320, Ross, CA 94957  
Phone: (415) 453-1453  
cmartel@townofross.org

**Pio Martin**, Finance Manager, *City of Firebaugh*  
Finance Department, 1133 P Street, Firebaugh, CA 93622  
Phone: (559) 659-2043  
financedirector@ci.firebaugh.ca.us

**Barbara Martin**, Administrative Services Director, *City of Chico*  
411 Main St., Chico, CA 95927  
Phone: (530) 879-7300  
barbara.martin@chicoca.gov

**Patrick Martinez**, City Manager, *City of Needles*  
817 Third Street, Needles, CA 92363  
Phone: (760) 326-2113  
pmartinez@cityofneedles.com

**Alma Martinez**, City Manager, *City of El Monte*  
11333 Valley Blvd, El Monte, CA 91731-3293  
Phone: (626) 580-2274  
amartinez@elmonteca.gov

**Lana Martinez-Davis**, Senior Administrative Analyst, *City of Santa Cruz*  
701 Ocean Street, Room 520, Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Phone: (831) 454-2100  
lana.martinezdavis@santacruzcountyca.gov

**Ensen Mason**, Auditor-Controller/Treasurer/Tax Collector, *County of San Bernardino*  
268 West Hospitality Lane, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0018  
Phone: (909) 387-8322  
webinfo@sbcountyatc.gov

**Ken Matsumiya**, Director of Finance, *City of Vacaville*  
650 Merchant Street, Vacaville, CA 95688  
Phone: (707) 449-5450  
Ken.Matsumiya@cityofvacaville.com

**Dennice Maxwell**, Finance Director, *City of Redding*  
Finance Department, 3rd Floor City Hall, 777 Cypress Avenue, Redding, CA 96001  
Phone: (530) 225-4079  
finance@cityofredding.org

**Kevin McCarthy**, Director of Finance, *City of Indian Wells*  
Finance Department, 44-950 Eldorado Drive, Indian Wells, CA 92210-7497  
Phone: (760) 346-2489  
kmccarthy@indianwells.com

**Suzanne McDonald**, Financial Operations Manager, *City of Concord*  
Finance Department, 1950 Parkside Drive, MS 06 , Concord, CA 94519  
Phone: (925) 671-3136  
Suzanne.McDonald@cityofconcord.org

**Bridgette McInally**, Accounting Manager, *City of Buenaventura*  
Finance and Technology , 501 Poli Street, Ventura, CA 93001  
Phone: (805) 654-7812  
bmcinally@ci.ventura.ca.us

**Randy McKeegan**, Finance Director, *City of Bakersfield*  
1600 Truxtun Avenue, Bakersfield, CA 93301  
Phone: (661) 326-3742  
RMcKeegan@bakersfieldcity.us

**Larry McLaughlin**, City Manager, *City of Sebastopol*  
7120 Bodega Avenue, P.O. Box 1776, Sebastopol, CA 95472  
Phone: (707) 823-1153  
lwmclaughlin@juno.com

**Jon McMillen**, City Manager, *City of La Quinta*  
78-495 Calle Tampico, La Quinta, CA 92253  
Phone: (760) 777-7030  
jmcmillen@laquintaca.gov

**Conal McNamara**, City Manager, *City of Whittier*  
13230 Penn Street, Whittier, CA 90602  
Phone: (562) 567-9300  
admin@cityofwhittier.org

**Paul Melikian**, *City of Reedley*  
1717 Ninth Street, Reedley, CA 93654  
Phone: (559) 637-4200  
paul.melikian@reedley.ca.gov



**Brittany Mello**, Administrative Services Director, *City of Menlo Park*  
701 Laurel Street, Menlo Park, CA 94025  
Phone: (650) 330-6675  
bkmello@menlopark.gov

**Erica Melton**, Director of Finance / City Treasurer, *City of San Fernando*  
117 Macneil Street, San Fernando, CA 91340  
Phone: (818) 898-1212  
EMelton@sfcity.org

**Rebecca Mendenhall**, *City of San Carlos*  
600 Elm Street, P.O. Box 3009, San Carlos, CA 94070-1309  
Phone: (650) 802-4205  
rmendenhall@cityofsancarlos.org

**Michelle Mendoza**, *MAXIMUS*  
17310 Red Hill Avenue, Suite 340, Irvine, CA 95403  
Phone: (949) 440-0845  
michellemendoza@maximus.com

**Olga Mendoza**, *City of Ceres*  
2220 Magnolia Street, Ceres, CA 95307  
Phone: (209) 538-5766  
olga.mendoza@ci.ceres.ca.us

**Luis Mercado**, Auditor, *County of Mariposa*  
4982 10th Street, PO Box 729, Mariposa, CA 95338  
Phone: (209) 966-7606  
lmercado@mariposacounty.org

**Dawn Merchant**, *City of Antioch*  
P.O. Box 5007, Antioch, CA 94531  
Phone: (925) 779-7055  
dmerchant@ci.antioch.ca.us

**Brant Mesker**, City Manager, *City of Corning*  
794 Third Street, Corning, CA 96021  
Phone: N/A  
bmesker@corning.org

**Keith Metzler**, City Manager, *City of Victorville*  
14343 Civic Drive, PO Box 5001, Victorville, CA 92393-5001  
Phone: (760) 955-5029  
kmetzler@victorvilleca.gov

**Ron Millard**, Finance Director, *City of Vallejo*  
Finance Department, 555 Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor, Vallejo, CA 94590  
Phone: (707) 648-4592  
alison.hughes@cityofvallejo.net

**Kristina Miller**, City Manager, *City of Rio Vista*  
One Main Street, Rio Vista, CA 94571  
Phone: (707) 374-6451  
kmiller@ci.rio-vista.ca.us

**Leyne Milstein**, Interim City Manager, *City of Sacramento*  
915 I Street, 5th Floor, Sacramento, CA 98514

Phone: (916) 808-8491  
lmilstein@cityofsacramento.org

**Manuel Minjares**, Assistant City Manager, *City of Fillmore*  
250 Central Avenue, Fillmore, CA 93015  
Phone: N/A  
mminjares@fillmoreca.gov

**Clara Miramontes**, City Manager, *City of Perris*  
101 N. D Street, Perris, CA 92570  
Phone: (951) 943-6100  
cmiramontes@cityofperris.org

**Julian Miranda**, City Manager, *City of Irwindale*  
5050 N Irwindale Avenue, Irwindale, CA 91706  
Phone: (626) 430-2217  
jmiranda@irwindaleca.gov

**David Mirrione**, City Manager, *City of Hollister*  
375 Fifth Street, Hollister, CA 95023  
Phone: (831) 636-4300  
David.Mirrione@hollister.ca.gov

**Talyn Mirzakhanian**, City Manager, *City of Manhattan Beach*  
1400 Highland Ave., Manhattan Beach, CA 90266  
Phone: (310) 802-5302  
tmirzakhanian@citymb.info

**Graham Mitchell**, City Manager, *City of El Cajon*  
200 Civic Center Way, El Cajon, CA 92020  
Phone: (619) 441-1716  
citymanager@elcajon.gov

**Jeff Mitchem**, City Administrator, *City of Etna*  
442 Main Street, PO Box 460, Etna, CA 96027-0460  
Phone: (530) 467-5256  
j.mitchem@etnaca.com

**Scott Mitnick**, Town Manager, *Town of Moraga*  
329 Rheem Boulevard, Moraga, CA 94556  
Phone: (925) 888-7020  
smitnick@moraga.ca.us

**Kevin Mizuno**, Finance Director, *City of Clayton*  
Finance Department, 600 Heritage Trail, Clayton, CA 94517  
Phone: (925) 673-7309  
kmizuno@ci.clayton.ca.us

**Brian Mohan**, Chief Financial Officer, *City of Moreno Valley*  
14177 Frederick Street, PO Box 88005, Moreno Valley, CA 92552  
Phone: (951) 413-3021  
brianm@moval.org

**Monica Molina**, Finance Manager/Treasurer, *City of Del Mar*  
1050 Camino Del Mar, Del Mar, CA 92014  
Phone: (858) 755-9354  
mmolina@delmar.ca.us

**Rachel Molina**, City Manager, *City of Hesperia*  
9700 Seventh Ave. , Hesperia, CA 92345  
Phone: (760) 947-1018  
rmolina@cityofhesperia.us

**Gloria Molleda**, Interim City Manager, *City of Hidden Hills*  
6165 Spring Valley Road, Hidden Hills, CA 91302  
Phone: (818) 888-9281  
gloria@hiddenhillscity.org

**Cathy Moorhead**, Assistant City Manager, *City of Willits*  
111 E. Commercial Street, Willits, CA 95490  
Phone: (707) 799-6761  
cmoorhead@cityofwillits.org

**Isaac Moreno**, Finance Director, *City of Turlock*  
156 South Broadway, Suite 230, Turlock, CA 95380  
Phone: (209) 668-6071  
IMoreno@turlock.ca.us

**Debbie Moreno**, Finance Director, *City of Anaheim*  
200 S. Anaheim Boulevard, Anaheim, CA 92805  
Phone: (716) 765-5192  
DMoreno@anaheim.net

**Dennis Morita**, City Manager, *City of Imperial*  
420 South Imperial Ave., Imperial, CA 92251  
Phone: (760) 355-4373  
dmorita@imperial.ca.gov

**Jill Moya**, Financial Services Director, *City of Oceanside*  
300 North Coast Highway, Oceanside, CA 92054  
Phone: (760) 435-3887  
jmoya@oceansideca.org

**Marilyn Munoz**, Senior Staff Counsel, *Department of Finance*  
915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone: (916) 445-8918  
Marilyn.Munoz@dof.ca.gov

**Bill Mushallo**, Finance Director, *City of Petaluma*  
Finance Department, 11 English St., Petaluma, CA 94952  
Phone: (707) 778-4352  
financeemail@ci.petaluma.ca.us

**John Nachbar**, City Manager, *City of Culver City*  
9770 Culver Blvd, Culver City, CA 90232  
Phone: (310) 253-6000  
john.nachbar@culvercity.org

**Renee Nagel**, Finance Director, *City of Visalia*  
707 W. Acequia Avenue, City Hall West, Visalia, CA 93291  
Phone: (559) 713-4375  
Renee.Nagel@visalia.city

**Haj Nahal**, Assistant Auditor-Controller, *County of Contra Costa*  
1025 Escobar Street, Martinez, CA 94553

Phone: (925) 608-9300  
haj.nahal@ac.cccounty.us

**Shay Narayan**, Finance Director, *City of Manteca*  
1001 West Center Street, Manteca, CA 95337  
Phone: (209) 456-8730  
snarayan@mantecagov.com

**Tim Nash**, Director of Finance, *City of Encinitas*  
505 S Vulcan Avenue, Encinitas, CA 92054  
Phone: N/A  
finmail@encinitasca.gov

**Renee Neermann**, Finance Manager, *City of Malibu*  
23825 Stuart Ranch Road, Malibu, CA 90265  
Phone: (310) 456-2489  
RNeermann@malibucity.org

**David Neill**, Chief Counsel, *Office of Emergency Services*  
3650 Schriever Ave, Mather, CA 95655  
Phone: (916) 845-8510  
David.Neill@caloes.ca.gov

**Kaleb Neufeld**, Assistant Controller, *City of Fresno*  
2600 Fresno Street, Fresno, CA 93721  
Phone: (559) 621-2489  
Kaleb.Neufeld@fresno.gov

**Keith Neves**, Director of Finance/City Treasurer, *City of Lake Forest*  
Finance Department, 100 Civic Center Drive, Lake Forest, CA 92630  
Phone: (949) 461-3430  
kneves@lakeforestca.gov

**Tim Nevin**, Director of Finance and Administrative Services, *City of Daly City*  
333 90th Street, Daly City, CA 94015  
Phone: (650) 991-8040  
tnevin@dalycity.org

**Dan Newton**, City Manager, *City of Susanville*  
66 North Lassen Street, Susanville, CA 96130  
Phone: (530) 252-5106  
dnewton@cityofsusanville.org

**Trang Nguyen**, Director of Finance, *City of Orange*  
300 E. Chapman Avenue, Orange, CA 92866-1508  
Phone: (714) 744-2230  
nguyent@cityoforange.org

**Dat Nguyen**, Finance Director, *City of Morgan Hill*  
17575 Peak Avenue, Morgan Hill, CA 95037  
Phone: (408) 779-7237  
dat.nguyen@morganhill.ca.gov

**John Nibbelin**, County Attorney, *County of San Mateo*  
500 County Center, Redwood City, CA 94063  
Phone: (650) 363-4757  
jnibbelin@smcgov.org

**Andy Nichols**, *Nichols Consulting*  
1857 44th Street, Sacramento, CA 95819  
Phone: (916) 455-3939  
andy@nichols-consulting.com

**Dale Nielsen**, Director of Finance/Treasurer, *City of Vista*  
Finance Department, 200 Civic Center Drive, Vista, CA 92084  
Phone: (760) 726-1340  
dnielsen@ci.vista.ca.us

**Martee Nieman**, Auditor-Controller, *County of Plumas*  
520 Main Street, Room 205, Quincy, CA 95971  
Phone: (530) 283-6246  
marteenieman@countyofplumas.com

**Amy Nilsen**, City Manager, *City of Fortuna*  
621 11th Street, Fortuna, CA 95540  
Phone: (707) 725-1410  
anilsen@ci.fortuna.ca.us

**Robert Nisbet**, City Manager, *City of Goleta*  
130 Cremona Drive, Suite B, Goleta, CA 93117  
Phone: (805) 961-7501  
rnisbet@cityofgoleta.org

**David Noce**, Accounting Division Manager, *City of Santa Clara*  
1500 Warburton Ave, Santa Clara, CA 95050  
Phone: (408) 615-2341  
dnoce@santaclaraca.gov

**Vibeke Norgaard**, City Manager, *City of Sand City*  
1 Pendergrass Way, Sand City, CA 93955  
Phone: (831) 394-3054  
vibeke@sandcityca.org

**Vontray Norris**, City Manager Director of Community Services, *City of Hawthorne*  
4455 W 126th St, Hawthorne, CA 90250  
Phone: (310) 349-2908  
vnorris@hawthorneca.gov

**Kiely Nose**, Interim Director of Administrative Services, *City of Palo Alto*  
250 Hamilton Avenue, Palo Alto, CA 94301  
Phone: (650) 329-2692  
Kiely.Nose@cityofpaloalto.org

**Damien O'Bid**, City Manager, *City of Cotati*  
201 W Sierra Avenue, Cotati, CA 94931  
Phone: (707) 665-3622  
dobid@cotaticity.gov

**Michael O'Brien**, Administrative Services Director, *City of San Dimas*  
245 East Bonita Ave, San Dimas, CA 91773  
Phone: (909) 394-6200  
mobrien@sandimasca.gov

**Patrick O'Connell**, County Clerk Recorder, *County of Alameda*  
1221 Oak Street, Room 249, Oakland, CA 94512

Phone: (510) 272-6565  
pat.oconnell@acgov.org

**Michael O'Kelly**, Director of Administrative Services, *City of Fullerton*  
303 West Commonwealth Avenue, Fullerton, CA 92832  
Phone: (714) 738-6803  
mokelly@cityoffullerton.com

**Jim O'Leary**, Finance Director, *City of San Bruno*  
567 El Camino Real, San Bruno, CA 94066  
Phone: (650) 616-7080  
webfinance@sanbruno.ca.gov

**Scott Ochoa**, City Manager, *City of Ontario*  
393 E. B Street, Ontario, CA 91764  
Phone: (909) 395-2010  
sochoa@ontarioca.gov

**Margaret Olaiya**, Director of Finance, *County of Santa Clara*  
**Claimant Contact**  
70 West Hedding Street, East Wing, 2nd Floor, San Jose, CA 95110  
Phone: (408) 299-5201  
Margaret.Olaiya@fin.sccgov.org

**Maria-Luisa Olea**, Acting Finance Director, *City of West Covina*  
1444 West Garvey Street South, West Covina, CA 91790  
Phone: (626) 939-8438  
molea@westcovina.org

**Diane Olson**, Auditor-Controller, *County of Siskiyou*  
311 Fourth Street, Room 101, Yreka, CA 96097  
Phone: (530) 842-8078  
dlolson@co.siskiyou.ca.us

**Brenda Olwin**, Finance Director, *City of East Palo Alto*  
2415 University Avenue, East Palo Alto, CA 94303  
Phone: (650) 853-3122  
financedepartment@cityofepa.org

**Erika Opp**, Administrative Analyst, *City of St. Helena*  
City Clerk, 1480 Main Street, St. Helena, CA 94574  
Phone: (707) 968-2743  
eopp@cityofstheleena.gov

**Mark Orme**, City Manager, *City of Eastvale*  
12363 Limonite Avenue, Suite 910 , Eastvale, CA 91752  
Phone: (951) 703-4479  
morme@eastvaleca.gov

**Cathy Orme**, Finance Director, *City of Larkspur*  
Finance Department, 400 Magnolia Ave, Larkspur, CA 94939  
Phone: (415) 927-5019  
cathy.orme@cityoflarkspur.org

**John Ornelas**, Interim City Manager, *City of Huntington Park*  
, 6550 Miles Avenue, Huntington Park, CA 90255  
Phone: (323) 584-6223  
scrump@hpcga.gov

**Jennifer Ott**, City Manager, *City of Alameda*  
2263 Santa Clara Ave, Room 320, Alameda, CA 94501  
Phone: (510) 747-4700  
manager@alamedaca.gov

**Patricia Pacot**, Accountant Auditor I, *County of Colusa*  
Office of Auditor-Controller, 546 Jay Street, Suite #202, Colusa, CA 95932  
Phone: (530) 458-0424  
ppacot@countyofcolusa.org

**Arthur Palkowitz**, *Law Offices of Arthur M. Palkowitz*  
12807 Calle de la Siena, San Diego, CA 92130  
Phone: (858) 259-1055  
law@artpalk.onmicrosoft.com

**Kirsten Pangilinan**, Specialist, *State Controller's Office*  
Local Reimbursements Section, 3301 C Street, Suite 740, Sacramento, CA 95816  
Phone: (916) 322-2446  
KPangilinan@sco.ca.gov

**Deborah Paolinelli**, Assistant County Administrative Officer, *County of Fresno*  
2281 Tulare, Suite 304, Fresno, CA 93271  
Phone: (559) 600-1710  
dpaolinelli@fresnocountyca.gov

**Alice Park-Renzie**, *County of Alameda*  
CAO, 1221 Oak Street, Oakland, CA 94612  
Phone: (510) 272-3873  
Alice.Park@acgov.org

**Yamini Pathak**, Director of Finance, *City of Industry*  
15625 Mayor Dave Way, City of Industry, CA 91744  
Phone: (626) 333-2211  
ypathak@cityofindustry.org

**Luis Patlan**, City Manager, *City of Dinuba*  
405 E. El Monte Way, Dinuba, CA 93618  
Phone: (559) 591-5900  
LPatlan@dinuba.ca.gov

**Rob Patterson**, Town Manager, *Town of Mammoth Lakes*  
437 Old Mammoth Road, Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546  
Phone: (760) 965-3601  
rpatterson@townofmammothlakes.ca.gov

**Bill Pattison**, Finance Director, *City of Coachella*  
1515 Sixth St., Coachella, CA 92236  
Phone: (760) 398-3502  
bpattison@coachella.org

**Nancy Pauley**, Director of Finance, *City of Palm Springs*  
3200 E. Tahquitz Canyon Way, Palm Springs, CA 92262  
Phone: (760) 323-8229  
Nancy.Pauley@palmspringsca.gov

**Virginia Penaloza**, City Manager, *City of Huron*  
36311 Lassen Avenue, PO Box 339, Huron, CA 93234

Phone: (559) 945-3827  
Virginia@cityofhuron.com

**Diana Perkins**, Interim City Manager, *City of Monte Sereno*  
18041 Saratoga-Los Gatos Road, Monte Sereno, CA 95030  
Phone: (408) 354-7635  
cityclerk@cityofmontesereno.org

**David Persselin**, Finance Director, *City of Fremont*  
3300 Capitol Ave, Fremont, CA 94538  
Phone: (510) 494-4790  
DPersselin@fremont.gov

**Krista Peterson**, Auditor-Controller, *County of Tehama*  
444 Oak Street, Room J, Red Bluff, CA 96080  
Phone: (530) 527-3474  
kpeterson@tehama.gov

**Marcus Pimentel**, *City of Santa Cruz*  
701 Ocean Street, Room 520, Santa Cruz, CA 95060  
Phone: (831) 454-2100  
dl\_Finance@cityofsantacruz.com

**Johnnie Pina**, Legislative Policy Analyst, *League of Cities*  
1400 K Street, Suite 400, Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone: (916) 658-8214  
jpina@cacities.org

**Steven Pinkerton**, City Manager, *City of Mountain House*  
251 E. Main Street, Mountain House, CA 95391  
Phone: (209) 831-2300  
spinkerton@sjgov.org

**Peter Pirnejad**, City Manager, *Town of Los Altos Hills*  
26379 Fremont Road, Los Altos Hills, CA 94022  
Phone: (650) 941-7222  
ppirnejad@losaltoshills.ca.gov

**Adam Pirrie**, City Manager and Acting Finance Director, *City of Claremont*  
207 Harvard Ave, Claremont, CA 91711  
Phone: (909) 399-5456  
apirrie@ci.claremont.ca.us

**Sheila Poisson**, Finance Director, *City of Torrance*  
Finance Department, 3031 Torrance Blvd., Torrance, CA 90503  
Phone: (310) 618-5850  
SPoisson@TorranceCA.Gov

**Neil Polzin**, City Treasurer, *City of Covina*  
125 East College Street, Covina, CA 91723  
Phone: (626) 384-5400  
npolzin@covinaca.gov

**Brian Ponty**, *City of Redwood City*  
1017 Middlefield Road, Redwood City, CA 94063  
Phone: (650) 780-7300  
finance@redwoodcity.org



**Jack Ponvanit**, Deputy Director of Finance, *City of La Habra*  
201 E. La Habra Blvd, La Habra, CA 90633-0337  
Phone: (562) 383-4050  
jponvanit@lahabracalifornia.gov

**Diona Pope**, Finance Director, *City of Yuba City*  
1201 Civic Center Blvd, Yuba City, CA 95993  
Phone: (530) 822-4615  
dpope@yubacity.net

**Trevor Power**, Accounting Manager, *City of Newport Beach*  
100 Civic Center Drive, Newport Beach, CA 92660  
Phone: (949) 644-3085  
tpower@newportbeachcalifornia.gov

**Rajneil Prasad**, Deputy Finance Director, *City of Napa*  
955 School Street, PO Box 660, Napa, CA 94559  
Phone: (707) 257-9510  
rprasad@cityofnapa.org

**Mark Prestwich**, City Manager, *City of Hemet*  
445 East Florida Avenue, Hemet, CA 92543  
Phone: (951) 765-2301  
mprestwich@hemetcalifornia.gov

**Tom Prill**, Finance Director, *City of San Jacinto*  
Finance Department, 595 S. San Jacinto Ave., Building B, San Jacinto, CA 92583  
Phone: (951) 487-7340  
tprill@sanjacintocalifornia.gov

**Rod Pruett**, City Administrator, *City of Chowchilla*  
130 South 2nd Street, Chowchilla, CA 93610  
Phone: (559) 665-8615  
RPruett@cityofchowchilla.org

**Laura Pruneda**, Finance Director, *City of Marina*  
211 Hillcrest Avenue, Marina, CA 93933  
Phone: (831) 884-1221  
lpruneda@cityofmarina.org

**Mark Pulone**, City Manager, *City of Yorba Linda*  
4845 Casa Loma Avenue, Yorba Linda, CA 92886  
Phone: (714) 961-7100  
mpulone@yorbalindacalifornia.gov

**Mubeen Qader**, Acting Director of Finance, *City of Richmond*  
450 Civic Center Plaza, Richmond, CA 94804  
Phone: (510) 620-2077  
Mubeen\_Qader@ci.richmond.ca.us

**Jonathan Quan**, Associate Accountant, *County of San Diego*  
Projects, Revenue, and Grants Accounting, 5530 Overland Ave, Suite 410, San Diego, CA 92123  
Phone: 6198768518  
Jonathan.Quan@sdcounty.ca.gov

**Frank Quintero**, *City of Merced*  
678 West 18th Street, Merced, CA 95340

Phone: N/A  
quinterof@cityofmerced.org

**Sean Rabe**, City Manager, *City of Auburn*  
1225 Lincoln Way, Auburn, CA 95603  
Phone: (530) 823-4211  
srabe@auburn.ca.gov

**Juan Raigoza**, Auditor-Controller, *County of San Mateo*  
555 County Center, 4th Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063  
Phone: (650) 363-4777  
jraigoza@smcgov.org

**Jerry Ramar**, Interim City Manager, *City of Oakdale*  
280 N. Third Avenue, Oakdale, CA 95361  
Phone: (209) 845-3571  
jramar@oakdaleca.gov

**Claudia Ramirez**, Junior Accountant, *City of Montclair*  
5111 Benito Street, Montclair, CA 91763  
Phone: (909) 626-8571  
cramirez@cityofmontclair.org

**Vina Ramos**, Finance Director, *City of Rancho Palos Verdes*  
30940 Hawthorne Blvd., Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275  
Phone: (310) 544-5278  
vramos@rpvca.gov

**Derek Rampone**, Finance and Administrative Services Director, *City of Mountain View*  
500 Castro Street, Mountain View, CA 94041  
Phone: (650) 903-6316  
Derek.Rampone@mountainview.gov

**James Ramsey**, Finance Director, *City of Live Oak*  
Finance, 9955 Live Oak Blvd, Live Oak, CA 95953  
Phone: (530) 695-2112  
jramsey@liveoakcity.org

**Paul Rankin**, Finance Director, *City of Orinda*  
22 Orinda Way, Second Floor, Orinda, CA 94563  
Phone: (925) 253-4224  
prankin@cityoforinda.org

**Roberta Raper**, Director of Finance, *City of West Sacramento*  
1110 West Capitol Ave, West Sacramento, CA 95691  
Phone: (916) 617-4509  
robertar@cityofwestsacramento.org

**Brad Raulston**, Town Manager, *Town of Yountville*  
6550 Yount Street, Yountville, CA 94599  
Phone: (707) 944-8851  
braulston@yville.com

**Crystal Reams**, Finance Director, *City of El Cerrito*  
10890 San Pablo Ave, El Cerrito, CA 95430-2392  
Phone: (510) 215-4335  
creams@ci.el-cerrito.ca.us

**Linda Reich**, City Manager, *City of Chino*  
13220 Central Avenue, Chino, CA 91710  
Phone: (909) 334-3304  
lreich@cityofchino.org

**Mike Reid**, Interim City Manager, *City of Fowler*  
2035 Tulare Street Suite 201, Fresno, CA 93721  
Phone: (559) 834-3113  
mreid@ci.fowler.ca.us

**Chip Rerig**, City Administrator, *City of Carmel by the Sea*  
P.O. Box CC, Carmel-by-the-Sea, CA 93921  
Phone: (831) 620-2058  
crerig@ci.carmel.ca.us

**Jose Reynoso**, City Manager, *City of Sierra Madre*  
232 W. Sierra Madre Blvd, Sierra Madre, CA 91024  
Phone: (626) 355-7135  
jreynoso@sierramadreca.gov

**Tae G. Rhee**, Finance Director, *City of Bellflower*  
Finance Department, 16600 Civic Center Dr, Bellflower, CA 90706  
Phone: (562) 804-1424  
trhee@bellflower.org

**Terry Rhodes**, Accounting Manager, *City of Wildomar*  
23873 Clinton Keith Rd., Suite 201, Wildomar, CA 92595  
Phone: (951) 677-7751  
trhodes@cityofwildomar.org

**Marie Ricci**, Administrative Services Director/City Treasurer, *City of Glendora*  
116 East Foothill Road, Glendora, CA 91741-3380  
Phone: (626) 914-8245  
mricci@cityofglendora.org

**David Richstone**, Auditor-Controller, *County of Madera*  
200 W. 4th Street, Madera, CA 93637  
Phone: (559) 675-7707  
David.Richstone@maderacounty.com

**Jennifer Riedeman**, Director of Finance, *City of Patterson*  
1 Plaza Circle, Patterson, CA 95363  
Phone: (209) 895-8046  
jriedeman@ci.patterson.ca.us

**Dustin Rief**, City Manager, *City of Dunsmuir*  
5915 Dunsmuir Ave, Dunsmuir, CA 96025  
Phone: (530) 235-4822  
citymanager@ci.dunsmuir.ca.us

**Jessica Riley**, Finance Director, *City of Seaside*  
440 Harcourt Ave., Seaside, CA  
Phone: (831) 899-6716  
jriley@ci.seaside.ca.us

**Brian Ring**, City Administrator, *City of Oroville*  
Office of the City Administrator, 1735 Montgomery Street, Oroville, CA 95965

Phone: (530) 538-2535  
bring@cityoforoville.org

**Rosa Rios**, *City of Delano*  
1015 11th Ave., Delano, CA 93216  
Phone: N/A  
rrios@cityofdelano.org

**Luke Rioux**, Finance Director, *City of Goleta*  
130 Cremona Drive, Suite B, Goleta, CA 93117  
Phone: (805) 961-7500  
Lrioux@cityofgoleta.org

**Mark Roberts**, Director of Finance, *City of Salinas*  
200 Lincoln Ave, Salinas, CA 93901  
Phone: (831) 758-7211  
Dof@ci.salinas.ca.us

**David Roberts**, City Manager, *City of Carson*  
701 E. Carson St, Carson, CA 90745  
Phone: (310) 952-1730  
DRoberts@carsonca.gov

**Margaret Roberts**, City Manager, *City of Plymouth*  
P.O. Box 429, Plymouth, CA 95669  
Phone: (209) 245-6941  
MRoberts@cityofplymouth.org

**Monica Rocha**, *County of Santa Cruz*  
701 Ocean Street, Room 340, Santa Cruz, CA 95060  
Phone: (831) 454-2440  
monica.rocha@santacruzcountyca.gov

**Rob Rockwell**, Director of Finance, *City of Indio*  
Finance Department, 100 Civic Center Mall, Indio, CA 92201  
Phone: (760) 391-4029  
rockwell@indio.org

**George Rodericks**, City Manager, *Town of Atherton*  
91 Ashfield Road, Atherton, CA 94027  
Phone: (650) 752-0504  
grodericks@ci.atherton.ca.us

**Paul Rodrigues**, Director of Finance, *City of Pittsburg*  
65 Civic Avenue, Pittsburg, CA 94565  
Phone: (925) 252-4848  
prodriques@pittsburgca.gov

**Arnoldo Rodriguez**, City Manager, *City of Madera*  
205 W 4th Street, Madera, CA 93637  
Phone: (559) 661-5402  
arodriguez@madera.gov

**Janie Rodriguez**, Finance Director, *City of Porterville*  
291 North Main Street, Porterville, CA 93257  
Phone: (559) 782-7566  
jrodriguez@ci.porterville.ca.us

**Erick Roeser**, Auditor-Controller-Treasurer-Tax Collector, *County of Sonoma*  
585 Fiscal Drive, Suite 100, Santa Rosa, CA 95403  
Phone: (707) 565-3285  
Erick.Roeser@sonoma-county.org

**Lydia Romero**, City Manager, *City of Lemon Grove*  
3232 Main Street, Lemon Grove, CA 91945  
Phone: (619) 825-3819  
lromero@lemongrove.ca.gov

**Benjamin Rosenfield**, City Controller, *City and County of San Francisco*  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 316, San Francisco, CA 94102  
Phone: (415) 554-7500  
ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org

**Tacy Oneto Rouen**, Auditor, *County of Amador*  
810 Court Street, Jackson, CA 95642-2131  
Phone: (209) 223-6357  
trouen@amadorgov.org

**Tammi Royales**, Director of Finance, *City of La Mesa*  
8130 Allison Avenue, PO Box 937, La Mesa, CA 91944-0937  
Phone: (619) 463-6611  
findir@cityoflamesa.us

**Micah Runner**, City Manager, *City of Rancho Cordova*  
2729 Prospect Park Drive, Rancho Cordova, CA 95670  
Phone: (916) 851-8700  
mrunner@cityofranchocordova.org

**Cynthia Russell**, Chief Financial Officer/City Treasurer, *City of San Juan Capistrano*  
Finance Department, 32400 Paseo Adelanto, San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675  
Phone: (949) 443-6343  
crussell@sanjuancapistrano.org

**Rene Salas**, City Manager, *City of South El Monte*  
1415 Santa Anita Avenue, South El Monte, CA 91733  
Phone: (626) 579-6540  
rsalas@soelmonte.org

**Stephen Salvatore**, City Manager, *City of Lathrop*  
Lathrop City Hall, 390 Towne Center Drive, Lathrop, CA 95330  
Phone: (209) 941-7220  
ssalvatore@ci.lathrop.ca.us

**Janelle Samson**, Director of Finance, *City of Palmdale*  
38300 Sierra Highway, Suite D, Palmdale, CA 93550  
Phone: (661) 267-5440  
jsamson@cityofpalmdale.org

**Tony Sandhu**, Interim Finance Director, *City of Capitola*  
Finance Department, 480 Capitola Ave, Capitola, CA 95010  
Phone: (831) 475-7300  
tsandhu@ci.capitola.ca.us

**Sage Sangiacomo**, City Manager, *City of Ukiah*  
300 Seminary Avenue , Ukiah, CA 95482

Phone: (707) 463-6217  
ssangiacomo@cityofukiah.com

**Jessica Sankus**, Senior Legislative Analyst, *California State Association of Counties (CSAC)*  
Government Finance and Administration, 1100 K Street, Suite 101, Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone: (916) 327-7500  
jsankus@counties.org

**Fernando Santillan**, City Manager, *City of Selma*  
1710 Tucker Street, Selma, CA 93662  
Phone: (559) 891-2200  
FernandoS@CityofSelma.com

**Will Sargent**, Finance Director, *City of Tulelake*  
591 Main Street, Tulelake, CA 96134  
Phone: (530) 667-5522  
info@cityoftulelake.com

**Lori Sassoon**, City Manager, *City of Norco*  
2870 Clark Avenue, Norco, CA 92860  
Phone: (951) 270-5617  
LSassoon@ci.norco.ca.us

**Clinton Schaad**, *County of Del Norte*  
981 H Street, Suite 140, Crescent City, CA 95531  
Phone: (707) 464-7202  
cschaad@co.del-norte.ca.us

**Betsy Schaffer**, Auditor-Controller, *County of Santa Barbara*  
105 East Anapamu Street, Room 303, Santa Barbara, CA 93101  
Phone: (805) 568-2101  
bschaffer@co.santa-barbara.ca.us

**Jay Schengel**, Finance Director/City Treasurer, *City of Clovis*  
1033 5th Street, Clovis, CA 93612  
Phone: (559) 324-2113  
jays@ci.clovis.ca.us

**Craig Schmollinger**, Director of Finance, *City of Poway*  
13325 Civic Center Drive, Poway, CA 92064  
Phone: (858) 668-4411  
cschmollinger@poway.org

**Sarah Schoen**, Director of Finance, *City of Chula Vista*  
276 Fourth Avenue, Chula Vista, CA 91910  
Phone: (619) 691-5117  
sschoen@chulavistaca.gov

**Tracy Schulze**, Auditor-Controller, *County of Napa*  
1195 Third Street, Suite B-10, Napa, CA 94559  
Phone: (707) 299-1733  
tracy.schulze@countyofnapa.org

**Donna Schwartz**, City Clerk, *City of Huntington Park*  
6550 Miles Avenue, Huntington park, CA 90255-4393  
Phone: (323) 584-6231  
DSchwartz@hpcg.gov

**Reina Schwartz**, Director of Finance/City Treasurer, *City of Albany*  
1000 San Pablo Avenue , Albany, CA 947061  
Phone: (510) 528-5730  
rschwartz@albanyca.org

**Cindy Sconce**, Director, *Government Consulting Partners*  
5016 Brower Court, Granite Bay, CA 95746  
Phone: (916) 276-8807  
cindysconcegc@gmail.com

**Anita Scott**, City Manager, *City of Pomona*  
505 South Garey Ave, Pomona, CA 91766  
Phone: (909) 620-2051  
Anita.Scott@pomonaca.gov

**Shelly Scott**, Assessor-Recorder-County Clerk, *County of Marin*  
3501 Civic Center Drive, Suite 208, San Rafael, CA 94903  
Phone: (415) 473-7215  
Assessor@marincounty.org

**Peggy Scroggins**, *County of Colusa*  
546 Jay Street, Ste 202, Colusa, CA 95932  
Phone: (530) 458-0400  
pscroggins@countyofcolusa.org

**Kelly Sessions**, Director of Administrative Services, *City of San Ramon*  
Finance Department, 7000 Bollinger Canyon Road, Building #2, San Ramon, CA 94583  
Phone: (925) 973-2500  
ksessions@sanpabloca.gov

**Rupa Shah**, Auditor-Controller, *County of Monterey*  
168 West Alisal Street, 3rd Floor, Salinas, CA 93901  
Phone: (831) 755-5040  
shahr@co.monterey.ca.us

**Terry Shea**, Finance Director, *City of Canyon Lake*  
31516 Railroad Canyon Road, Canyon Lake, CA 92584  
Phone: (951) 244-2955  
terry@ramscpa.net

**Camille Shelton**, Chief Legal Counsel, *Commission on State Mandates*  
980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone: (916) 323-3562  
camille.shelton@csm.ca.gov

**Carla Shelton**, Senior Legal Analyst, *Commission on State Mandates*  
980 9th Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone: (916) 323-3562  
carla.shelton@csm.ca.gov

**Amy Shepherd**, Auditor-Controller, *County of Inyo*  
Auditor-Controller, 168 N. Edwards Street, Independence, CA 93526  
Phone: (760) 878-0343  
ashepherd@inyocounty.us

**Nolda Short**, Auditor-Controller, *County of Shasta*  
1450 Court Street, Suite 238, Redding, CA 96001

Phone: (530) 245-6657  
nshort@co.shasta.ca.us

**Chet Simmons**, City Manager, *City of Los Alamitos*  
3191 Katella Ave., Los Alamitos, CA 90720  
Phone: (562) 431-3538  
csimmons@cityoflosalamitos.org

**Dan Singer**, City Manager, *City of Santa Paula*  
970 Ventura Street, Santa Paula, CA 96061  
Phone: (805) 933-4225  
dsinger@spcity.org

**Andrew Sisk**, *County of Placer*  
2970 Richardson Drive, Auburn, CA 95603  
Phone: (530) 889-4026  
asisk@placer.ca.gov

**Kim Sitton**, Director of Finance, *City of Corona*  
400 South Vicentia Ave., Corona, CA 92882  
Phone: (951) 279-3532  
Kim.Sitton@CoronaCA.gov

**Ryan Smith**, Director of Finance, *City of Fountain Valley*  
10200 Slater Avenue, Fountain Valley, CA 92708  
Phone: (714) 593-4501  
Ryan.Smith@fountainvalley.org

**Laura Snideman**, City Manager, *City of Calistoga*  
1232 Washington Street, Calistoga, CA 94515  
Phone: (707) 942-2802  
LSnideman@ci.calistoga.ca.us

**Eugene Solomon**, City Treasurer, *City of Redondo Beach*  
415 Diamond Street, Redondo Beach, CA 90277  
Phone: (310) 318-0657  
eugene.solomon@redondo.org

**Greg Sparks**, City Manager, *City of Eureka*  
531 K Street, Eureka, CA 95501  
Phone: (707) 441-4144  
cityclerk@ci.eureka.ca.gov

**Kenneth Spray**, Finance Director, *City of Millbrae*  
621 Magnolia Avenue, Millbrae, CA 94030  
Phone: (650) 259-2433  
kspray@ci.millbrae.ca.us

**Niroop Srivatsa**, City Manager, *City of Lafayette*  
3675 Mount Diablo Blvd., #210, Lafayette, CA 94549  
Phone: (925) 284-1968  
nsrivatsa@lovelafayette.org

**Kelly Stachowicz**, Assistant City Manager, *City of Davis*  
23 Russell Blvd, Davis, CA 95616  
Phone: (560) 757-5602  
kstachowicz@cityofdavis.org



**Paul Steenhausen**, Principal Fiscal and Policy Analyst, *Legislative Analyst's Office*  
925 L Street, Suite 1000, , Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone: (916) 319-8303  
Paul.Steenhausen@lao.ca.gov

**Carolyn Steffan**, City Administrator, *City of Tehama*  
P.O. Box 70, Tehama, CA 96090  
Phone: (530) 384-1501  
cityhall@cityoftehama.us

**Cherie Stephen**, Town Administrator, *Town of Fort Jones*  
11960 East Street, P.O. Box 40, Fort Jones, CA 96032  
Phone: (530) 468-2281  
cstephen@fortjonesca.com

**Katherine Stevens**, Director of Finance, *City of Rialto*  
150 South Palm Avenue, Rialto, CA 92376  
Phone: (909) 421-7242  
kstevens@rialto.ca.gov

**Jana Stuard**, Finance Director, *City of Norwalk*  
12700 Norwalk Blvd, Norwalk, CA 90650  
Phone: (562) 929-5748  
jstuard@norwalkca.gov

**Lauren Sugayan**, Acting Finance Director, *City of Martinez*  
525 Henrietta Street, Martinez, CA 94553  
Phone: (925) 372-3579  
lsugayan@cityofmartinez.org

**Karen Suiker**, City Manager, *City of Trinidad*  
409 Trinity Street, PO Box 390, Trinidad, CA 95570  
Phone: (707) 677-3876  
citymanager@trinidad.ca.gov

**Suzanne Sweitzer**, Director of Administrative Services, *Town of Tiburon*  
1505 Tiburon Boulevard, Tiburon, CA 94920  
Phone: (415) 435-7373  
ssweitzer@townoftiburon.org

**Matthew Szabo**, City Administrative Officer, *City of Los Angeles*  
200 N. Main St. Suite 1500, Los Angeles, CA 90012-4137  
Phone: (213) 473-7500  
Matt.Szabo@lacity.org

**Tatiana Szerwinski**, Assistant Director of Finance, *City of Beverly Hills*  
455 North Rexford Drive, Beverly Hills, CA 90210  
Phone: (310) 285-2411  
tszerwinski@beverlyhills.org

**Rose Tam**, Finance Director, *City of Baldwin Park*  
14403 East Pacific Avenue, Baldwin Park, CA 91706  
Phone: (626) 960-4011  
rtam@baldwinpark.com

**Stacey Tamagni**, Director of Finance / CFO, *City of Folsom*  
50 Natoma Street, Folsom, CA 95630

Phone: (916) 461-6712  
stamagni@folsom.ca.us

**Christopher Tavarez**, Finance Director, *City of Hanford*  
315 North Douty Street, Hanford, CA 93230  
Phone: (559) 585-2500  
ctavarez@cityofhanfordca.com

**Phyllis Taynton**, Auditor-Controller, *County of Solano*  
675 Texas Street, Suite 2800, Fairfield, CA 94533  
Phone: (707) 784-6280  
ptaynton@solanocounty.com

**Jeri Tejada**, Human Resources Director/Acting Finance Director, *City of Oakley*  
3231 Main Street, Oakley, CA 94561  
Phone: (925) 625-7010  
tejeda@ci.oakley.ca.us

**Julie Testa**, Vice Mayor, *City of Pleasanton*  
123 Main Street PO Box 520, Pleasanton, CA 94566  
Phone: (925) 872-6517  
Jtesta@cityofpleasantonca.gov

**T. Jarb Thaipe Jr.**, City Manager, *City of Loma Linda*  
25541 Barton Road, Loma Linda, CA 92354  
Phone: (909) 799-2810  
JThaipejr@lomalinda-ca.gov

**Sokniorn Than**, City Manager, *City of Gustine*  
352 Fifth Street, Gustine, CA 95322  
Phone: (209) 854-6471  
sthan@cityofgustine.com

**Donna Timmerman**, Financial Manager, *City of Ferndale*  
Finance Department, 834 Main Street, Ferndale, CA 95535  
Phone: (707) 786-4224  
finance@ci.ferndale.ca.us

**Barry Tippin**, City Manager, *City of Redding*  
777 Cypress Avenue 3rd Floor, Redding, CA 96001  
Phone: (530) 225-4060  
btippin@cityofredding.org

**Jolene Tollenaar**, *MGT Consulting Group*  
2251 Harvard Street, Suite 134, Sacramento, CA 95815  
Phone: (916) 243-8913  
jolenetollenaar@gmail.com

**Joseph Toney**, Director of Administrative Services, *City of Simi Valley*  
2929 Tapo Canyon Road, Simi Valley, CA 93063  
Phone: (805) 583-6700  
adminservices@simivalley.org

**Robert Torrez**, Interim Chief Financial Officer, *City of Huntington Beach*  
2000 Main Street, Huntington Beach, CA 92648  
Phone: (714) 536-5630  
robert.torrez@surfcity-hb.org

**Marissa Trejo**, City Manager, *City of Lemoore*  
711 W. Cinnamon Drive, Lemoore, CA 93245  
Phone: (559) 924-6744  
citymanager@lemoore.com

**Colleen Tribby**, Finance Director, *City of Dublin*  
100 Civic Plaza, Dublin, CA 94568  
Phone: (925) 833-6640  
colleen.tribby@dublin.ca.gov

**Albert Trinh**, Finance Manager, *City of South Pasadena*  
1414 Mission Street, South Pasadena, CA 91030  
Phone: (626) 403-7250  
FinanceDepartment@southpasadenaca.gov

**Alex Trinidad**, Acting Executive Director and City Treasurer, *City of Santa Ana*  
20 Civic Center Plaza, Santa Ana, CA 92701  
Phone: (714) 647-5295  
atrinidad@santa-ana.org

**Jeff Tschudi**, Finance Director, *City of Benicia*  
250 East L Street, Benicia, CA 94510  
Phone: (707) 746-4225  
JTschudi@ci.benicia.ca.us

**Stefanie Turner**, Finance Director, *City of Rancho Santa Margarita*  
Finance Department, 22112 El Paseo, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688  
Phone: (949) 635-1808  
sturner@cityofrsm.org

**Mark Uribe**, Finance Director, *City of Camarillo*  
601 Carmen Drive, Camarillo, CA 93010  
Phone: (805) 388-5320  
muribe@cityofcamarillo.org

**Tameka Usher**, Director of Administrative Services, *City of Rocklin*  
3970 Rocklin Road, Rocklin, CA 95677  
Phone: (916) 625-5050  
tameka.usher@rocklin.ca.us

**Jessica Uzarski**, Consultant, *Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee*  
1020 N Street, Room 502, Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone: (916) 651-4103  
Jessica.Uzarski@sen.ca.gov

**Nicole Valentine**, Interim Director of Administrative Services, *City of Arroyo Grande*  
300 E. Branch Street, Arroyo Grande, CA 93420  
Phone: (804) 473-5410  
nvalentine@arroyogrande.org

**Julie Valverde**, *County of Sacramento*  
700 H Street, Room 3650, Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone: (916) 874-7248  
valverdej@saccounty.net

**James Vanderpool**, City Manager, *City of Anaheim*  
200 S. Anaheim Blvd. Ste. 733, Anaheim, CA 92805

Phone: (714) 765-5162  
CityManager@anaheim.net

**Jennifer Vasquez**, City Manager, *City of Maywood*  
4319 E. Slausen Avenue, Maywood, CA 90270  
Phone: (323) 562-5700  
jennifer.vasquez@cityofmaywood.org

**Matthew Vespi**, Chief Financial Officer, *City of San Diego*  
202 C Street, 9th Floor, San Diego, CA 92101  
Phone: (619) 236-6218  
mvespi@sandiego.gov

**Andrew Vialpando**, City Manager, *City of Lomita*  
24300 Narbonne Ave., Lomita, CA 90717  
Phone: (310) 325-7110  
a.vialpando@lomitacity.com

**Armando Villa**, City Manager, *City of Menifee*  
29844 Haun Road, Menifee, CA 92586  
Phone: (951) 672-6777  
avilla@cityofmenifee.us

**Brian Villalobos**, City Manager, *City of Duarte*  
1600 Huntington Drive, Duarte, CA 91010  
Phone: (626) 357-7931  
bvillalobos@accessduarte.com

**Alejandra Villalobos**, Management Services Manager, *County of San Bernardino*  
Office of Auditor-Controller, 222 West Hospitality Lane, 4th Floor, San Bernardino, CA 92415  
Phone: (909) 382-3191  
alejandra.villalobos@sbccountyatc.gov

**Diego Viramontes**, City Manager, *City of McFarland*  
401 W. Kern Avenue, McFarland, CA 93250  
Phone: (661) 792-3091  
dviramontes@mcfarlandcity.org

**Nawel Voelker**, Acting Director of Finance (Management Analyst), *City of Belmont*  
Finance Department, One Twin Pines Lane, Belmont, CA 94002  
Phone: (650) 595-7433  
nvoelker@belmont.gov

**Cliff Wagner**, Interim City Administrator, *City of Biggs*  
465 C Street, PO Box 307, Biggs, CA 95917  
Phone: (530) 868-0100  
cliff.wagner@biggs-ca.gov

**Joshua Walden**, Deputy County Counsel, *County of Santa Clara*  
**Claimant Representative**  
Office of the County Counsel, 70 West Hedding Street, 9th Floor, San Jose, CA 95110  
Phone: (408) 229-9052  
joshua.walden@cco.sccgov.org

**Brandon Walker**, Administrative Services Director, *City of Hermosa Beach*  
1315 Valley Drive, Hermosa Beach, CA 90254  
Phone: (310) 318-0225  
bwalker@hermosabeach.gov

**Ron Walker**, City Manager, *City of Colfax*  
33 South Main St, Colfax, CA 95713  
Phone: (530) 346-2313  
city.manager@colfax-ca.gov

**Dave Warren**, Director of Finance, *City of Placerville*  
Finance Department, 3101 Center Street, Placerville, CA 95667  
Phone: (530) 642-5223  
dwarren@cityofplacerville.org

**Gary Watahira**, Administrative Services Director, *City of Sanger*  
1700 7th Street, Sanger, CA 93657  
Phone: (559) 876-6300  
gwatahira@ci.sanger.ca.us

**Tom Weiner**, City Manager, *City of Walnut*  
21201 La Puente Rd. , Walnut, CA 91789  
Phone: (909) 348-0701  
tweiner@cityofwalnut.org

**Stephanie Wellemeyer**, Auditor/County Clerk, *County of Modoc*  
108 E. Modoc Street, Alturas, CA 96101  
Phone: (530) 233-6231  
auditor@co.modoc.ca.us

**Renee Wellhouse**, *David Wellhouse & Associates, Inc.*  
3609 Bradshaw Road, H-382, Sacramento, CA 95927  
Phone: (916) 797-4883  
dwa-renee@surewest.net

**Nick Wells**, City Manager, *City of Holtville*  
121 W 5th Street, Holtville, CA 92250  
Phone: (760) 356-2912  
NWells@Holtville.ca.gov

**Kevin Werner**, City Administrator, *City of Ripon*  
Administrative Staff, 259 N. Wilma Avenue, Ripon, CA 95366  
Phone: (209) 599-2108  
kwerner@cityofripon.org

**Tom Westbrook**, City Manager, *City of Red Bluff*  
555 Washington Street , Red Bluff, CA 96080  
Phone: (530) 527-2605  
twestbrook@cityofredbluff.org

**Cindy Wheeler**, Finance Director, *City of Anderson*  
1887 Howard Street, Anderson , CA 96007  
Phone: (530) 378-6626  
cwheeler@ci.anderson.ca.us

**Adam Whelen**, Director of Public Works, *City of Anderson*  
1887 Howard St., Anderson, CA 96007  
Phone: (530) 378-6640  
awhelen@ci.anderson.ca.us

**Isaac Whippy**, City Manager, *City of Fort Bragg*  
416 N Franklin Street, Fort Bragg, CA 94537

Phone: (707) 961-2825

IWhippy@fortbragg.com

**Michael Whitehead**, Administrative Services Director & City Treasurer, *City of Rolling Hills Estates*

Administrative Services, 4045 Palos Verdes Drive North, Rolling Hills Estates, CA 90274

Phone: (310) 377-1577

MikeW@RollingHillsEstatesCA.gov

**Gina Will**, Auditor-Controller, *County of Nevada*

950 Maidu Avenue, Suite 230, Nevada City, CA 95959

Phone: (530) 265-1244

auditor.controller@nevadacountyca.gov

**David Wilson**, *City of West Hollywood*

8300 Santa Monica Blvd., West Hollywood, CA 90069

Phone: N/A

dwilson@weho.org

**Chris Woidzik**, Finance Director, *City of Avalon*

Finance Department, 410 Avalon Canyon Rd., Avalon, CA 90704

Phone: (310) 510-0220

Scampbell@cityofavalon.com

**Jeff Woltkamp**, *County of San Joaquin*

44 N San Joaquin St. Suite 550, Stockton, CA 95202

Phone: (209) 468-3925

jwoltkamp@sjgov.org

**Harry Wong**, Director of Finance, *City of Lynwood*

11330 Bullis Road, Lynwood, CA 90262

Phone: (310) 603-0220

hwong@lynwood.ca.us

**Paul Wood**, Interim City Manager, *City of Greenfield*

599 El Camino Real, Greenfield, CA 93927

Phone: 8316745591

pwood@ci.greenfield.ca.us

**Kevin Woodhouse**, City Manager, *City of Pacifica*

170 Santa Maria Avenue, Pacifica, CA 94044

Phone: (650) 738-7409

woodhousek@ci.pacifica.ca.us

**Rafferty Wooldridge**, City Manager, *City of La Habra Heights*

1245 N. Hacienda Road, La Habra Heights, CA 90631

Phone: (562) 694-6302

rwooldridge@lhcity.org

**Nita Wracker**, Finance Director, *City of Lincoln*

600 6th Street, Lincoln, CA 95648

Phone: (916) 434-2490

nita.wracker@lincolncalifornia.gov

**Jane Wright**, Finance Manager, *City of Ione*

Finance Department, 1 East Main Street, PO Box 398, Ione, CA 95640

Phone: (209) 274-2412

JWright@ione-ca.com

**Joanna Wynant**, City Administrator, *City of Dorris*  
307 S Main Street, Dorris, CA 96023  
Phone: (530) 397-3511  
cityofdorris@gmail.com

**Elisa Wynne**, Staff Director, *Senate Budget & Fiscal Review Committee*  
California State Senate, State Capitol Room 5019, Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone: (916) 651-4103  
elisa.wynne@sen.ca.gov

**Curtis Yakimow**, Town Manager, *Town of Yucca Valley*  
57090 Twentynine Palms Highway, Yucca Valley, CA 92284  
Phone: (760) 369-7207  
townmanager@yucca-valley.org

**Gabriella Yap**, Assistant City Manager, *City of La Habra*  
110 E. La Habra Blvd., La Habra, CA 90631  
Phone: (562) 383-4474  
gyap@lahabracal.gov

**Kaily Yap**, Budget Analyst, *Department of Finance*  
Local Government Unit, 915 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95814  
Phone: (916) 445-3274  
Kaily.Yap@dof.ca.gov

**Siew-Chin Yeong**, Director of Public Works, *City of Pleasanton*  
3333 Busch Road, Pleasanton, CA 94566  
Phone: (925) 931-5506  
syeong@cityofpleasantonca.gov

**Bobby Young**, *City of Costa Mesa*  
77 Fair Drive, Costa Mesa, CA 92626  
Phone: N/A  
Bobby.Young@costamesaca.gov

**Kelcey Young**, City Manager, *City of Pinole*  
2131 Pear Street, Pinole, CA 94564  
Phone: (510) 724-8933  
kelcey.young@pinole.gov

**Michael Yuen**, Finance Director, *City of San Leandro*  
835 East 14th St., San Leandro, CA 94577  
Phone: (510) 577-3376  
myuen@sanleandro.org

**Robert Zadnick**, City Manager, *City of Belvedere*  
450 San Rafael Avenue, Belvedere, CA 94920  
Phone: (415) 435-8906  
rzadnik@cityofbelvedere.org

**Luis Zamora**, Confidential Executive Assistant to the City Attorney, *City and County of San Francisco*  
Office of the City Attorney, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102  
Phone: (415) 554-4748  
Luis.A.Zamora@sfcityattorney.org

**Shannel Zamora**, Finance Director, *City of Buellton*  
107 West Highway 246, PO Box 1819, Buellton, CA 93427

Phone: (805) 688-5177  
shannelz@cityofbuellton.com

**Chris Zapata**, City Manager, *City of Sausalito*  
420 Litho Street, Sausalito, CA 94965  
Phone: (415) 289-4102  
czapata@sausalito.gov

**Aly Zimmermann**, City Manager, *City of Rocklin*  
3970 Rocklin Road, Rocklin, CA 95677  
Phone: (916) 625-5585  
alyz@rocklin.ca.us

**Helmholt Zinser-Watkins**, Associate Governmental Program Analyst, *State Controller's Office*  
Local Government Programs and Services Division, Bureau of Payments, 3301 C Street, Suite 700,  
Sacramento, CA 95816  
Phone: (916) 324-7876  
HZinser-watkins@sco.ca.gov

**Jeffery Zuba**, Finance and Administrative Services Director, *Town of San Anselmo*  
525 San Anselmo Ave, San Anselmo, CA 94960  
Phone: (415) 258-4600  
jzuba@townofsananselmo.org